

East Asian Math. J. Vol. 35 (2018), No. 5, pp. 571–575 http://dx.doi.org/10.7858/eamj.2018.036

# THE COHEN TYPE THEOREM FOR S-\*w-PRINCIPAL IDEAL DOMAINS

### JUNG WOOK LIM

ABSTRACT. Let D be an integral domain, \* a star-operation on D, and S a (not necessarily saturated) multiplicative subset of D. In this article, we prove the Cohen type theorem for S- $*_w$ -principal ideal domains, which states that D is an S- $*_w$ -principal ideal domain if and only if every nonzero prime ideal of D (disjoint from S) is S- $*_w$ -principal.

## 1. Introduction

For the sake of clarity, we first review some terminologies for star-operations. Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K and  $\mathbf{F}(D)$  the set of nonzero fractional ideals of D. A star-operation on D is a mapping  $I \mapsto I_*$  from  $\mathbf{F}(D)$  into itself which satisfies the following three conditions for all  $0 \neq a \in K$  and all  $I, J \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ :

- (1)  $(a)_* = (a)$  and  $(aI)_* = aI_*;$
- (2)  $I \subseteq I_*$ , and if  $I \subseteq J$ , then  $I_* \subseteq J_*$ ; and
- (3)  $(I_*)_* = I_*$ .

The most important examples of star-operations are the *d*-operation, *v*-operation, and *w*-operation. The *d*-operation is the identity mapping, *i.e.*,  $I \mapsto I_d := I$ . For an  $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ , set  $I^{-1} = \{a \in K \mid aI \subseteq D\}$ . The *v*-operation is the mapping defined by  $I \mapsto I_v := (I^{-1})^{-1}$ . The *w*-operation is the mapping defined by  $I \mapsto I_w := \{a \in K \mid Ja \subseteq I \text{ for some finitely generated ideal } J$ of D with  $J_v = D\}$ . Let \* be a star-operation on D. Then \* induces a new star-operation  $*_w$  on D. The  $*_w$ -operation is the mapping defined by  $I \mapsto I_{*_w} := \{a \in K \mid Ja \subseteq I \text{ for some } J \in \mathrm{GV}^*(D)\}$ , where  $\mathrm{GV}^*(D)$  is the set of nonzero finitely generated ideals J of D with  $J_* = D$ . (We call an element J of  $\mathrm{GV}^*(D)$  a \*-Glaz-Vasconcelos ideal (\*-GV-ideal) of D.) When \* = d

Received January 29, 2018; Accepted July 4, 2018.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A15, 13E99, 13F99, 13G05.

Key words and phrases. S-\* $_w$ -principal ideal domain, Cohen type theorem.

First of all, we would like to thank the referees for valuable suggestions. The author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2017R1C1B1008085).

<sup>©2018</sup> The Youngnam Mathematical Society (pISSN 1226-6973, eISSN 2287-2833)

(resp., \* = v), the  $*_w$ -operation is precisely the same as the *d*-operation (resp., *w*-operation).

Let D be an integral domain, \* a star-operation on D, and S a (not necessarily saturated) multiplicative subset of D. In [1, Definition 1], Anderson and Dumitrescu introduced the notion of S-principal ideal domains. They defined an ideal I of D to be S-principal if there exist an element  $s \in S$  and a principal ideal (c) of D such that  $sI \subseteq (c) \subseteq I$ ; and the domain D to be an S-principal *ideal domain* (S-PID) if each ideal of D is S-principal. In [4, Section 1], the authors studied the w-operation analogue of S-PIDs. They defined a nonzero ideal I of D to be S-w-principal if there exist an element  $s \in S$  and a principal ideal (c) of D such that  $sI \subseteq (c) \subseteq I_w$ ; and the domain D to be an S-unique factorization domain (S-UFD) (or S-factorial domain) if each nonzero ideal of D is S-w-principal. Recently, in [5, Definition 1], the authors generalized these notions by using star-operations and introduced the concept of  $S_{w}$ -principal ideal domains. They defined a nonzero ideal I of D to be  $S - *_w$ -principal if there exist an element  $s \in S$  and a principal ideal (c) of D such that  $sI \subseteq (c) \subseteq I_{*_w}$ ; and the domain D to be an S-\*w-principal ideal domain (S-\*w-PID) if each nonzero ideal of D is  $S_{*w}$ -principal. If \* = d (resp., \* = v), then the notion of  $S \ast_w$ -PIDs is precisely the same as that of S-PIDs (resp., S-factorial domains).

The purpose of this article is to give the Cohen type theorem for S-\*<sub>w</sub>-PIDs. As corollaries, we recover the characterizations of PIDs and UFDs. More precisely, we show that D is an S-\*<sub>w</sub>-PID if and only if every nonzero prime ideal of D (disjoint from S) is S-\*<sub>w</sub>-principal (Theorem 3). We also regain that D is a PID if and only if every prime ideal of D is principal; and D is a UFD if and only if for any nonzero prime ideal P of D,  $P_w$  is principal (Corollaries 6 and 7).

#### 2. Main results

In this section, we give the Cohen type theorem for S-\*<sub>w</sub>-PIDs. To do this, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let D be an integral domain and \* a star-operation on D.

- (1) If I is a nonzero ideal of D and c is an element of D, then  $(I_{*w} : c) = (I : c)_{*w}$ .
- (2) If  $\{I_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is a chain of nonzero ideals of D, then  $\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}\right)_{*_{w}} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (I_{\alpha})_{*_{w}}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $a \in (I_{*w} : c)$ . Then  $ac \in I_{*w}$ ; so there exists an element  $J_1 \in \mathrm{GV}^*(D)$  such that  $acJ_1 \subseteq I$ . Hence  $aJ_1 \subseteq (I : c)$ , and thus  $a \in (I : c)_{*w}$ . For the reverse containment, let  $b \in (I : c)_{*w}$ . Then we can find a \*-GV-ideal  $J_2$  of D such that  $bJ_2 \subseteq (I : c)$ ; so  $bcJ_2 \subseteq I$ . Hence  $bc \in I_{*w}$ , and thus  $b \in (I_{*w} : c)$ .

(2) Let  $a \in \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}\right)_{*w}$ . Then there exists an element  $J \in \mathrm{GV}^*(D)$ such that  $Ja \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}$ . Since J is finitely generated,  $Ja \subseteq I_{\beta}$  for some  $\beta \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $a \in (I_{\beta})_{*w}$ . Thus  $\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}\right)_{*w} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (I_{\alpha})_{*w}$ . For the reverse containment, note that  $(I_{\gamma})_{*_w} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}\right)_{*_w}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (I_{\alpha})_{*_w} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}\right)_{*_w}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let D be an integral domain, \* a star-operation on D, and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then an ideal of D maximal among non-S- $*_w$ -principal ideals is a prime ideal of D which is disjoint from S.

*Proof.* Let P be an ideal of D maximal among non-S-\*<sub>w</sub>-principal ideals of D, and suppose to the contrary that P is not a prime ideal of D. Then we can find  $a, b \in D \setminus P$  such that  $ab \in P$ . By the maximality of P, P + (a) is an S-\*<sub>w</sub>-principal ideal of D; so we can choose an element  $s \in S$  and a principal ideal (c) of D such that

$$s(P+(a)) \subseteq (c) \subseteq (P+(a))_{*w}.$$

Note that  $(P_{*w} : c)$  is an ideal of D containing P and b; so  $(P_{*w} : c)$  is an  $S_{*w}$ -principal ideal of D by the maximality of P. Therefore there exist an element  $t \in S$  and a principal ideal (d) of D such that

$$t(P:c) \subseteq t(P_{*_w}:c) \subseteq (d) \subseteq (P_{*_w}:c)_{*_w} = (P_{*_w}:c),$$

where the equality follows from Lemma 1(1). Let  $x \in P$ . Then sx = cy for some  $y \in (P : c)$ ; so  $sP \subseteq (P : c)c \subseteq P$ . Hence we obtain

$$stP \subseteq t(P:c)c \subseteq (cd) \subseteq (P_{*_w}:c)c \subseteq P_{*_w},$$

which shows that P is S-\* $_w$ -principal. However, this is a contradiction to the fact that P is not S-\* $_w$ -principal. Thus P is a prime ideal of D.

If P intersects S, then we can find an element  $s \in P \cap S$ ; so  $sP \subseteq (s) \subseteq P_{*_w}$ . Hence P is S-\*<sub>w</sub>-principal. This is absurd, because P is not S-\*<sub>w</sub>-principal. Thus  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ .

We are now ready to prove the main result in this article.

**Theorem 3.** Let D be an integral domain, \* a star-operation on D, and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) D is an S-\* $_w$ -PID.
- (2) Every nonzero prime ideal of D (disjoint from S) is  $S \xrightarrow{*}_w$ -principal.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) This implication follows directly from the definition of S-\*<sub>w</sub>-PIDs.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose that every nonzero prime ideal of D (disjoint from S) is S-\*<sub>w</sub>-principal, and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of nonzero non-S-\*<sub>w</sub>-principal ideals of D. If D is not an S-\*<sub>w</sub>-PID, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonempty set. Also, note that  $\mathcal{A}$  is partially ordered under inclusion. Let  $\{I_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be a chain in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and set  $I = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}$ . Then I is a nonzero ideal of D. If I is S-\*<sub>w</sub>-principal, then there exist an element  $s \in S$  and a principal ideal (c) of D such that  $sI \subseteq (c) \subseteq I_{*_w}$ ; so by Lemma 1(2),  $(c) \subseteq (I_{\beta})_{*_w}$  for some  $\beta \in \Lambda$ . Therefore  $sI_{\beta} \subseteq (c) \subseteq (I_{\beta})_{*_w}$ . However, this is impossible, because  $I_{\beta}$  is not S-\*<sub>w</sub>-principal. Hence I is not

#### JUNG WOOK LIM

S-\* $_w$ -principal. Note that I is an upper bound of the chain  $\{I_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ ; so Zorn's lemma guarantees the existence of a maximal element. Let P be a maximal element in  $\mathcal{A}$ . By Lemma 2, P is a (nonzero) prime ideal of D (disjoint from S), which is absurd. Thus D is an S-\* $_w$ -PID.

**Corollary 4.** ([1, Proposition 16]) Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then D is an S-PID if and only if every (nonzero) prime ideal of D is S-principal.

*Proof.* This equivalence is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 by taking \* = d.

**Corollary 5.** (cf. [4, Theorem 3.2]) Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then D is an S-factorial domain if and only if every nonzero prime ideal of D is S-w-principal.

*Proof.* This equivalence follows directly from Theorem 3 by applying \* = v.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 6.** ([3, Section 1.1, Exercise 10]) Let D be an integral domain. Then D is a PID if and only if every (nonzero) prime ideal of D is principal.

*Proof.* Let S be the set of units in D. By applying \* = d, the equivalence is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

Let D be an integral domain. It was shown that D is a UFD if and only if every w-ideal of D is principal (cf. [2, pages 284-285]).

**Corollary 7.** Let D be an integral domain. Then D is a UFD if and only if for any nonzero prime ideal P of D,  $P_w$  is principal.

*Proof.* Let S be the set of units in D. By applying \* = v to Theorem 3, we obtain the desired equivalence.

Let D be an integral domain. It is known that D is a PID if and only if every countably generated ideal of D is principal. We end this article with the following question.

**Question 8.** Let D be an integral domain, \* a star-operation on D, and S a multiplicative subset of D. Is it true that D is an S- $*_w$ -PID if and only if every nonzero countably generated ideal of D is S- $*_w$ -principal?

## References

- D.D. Anderson and T. Dumitrescu, S-Noetherian rings, Comm. Algebra 30 (2002), 4407-4416.
- [2] B.G. Kang, On the converse of a well-known fact about Krull domains, J. Algebra 124 (1989), 284-299.
- [3] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey, 1994.
- [4] H. Kim, M.O. Kim, and J.W. Lim, On S-strong Mori domains, J. Algebra 416 (2014), 314-332.

574

[5] H. Kim and J.W. Lim, On S-\*w-principal ideal domains, Algebra Colloq. 25 (2018), 217-224.

Jung Wook Lim

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF NATURAL SCIENCES, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DAEGU 41566, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*E-mail address*: jwlim@knu.ac.kr