

The Relationship between the Soil Seed Bank and Above-ground Vegetation in a Sandy Floodplain, South Korea

Hyung-Jin Cho¹, Seung-Nam Jin², Hyohyemi Lee³, Rob H. Marrs⁴ and Kang-Hyun Cho^{5*}

¹Researcher, EConGEO Laboratory, Incheon 22170, Korea

²Researcher, Department of Biological Sciences, Inha University, Incheon 22212, Korea

³Researcher, Environmental Impact Assessment Team, National Institute of Ecology, Seocheon 33657, Korea

⁴Professor, School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GP, UK

⁵Professor, Department of Biological Sciences, Inha University, Incheon 22212, Korea

Received 9 July 2018, revised 17 August 2018, accepted 22 August 2018, published online 30 September 2018

ABSTRACT: In a monsoonal climate, the soil seed bank can play an important role in plant regeneration after the severe annual floods that disturb above-ground vegetation within the riparian zone. To investigate the relationship between the soil seed bank and vegetation, we measured the species composition of the soil seed bank and the extant above-ground vegetation in six major plant communities (*Artemisia selengensis*, *Miscanthus sacchariflorus*, *Persicaria nodosa*, *Phalaris arundinacea*, *Phragmites japonica*, and *Rorippa palustris*) in the Cheongmicheon Stream, Korea. A total of 21 species germinated from the floodplain soil seed banks. The most diverse seed bank (21 species) was found in the *A. selengensis* community, whereas the lowest number of species was found in the *R. palustris* community (2 species). Most soil seed banks were composed of annuals (90%), exceptions being *Rumex crispus* and *Artemisia princeps*, which are perennial ruderals. The similarity of species composition between the soil seed bank and above-ground vegetation was low with Sorensen's similarity indices averaging 29% (range 12 - 42%). Crucially, existing dominant perennials of the extant vegetation including *A. selengensis*, *M. sacchariflorus*, *P. japonica* and *P. arundinacea* were absent from the soil seed bank. In conclusion, the soil seed banks of the floodplains of the Cheongmicheon Stream were mainly composed of viable seeds of ruderal plants, which could germinate rapidly after severe flood disturbance. The soil seed bank may, therefore, be useful for the restoration of the early succession stages of riparian vegetation after flood disturbances.

KEYWORDS: Flood disturbance, Multivariate analysis, Riparian vegetation, Sorensen's similarity coefficient

1. Introduction

Riparian ecosystems, where soils and vegetation are influenced periodically by flooding from an adjacent stream, are unique ecosystems, as consequence of the large fluxes of energy and materials from upstream systems (Gregory et al. 1991, Naiman and Decamps 1997). These ecosystems often exhibit an ecotone between aquatic and upland ecosystems characterized by a sequence of distinct plant communities (Richardson

et al. 2007) in distinct zones (Lenssen et al. 1998, Abernethy and Willby 1999, Johansson and Nilsson 2002, Damasceno-Junior et al. 2005, Merritt et al. 2010). This sequence is almost certainly brought about by the over-riding effects of hydro-geomorphic processes (Osterkamp and Hupp 2010), and in particular the magnitudes, frequencies, and durations of stream-flow regimes, all influencing the establishment and survival of plant species in riparian vegetation.

Flooding disturbance regimes, defined by their

*Corresponding author: khcho@inha.ac.kr, ORCID 0000-0003-4546-3546

© Korean Society of Ecology and Infrastructure Engineering. All rights reserved.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

intensity and frequency, can create different plant communities and landscape mosaics in riverine ecosystems, which vary in time and space across the floodplains (Bornette and Amoros 1996, Shafroth et al. 2002, Garssen et al. 2015). When flood disturbances occur frequently or where chronic damage is brought about by flooding, vegetation succession is interrupted maintaining the riparian vegetation in young successional stages (Tabacchi et al. 1998). Therefore, understanding vegetation regeneration is more important in the floodplains after large flood disturbance in a monsoonal climate (Azami et al. 2004, Cho and Cho 2005).

Central to the temporal changes within floodplain communities is the regeneration niche (*sensu* Grubb 1977) and regeneration processes, and this includes the soil seed bank. Floodplains soil seed banks are an important reserve of viable seeds, fruits, propagules and other reproductive plant structures (Poiani and Johnson 1989). The soil seed bank is well known to be extremely important for plant community regeneration and conservation in most ecosystems (Bakker et al. 1996, Schoen and Brown 2001). The soil seed bank ecology in riparian zones is perhaps more complex than in other ecosystem types, mainly by interactions between the large number of factors that might impinge on it; including a range of hydrological, geomorphological, and ecological processes all coupled with the direct and indirect impacts of engineering intervention (Goodson et al. 2001). The seed bank has also a significant influence on riparian vegetation structure, which in turn influences the morphology and stability of the riparian habitat (Goodson et al. 2001). Moreover, floods can contribute to increased biodiversity within the streams because they remove above-ground vegetation and erode soil, thus providing new open spaces for the germination or regeneration of plants from the seed bank (Bornette et al. 1998). Even moderate flooding could be an important initiator of change through gap creation and dispersal of plant propagules into and within the

riverine ecosystem (Nilsson et al. 1991, Craig and Malanson 1993, Gurnell et al. 2008). If the soil seed bank plays an important role in the regeneration of extant vegetation, there should be considerable similarity in floristic composition between soil seed bank and riparian vegetation in the stream. Nevertheless, little similarity between soil seed bank and extant vegetation has been demonstrated in many studies, but hardly any of these have been carried out under monsoonal climatic conditions (reviewed, Hopfensperger 2007).

In Korea, with a temperate-monsoonal climate, rainfall is concentrated in summer when heavy flooding occurs frequently. The different vegetation types are developed across the floodplains according to hydro-geomorphic gradient in the floodplain (Cho and Cho 2005). Moreover, the riparian vegetation and geomorphology are often disturbed during these large-scale summer floods (Lee et al. 2014). However, after disturbance, the riparian vegetation usually regenerates rapidly over the disturbed floodplains (Lee et al. 2014). It is, therefore, expected that the soil seed bank is fundamental in the regeneration process of riparian vegetation in regularly-disturbed floodplains. The aims of this study were, therefore, to describe the compositional similarities between soil seed bank and above-ground vegetation in a series of six major riverine plant communities and the relationships between species composition of seed bank and environmental factors in the Cheongmicheon Stream, Korea.

2. Methods

2.1 Study site

The study site was located on the Cheongmicheon Stream, a 62 km long tributary of the Hangang River that drains a 594 km² watershed within the central Korean Peninsula (Longitude 127° 39' 43'' E; Latitude 37° 10' 27'' N, Fig. 1). The stream originally meandered freely with a very sinuous flow through the plains, but




Fig. 1. Location of the study site on the Cheongmicheon Stream in South Korea.

has recently been narrowed and limited by channel straightening and levee construction. The reach studied was 1 km in length with a stream width between levees of 250 m, and a low-water channel width of 35 m. Within the meandering low-flow channel, sandbars are present. The floodplain supports a dense vegetation cover across the stream. The geomorphology, soils, hydrology, and vegetation have been described by Cho and Cho (2005).

2.2 Field survey of soils and vegetation

Soil samples for both physico-chemical and seed bank analysis were taken from six major plant communities present on the floodplain (Cho and Cho 2005). These communities were identifiable via their dominant species, i.e.: *Artemisia selengensis*, *Miscanthus sacchariflorus*, *Persicaria nodosa*, *Phalaris arundinacea*, *Phragmites japonica*, and *Rorippa palustris*. In March 2003, 10 quadrats (1 m × 1 m) were located randomly within each plant community. The species composition in each quadrat was surveyed monthly between March and October 2003. When sampling the plant communities, the average distances from the low water channel and heights above the low water surface were measured to obtain data on their topographical position relative to the stream.

In March 2003, 10 soil cores (diameter 5 cm and depth 5 cm) were sampled randomly from each quadrat

within each community as well as an open sand bar. The samples from each quadrat were bulked and mixed thoroughly, and divided into two identical sub-samples per quadrat. One sub-sample per quadrat was selected randomly for seed bank analysis and stored at 4°C for one month until the germination trials were started. Five of the remaining sub-samples from each plant community were air-dried for analysis of soil organic matter and soil texture. Soil organic matter was measured using by loss-on-ignition at 550°C for 4 hours (Heiri et al. 2001). Soil texture, i.e. composition of sand, silt, and clay fractions, was determined using the hydrometer method (Sheldrick and Wang 1993).

2.3 Soil seed bank composition

The soil seed bank was analysed by measuring direct seedling emergence (Lee et al. 2011). The 10 bulked soil samples of each plant community were sprinkled onto the surface of a germination tray (25 cm × 10 cm × 5 cm deep) filled with 3 cm sterile potting compost. The germination trays were maintained in a glasshouse (temperature range 9 - 33°C) from April 2003 for 138 days with daily watering. The positions of the trays were changed randomly every two weeks. All identifiable seedlings were counted and then removed to prevent overcrowding after 19, 49, 75, 107, and 138 days. Seedlings that were difficult to identify were transplanted to separate pots and were allowed to grow until identification was possible. The nomenclature of vascular plant species follows the Korean Plant Names index (Korea Forest Service 2017).

2.4 Data analysis

The relationship in floristic composition between soil seed bank and above-ground vegetation was analysed using Sorensen's similarity index according to their presence/absence data for the comparison with results of other studies (Hopfensperger 2007). Canonical

Correspondence Analysis (CCA) was used to provide an integrated analysis of the relationship between seedling germination and measured environmental variables; the vegetation data matrix was transformed ($\log_e(x + 1)$), and removing species that were present in only one sample. An initial analysis indicated that distance from the channel was the only significant environmental variable ($p < 0.05$), hence the CCA was re-run with this variable as the sole constraining variable. Thereafter, Principal Co-ordinate Analysis (PCO) was used to compare the species composition of the seed bank and the above-ground vegetation (presence/absence data) after calculating the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index. To help the interpretation standard deviational ellipses of the seed bank and the above-ground vegetation were used ('ordiellipse' function; Oksanen et al. 2017). Both PCO and CCA were performed using the 'vegan' package (Oksanen et al. 2017) within the R statistical environment (R version 3.4.0, R Core Team 2017).

3. Results

The soils of the study site for the seed bank analysis were composed mainly of alluvial sands, with a sand content ranging from $78 \pm 2\%$ for the *Phalaris arundinacea* community, $86 \pm 3\%$ for the *Artemisia selengensis* community and soils from the other communities were $>90\%$ (Table 1). Soil organic matter content was low, ranging from 0.4% (*Rorippa*

palustris) to a maximum for $4.2 \pm 0.3\%$ (*P. arundinaceae*). As the distance and the terrain height increased from the low-flow channel to the levee, communities were located in sequence: (low-flow) *Persicaria nodosa*, *R. palustris*, *P. arundinacea*, *Phragmites japonica*, *Miscanthus sacchariflorus*, and *A. selengensis* (levee) (Table 1). A total 42 of vascular plant species were found in the extant vegetation of the floodplains; eleven of these species (26%) were perennials (Appendix 1).

Only twenty-one species of vascular plants germinated from the floodplain soil seed banks (Table 2). There was a large divergence in the number of species detected in each community. The communities of *R. palustris* and *P. nodosa* communities had relatively few species (2 and 7 respectively) and all others had between 13 (*P. arundinacea*) and 19 species (*A. selengensis*). Only one species, *Cardamine flexuosa*, was found on the open sand bar without vegetation. The most numerous species that germinated were: *Alopecurus aequalis* in every community and *P. nodosa*, *Capsella bursa-pastoris*, *C. flexuosa*, and *Stellaria aquatica* in all communities except *R. palustris*. Almost all the species detected were annuals, with only two perennial, ruderal species, *Artemisia princeps* and *Rumex crispus* (Table 2). Of note is the lack of seeds of the dominant species found in the vegetation, for example no seeds of *A. selengensis*, *M. sacchariflorus*, *P. japonica* and *P. arundinacea*, were detected. The average numbers of viable seeds on the

Table 1. Distance and topographic height from the channel water and soil texture and organic matter content of soils in major vegetation in the floodplains of the Cheongmicheon Stream, South Korea (mean \pm SE, n = 5)

Property	Vegetation					
	<i>Persicaria nodosa</i>	<i>Rorippa palustris</i>	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	<i>Phragmites japonica</i>	<i>Miscanthus sacchariflorus</i>	<i>Artemisia selengensis</i>
Distance (m)	5	14	20	39	115	127
Height (m)	0.5	0.9	1.0	1.9	2.5	3.1
Sand (%)	94 ± 1	95 ± 2	78 ± 2	91 ± 2	90 ± 1	86 ± 3
Silt (%)	5 ± 1	5 ± 1	7 ± 1	5 ± 1	5 ± 1	8 ± 2
Clay (%)	1 ± 1	0 ± 0	15 ± 1	4 ± 1	5 ± 1	6 ± 2
Organic matter (%)	1.1 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.0	4.2 ± 0.3	1.6 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.3	2.5 ± 0.4

Table 2. Total numbers of seedlings (seeds m⁻²) for each species germinated from soils collected in six plant communities in the floodplain of the Cheongmicheon Stream, South Korea. Mean values (\pm SE, n = 10) are presented


Plant life-cycle	Species	Community-type					
		<i>Persicaria nodosa</i>	<i>Rorippa palustris</i>	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	<i>Phragmites japonica</i>	<i>Miscanthus sacchariflorus</i>	<i>Artemisia selengensis</i>
Summer annual	<i>Alopecurus aequalis</i>	51 \pm 48	51 \pm 48	1,888 \pm 323	1,020 \pm 315	408 \pm 121	1,786 \pm 517
	<i>Aneilema keisak</i>					153 \pm 74	510 \pm 338
	<i>Bromus japonicus</i>					51 \pm 48	153 \pm 74
	<i>Centipeda minima</i>			102 \pm 65			153 \pm 74
	<i>Chenopodium ficifolium</i>				153 \pm 74	510 \pm 228	357 \pm 162
	<i>Cyperus iria</i>			102 \pm 65			51 \pm 48
	<i>Mazus pumilus</i>			816 \pm 165	663 \pm 162		612 \pm 248
	<i>Panicum bisulcatum</i>	204 \pm 107			204 \pm 107	306 \pm 194	459 \pm 152
	<i>Persicaria nodosa</i>	357 \pm 126		408 \pm 121	204 \pm 148	204 \pm 79	663 \pm 204
	<i>Portulaca oleracea</i>				153 \pm 74		51 \pm 48
Winter annual	<i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i>	102 \pm 65		918 \pm 268	612 \pm 202	612 \pm 188	153 \pm 103
	<i>Cardamine flexuosa</i>	51 \pm 48		2,449 \pm 695	867 \pm 361	510 \pm 204	1,122 \pm 359
	<i>Conyza canadensis</i>			1,582 \pm 1,032	561 \pm 210	459 \pm 113	306 \pm 165
	<i>Rorippa palustris</i>		51 \pm 48	765 \pm 180	153 \pm 74	561 \pm 168	510 \pm 125
	<i>Stellaria alsine</i> var. <i>undulata</i>	153 \pm 74		102 \pm 65	153 \pm 145	102 \pm 65	
	<i>Stellaria aquatica</i>	51 \pm 48		357 \pm 103	306 \pm 129	51 \pm 48	153 \pm 74
	<i>Trigonotis peduncularis</i>				51 \pm 48		102 \pm 97
	<i>Veronica persica</i>			204 \pm 129	51 \pm 48	51 \pm 48	459 \pm 183
	<i>Veronica undulata</i>			153 \pm 103		51 \pm 48	204 \pm 79
Perennial	<i>Artemisia princeps</i>				51 \pm 48		51 \pm 48
	<i>Rumex crispus</i>				153 \pm 103		
	<i>Unidentified</i>			306 \pm 129	51 \pm 48	51 \pm 48	51 \pm 48
Total no. of seedlings		969 \pm 233	102 \pm 97	10,152 \pm 1,515	5,406 \pm 971	4,080 \pm 496	7,906 \pm 1,416
Total no. of species		7	2	13	16	14	19

open sand bar were estimated at 51 seeds m⁻². Only the *R. palustris* community had a similar density (102 seeds m⁻²); all other communities had much greater densities ranging from 969 \pm 233 seeds m⁻² (mean \pm SE) in *P. nodosa* community through to 10,152 \pm 2,515 seeds m⁻² in the *P. arundinacea* community (Table 2)


The Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) of the seed bank composition produced eigenvalues of 0.1212 and 0.1309 and explained 26% and 28% of the total inertia on the first two axes, respectively. The species biplot showed (Fig. 2 (a)) that plants preferring a more humid habitat such as *A. aequalis*, *Mazus pumilus*, and *R. palustris* were located at the upper left

side and plants preferring a more mesic habitat such as *Panicum bisulcatum* and *Chenopodium ficifolium* at the lower right side of the ordination. Only distance from the water channel was selected as a significant environmental factor in the floodplain seed bank ($p < 0.05$). The seed bank of *P. nodosa* community and *R. palustris* community was correlated negatively with the distance from water way (Fig. 2 (b)). The contrast is that the *P. nodosa* community was distributed at the wide sandy bar, and *R. palustris* community was restricted on the muddy creeks near the channel. The seed bank of *A. selengensis* community was located far from the low flow channel at the high terrain.

The species present within both the seed bank and



(a) Species



(b) Community-type

Fig. 2. Results of the canonical correspondence analysis showing the relation of environmental variable to seed bank composition using seedling numbers in the floodplain of the Cheongmicheon Stream, South Korea: (a) Species biplot, here only species with > 1,000 seedlings are presented as text. (b) Community type in response to distance from the stream channel (DI). Codes: the seed bank is prefixed by S, and the last two letters indicate the plant community. Species: Aa = *Alopecurus aequalis*, As = *Artemisia selengensis*, Cb = *Capsella bursa-pastoris*, Cc = *Coryza canadensis*, Cf = *Chenopodium ficifolium*, Cl = *Cardamine flexuosa*, Mp = *Mazus pumilus*, Ms = *Miscanthus sacchariflorus*, Pa = *Phalaris arundinacea*, Pb = *Panicum bisulcatum*, Pj = *Phragmites japonica*, Pn = *Persicaria nodosa*, Rp = *Rorippa palustris*, Sa = *Stellaria aquatic*.

above-ground vegetation are presented in Appendix 1. In most communities, more plant species were found in the above-ground vegetation than in the seed bank samples. These differences were variable between




Fig. 3. Biplot of principal co-ordinate analysis showing the difference between the floristic compositions of soil seed bank and above-ground vegetation in the floodplain of the Cheongmicheon Stream, South Korea. The bivariate-deviational ellipses (95% confidence intervals) indicate the seed bank and above-ground vegetation. Codes: the seed bank and vegetation data are prefixed by S and V respectively, and the last two letters indicate the plant community: As = *Artemisia selengensis*, Ms = *Miscanthus sacchariflorus*, Pa = *Phalaris arundinacea*, Pj = *Phragmites japonica*, Pn = *Persicaria nodosa*, Rp = *Rorippa palustris*.

communities (Table 3); for example, there was $\times 4.1$ and $\times 7$ more species in the *P. nodosa* and *R. palustris* communities respectively, but for the other communities it was much less pronounced ($\times 1 - 1.2$). However, the similarity in species composition between the seed bank and above-ground vegetation was relatively low for all communities, ranging from 15 - 40% (Table 3). The Principal Co-ordinate Analysis (PCO) identified distinct differences in the floristic composition between seed bank of soil and above-ground vegetation (Fig. 3). The plotted positions of seed banks were located closer each other than those of above-ground vegetation. The seed bank of *R. palustris* community, where only two species of seedling were found, was located away from other seed banks.

4. Discussion

This study of seed banks in floodplains highlighted the predominance of pioneer ruderal plants in the

Table 3. Comparison of the total number of species present in the seed banks (SB) and field vegetation survey (Veg), along with an assessment of their similarity (Sorensen's Similarity Index) in six plant communities in the floodplain of the Cheongmicheon Stream, South Korea

Property	Community-type											
	<i>Persicaria nodosa</i>		<i>Rorippa palustris</i>		<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>		<i>Phragmites japonica</i>		<i>Miscanthus sacchariflorus</i>		<i>Artemisia selengensis</i>	
	SB	Veg	SB	Veg	SB	Veg	SB	Veg	SB	Veg	SB	Veg
No. of species	7	29	2	15	13	13	16	17	14	17	19	21
Sorensen's coefficient (%)	33		12		15		42		32		40	

species compositions of the soil seed bank and the low similarity of floristic compositions between the soil seed bank and above-ground vegetation in a sand-bed stream within a temperate-monsoonal climate.

There was a successional sequence of plant communities from the open sand bar with no above-ground vegetation through communities dominated by *Persicaria nodosa* and *Rorippa palustris*, at the front of sand bar and then *Phalaris arundinacea*, *Phragmites japonica*, *Miscanthus sacchariflorus*, and finally *Artemisia selengensis* at the high elevation of floodplain near the levee. The dominant species in the three earlier-successional stages were annuals, but the three later-stage dominants species were perennials (Cho and Cho 2005, Lee et al. 2009). The annual communities were located in more frequently-disturbed sites than the later perennial ones. A total of 42 species was found within the above-ground vegetation of these floodplains.

In contrast, only 21 species were detected in soil seed bank as a potential source of plant community regeneration. The seed bank flora in this study was mainly composed of annuals with a few perennial ruderals. Annual species (*r*-selected) usually produce many seeds (Grime 2006, Moore 1980) and are often over-represented in the seed bank relative to their above-ground cover (Goodson et al. 2001). Where the vegetation growing in this type of seedbank is disturbed, germination occurs rapidly and a weed flora often results. In riverine communities after flood disturbances, annual species have an opportunity to germinate in large quantities from seeds and colonize the disturbed ground (Cho and Cho 2005). The dominant

species in the above-ground plant communities (*A. selengensis*, *M. sacchariflorus* and *P. japonica*), predominantly *K*-selected, were absent from the seed bank, and these species cannot, therefore, recolonize from the soil seed bank (Moore 1980). If these species are to re-colonize after disturbance they must either do this through vegetative means (regrowth from undamaged stems, roots and rhizomes), or through transport of propagules (seeds or vegetative fragments) from outside the ecosystem via water movement, presumably within the riverine system. These dominant species, either do not produce viable seed or the seeds are very short-lived and do not produce a persistent seed bank (Moore 1980, Blom and Voeselek 1996, Bossuyt and Honnay 2008). The communities of *A. selengensis*, *M. sacchariflorus*, *P. japonica*, which are often destroyed by flooding, usually recover vegetatively by resprouting from buried stems, stolons or rhizomes (Cho and Cho 2005). Even small fragments of stolons and rhizomes of these species can act as propagules for asexual reproduction in these sand-bed streams (Hong et al. 2012, Deng et al. 2013).

There was very little similarity between the species composition of the soil seed bank and vegetation with Sorensen's Similarity Indices averaging 29% (range 12 - 42%); these values are within the ranges found in other riverine systems in a range of climates (Table 4). However, there were marked variation between the different plant communities. The *P. nodosa* and *R. palustris* communities, i.e. those located at or near the sandbar had less than a quarter of the species in the standing vegetation almost certainly reflecting their

Table 4. Comparison of Sorensen's similarity index between the soil seed bank and standing vegetation in this study of the floodplains of the Cheongmicheon Stream, South Korea with literature data from floodplain ecosystems

Area	Climate	Sorensen's index (%) Mean (range)	Reference
Australia-New South Wales	Temperate	47 (-)	O'Donnell et al. (2015)
South Korea	Temperate monsoon	38 (0 - 63)	Lee et al. (2011)
UK	Temperate	37 (36 - 38)	Goodson et al. (2002)
Australia-Queensland	Desert	29 (12 - 37) ¹	Capon and Brock (2005)
USA-Arizona	Semi-arid monsoon	28 (18 - 42)	Richter and Stromberg (2005)
South Africa	Mediterranean	27 (10 - 56)	Vosse et al. (2008)
South Africa	Mediterranean	21 (8 - 49)	Tererai et al. (2015)
USA- Pennsylvania	Temperate	17 (7 - 27)	Hanlon et al. (1998)
South Korea	Temperate monsoon	29 (12 - 42)	This study

¹Read from the graph.

more frequent and severe flood disturbance, which interferes with the formation of the persistent seed bank (Goodson et al. 2001). We can also confirm the effect of disturbance on the number of seeds in the seed bank. The number of seeds showed a tenfold variation within the seed bank with the early successional *R. palustris* and *P. nodosa* communities containing the lowest seed numbers.

The seedlings of pioneer ruderals germinate rapidly from the soil seed bank after flood disturbances (Naiman and Decamps 1997, O'Donnell et al. 2015). Once they colonize the sand bar, increased sedimentation occurs because their shoots increase flow resistance and decrease flow velocity. Within the mid- to high-elevation plant communities the flood disturbance is reduced, and perennial herbaceous species dominate (Hupp and Osterkamp 1996). Here, we confirmed the effect of this successional sequence of communities; from the pioneer communities of *P. nodosa* and *R. palustris*, at the front of sand bar to later-successional vegetation of *M. sacchariflorus*, and *A. selengensis* at the higher floodplain elevations (Cho and Cho 2005). In this study, however, no viable seeds of the late-successional species were detected in the seed bank and we have no information on how these plants regenerated under field conditions. Further research is required to investigate soil seed banks in more diverse riverine environments and at different seasons of the year,

especially early spring, as well as the colonization mechanisms of the dominant species.

In conclusion, this study showed an aspect of the functional and causal links between the seed bank and the vegetation within a stream under a temperate monsoonal climate. The seed bank even though composed mainly of annual, ruderal species may be an important factor in providing rapid vegetation regeneration after flooding. The colonization by these pioneer plants can change the riparian environment so that sedimentation increases, the substrate is stabilized and improved, and colonization of later-successional, herbaceous, perennial species is facilitated (Connell and Slatyer 1977). Therefore, seed banks may be useful for the restoration of the early succession stages of riparian vegetation which is dependent on the flood disturbance (Bossuyt and Honnay 2008). However, the extant vegetation in the later-successional stages is likely to be more important than the seed bank for the maintenance of perennial, herbaceous plant species, probably via extensive root and rhizome systems.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a grant from the "River Restoration Program for Developing Coexistence between Nature and Human (Green River) (12 technological innovation C02)", Ministry of land, infra-

structure and Transport, Republic of Korea. We thank Dr. Josu G. Alday of the University of Lleida, Spain for his useful comments on the manuscript.

References

- Abernethy, V.J. and Willby, N.J. 1999. Changes along a disturbance gradient in the density and composition of propagule banks in floodplain aquatic habitats. *Plant Ecology* 140(2): 177-190.
- Azami, K., Suzuki, H., and Toki, S. 2004. Changes in riparian vegetation communities below a large dam in a monsoonal region: Futase Dam, Japan. *River Research & Applications* 20(5): 549-563.
- Bakker, J.P., Poschod, P., Strykstra, R.J., Bekker, R.M., and Thompson, K. 1996. Seed banks and seed dispersal: important topics in restoration ecology. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* 45(4): 461-490.
- Blom, C.W.P.M. and Voeselek, L.A.C.J. 1996. Flooding: the survival strategies of plants *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 11(7): 290-295.
- Bornette, G. and Amoros, C. 1996. Disturbance regimes and vegetation dynamics: role of floods in riverine wetlands. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 7(5): 615-622.
- Bornette, G., Amoros, C., and Lamouroux, N. 1998. Aquatic plant diversity in riverine wetlands: the role of connectivity. *Freshwater Biology* 39(2): 267-283.
- Bossuyt, B. and Honnay, O. 2008. Can the seed bank be used for ecological restoration? An overview of seed bank characteristics in European communities. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 19(6): 875-884.
- Capon, S.J. and Brock, M.A. 2006. Flooding, soil seed bank dynamics and vegetation resilience of a hydrologically variable desert floodplain. *Freshwater Biology* 51(2): 206-223.
- Cho, H.J. and Cho, K.H. 2005. Responses of riparian vegetation to flooding disturbance in a sand stream. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering* 9(1): 49-53.
- Connell, J.H. and Slatyer, R.O. 1977. Mechanisms of succession in natural communities and their role in community stability and organization. *American Naturalist* 111(982): 1119-1144.
- Craig, M.R. and Malanson, G.P. 1993. River flow events and vegetation colonization of point bars in Iowa. *Physical Geography* 14(5): 436-448.
- Damasceno-Junior, G.A., Semir, J., Dos Santos, F.A.M., and de Freitas Leitão-Filho, H. 2005. Structure, distribution of species and inundation in a riparian forest of Rio Paraguai, Pantanal, Brazil. *Flora* 200(2): 119-135.
- Deng, Z., Chen, X., Xie, Y., Li, X., Pan, Y., and Li, F. 2013. Effects of size and vertical distribution of buds on sprouting and plant growth of the clonal emergent macrophyte *Miscanthus sacchariflorus* (Poaceae). *Aquatic Botany* 104: 121-126.
- Garssen, A.G., Baattrup-Pedersen, A., Voeselek, L.A.C.J., Verhoeven, J.T.A., and Soons, M.B. 2015. Riparian plant community responses to increased flooding: a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology* 21(8): 2881-2890.
- Goodson, J.M., Gurnell, A.M., Angold, P.G., and Morrissey, I.P. 2001. Riparian seed banks: structure, process and implications for riparian management. *Progress in Physical Geography* 25(3): 301-325.
- Goodson, J.M., Gurnell, A.M., Angold, P.G., and Morrissey, I.P. 2002. Riparian seed banks along the lower River Dove, UK: their structure and ecological implications. *Geomorphology* 47(1): 45-60.
- Gregory, S.V., Swanson, F.J., McKee, W.A., and Cummins, K.W. 1991. An ecosystem perspective of riparian zones. *BioScience* 41(8): 540-551.
- Grime, J.P. 2006. *Plant Strategies and Vegetation Processes, and Ecosystem Properties*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.
- Grubb, P.J. 1977. The maintenance of species-richness in plant communities: the importance of the regeneration niche. *Biological Review* 52(1): 107-145.
- Gurnell, A., Thompson, K., Goodson, J., and Moggridge, H. 2008. Propagule deposition along river margins: linking hydrology and ecology. *Journal of Ecology* 96(3): 553-565.
- Hanlon, T.J., Williams, C.E., and Moriarity, W.J. 1998. Species composition of soil seed banks of Allegheny Plateau riparian forests. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* 125(3): 199-215.
- Heiri, O., Lotter, A.F., and Lemcke, G. 2001. Loss on ignition as a method for estimating organic and carbonate content in sediments: reproducibility and comparability of results. *Journal of Paleolimnology* 25(1): 101-110.
- Hong, M.G., Nam, J.M., and Kim, J.G. 2012. Occupational strategy of runner reed (*Phragmites japonica*): change of growth patterns with developmental aging. *Aquatic Botany* 97(1): 30-34.
- Hopfensperger, K.N. 2007. A review of similarity between seed bank and standing vegetation across ecosystems. *Oikos* 116(9): 1438-1448.
- Hupp, C.R. and Osterkamp, W.R. 1996. Riparian vegetation and fluvial geomorphic processes. *Geomorphology* 14(4): 277-295.
- Johansson, M.E. and Nilsson, C. 2002. Responses of riparian plants to flooding in free flowing and regulated boreal rivers: an experimental study. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 39(6): 917-986.
- Korea Forest Service. 2017. Korean Plant Names Index. <http://www.nature.go.kr>. Assessed 23 October 2017.
- Lee, C.S., Cho, Y.C., Shin, H.C., and Park, S. 2009. Differences between sand and gravel bars of streams

- in patterns of vegetation succession. *Journal of Ecology and Environment* 32(1): 55-60.
- Lee, H., Alday, J.G., Cho, K.H., Lee, E.J., and Marrs, R.H. 2014. Effects of flooding on the seed bank and soil properties in a conservation area on the Han River, South Korea. *Ecological Engineering* 70: 102-113.
- Lee, H.H.M., Marrs, R.H., and Lee, E.J. 2011. The variation in the species composition of the soil seed bank in the natural flood plain vegetation along the urban reach of Han River, South Korea. *Korean Journal of Ecology and Environment* 44(1): 42-57.
- Lenssen, J., ten Dole, G.E., and Blom, C.W.P.M. 1998. The effect of flooding on the recruitment of reed marsh and tall forb plant species. *Plant Ecology* 139(1): 13-23.
- Merritt, D.M., Scott, M.L., Poff, N.L., Auble, G.T., and Lytle, D.A. 2010. Theory, methods and tools for determining environmental flows for riparian vegetation: riparian vegetation-flow response guilds. *Freshwater Biology* 55: 206-225.
- Moore, P.D. 1980. Soil seed bank. *Nature* 284: 123-124.
- Naiman, R.J. and Decamps, H. 1997. The ecology of interfaces: riparian zones. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 28(1): 621-658.
- Nilsson, C., Gardfjell, M., and Grelsson, G. 1991. Importance of hydrochory in structuring plant communities along rivers. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 69(12): 2631-2633.
- O'donnell, J., Fryirs, K., and Leishman, M.R. 2015. Can the regeneration of vegetation from riparian seed banks support biogeomorphic succession and the geomorphic recovery of degraded river channels? *River Research & Applications* 31(7): 834-846.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., et al. 2017. *Vegan: Community Ecology Package*. <http://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan>. Assessed 30 October 2017.
- Osterkamp, W.R. and Hupp, C.R. 2010. Fluvial processes and vegetation - glimpses of the past, the present, and perhaps the future. *Geomorphology* 116(3): 274-285.
- Poiani, K.A. and Johnson, W.C. 1989. Effect of hydroperiod on seed-bank composition in semipermanent prairie wetlands. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 67(3): 856-864.
- R Core Team. 2017. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. <https://www.R-project.org>. Assessed 30 October 2017.
- Richardson, D.M., Holmes, P.M., Esler, K.J., Galatowitsch, S.M., Stromberg, J.C., Kirkman, S.P., et al. 2007. Riparian vegetation: degradation, alien plant invasions, and restoration prospects. *Diversity and Distribution* 13(1): 126-139.
- Richter, R. and Stromberg, J.C. 2005. Soil seed banks of two montane riparian areas: implications for restoration. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 14(4): 993-1016.
- Schoen, D.J. and Brown, A.H. 2001. The conservation of wild plant species in seed banks: Attention to both taxonomic coverage and population biology will improve the role of seed banks as conservation tools. *AIBS Bulletin* 51(11): 960-966.
- Shafroth, P.B., Stromberg, J.C., and Patten, D.T. 2002. Riparian vegetation response to altered disturbance and stress regimes. *Ecological Applications* 12(1): 107-123.
- Sheldrick, B.H. and Wang, C. 1993. Particle size distribution. In: Carter, M.R. (ed.), *Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA. pp. 499-511.
- Tabacchi, E., Correll, D.L., Hauer, R., Pinay, G., Planty-Tabacchi, A.M., and Wissmar, R.C. 1998. Development, maintenance and role of riparian vegetation in the river landscape. *Freshwater Biology* 40(3): 497-516.
- Tererai, F., Gaertner, M., Jacobs, S.M., and Richardson, D.M. 2015. Resilience of invaded riparian landscapes: the potential role of soil-stored seed banks. *Environmental Management* 55(1): 86-99.
- Vosse, S., Esler, K.J., Richardson, D.M., and Holmes, P.M. 2008. Can riparian seed banks initiate restoration after alien plant invasion? Evidence from the Western Cape, South Africa. *South African Journal of Botany* 74(3): 432-44.

Appendix 1. Comparison of species present in the seed banks (SB) and field vegetation survey (Veg) in six plant communities in the floodplain of the Cheongmicheon Stream, South Korea

Species	<i>Persicaria nodosa</i>		<i>Rorippa palustris</i>		<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>		<i>Phragmites japonica</i>		<i>Miscanthus sacchariflorus</i>		<i>Artemisia selengensis</i>	
	SB	Veg	SB	Veg	SB	Veg	SB	Veg	SB	Veg	SB	Veg
<i>Agropyron tsukushiense</i> var. <i>transiens</i>		+				+		+		+		+
<i>Alopecurus aequalis</i>	+	+	+		+		+		+		+	+
<i>Aneilema keisak</i>									+		+	+
<i>Artemisia princeps</i>		+					+	+			+	
<i>Artemisia selengensis</i>		+				+		+		+		+
<i>Beckmannia syzigachne</i>				+		+						+
<i>Bidens frondosa</i>		+								+		+
<i>Bromus japonicus</i>		+		+				+		+	+	+
<i>Calystegia sepium</i>						+		+		+		
<i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i>	+	+			+		+	+	+	+	+	
<i>Cardamine flexuosa</i>	+	+			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Centipeda minima</i>		+			+					+	+	
<i>Chelidonium majus</i> var. <i>asiaticum</i>		+										
<i>Chenopodium ficifolium</i>				+			+		+		+	
<i>Commelina communis</i>		+										
<i>Conyza canadensis</i>						+		+		+	+	+
<i>Cyperus iria</i>		+				+					+	
<i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i>		+										
<i>Equisetum arvense</i>		+						+				
<i>Erigeron annuus</i>		+		+				+				+
<i>Galium spurium</i> var. <i>echinospermon</i>		+										
<i>Hemistepia lyrata</i>		+										
<i>Humulus japonicus</i>		+		+				+		+		+
<i>Kummerowia stipulacea</i>		+										
<i>Ludwigia prostrata</i>				+								
<i>Mazus pumilus</i>		+				+		+		+	+	
<i>Miscanthus sacchariflorus</i>		+								+		+
<i>Oenanthe javanica</i>						+						
<i>Oenothera stricta</i>		+										
<i>Oxalis corniculata</i>												
<i>Panicum bisulcatum</i>	+							+		+	+	
<i>Persicaria nodosa</i>	+	+		+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Persicaria sagittata</i>						+						
<i>Persicaria thunbergii</i>		+		+		+	+	+		+		+
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>						+		+		+		+
<i>Phragmites japonica</i>		+				+		+		+		+
<i>Portulaca oleracea</i>				+				+			+	
<i>Rorippa palustris</i>				+	+		+	+	+		+	+
<i>Rumex crispus</i>		+		+		+	+			+		+
<i>Rumex obtusifolius</i>				+								
<i>Scirpus radicans</i>						+						+
<i>Stellaria alsine</i> var. <i>undulata</i>	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Stellaria aquatica</i>	+	+		+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Trifolium repens</i>		+		+								
<i>Trigonotis peduncularis</i>												+
<i>Veronica persica</i>						+		+		+		+
<i>Veronica undulata</i>						+				+		+
Total no. of species	7	29	2	15	13	13	16	17	14	17	19	21