# ESTIMATE FOR INITIAL MACLAURIN COEFFICIENTS OF GENERAL SUBCLASSES OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS OF COMPLEX ORDER INVOLVING SUBORDINATION

Şahsene Altınkaya\*, Sibel Yalçın

Abstract. The object of this paper to construct a new class

$$A_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^m(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,t,\Psi)$$

of bi-univalent functions of complex order defined in the open unit disc. The second and the third coefficients of the Taylor-Maclaurin series for functions in the new subclass are determined. Several special consequences of the results are also indicated.

# 1. Introduction

Let A be the class of functions f of the form

(1) 
$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc  $\Delta=\{z:z\in\mathbb{C}\text{ and }|z|<1\}$  and normalized under the conditions

$$f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1.$$

Further, by S we shall denote the class of all functions in A which are univalent in  $\Delta$ . With a view to recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions, let the functions f and g be analytic in  $\Delta$ . Given functions  $f, g \in A$ , f is subordinate to g if there exists a Schwarz function  $w \in \Lambda$ , where

$$\Lambda = \{w : w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1, z \in \Delta\},\$$

Received October 27, 2017. Revised July 27, 2018. Accepted July 31, 2018. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. bi-starlike functions, Coefficient bounds, subordination, differential operator.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z))$$
  $(z \in \Delta)$ .

We denote this subordination by

$$f \prec g \text{ or } f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

In particular, if the function g is univalent in  $\Delta$ , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0), \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

According to the Koebe-One Quarter Theorem [11], it ensures that the image of  $\Delta$  under every univalent function  $f \in A$  contains a disc of radius 1/4. Thus every univalent function  $f \in A$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$  satisfying  $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$  and  $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w(|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4})$ , where (2)  $g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \cdots$ .

A function  $f \in A$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $\Delta$  if both f and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\Delta$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of bi-univalent functions in  $\Delta$  given by (1). For a brief history and interesting examples in the class  $\Sigma$ , see [24] (see also [6], [7], [15], [18]). Furthermore, many recent papers have been devoted to the problem of finding non-sharp estimates on the first two coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  in the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1) (see, for example, [5], [13], [16] and [23]). There are, however, few papers that discuss the general coefficient bounds  $|a_n|$  for the analytic bi-univalent functions ([4], [12], [21]). The problem to find the coefficient bounds on

$$|a_n|$$
  $(n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}; \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\})$ 

for functions  $f \in \Sigma$  is still an open problem.

The study of operators plays an important role in the Geometric Function Theory and its related fields. It is observed that this formalism brings an ease in further mathematical exploration and also helps to understand the geometric properties of such operators better (see, for example [2], [3], [8], [14] and [17]). Recently, Amourah and Darus [10] introduced the following differential operator as given below:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{0}(\alpha,\beta)f(z) &= f(z), \\ \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{1}(\alpha,\beta)f(z) &= \left[1 - \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta}{\lambda + \mu}\right]f(z) + \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta}{\lambda + \mu}zf'(z) + \frac{\delta}{\lambda + \mu}z^{2}f''(z), \\ &\vdots \\ \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta)f(z) &= \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m-1}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right), \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-1)\left[ (\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\delta \right]}{\lambda + \mu} \right]^{m} a_{n}z^{n},$$

where

$$(f \in A, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \alpha, \delta \ge 0, \beta, \lambda, \mu > 0, \alpha \ne \lambda; z, w \in \Delta).$$

It should be remarked that the operator  $A^m_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)$  is a generalization of many other linear operators studied by earlier researchers. Namely:

- for  $\delta = 0, \alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ , the operator  $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,0}^{m}(0,1) \equiv \boldsymbol{I}_{\mu,\lambda}^{m}$  has been studied Swamy (see [25]),
- for  $\delta = 0, \mu = 1 \lambda$ , the operator  $A_{1-\lambda,\lambda,0}^m(\alpha,\beta) \equiv D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha,\beta)$  has been studied by Darus and İbrahim (see [9]),
- for  $\delta = 0, \mu = 1 \lambda, \alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ , the operator  $A_{1-\lambda,\lambda,0}^m(0,1) \equiv \mathbf{D}_{\lambda}^m$  has been studied by Al-Oboudi (see [1]),
- for  $\mu = 0, \lambda = 1, \delta = 0$  and  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$  the operator  $A_{0,1,0}^m(0,1) \equiv \mathbf{D}^m$  is the popular Salagean operator [22].

Firstly, we will state the Lemma 1.1 to obtain our result.

**Lemma 1.1.** (Carathèodory Lemma) (see [20]) If  $c \in P$ , then  $|c_i| \le 2$  for each i, where P is the family all functions c, analytic in  $\Delta$ , for which

$$\Re \{c(z)\} > 0$$

where

$$c(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

Through out this paper it is assumed that  $\Psi$  be an analytic function with positive real part in  $\Delta$ , with  $\Psi(0) = 1$  and  $\Psi'(0) > 0$ . Also, let  $\Psi(\Delta)$  be starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Such a function has a series expansion of the following form:

(3) 
$$\Psi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots \quad (B_1 > 0, z \in \Delta).$$

Making use of the differential operator  $A_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^m(\alpha,\beta)$ , we introduce a new class of analytic bi-univalent functions as follows:

**Definition 1.2.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) belongs to the class

$$A_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^m(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,t,\Psi)$$

$$(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \ 0 \le t < 1; \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ \alpha, \delta \ge 0, \ \beta, \lambda, \mu > 0, \ \alpha \ne \lambda; \ z, w \in \Delta)$$

if the following subordinations are satisfied:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{z \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right)'}{(1-t) \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) + tz \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right)'} - 1 \right] \prec \Psi(z)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{w \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta)g(w) \right)'}{(1-t)\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta)g(w) + tw \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta)g(w) \right)'} - 1 \right] \prec \Psi(w),$$

where the function g is given by (2).

**Example 1.3.** For t = 0 and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , a function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $\mathbf{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^m(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\Psi)$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{z \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right)'}{\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta) f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \Psi(z)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{w \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right)'}{\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta) f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \Psi(w),$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\alpha, \delta \geq 0$ ,  $\beta, \lambda, \mu > 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq \lambda$ ;  $z, w \in \Delta$  and the function g is given by (2).

**Example 1.4.** (see [19]) For t = m = 0 and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , a function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $S_{\Sigma}^*(\gamma, \Psi)$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \Psi(z)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} - 1 \right] \prec \Psi(w),$$

where  $z, w \in \Delta$  and the function g is given by (2).

# 2. Main result

We begin this section by finding the estimates on the coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for functions in the class  $A^m_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,t,\Psi)$  proposed by Definition 1.2.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let f of the form (1) be in the class  $\mathbf{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^m(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,t,\Psi)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|\gamma| B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|[2(1-t)Y - (1-t^2)X^2] \gamma B_1^2 - (1-t)^2 X^2 (B_2 - B_1)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{|\gamma|^2 B_1^2}{(1-t)^2 X^2} + \frac{|\gamma| B_1}{2(1-t)|Y|},$$

where

(4) 
$$X = \left[1 + \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + 2\delta}{\lambda + \mu}\right]^m$$

and

(5) 
$$Y = \left[1 + \frac{2(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + 4\delta}{\lambda + \mu}\right]^{m}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in A^m_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,t,\Psi)$ , from Definition 1.2 we get

(6) 
$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{z \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right)'}{(1-t) \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) + tz \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right)'} - 1 \right] = \Psi(u(z))$$

and

$$(7) \ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{w \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta)g(w) \right)'}{(1-t)\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta)g(w) + tw \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^{m}(\alpha,\beta)g(w) \right)'} - 1 \right] = \Psi(v(w)),$$

where u, v are analytic functions satisfying  $u, v : \Delta \to \Delta$  with u(0) = v(0) = 0, |u(z)| < 1, |v(w)| < 1.

Now let us determine the functions  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  in P given by

$$c_1(z) = \frac{1 + u(z)}{1 - u(z)} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

and

$$c_2(w) = \frac{1 + v(w)}{1 - v(w)} = 1 + d_1 w + d_2 w^2 + \cdots$$

Thus,

(8) 
$$u(z) = \frac{c_1(z) - 1}{c_1(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ c_1 z + \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \cdots \right]$$

and

(9) 
$$v(w) = \frac{c_2(w) - 1}{c_2(w) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ d_1 w + \left( d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2} \right) w^2 + \cdots \right].$$

The fact that  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are analytic in  $\Delta$  with  $c_1(0) = c_2(0) = 1$ . Since  $u, v : \Delta \to \Delta$ , the functions  $c_1, c_2$  have a positive real part in  $\Delta$ , and the relations  $|c_i| \leq 2$  and  $|d_i| \leq 2$  are true. Using (8) and (9) together with (3) in the right hands of the relations (6) and (7), we obtain

$$\Psi(u(z)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1c_1z + \left\{\frac{1}{2}B_1\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2c_1^2\right\}z^2 + \cdots$$

and

(10) 
$$\Psi(v(w)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1d_1w + \left\{\frac{1}{2}B_1\left(d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2d_1^2\right\}w^2 + \cdots$$

In the light of (6) and (7), we get

(11) 
$$\frac{(1-t)X}{\gamma}a_2 = \frac{B_1c_1}{2},$$

(12) 
$$\frac{2(1-t)Ya_3 - (1-t^2)X^2a_2^2}{\gamma} = \frac{B_1}{2}\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{B_2c_1^2}{4}$$

and

(13) 
$$-\frac{(1-t)X}{\gamma}a_2 = \frac{B_1d_1}{2},$$

$$(14) \quad \frac{2(1-t)Y(2a_2^2-a_3)-(1-t^2)X^2a_2^2}{\gamma} = \frac{B_1}{2}\left(d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{B_2d_1^2}{4}.$$

Now, (11) and (13) give

$$(15) c_1 = -d_1$$

and

(16) 
$$8(1-t)^2 X^2 a_2^2 = \gamma^2 B_1^2 \left(c_1^2 + d_1^2\right).$$

Adding (12) and (14), we get

(17) 
$$\frac{4(1-t)Y - 2(1-t^2)X}{\gamma}a_2^2 = \frac{B_1(c_2 + d_2)}{2} + \frac{(B_2 - B_1)(c_1^2 + d_1^2)}{4}.$$

and thus, by using (15), (16) and Lemma 1.1 in (17), we obtain

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|\gamma| B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{\left| [2(1-t)Y - (1-t^2)X^2] \gamma B_1^2 - (1-t)^2 X^2 (B_2 - B_1) \right|}}$$

The first inequality of the conclusion is proved.

Next, to find the bound on  $|a_3|$ , by using subtracting (14) and (12), we deduce

(18) 
$$\frac{4(1-t)Y}{\gamma} \left( a_3 - a_2^2 \right) = \frac{B_1 \left( c_2 - d_2 \right)}{2}.$$

It follows from (15), (16) and (18) that

$$a_3 = \frac{\gamma^2 B_1^2 \left( c_1^2 + d_1^2 \right)}{8(1-t)^2 X^2} + \frac{\gamma B_1 \left( c_2 - d_2 \right)}{8(1-t)Y}.$$

Finally, taking modulus on both sides and applying Lemma 1.1, we readily get

$$|a_3| \le \frac{|\gamma|^2 B_1^2}{(1-t)^2 X^2} + \frac{|\gamma| B_1}{2(1-t)|Y|}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** Let f of the form (1) be in the class  $A_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}^m(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\Psi)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|\gamma| B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|[2Y - X^2] \gamma B_1^2 - X^2 (B_2 - B_1)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le |\gamma| B_1 \left( \frac{|\gamma| B_1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{2|Y|} \right),$$

where X, Y are given by (4) and (5), respectively.

**Remark 2.3.** Let f of the form (1) be in the class  $S_{\Sigma}^*(\gamma, \Psi)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|\gamma| B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|\gamma B_1^2 - (B_2 - B_1)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le |\gamma|^2 B_1^2 + \frac{|\gamma| B_1}{2}.$$

## 3. Applications of the main result

Various choices of  $\Psi$  as mentioned above and suitably choosing the values of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , we state some interesting results analogous to Theorem 2.1 and the Corollaries 3.1 to 3.3.

For example, the function  $\Psi$  is given by

$$\Psi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\theta} = 1 + 2\theta z + 2\theta^2 z^2 + \cdots \quad (0 < \theta \le 1),$$

which gives

$$B_1 = 2\theta \text{ and } B_2 = 2\theta^2.$$

Corollary 3.1. Let  $f \in A^m_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,t,\theta)$  be of the form (1). Then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{2|\gamma|\theta}{\sqrt{|[4(1-t)Y - 2(1-t^2)X^2]\gamma\theta + (1-t)^2X^2(1-\theta)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2\left|\gamma\right|\theta}{(1-t)}\left(\frac{2\left|\gamma\right|\theta}{(1-t)X^2} + \frac{1}{2\left|Y\right|}\right),$$

where X, Y are given by (4) and (5), respectively.

By taking

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\eta) z}{1 - z} = 1 + 2(1 - \eta) z + 2(1 - \eta) z^2 + \cdots \quad (0 \le \eta < 1),$$

we obtain immediately that

$$B_1 = B_2 = 2(1 - \eta)$$
.

Corollary 3.2. Let  $f \in A^m_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,t,\eta)$  be of the form (1). Then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|\gamma| \sqrt{2(1-\eta)}}{\sqrt{|[2(1-t)Y - (1-t^2)X^2]\gamma|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2|\gamma|(1-\eta)}{(1-t)} \left(\frac{2|\gamma|(1-\eta)}{(1-t)X^2} + \frac{1}{2|Y|}\right),$$

where X, Y are given by (4) and (5), respectively.

On the other hand, for  $-1 \le B < A \le 1$ , if we let

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} = 1 + (A - B)z - B(A - B)z^{2} + \cdots,$$

then we have

$$B_1 = (A - B)$$
 and  $B_2 = -B(A - B)$ .

Corollary 3.3. Let  $f \in A^m_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,t,A,B)$  be of the form (1). Then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|\gamma| (A - B)}{\sqrt{|[2(1 - t)Y - (1 - t^2)X^2] \gamma(A - B) + (1 - t)^2 X^2 (1 + B)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{|\gamma| (A - B)}{(1 - t)} \left( \frac{|\gamma| (A - B)}{(1 - t)X^2} + \frac{1}{2|Y|} \right),$$

where X, Y are given by (4) and (5), respectively.

By suitably specializing the parameters, the class  $A^m_{\mu,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,t,\Psi)$  reduces to the various subclasses of bi-univalent functions. The details involved may be left as an exercise for the interested reader.

### References

- F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27 (2004), 1429–1436.
- [2] A. Akgül, S. Bulut, On a certain subclass of meromorphic functions defined by Hilbert Space operator, Acta Universitatis Apulensis 45 (2016), 1-9.
- [3] A. Akgül, A new subclass of meromorphic functions defined by Hilbert Space operator, Honam Mathematical J. 38 (2016), 495–506.
- [4] Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, Faber polynomial coefficient bounds for a subclass of bi-univalent functions, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **353** (2015), 1075-1080.
- [5] Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, Coefficient problem for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by convolution, Math. Morav. 20 (2016), 15–21.
- [6] D. A. Brannan, J. G. Clunie, Aspects of contemporary complex analysis, New York: Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute Held at University of Durham, 1979.
- [7] D. A. Brannan, T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai Mathematica 31 (1986), 70-77.
- [8] R. Bucur, L. Andrei, D. Breaz, Coefficient bounds and Fekete-Szegö problem for a class of analytic functions defined by using a new differential operator, Applied Mathematical Sciences 9 (2015), 1355-1368.
- [9] M. Darus, R. W. İbrahim, New classes containing generalization of differential operator, Appl. Math. Sci. 3 (2009), 2507-2515.
- [10] A. Amourah, Maslina Darus, Some properties of a new class of univalent functions involving a new generalized differential operator with negative coefficients, Indian Journal of Science and Technology 9 (2016), 1-7.
- [11] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. 259, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York and Tokyo, 1983.
- [12] S. G. Hamidi, J. M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficients of bi-subordinate functions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 354 (2016), 365-370.
- [13] T. Hayami, S. Owa, Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions, Pan Amer. Math. 22 (2012), 15-26.
- [14] S. Kanas, H. M. Srivastava, Linear operators associated with k-uniformly convex functions, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 9 (2000), 121-132.
- [15] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 63-68.
- [16] N. Magesh, T. Rosy, S. Varma, Coefficient estimate problem for a new subclass of bi-univalent functions, J. Complex Anal. Art. ID 474231, (2013), 1-3.
- [17] T. Panigarhi, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Coefficient bounds for Bi-univalent functions analytic functions associated with Hohlov operator, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. 16 (2013), 91-100.

- [18] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in |z| < 1, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **32** (1969), 100-112.
- [19] Z. Peng, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, T. Janani, Coefficient estimate of biunivalent functions of complex order associated with the Hohlov operator, Journal of Complex Analysis 2014 (2014), Article ID 693908, 1-6.
- [20] Ch. Pommerenke, Univalent functions, Vandenhoeck and Rupercht, Gttingen, 1975.
- [21] F. Müge Sakar, H. Özlem Güney, Faber polynomial coefficient bounds for analytic bi-close-to-convex functions defined by fractional calculus, Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications 9 (2018), 64-71.
- [22] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of Univalent Functions, Complex Analysis—fifth Romanian-Finnish seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981), 362–372, Lecture Notes in Math., 1013, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [23] H. M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, N. Magesh, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Hohlov operator, Appl. Math. Lett. 1 (2013), 67-73.
- [24] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), 1188-1192.
- [25] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, International Mathematical Forum 7 (2012), 1751–1760.

# Şahsene Altınkaya

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Bursa Uludag University,

16059-Gorukle, Bursa, Turkey.

E-mail: sahsenealtinkaya@gmail.com

# Sibel Yalçın

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, Bursa Uludag University,

16059-Gorukle, Bursa, Turkey.

E-mail: syalcin@uludag.edu.tr