# UPPER BOUNDS OF SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR UNIVERSALLY PRESTARLIKE FUNCTIONS 

Om Ahuja, Murugesan Kasthuri, Gangadharan Murugusundaramoorthy, and Kaliappan Vijaya


#### Abstract

In $[12,13]$ the researchers introduced universally convex, universally starlike and universally prestarlike functions in the slit domain $\mathbb{C} \backslash[1, \infty)$. These papers extended the corresponding notions from the unit disc to other discs and half-planes containing the origin. In this paper, we introduce universally prestarlike generalized functions of order $\alpha$ with $\alpha \leq 1$ and we obtain upper bounds of the second Hankel determinant $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$ for such functions.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ denote the set of all analytic functions in a domain $\Omega$. Suppose $\Omega$ contains the origin and $\mathcal{H}_{0}(\Omega)$ stands for the set of all functions $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ with $f(0)=1$ and also let

$$
\mathcal{H}_{1}(\Omega)=\left\{z f: f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}(\Omega)\right\} .
$$

If $\Omega=\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ is the unit disc, we write $\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U}), \mathcal{H}_{0} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{0}(\mathbb{U})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{1}(\mathbb{U})$. Let the Hadamard (or convolution) product of two functions

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

in $\mathcal{H}_{0}(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$
(f * g)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n} .
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$ is called a starlike function of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)$ if

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and the set of such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}$.
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Due to Ruscheweyh [10], for $f \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$, let us denote by $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}$, the set of all prestarlike functions of order $\alpha(\alpha \leq 1)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ satisfying the criteria

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2 \alpha}} * f \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha}, & \alpha<1, \\ \Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)>\frac{1}{2}, & \alpha=1, z \in \mathbb{U}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2 \alpha}}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n) z^{n}
$$

is a well-known extremal function in $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}$ and

$$
\mathcal{C}(\alpha, n)=\frac{\prod_{k=2}^{n}(k-2 \alpha)}{(n-1)!} ; \quad(n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}, \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\})
$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, n)$ is a decreasing function of $\alpha$ with

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n)= \begin{cases}\infty & \text { if } \alpha<\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \text { if } \alpha=\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text { if } \alpha>\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

While working with prestarlike functions and convolutions, the following notation turned out to be useful:

$$
\left(D^{n} f\right)(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{n}} * f
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\{0,1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and therefore we have $D^{n+1} f=\frac{z}{n!}\left(z^{n-1} f\right)^{(n)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Using this operator we find that a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$ is prestarlike of order $\alpha \leq 1$ if and only if

$$
\frac{D^{3-2 \alpha} f}{D^{2-2 \alpha} f} \in \mathcal{P}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left\{g \in \mathcal{H}_{0}: \Re(g(z))>\frac{1}{2}, z \in \mathbb{U}\right\}
$$

or, equivalently, by Herglotz formula,

$$
g \in \mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow g(z)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-e^{-i t} z}
$$

where $\mu$ is a probability measure on $[0,2 \pi]$.
The notion of prestarlike functions of order $\alpha$ has recently been extended from the unit disc $\mathbb{U}$ to other discs and half-planes containing the origin (see [11-13]). Define one such disc $\Omega_{\gamma, \rho}$ by

$$
\Omega_{\gamma, \rho}=\left\{\omega_{\gamma, \rho}(z): z \in \mathbb{U}\right\}
$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\rho \in[0,1]$ are two unique parameters and $\omega_{\gamma, \rho}(z)=\frac{\gamma z}{1-\rho z}$. Note that $1 \notin \Omega_{\gamma, \rho}$ if and only if $|\gamma+\rho| \leq 1$. For $\alpha \leq 1$, and for some admissible pair $(\gamma, \rho)$, we define

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \rho}\right)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}_{1}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \rho}\right): \frac{1}{\gamma} f\left(\omega_{\gamma, \rho}(z)\right) \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{1}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \rho}\right)=\left\{z f: f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \rho}\right)\right.$ with $\left.f(0)=1\right\}$. A function $f$ in $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \rho}\right)$ is called prestarlike of order $\alpha$ in $\Omega_{\gamma, \rho}$ (see [12]).
Definition 1 ([13]). Let $\alpha \leq 1$ and $\Lambda=\mathbb{C} \backslash[1, \infty)$. A function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{1}(\Lambda)$ is called universally prestarlike of order $\alpha$ in $\Lambda$ if and only if $f$ is prestarlike of order $\alpha$ in all sets $\omega_{\gamma, \rho}$ with $|\gamma+\rho| \leq 1$. Denote the set of all universally prestarlike functions in $\Lambda$ by $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}$.

Due to Ma-Minda [8] we state the following subordination principle:
Definition 2. Suppose $\phi$ is an analytic function such that
(1) $\Re(\phi)>0$ in $\mathbb{U}$,
(2) $\phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$,
(3) $\phi$ maps $\mathbb{U}$ onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis.

For $\alpha \leq 1$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{1}(\Lambda)$, we let $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}(\phi)$ be the generalized class of universally prestarlike functions satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{3-2 \alpha} f}{D^{2-2 \alpha} f} \prec \phi(z), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\prec$ denotes the subordination and $\phi$ is an analytic function given by Definition 2. Note that for different choices of $\phi$, the class $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}(\phi)$ gives rise to several known and unknown classes of universally prestarlike functions of order $\alpha$ as given in the following example.

Example 1.1. If $\alpha \leq 1$, and $f \in \mathcal{H}_{1}(\Lambda)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}(A, B) \Longleftrightarrow \frac{D^{3-2 \alpha} f}{D^{2-2 \alpha} f} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}(\beta) \Longleftrightarrow \frac{D^{3-2 \alpha} f}{D^{2-2 \alpha} f} \prec \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}, \quad(0 \leq \beta<1) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{u}(1,-1)=\mathcal{S}^{*}$ is the class of starlike univalent functions.
Recall that the Hankel determinants $H_{q}(n)(n=1,2,3, \ldots ; q=1,2, \ldots)$ of the functions $f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, a_{1}=1$ are defined by

$$
H_{q}(n)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \ldots & a_{n+q-1} \\
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \ldots & a_{n+q} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \ldots & a_{n+2 q-2}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

In particular,

$$
H_{2}(1)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & a_{3}
\end{array}\right|=a_{1} a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}
$$

and

$$
H_{2}(2)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right|=a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}
$$

For more details on Hankel determinants, one may refer to the papers [4-7,9,17].
Though there has been an increasing interest to study the functional $H_{2}(1)$ (that is, $a_{1} a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}$ ) for certain classes of universally prestarlike functions (see [14-16]) and in particular, the Fekete and Szegö estimates of $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ (see [2]), the study of the functional $H_{2}(2)$ (that is, $a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}$ ) for universally prestarlike functions is not yet known. The main purpose of this paper is to obtain the upper bounds of Hankel determinant $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$ for functions $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\mu}(\phi)$.

### 1.1. Preliminary results

To prove our main results, we state the following lemmas.
Lemma 1.2 (see [1, p. 41]). Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the class of all analytic functions $p$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying $\Re(p(z))>0(z \in \mathbb{U})$ and $p(0)=1$. Then

$$
\left|p_{n}\right| \leq 2(n=1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

This inequality is sharp for each $n$. In particular, equality holds for all $n$ for the function

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 z^{n}
$$

Lemma 1.3 (see [6]). If the function $p \in \mathbf{P}$ is given by (4), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p_{2}=p_{1}^{2}+x\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 p_{3}=p_{1}^{3}+2\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) p_{1} x-p_{1}\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) x^{2}+2\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $x, z$ with $|x| \leq 1,|z| \leq 1$ and $p_{1} \in[0,2]$.
Lemma 1.4 ([3]). The power series for a function $p$ given in (4) converges in $\mathbb{U}$ to a function in $\mathbf{P}$ if and only if the Toeplitz determinants

$$
D_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & p_{1} & p_{2} & \cdots & p_{n}  \tag{7}\\
p_{-1} & 2 & p_{1} & \cdots & p_{n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
p_{-n} & p_{-n+1} & p_{-n+2} & \cdots & 2
\end{array}\right|, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

and $p_{-k}=\overline{p_{k}}$, are all nonnegative. They are strictly positive except for

$$
p(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \rho_{k} p_{0}\left(e^{i t_{k} z}\right), \rho_{k}>0, t_{k} \text { real }
$$

and $t_{k} \neq t_{j}$ for $k \neq j$; in this case $D_{n}>0$ for $n<m-1$ and $D_{n}=0$ for $n \geq m$.

This necessary and sufficient condition is due to Caratheodory and Toeplitz and can be found in [3].

## 2. Coefficient bounds for the function class $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}(\phi)$

In this section we obtain the upper bounds of the Hankel determinant

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|
$$

for $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}(\phi)$. Let

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t z},
$$

where

$$
a_{k}=\int_{0}^{1} t^{k} d \mu(t)
$$

$\mu(t)$ is a probability measure on $[0,1]$.
Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}(\phi)$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad\left(a_{0}=0 \text { and } a_{1}=1\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and suppose $\phi$, defined by Definition 2, is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \quad\left(B_{1}>0\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) If $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad(2-2 \alpha)\left|B_{2}\right| \leq B_{1}-(1-\alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2} \\
\left|2(3-2 \alpha) B_{1} B_{3}-(2-2 \alpha)^{2} B_{1}^{4}-(4-2 \alpha) B_{2}^{2}\right| \\
+(2-2 \alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\left|B_{2}\right|-(4-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2} \leq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{(3-2 \alpha)^{2}}
$$

(ii) If $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
(2-2 \alpha)\left|B_{2}\right| \geq B_{1}-(1-\alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2} \\
\left|2(3-2 \alpha) B_{1} B_{3}-(2-2 \alpha)^{2} B_{1}^{4}-(4-2 \alpha) B_{2}^{2}\right|-(2-2 \alpha) B_{1}\left|B_{2}\right| \\
-(3-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}+(1-\alpha)(1-2 \alpha)\left\{2 B_{1}^{2}\left|B_{2}\right|+B_{1}^{3}\right\} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

(or) the conditions

$$
(2-2 \alpha)\left|B_{2}\right| \leq B_{1}-(1-\alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}
$$

$\left|(3-2 \alpha) B_{1} B_{3}-2(1-\alpha)^{2} B_{1}^{4}-(2-\alpha) B_{2}^{2}\right|+(1-\alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\left|B_{2}\right|-(2-\alpha) B_{1}^{2} \geq 0$, then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq & \frac{1}{(3-2 \alpha)^{2}(4-2 \alpha)}\left[2(1-\alpha)^{2} B_{1}^{4}+(2-\alpha)\left|B_{2}\right|^{2}\right.  \tag{10}\\
& \left.-(3-2 \alpha) B_{1}\left|B_{3}\right|-(1-\alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\left|B_{2}\right|\right]
\end{align*}
$$

(iii) If $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
(2-2 \alpha)\left|B_{2}\right|>B_{1}-(1-\alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2} \\
\left|4(3-2 \alpha) B_{1} B_{3}-2(2-2 \alpha)^{2} B_{1}^{4}-2(4-2 \alpha) B_{2}^{2}\right|-2(2-2 \alpha) B_{1}\left|B_{2}\right| \\
-2(3-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}+(2-2 \alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\left(2\left|B_{2}\right|+B_{1}\right) \leq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{(3-2 \alpha)^{2}(4-2 \alpha)}\left(\frac{M}{N}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M= & 8(3-2 \alpha) B_{1}\left[(2-2 \alpha) B_{2}-(4-2 \alpha)\left|B_{3}\right|\right]-4(2-2 \alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\left[2\left|B_{2}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+(3-2 \alpha) B_{1}\right]+16\left|B_{2}\right|^{2}(3-2 \alpha)-4 B_{1}^{2}\left(4 \alpha^{2}-12 \alpha+9\right) \\
& +(2-2 \alpha)^{2} B_{1}^{4}\left(15-8 \alpha-4 \alpha^{2}\right), \\
N= & 4(2-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\left[(2-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}-1\right]-8 B_{1}\left[(3-2 \alpha)\left|B_{3}\right|+(2-2 \alpha)\left|B_{2}\right|\right] \\
& -4(2-2 \alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\left(\left|B_{2}\right|-B_{1}\right)-4(4-2 \alpha)\left|B_{2}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}(\phi)$, there exists a Schwarz function $\omega$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\omega(0)=0$ and $|\omega(z)|<1$ in $\mathbb{U}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{3-2 \alpha} f(z)}{D^{2-2 \alpha} f(z)}=\phi(\omega(z)) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function $P_{1}$ by

$$
P_{1}(z)=\frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\cdots
$$

Since $\omega$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $\Re\left(P_{1}(z)\right) \geq 0$ and $P_{1}(0)=1$ and therefore $P_{1} \in \mathbf{P}$. It follows that
(12) $\omega(z)=\frac{P_{1}(z)-1}{P_{1}(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[p_{1} z+\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(p_{3}-p_{1} p_{2}+\frac{p_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) z^{3}+\cdots\right]$.

Then, by a simple computation we get

$$
\phi(\omega(z))=1+\frac{B_{1} p_{1}}{2} z+\left[\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2}
$$

$$
+\left[\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(p_{3}-p_{1} p_{2}+\frac{p_{1}^{3}}{4}\right)+\frac{B_{2} p_{1}}{2}\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{B_{3} p_{1}^{3}}{8}\right] z^{3}+\cdots
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\equiv 1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+b_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}=\frac{B_{1} p_{1}}{2}  \tag{14}\\
& b_{2}=\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2} \\
& b_{3}=\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(p_{3}-p_{1} p_{2}+\frac{p_{1}^{3}}{4}\right)+\frac{B_{2} p_{1}}{2}\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{B_{3} p_{1}^{3}}{8} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, in view of (11) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}=\frac{D^{3-2 \alpha} f(z)}{D^{2-2 \alpha} f(z)}=\frac{z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{2}(\alpha, n) a_{n} z^{n}}{z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{1}(\alpha, n) a_{n} z^{n}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, n)=\frac{\prod_{k=2}^{n}(k-2 \alpha)}{(n-1)!}, \mathcal{C}_{2}(\alpha, n)=\frac{\prod_{k=2}^{n}(k+1-2 \alpha)}{(n-1)!} .
$$

Equating the coefficients of $z, z^{2}$ and $z^{3}$ in (17), we obtain
(18) $\quad b_{1}=\left[\mathcal{C}_{2}(\alpha, 2)-\mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 2)\right] a_{2}$,
(19) $\quad b_{2}=\left[\mathcal{C}_{2}(\alpha, 3)-\mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 3)\right] a_{3}+\left[\mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 2) a_{2}\right]^{2}-\left[\mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 2) \mathcal{C}_{2}(\alpha, 2)\right] a_{2}{ }^{2}$,
and

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{3}= & {\left[\mathcal{C}_{2}(\alpha, 4)-\mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 4)\right] a_{4} } \\
& +\left[2 \mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 2) \mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 3)-\mathcal{C}_{2}(\alpha, 3) \mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 2)-\mathcal{C}_{2}(\alpha, 2) \mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 3)\right] a_{2} a_{3} \\
& +\mathcal{C}_{2}(\alpha, 2)\left[\mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 2) a_{2}\right]^{2} a_{2}-\left[\mathcal{C}_{1}(\alpha, 2) a_{2}\right]^{3} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{ng}(18),(19) \text { and (20) we have }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=b_{1}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{b_{2}+(2-2 \alpha) b_{1}^{2}}{(3-2 \alpha)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(22) $\quad a_{4}=\frac{2 b_{3}}{(3-2 \alpha)(4-2 \alpha)}+\frac{3(2-2 \alpha) b_{1} b_{2}}{(3-2 \alpha)(4-2 \alpha)}-\frac{(2-2 \alpha)^{2} b_{1}{ }^{3}}{(3-2 \alpha)(4-2 \alpha)}$.

Using the equations (14), (15) and (16) in (21) and (22), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2}=\frac{B_{1} p_{1}}{2} \\
& a_{3}=\frac{1}{(3-2 \alpha)}\left[\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{B_{2} p_{1}^{2}}{4}+(2-2 \alpha) \frac{B_{1}^{2} p_{1}^{2}}{4}\right] \\
& a_{4}=\frac{1}{8(3-2 \alpha)(4-2 \alpha)}\left[8 B_{1} p_{3}-2\left\{4\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)-3(2-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\right\} p_{1} p_{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left\{2\left(B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}\right)-3(2-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}+3(2-2 \alpha) B_{1} B_{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+(2-2 \alpha)^{2}{B_{1}}^{3}\right\}{p_{1}}^{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we establish that the estimate of the second Hankel determinant is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)}[ & \left\{(2-2 \alpha) B_{1}\left(B_{1}-2 B_{2}\right)-(2-2 \alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-(2-2 \alpha)^{2} B_{1}^{4}+2(3-2 \alpha) B_{1} B_{3}-(4-2 \alpha) B_{2}{ }^{2}\right\} p_{1}{ }^{4} \\
& -2(2-2 \alpha)\left\{2 B_{1}\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)-(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{3}\right\} p_{1}^{2} p_{2} \\
& (23)  \tag{23}\\
& \left.-4(4-2 \alpha) B_{1}{ }^{2} p_{2}^{2}+8(3-2 \alpha) B_{1}{ }^{2} p_{1} p_{3}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}(\alpha)=16(3-2 \alpha)^{2}(4-2 \alpha)
$$

Using Lemma 1.3 in (23), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|=\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)} \right\rvert\, & {\left[2(3-2 \alpha) B_{1} B_{3}+(2-2 \alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2} B_{2}\right.} \\
& \left.-(2-2 \alpha)^{2} B_{1}^{4}-(4-2 \alpha) B_{2}^{2}\right] p_{1}^{4} \\
& +(2-2 \alpha)\left[2 B_{1} B_{2}+(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}{ }^{3}\right]\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) p_{1}^{2} x \\
& -\left\{(2-2 \alpha) p_{1}^{2}+4(4-2 \alpha)\right\} B_{1}{ }^{2}\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) x^{2} \\
& +4(3-2 \alpha) B_{1}{ }^{2}\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) p_{1}\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z \mid \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\left|p_{1}\right|=\xi$ and in view of Lemma 1.2, we may assume without restriction that $\xi \in[0,2]$. Thus, applying the triangle inequality in (24) with $\delta=|x| \leq 1$ and $|z| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)}[\mid & -(2-2 \alpha)^{2} B_{1}{ }^{4}+2(3-2 \alpha) B_{1} B_{3}-(4-2 \alpha) B_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +(2-2 \alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}{ }^{2} B_{2} \mid \xi^{4} \\
& +(2-2 \alpha)\left|2 B_{1} B_{2}+(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}{ }^{3}\right|\left(4-\xi^{2}\right) \xi^{2} \delta \\
& +\left\{(2-2 \alpha) \xi^{2}+4(4-2 \alpha)\right\} B_{1}{ }^{2}\left(4-\xi^{2}\right) \delta^{2} \\
& \left.+4(3-2 \alpha) B_{1}{ }^{2} \xi\left(4-\xi^{2}\right)\left(1-\delta^{2}\right)\right]=\mathcal{F}(\xi, \delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Or equivalently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)}[\mid & -(2-2 \alpha)^{2} B_{1}^{3}+2(3-2 \alpha) B_{3}-(4-2 \alpha) \frac{B_{2}^{2}}{B_{1}} \\
& +(2-2 \alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1} B_{2} \mid \xi^{4} \\
& +(2-2 \alpha)\left|2 B_{2}+(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\right|\left(4-\xi^{2}\right) \xi^{2} \delta \\
& +\left\{(2-2 \alpha) \xi^{2}+4(4-2 \alpha)\right\} B_{1}\left(4-\xi^{2}\right) \delta^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.+4(3-2 \alpha) B_{1} \xi\left(4-\xi^{2}\right)\left(1-\delta^{2}\right)\right]=\mathcal{F}(\xi, \delta)
$$

Note that for $(\xi, \delta) \in[0,2) \times[0,1]$, differentiating $\mathcal{F}(\xi, \delta)$, partially with respect to $\delta$ yields

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \delta}=\frac{B_{1}}{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)}\left[(2-2 \alpha)\left|2 B_{2}+(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\right|\left(4-\xi^{2}\right) \xi^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+2\left\{(2-2 \alpha) \xi^{2}-4(3-2 \alpha) \xi+4(4-2 \alpha)\right\} B_{1}\left(4-\xi^{2}\right) \delta\right] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \delta}=\frac{B_{1}}{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)}[ & (2-2 \alpha)\left|2 B_{2}+(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}^{2}\right|\left(4-\xi^{2}\right) \xi^{2} \\
& \left.+2\{2(2-\xi)[2+(1-\alpha)(2-\xi)]\} B_{1}\left(4-\xi^{2}\right) \delta\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious that $2(2-\xi)[2+(1-\alpha)(2-\xi)]$, the coefficient term of $\delta$ in (25) is always a positive real number for all $(\xi, \delta) \in[0,2) \times[0,1]$. Hence it follows that the expression (25) is always positive for $\delta>0$ and $\alpha \leq 1$, which implies that $\mathcal{F}(\xi, \delta)$ is an increasing function of $\delta$. Therefore, there exists no point of maximum in the interior of the closed region $[0,2) \times[0,1]$. Moreover for fixed $\xi \in[0,2)$, we have

$$
\max \mathcal{F}(\xi, \delta)=\mathcal{F}(\xi, 1)=\mathcal{G}(\xi)
$$

On simplification we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(\xi, 1)=\mathcal{G}(\xi)=\frac{B_{1}}{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)}\left[P t^{2}+Q t+R\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
P=\mid & -(2-2 \alpha)^{2} B_{1}^{3}+2(3-2 \alpha) B_{3}-(4-2 \alpha) \frac{B_{2}{ }^{2}}{B_{1}} \\
& +(2-2 \alpha)(1-2 \alpha) B_{1} B 2 \mid \\
& \quad-(2-2 \alpha)\left|2 B_{2}+(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}{ }^{2}\right|-(2-2 \alpha) B_{1},  \tag{27}\\
Q= & 4(2-2 \alpha)\left|2 B_{2}+(1-2 \alpha) B_{1}{ }^{2}\right|-8 B_{1},  \tag{28}\\
R= & 16(4-2 \alpha) B_{1}, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

and $t=\xi^{2}$. Since

$$
\max _{0 \leq t \leq 4}\left(P t^{2}+Q t+R\right)= \begin{cases}R, & Q \leq 0, P \leq-\frac{Q}{4}, \\ 16 P+4 Q+R, & Q \geq 0, P \geq-\frac{Q}{8} \text { or } Q \leq 0, P \geq-\frac{Q}{4}, \\ \frac{4 P R-Q^{2}}{4 P}, & Q>0, P \leq-\frac{Q}{8},\end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}R, & Q \leq 0, P \leq-\frac{Q}{4} \\ 16 P+4 Q+R, & Q \geq 0, P \geq-\frac{Q}{8} \text { or } Q \leq 0, P \geq-\frac{Q}{4} \\ \frac{4 P R-Q^{2}}{4 P}, & Q>0, P \leq-\frac{Q}{8}\end{cases}
$$

where $P, Q$ and $R$ are given in (27), (28) and (29). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2.2. We note that by taking $\alpha=1 / 2$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the corresponding results in [5].

### 2.1. Concluding remarks

As a special case of Theorem 2.1, let $\phi$ be

$$
\phi(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)
$$

This gives

$$
\phi(z)=1+(A-B) z-B(A-B) z^{2}+B^{2}(A-B) z^{3}+\cdots
$$

so that $B_{1}=(A-B), B_{2}=-B(A-B)$ and $B_{3}=B^{2}(A-B)$ one can state the Hankel determinant inequality for the subclasses defined in Example 1.1.

Letting $A=1-2 \beta$ and $B=-1$ in (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(z) & =\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z} \\
& =1+2(1-\beta) z+2(1-\beta) z^{2}+2(1-\beta) z^{3}+\cdots \quad(0 \leq \beta<1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing with (9) we have $B_{1}=B_{2}=B_{3}=2(1-\beta)$. Thus Theorem 2.1 yields the Hankel inequality for $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}(\beta)$.

Further, by taking $\beta=0$, in (30), we let

$$
\phi(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}=1+2 z+2 z^{2}+2 z^{3}+\cdots
$$

Thus by comparing with (9) we note that, $B_{1}=B_{2}=B_{3}=2$ and making use of Theorem 2.1 one can easily state the Hankel inequality for $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{u}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$.
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