

UNIQUENESS OF CERTAIN TYPES OF DIFFERENCE POLYNOMIALS[†]

CHAO MENG* AND LIANG ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the uniqueness problems of certain types of difference polynomials sharing a small function. The results of the paper improve and generalize the recent results due to H.P. Waghmare [Tbilisi Math. J. 11(2018), 1-13], P. Sahoo and B. Saha [App. Math. E-Notes. 16(2016), 33-44].

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 30D35, 39A10, 11S80.

Key words and phrases : Difference polynomial, entire function, small function, uniqueness.

1. Introduction and main results

By a meromorphic function we shall always mean a meromorphic function in the complex plane. Let k be a positive integer or infinity and $a \in C \cup \{\infty\}$. Set $E(a, f) = \{z : f(z) - a = 0\}$, where a zero point with multiplicity k is counted k times in the set. If these zeros points are only counted once, then we denote the set by $\overline{E}(a, f)$. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If $E(a, f) = E(a, g)$, then we say that f and g share the value a CM; if $\overline{E}(a, f) = \overline{E}(a, g)$, then we say that f and g share the value a IM. We denote by $E_{(k)}(a, f)$ the set of all a -points of f with multiplicities not exceeding k , where an a -point is counted according to its multiplicity. Also we denote by $\overline{E}_{(k)}(a, f)$ the set of distinct a -points of f with multiplicities not greater than k . We denote by $N_{(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ the counting function for zeros of $f - a$ with multiplicity less than or equal to k , and by $\overline{N}_{(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Let $N_{\geq(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ be the counting function for zeros of $f - a$ with multiplicity at least k and $\overline{N}_{\geq(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. It is assumed that

Received March 24, 2018. Revised June 22, 2018. Accepted July 1, 2018. *Corresponding author.

[†]This work was supported by the Research Project of Education Department of Liaoning Province (L201612) and the Startup Foundation for Doctors of Shenyang Aerospace University (No. 16YB14).

© 2018 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

the reader is familiar with the notations of Nevanlinna theory such as $T(r, f)$, $m(r, f)$, $N(r, f)$, $\bar{N}(r, f)$, $S(r, f)$ and so on, that can be found, for instance, in [7][26].

Around 2001, I Lahiri introduced the notion of weighted sharing, which measures how close a shared value is to being shared CM or to being shared IM. The definition is as follows.

Definition 1.1. [10] For a complex number $a \in C \cup \{\infty\}$, we denote by $E_k(a, f)$ the set of all a -points of f where an a -point with multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and $k + 1$ times if $m > k$. For a complex number $a \in C \cup \{\infty\}$, such that $E_k(a, f) = E_k(a, g)$, then we say that f and g share the value a with weight k .

The definition implies that if f, g share a value a with weight k , then z_0 is a zero of $f - a$ with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g - a$ with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ and z_0 is a zero of $f - a$ with multiplicity $m(> k)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g - a$ with multiplicity $n(> k)$, where m is not necessarily equal to n . We write f, g share (a, k) to mean that f, g share the value a with weight k . Clearly if f, g share (a, k) then f, g share (a, p) for all integer p , $0 \leq p < k$. Also we note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if f, g share $(a, 0)$ or (a, ∞) respectively.

A lot of research works on entire and meromorphic functions whose differential polynomials share certain value or fixed points have been done by many mathematicians (see [1][2][3][4][6][11][12][13][14][15][16][17][18][19][21][22][25]). Recently, uniqueness problem in difference analogue has become a subject of great interest among the complex analysis researchers. In 2006, R.G. Halburd and R.J. Korhonen [8] established a version of Nevanlinna theory based on difference operators. They also gave the difference logarithmic derivative lemma [9]. With this development many researchers paid their attention to the uniqueness of different types of difference polynomials. In 2010, Zhang proved the following result.

Theorem 1.2. [27] *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both f and g . Suppose that c is a non-zero complex constant and $n \geq 7$ is an integer. If $f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)f(z + c)$ and $g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)g(z + c)$ share $\alpha(z)$ CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

In 2014, Meng improved the above result with the notion of weakly weighted sharing and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. [20] *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both f and g . Suppose that c is a non-zero complex constant and $n \geq 7$ is an integer. If $f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)f(z + c)$ and $g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)g(z + c)$ share $(\alpha(z), 2)$, then $f \equiv g$.*

In 2016, P. Sahoo and B. Saha studied the uniqueness of certain type of difference polynomial sharing a small function with finite weight and obtained the following results.

Theorem 1.4. [23] *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z) (\neq 0)$ be a small function with respect to both f and g . Suppose that c is a non-zero complex constant, $n (\geq 1)$, $m \geq 1$ and $k (\geq 0)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq 2k + m + 6$. If $[f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1)f(z + c)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1)g(z + c)]^{(k)}$ share $(\alpha(z), 2)$, then $f = tg$, where $t^m = 1$.*

Theorem 1.5. [23] *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z) (\neq 0)$ be a small function with respect to both f and g . Suppose that c is a non-zero complex constant, $n (\geq 1)$, $m (\geq 1)$ and $k (\geq 0)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq 2k + m + 6$ when $m \leq k + 1$ and $n \geq 4k - m + 10$ when $m > k + 1$. If $(f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m f(z + c))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m g(z + c))^{(k)}$ share $(\alpha(z), 2)$, then either $f = g$ or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$ where $R(f, g)$ is given by $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n (\omega_1 - 1)^m \omega_1 (z + c) - \omega_2^n (\omega_2 - 1)^m \omega_2 (z + c)$.*

Very recently, H.P. Waghmare studied the uniqueness of difference polynomial of the form $f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}$ and $f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}$ where $c_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are complex constants, $v_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are non-negative integers and $\sigma = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_d$ and obtained the following results.

Theorem 1.6. [24] *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z) (\neq 0)$ be a small function with respect to both f and g . Suppose that $c_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are non-zero complex constants, $v_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are non-negative integers, $n, m \geq 1$ and $k (\geq 0)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$. If $[f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)}$ share $(\alpha(z), 2)$, then $f = tg$, where $t^m = 1$.*

Theorem 1.7. [24] *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z) (\neq 0)$ be a small function with respect to both f and g . Suppose that $c_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are non-zero complex constants, $v_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are non-negative integers, $n, m \geq 1$ and $k (\geq 0)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$ when $m \leq k + 1$ and $n \geq 4k - m + \sigma + 9$ when $m > k + 1$. If $[f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)}$ share $(\alpha(z), 2)$, then either $f = tg$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$ where $R(f, g)$ is given by $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n (\omega_1 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d \omega_1 (z + c_j)^{v_j} - \omega_2^n (\omega_2 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d \omega_2 (z + c_j)^{v_j}$.*

Regarding Theorem 1.4-1.7, a natural question to ask is what can be said if we study the uniqueness of difference polynomials without the notion of weighted sharing ?

In the paper, our main concern is to find the possible answer of the above question. We prove the following results.

Theorem 1.8. *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)(\neq 0)$ be a small function with respect to both f and g . Suppose that $c_j(j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are non-zero complex constants, $v_j(j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are non-negative integers, $n, m \geq 1$ and $k(\geq 0)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq \max\{2k + m + \sigma + 5, 2d + \sigma + 2\}$. If $E_3(\alpha(z), [f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)}) = E_3(\alpha(z), [g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)})$, then $f = hg$, where h is a constant and $h^m = 1$.*

Theorem 1.9. *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)(\neq 0)$ be a small function with respect to both f and g . Suppose that $c_j(j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are non-zero complex constants, $v_j(j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are non-negative integers, $n, m \geq 1$ and $k(\geq 0)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$ when $m \leq k + 1$ and $n \geq 4k - m + \sigma + 9$ when $m > k + 1$. If $E_3(\alpha(z), [f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)}) = E_3(\alpha(z), [g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)})$, then either $f = g$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$ where $R(f, g)$ is given by $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n(\omega_1 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d \omega_1(z + c_j)^{v_j} - \omega_2^n(\omega_2 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d \omega_2(z + c_j)^{v_j}$.*

2. Preliminary Lemmas

In this section, we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel. We will denote by H the following function:

$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F - 1} \right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G - 1} \right).$$

Lemma 2.1. [27] *Let f be a meromorphic function of finite order and c is a non-zero complex constant. Then*

$$m \left(r, \frac{f(z + c)}{f(z)} \right) = m \left(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z + c)} \right) = S(r, f).$$

Arguing in a similar manner as in [5], we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let f be an entire function of finite order. Then $T(r, f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}) = (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) + S(r, f)$.*

Lemma 2.3. [24] *Let f be an entire function of finite order. Then $T(r, f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}) = (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) + S(r, f)$.*

Lemma 2.4. [28] *Let f be a non-constant meromorphic functions and p, k be two positive integers. Then*

$$N_p \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) - T(r, f) + N_{p+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f),$$

$$N_p \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) \leq k\bar{N}(r, f) + N_{p+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.5. [11] *If F and G are two non-constant meromorphic functions and $E_3(1, F) = E_3(1, G)$, then one of the following cases holds:*

$$(1) \quad T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq 2N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + 2N_2(r, F) + 2N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2N_2(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G),$$

$$(2) \quad F \equiv G, \quad (3) \quad FG \equiv 1.$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let h be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order. Then we have*

$$T\left(r, h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j}\right) \geq (n + m - \sigma)T(r, h) + S(r, f),$$

where $\sigma = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_d$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m + \sigma)T(r, h) &= T(r, h^{n+m}(z)h^\sigma) + S(r, h) \\ &= m(r, h^{n+m}(z)h^\sigma) + N(r, h^{n+m}(z)h^\sigma) + S(r, h) \\ &= m\left(r, h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} \frac{h^\sigma}{\prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j}}\right) \\ &+ N\left(r, h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} \frac{h^\sigma}{\prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j}}\right) + S(r, h) \\ &\leq T\left(r, h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j}\right) + 2\sigma T(r, h) + S(r, h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the conclusion.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.8

Let

$$F_1 = f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}, \quad G_1 = g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j},$$

$$F = \frac{F_1^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}, \quad G = \frac{G_1^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}.$$

Then F and G are transcendental meromorphic functions and $E_3(1, F) = E_3(1, G)$ except the zeros and poles of $\alpha(z)$. By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 we have

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F_1^{(k)})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -T(r, F_1) + N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{F_1} \right) + S(r, f) \\
& \leq T(r, F) - (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) + N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{F_1} \right) + S(r, f). \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$(n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) \leq T(r, F) + N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{F_1} \right) - N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + S(r, f). \tag{2}$$

According to Lemma 2.4, we can deduce

$$N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) \leq N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}} \right) + S(r, f) \leq N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{F_1} \right) + S(r, f). \tag{3}$$

Similarly we have

$$(n + m + \sigma)T(r, g) \leq T(r, G) + N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{G_1} \right) - N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) + S(r, g). \tag{4}$$

And

$$N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) \leq N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{G_1} \right) + S(r, g). \tag{5}$$

Suppose, if possible the (1) of Lemma 2.5 holds, that is

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) + T(r, G) & \leq 2N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + 2N_2(r, F) + 2N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) \\
& \quad + 2N_2(r, G) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

By (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(n + m + \sigma)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) & \leq N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) \\
& \quad + N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{F_1} \right) + N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{G_1} \right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq 2N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{F_1} \right) + 2N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{G_1} \right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq (2k + 4 + 2m + 2\sigma)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$(n - 2k - m - \sigma - 4)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \tag{8}$$

which contradicts with the fact that $n \geq \max\{2k + m + \sigma + 5, 2d + \sigma + 2\}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.5 we have either $FG = 1$ or $F = G$.

If $FG = 1$, that is

$$[f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} \cdot [g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)}$$

$$= \alpha^2. \tag{9}$$

We can deduce from above that

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) = S(r, f), \tag{10}$$

which is impossible. So we have $F = G$, that is

$$[f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} = [g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)}. \tag{11}$$

Integrating above, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & [f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k-1)} \\ &= [g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k-1)} + c, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where c is a constant. If $c \neq 0$, by the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F_1^{(k-1)}) &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k-1)}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k-1)} - c}\right) + S(r, F) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k-1)}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1^{(k-1)}}\right) + S(r, F). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) &\leq T(r, F_1^{(k-1)}) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k-1)}}\right) \\ &\quad + N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1^{(k-1)}}\right) + N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \\ &\leq (k + m + \sigma)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Similarly,

$$(n + m + \sigma)T(r, g) \leq (k + m + \sigma)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{15}$$

Combining (14) and (15), we obtain

$$(n - 2k - m - \sigma)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \tag{16}$$

which contradicts with $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$. Hence $c = 0$. Integrating the (12) $k - 1$ times, we can deduce

$$f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j} = g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j}. \tag{17}$$

Set $h = \frac{f}{g}$. If h is not a constant, from (17) we have

$$g^m(z) = \frac{h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} - 1}{h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} - 1}. \tag{18}$$

If 1 is a Picard value of $h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j}$, then by the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna,

$$\begin{aligned} T \left(h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right) &\leq \bar{N} \left(r, h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j}} \right) + S(r, h) \\ &\leq (2d + 2)T(r, h) + S(r, h). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

From the above inequality and $n \geq \max\{2k + m + \sigma + 5, 2d + \sigma + 2\}$, by Lemma 2.6, we can get a contradiction. Therefore, 1 is not a Picard value of $h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j}$. If $h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} \neq 1$, from (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} - 1} \right) &\leq \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{h^m - 1} \right) \\ &\leq mT(r, h) + S(r, h). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

From the above inequality and by the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T \left(r, h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right) &\leq \bar{N} \left(r, h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j}} \right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} - 1} \right) + S(r, h) \\ &\leq (m + 2d + 2)T(r, h) + S(r, h), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

which is a contradiction with $n \geq \max\{2k + m + \sigma + 5, 2d + \sigma + 2\}$.

If $h^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h(z + c_j)^{v_j} \equiv 1$, we have

$$(n + m)T(r, h) \leq \sigma T(r, h) + S(r, h), \tag{22}$$

which is a contradiction with $n \geq \max\{2k + m + \sigma + 5, 2d + \sigma + 2\}$. Therefore, h is a constant. Substituting $f = gh$ into (17), we can get

$$\prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j} (g^{n+m}(z)(h^{n+m+\sigma} - 1) + g^n(z)(h^{n+\sigma} - 1)) = 0. \tag{23}$$

Since g is an entire function, we have $\prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j} \neq 0$. Thus

$$g^{n+m}(z)(h^{n+m+\sigma} - 1) + g^n(z)(h^{n+\sigma} - 1) = 0. \tag{24}$$

If $h^{n+\sigma} \neq 1$, by (24), we can deduce $T(r, g) = S(r, g)$, which contradicts with a transcendental function g . So $h^{n+\sigma} = 1$. We can also deduce that $h^{n+m+\sigma} = 1$. Then $h^m = 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.8.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.9

Let

$$F_1 = f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}, \quad G_1 = g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j},$$

$$F = \frac{F_1^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}, \quad G = \frac{G_1^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}.$$

Then F and G are transcendental meromorphic functions and $E_3(1, F) = E_3(1, G)$ except the zeros and poles of $\alpha(z)$. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 we can get

$$(n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) \leq T(r, F) + N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{F_1} \right) - N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + S(r, f), \tag{25}$$

$$N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) \leq N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{F_1} \right) + S(r, f), \tag{26}$$

$$(n + m + \sigma)T(r, g) \leq T(r, G) + N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{G_1} \right) - N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) + S(r, g), \tag{27}$$

$$N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) \leq N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{G_1} \right) + S(r, g). \tag{28}$$

Suppose, if possible the (1) of Lemma 2.5 holds, that is

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + 2N_2(r, F) + 2N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) \\ &\quad + 2N_2(r, G) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

By (25), (26), (27), (28) and (29), we have

$$(n + m + \sigma)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq 2N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{F_1} \right) + 2N_{2+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{G_1} \right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{30}$$

If $m > k + 1$, by (30) we obtain

$$(n + m - 4k - \sigma - 8)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \tag{31}$$

which contradicts with $n \geq 4k - m + \sigma + 9$ when $m > k + 1$. If $m \leq k + 1$, by (30) we obtain

$$(n - 2k - m - \sigma - 4)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \tag{32}$$

which contradicts with $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$ when $m \leq k + 1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.5 we have either $FG = 1$ or $F = G$.

If $FG = 1$, that is

$$[f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} \cdot [g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} = \alpha^2. \tag{33}$$

Proceeding in a like manner as in the proof of Theorem 1.8 we arrive at a contradiction.

If $F = G$, then applying the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 1.8 we obtain

$$f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d f(z + c_j)^{v_j} = g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j}. \tag{34}$$

Set $h = \frac{f}{g}$. If h is a constant, then substituting $f = gh$ in (34), we deduce that

$$g^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j} [g^m(z)(h^{n+m+\sigma} - 1) - C_m^1 g^{m-1}(z)(h^{n+m+\sigma-1} - 1) + \dots + (-1)^m (h^{n+\sigma} - 1)] = 0. \tag{35}$$

Since g is a transcendental entire function, we have $g^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d g(z + c_j)^{v_j} \neq 0$. So we obtain

$$g^m(z)(h^{n+m+\sigma} - 1) - C_m^1 g^{(m-1)}(z)(h^{n+m+\sigma} - 1) + \dots + (-1)^m (h^{n+\sigma} - 1) = 0, \tag{36}$$

which implies $h = 1$. Hence $f = g$.

If h is not a constant, then it follows from (34) that f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$, where $R(f, g)$ is given by

$$R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n (\omega_1 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d \omega_1(z + c_j)^{v_j} - \omega_2^n (\omega_2 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d \omega_2(z + c_j)^{v_j}. \quad (37)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.9.

REFERENCES

1. A. Banerjee, *On uniqueness for nonlinear differential polynomials sharing the same 1-point*, Ann. Polon. Math. **89**(2006), 259-272.
2. A. Banerjee, *A uniqueness result on some differential polynomials saring 1-points*, Hiroshima Math. J. **37**(2007), 397-408.
3. A. Banerjee, *Nonlinear differential polynomials sharing one value*, Demonstratio Math. **40**(2007), 65-75.
4. A. Banerjee and S. Mukherjee, *Nonlinear differential polynomials sharing a small function*, Arch. Math.(Brno) **44**(2008), 41-56.
5. M.R. Chen and Z.X. Chen, *Properties of difference polynomials of entire functions with finite order*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser A. **33**(2012), 359-374.
6. M.L. Fang and W. Hong, *A unicity theorem for entire functions concerning differential polynomials*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **32**(2001), 1343-1348.
7. W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1964.
8. R.G. Halburd and R.J. Korhonen, *Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **31**(2006), 463-478.
9. R.G. Halburd and R.J. Korhonen, *Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with application to difference euqations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **314**(2006), 477-487.
10. I. Lahiri, *Weighted sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Nagoya Math. J. **161**(2001), 193-206.
11. I. Lahiri, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions when two linear differential polynomials share the same 1-points*, Ann. Polon. Math. **71**(1999), 113-128.
12. I. Lahiri, *Linear differential polynomials share the same 1-points with weight two*, Ann. Polon. Math. **79**(2002), 157-170.
13. I. Lahiri and R. Pal, *Non-linear differential polynomials sharing 1-points*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **43**(2006), 161-168.
14. I. Lahiri and A. Sarkar, *Nonlinear differential polynomials sharing 1-points with weighte two*, Chinese J. Contemp. Math. **25**(2004), 325-334.
15. W.C. Lin and H.X. Yi, *Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **35**(2004), 121-132.
16. W.C. Lin and H.X. Yi, *Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions concerning fixed-points*, Complex Var. Theory Appl. **49**(2004), 793-806.
17. C. Meng, *Uniqueness theorems for differential polynomials concerning fixed-points*, Kyungpook Math. J. **48**(2008), 25-35.
18. C. Meng, *On unicity of meromorphic functions when two differential polynomials share one value*, Hiroshima Math. J. **39**(2009), 163-179.
19. C. Meng, *Uniqueness for meromorphic functions concerning differential polynomials*, Georgian Math. J. **15**(2008), 731-738.
20. C. Meng, *Uniqueness of entire functions concerning difference polynomials*, Math. Bohem. **139**(2014), 89-97.
21. P. Sahoo, *Uniqueness and weighted sharing of entire functions*, Kyungpook Math. J. **51**(2011), 145-164.

22. P. Sahoo, *Entire functions that share fixed points with finite weights*, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. Simon Stevin. **18**(2011), 883-895.
23. P. Sahoo and B. Saha, *Value distribution and uniqueness of certain type of difference polynomials*, App. Math. E-Notes. **16**(2016),33-44.
24. H.P. Waghmare, *Generalization of value distribution and uniqueness of certain types of difference polynomials*, Tbilisi Math. J. **11**(2018), 1-13.
25. C.C. Yang and X.H. Hua, *Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **22**(1997), 395-406.
26. L. Yang, *Value distribution theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
27. J.L. Zhang, *Value distribution and shared sets of differences of meromorphic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **367**(2010), 401-408.
28. J.L. Zhang and L.Z. Yang, *Some results related to a conjecture of R. Brück*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **8**(2007), Art. 18.

Chao Meng received Ph.D at Shandong University. Since 2009 he has been at Shenyang Aerospace University. His research interests include meromorphic function theory and fixed point theory.

College of Science, Shenyang Aerospace University, Shenyang 110136, China.

e-mail: mengchaosau@163.com

Liang Zhao received Ph.D at Edinburgh Napier University. Since 2014 he has been at Shenyang Aerospace University. His research interests include communication engineering and telecommunications engineering.

College of Computer Science, Shenyang Aerospace University, Shenyang 110136, China.

e-mail: lzhao@sau.edu.cn