

**NIL-CLEAN RINGS OF NILPOTENCY INDEX
AT MOST TWO WITH APPLICATION TO
INVOLUTION-CLEAN RINGS**

YU LI, XIAOSHAN QUAN, AND GUOLI XIA

ABSTRACT. A ring is nil-clean if every element is a sum of a nilpotent and an idempotent, and a ring is involution-clean if every element is a sum of an involution and an idempotent. In this paper, a description of nil-clean rings of nilpotency index at most 2 is obtained, and is applied to improve a known result on involution-clean rings.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we assume that rings have an identity and the sub-rings share the same identity. For a ring R , the Jacobson radical and the set of nilpotents of a ring R are denoted by $J(R)$ and $\text{Nil}(R)$, respectively. Recently, involution-clean rings were introduced in [3] where the author proved that the structure of an involution-clean ring is reduced to a nil-clean ring R such that $a^2 + 2a = 0$ for all $a \in \text{Nil}(R)$ (see Lemma 3.1). In this paper, we target this class of nil-clean rings, and relate them to nil-clean rings of nilpotency index at most 2. We prove a description of nil-clean rings of nilpotency index at most 2, and use it to further describe involution-clean rings.

As usual, $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ stands for the $n \times n$ matrix ring over R and $\mathbb{T}_n(R)$ for the $n \times n$ (upper) triangular matrix ring over R . We write \mathbb{Z}_n for the ring of integers modulo n . An element a in a ring R is called an involution if $a^2 = 1$. A reduced ring is a ring without nonzero nilpotents. A ring is said to be of nilpotency index at most n if $a^n = 0$ for all $a \in \text{Nil}(R)$.

Received July 25, 2017; Accepted September 6, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16U60.

Key words and phrases. idempotent, nilpotent, involution, nil-clean ring of nilpotency index at most 2, involution-clean ring.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(11661014, 11461010, 11661013), the Guangxi Science Research and Technology Development Project(1599005-2-13), the Guangxi Natural Science Foundation(2016GXSFDA380017) and the Scientific Research Fund of Guangxi Education Department(KY2015ZD075).

2. Nil-clean rings of nilpotency index at most 2

Following Diesl [4], a ring R is nil-clean if every element of R is a sum of a nilpotent and an idempotent. One easily sees that a ring R is Boolean if and only if R is a nil-clean ring of nilpotency index 1. In this section, we describe nil-clean rings of nilpotency index at most 2. Notice that the structure of a general nil-clean ring is, so far, unknown.

Lemma 2.1. *The following are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) *Every element of R is a sum of an idempotent and a square-zero element.*
- (2) *R is nil-clean of nilpotency index ≤ 2 .*
- (3) *$R/J(R)$ is nil-clean of nilpotency index ≤ 2 , and $a^2 = 0$ for all $a \in J(R) \cup \text{Nil}(R)$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). It suffices to show that $a^{n+1} = 0$ whenever $a^{n+2} = 0$ in R for $n \geq 1$. Write $1 + a = b + e$ where $b^2 = 0$ and $e^2 = e$. Let $f = 1 - e$. Then $f + a = b$, so

$$0 = (f + a)^2 = f + fa + af + a^2.$$

Thus, $0 = (f + fa + af + a^2)a^{n+1} = fa^{n+1} + afa^{n+1} = (1+a)fa^{n+1}$, so $fa^{n+1} = 0$ (as $1+a$ is a unit). Hence $0 = (f + fa + af + a^2)a^n = fa^n + afa^n = (1+a)fa^n$, so $fa^n = 0$. Thus, $0 = (f + fa + af + a^2)a^{n-1} = fa^{n-1} + afa^{n-1} + a^{n+1} = (1+a)fa^{n-1} + a^{n+1}$, so $0 = a[(1+a)fa^{n-1} + a^{n+1}] = (1+a)a^2fa^{n-1}$, and hence $a^2fa^{n-1} = 0$. It follows that $fa^{n-1} + a^{n+1} = 0$. So $0 = f[fa^{n-1} + a^{n+1}] = fa^{n-1} + a^{n+1} = fa^{n-1}$. It follows that $a^{n+1} = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1). The implications are clear in view of [4, Corollary 3.17]. \square

Let $(r_\alpha) \in \prod\{R_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$. The support of (r_α) is the subset $\Lambda = \{\alpha \in \Gamma : r_\alpha \neq 0\}$. We will denote (r_α) by $(r_\alpha)_\Lambda$. Here is a description of a nil-clean ring of nilpotency index ≤ 2 .

Theorem 2.2. *A ring R is a nil-clean ring of nilpotency index ≤ 2 if and only if $a^2 = 0$ for all $a \in J(R) \cup \text{Nil}(R)$ and $R/J(R)$ is a subdirect product of rings $\{R_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$, where $R_\alpha = \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, such that whenever $(x_\alpha)_\Lambda \in R/J(R)$ with $x_\alpha^3 = 1$ and $x_\alpha \neq 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, there exists $(y_\alpha)_\Lambda \in R/J(R)$ with $y_\alpha \neq 0$ and $y_\alpha^2 = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) By Lemmas 2.1, $a^2 = 0$ for all $a \in J(R) \cup \text{Nil}(R)$. Moreover, $R/J(R)$ is nil-clean of nilpotency index ≤ 2 . So, by [1, Theorem 1], $R/J(R)$ is a subdirect product of prime rings $\{R_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of nilpotency index ≤ 2 . Hence, by [2, Corollary 6], for each α , $R_\alpha \cong \mathbb{M}_n(D)$ where D is a division ring and $n \leq 2$. As $\mathbb{M}_n(D)$ is still nil-clean, $D = \mathbb{Z}_2$ by [5, Theorem 3]. So $R_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $R_\alpha \cong \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Identify $R/J(R)$ as a subring of $\prod_\Gamma R_\alpha$.

If $R/J(R)$ contains an element $x := (x_\alpha)_\Lambda$ where $1 \neq x_\alpha \in R_\alpha$ with $x_\alpha^3 = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then, as x is nil-clean in $R/J(R)$, there exists a nilpotent $y \in R/J(R)$ such that $x + y$ is an idempotent. Write $y = (y_\alpha)$ where $y_\alpha \in R_\alpha$. It must be that $y_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \Lambda$ and $y_\alpha \neq 0$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda$. So $y = (y_\alpha)_\Lambda$.

(\Leftarrow) We only need to show that R is nil-clean. As $J(R)$ is nil, it suffices to show that $R/J(R)$ is nil-clean by [4, Corollary 3.17]. Regard $R/J(R)$ as a subring of $\prod_{\Gamma} R_{\alpha}$.

Let $x \in R/J(R)$. Write $x = (x_{\alpha})$ where $x_{\alpha} \in R_{\alpha}$. In R_{α} , there are four types of elements b : $b^2 = 0$; $b^2 = b$; $b^2 = 1$ with $b \neq 1$; $b^3 = 1$ with $b \neq 1$. Thus, we can write Γ as a disjoint union of $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3$ and Λ_4 such that $x_{\alpha}^2 = 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$; $x_{\alpha}^2 = x_{\alpha}$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Lambda_2$; $x_{\alpha}^2 = 1$ with $x_{\alpha} \neq 1$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Lambda_3$; $x_{\alpha}^3 = 1$ with $x_{\alpha} \neq 1$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Lambda_4$. Without loss of generality, we can denote $x = (x_{\alpha}) = ((x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_1}, (x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_2}, (x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_3}, (x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_4})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x + x^7 &= ((x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}), \\ x^2 + x^5 &= (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} + (x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_3}, \mathbf{0}), \\ (x^2 + x^5 + x^6 + x^7)^2 &= (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, (x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_4}). \end{aligned}$$

So $(x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_4} \in R/J(R)$. By our assumption, there exists $(y_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_4} \in R/J(R)$ with $y_{\alpha} \neq 0$ and $y_{\alpha}^2 = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda_4$. One can check that $(x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_4} + (y_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_4} \in R/J(R)$ is an idempotent. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} y &:= ((x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} + (x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_3}, (y_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_4}) \\ &= ((x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) + (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} + (x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_3}, \mathbf{0}) + (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, (y_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_4}) \in R/J(R) \end{aligned}$$

is nilpotent, and

$$(\mathbf{0}, (x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_2}, \mathbf{1}, (x_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_4} + (y_{\alpha})_{\Lambda_4}) = x + y \in R/J(R)$$

is an idempotent. Therefore, $x = y + (x + y)$ is nil-clean in $R/J(R)$. So $R/J(R)$ is nil-clean. \square

Corollary 2.3. *If $R/J(R) \cong S \oplus (\prod \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ for a Boolean ring S with $J(R)$ nil such that $a^2 = 0$ for all $a \in \text{Nil}(R)$, then R is nil-clean of nilpotency index ≤ 2 .*

A subdirect product of a Boolean ring and a family of copies of $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ need not be a nil-clean ring.

Example 2.4. Let $T = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} R_i$ where $R_i = \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Let $z = (z_i) \in T$ where $z_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Let S be the subring of T generated by z , i.e., $S = \{0, 1, z, 1 + z\}$ where $z^2 = 1 + z$. Let $R = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i) + S$. Then R is a subdirect product of $\{R_i\}$, so $J(R) = 0$ and R has nilpotency index 2. However, although R contains z , R does not contain a nilpotent (y_i) with $y_i \neq 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. So R is not nil-clean by Theorem 2.2.

In general, it is unknown whether R nil-clean implies that the corner ring eRe ($e^2 = e \in R$) is nil-clean (see [4, Question 2]). But we have:

Corollary 2.5. *If R is a nil-clean ring of nilpotency index at most 2, then so is eRe for all $e^2 = e \in R$.*

Proof. Let $S = eRe$. Then $J(S) = eJ(R)e \subseteq J(R)$ and $\text{Nil}(S) \subseteq \text{Nil}(R)$. Since R is a nil-clean ring of nilpotency index at most 2, $a^2 = 0$ for all $a \in J(R) \cup \text{Nil}(R)$ by Theorem 2.2, so $a^2 = 0$ for all $a \in J(S) \cup \text{Nil}(S)$. Moreover, $\overline{R} := R/J(R)$ is a subdirect product of $\{R_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ where either $R_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $R_\alpha \cong \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. That is, \overline{R} is a subring of $\prod R_\alpha$ such that $\pi_\alpha(\overline{R}) = R_\alpha$ where $\pi_\alpha : \prod R_\alpha \rightarrow R_\alpha$ is the natural projection for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Let $\bar{e} = e + J(R) \in \overline{R}$. Write $\bar{e} = (e_\alpha)$ where $e_\alpha \in R_\alpha$ is an idempotent. It is easily seen that $\bar{e}\overline{R}\bar{e}$ is a subring of $\prod e_\alpha R_\alpha e_\alpha$ with $\pi_\alpha(\bar{e}\overline{R}\bar{e}) = e_\alpha R_\alpha e_\alpha$ for all α . That is, $\bar{e}\overline{R}\bar{e}$ is a subdirect product of $\{e_\alpha R_\alpha e_\alpha\}$. We notice that, if $R_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, then $e_\alpha R_\alpha e_\alpha = 0$ or $e_\alpha R_\alpha e_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, and that, if $R_\alpha \cong \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, then $e_\alpha R_\alpha e_\alpha = 0$, or $e_\alpha R_\alpha e_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, or $e_\alpha R_\alpha e_\alpha \cong \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ (this only occurs when e_α is the identity of R_α). Suppose that $x = (x_\alpha)_\Lambda \in \bar{e}\overline{R}\bar{e}$ where $e_\alpha \neq x_\alpha \in e_\alpha R_\alpha e_\alpha$ with $x_\alpha^3 = e_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. It must be that, for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $R_\alpha \cong \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $e_\alpha = 1_{R_\alpha}$. Then, by Theorem 2.2, there exists $y = (y_\alpha)_\Lambda \in \overline{R}$ such that $y_\alpha \neq 0$ and $y_\alpha^2 = 0$. But $y = \bar{e}y\bar{e} \in \bar{e}\overline{R}\bar{e}$. Note that $S/J(S) = eRe/eJ(R)e = eRe/(eRe \cap J(R)) \cong (eRe + J(R))/J(R) = \bar{e}\overline{R}\bar{e}$. Hence, by Theorem 2.2, S is a nil-clean ring of nilpotency index at most 2. \square

A ring R is strongly π -regular if for each $a \in R$, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $a^n \in a^{n+1}R \cap Ra^{n+1}$. It is unknown whether every nil-clean ring is strongly π -regular (see [4, Question]). However, every nil-clean ring of nilpotency index at most 2 is certainly strongly π -regular.

Corollary 2.6. *If R is nil-clean of nilpotency index ≤ 2 , then R is strongly π -regular.*

Proof. If $a \in J(R)$, then $a^2 = 0$. Suppose that $a \notin J(R)$. Let $x = \bar{a} \in R/J(R)$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, $x = (x_\alpha) = ((x_\alpha)_{\Lambda_1}, (x_\alpha)_{\Lambda_2}, (x_\alpha)_{\Lambda_3}, (x_\alpha)_{\Lambda_4})$. Moreover, $x + x^7 = ((x_\alpha)_{\Lambda_1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$, so $(x + x^7)^2 = \mathbf{0}$, i.e., $(a + a^7)^2 \in J(R)$. Hence, $a^4(1+a^6)^4 = (a+a^7)^4 = ((a+a^7)^2)^2 = 0$, showing that $a^4 \in a^5R \cap Ra^5$. So R is strongly π -regular. \square

3. Involution-clean rings

Following Danchev [3], a ring is an involution-clean ring if every element is a sum of an idempotent and an involution. The following result is proved in [3].

Lemma 3.1 ([3]). *A ring R is an involution-clean ring if and only if $R = A \times B$, where A is a nil-clean ring with $a^2 + 2a = 0$ for all $a \in \text{Nil}(A)$ and B is zero or a subdirect product of \mathbb{Z}_3 's.*

Next, we give a further description of the ring A in the decomposition in Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *A ring R is nil-clean with $a^2 + 2a = 0$ for all $a \in \text{Nil}(R)$ if and only if $R/J(R)$ is nil-clean of nilpotency index ≤ 2 , $J(R)$ nil and $a^2 + 2a = 0$ for all $a \in \text{Nil}(R)$.*

Proof. In view of [4, Proposition 3.14 and Corollary 3.17], we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &R \text{ is nil-clean with } a^2 + 2a = 0 \text{ for all } a \in \text{Nil}(R) \\ \iff &R/J(R) \text{ is nil-clean with } J(R) \text{ nil and with } a^2 + 2a = 0 \text{ for all } a \in \text{Nil}(R) \\ \iff &R/J(R) \text{ is nil-clean of nilpotency index } \leq 2, J(R) \text{ nil and} \\ &a^2 + 2a = 0 \text{ for all } a \in \text{Nil}(R). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3. *A ring R is an involution-clean ring if and only if $R \cong A \times B$, where*

- (1) B is zero or a subdirect product of \mathbb{Z}_3 's.
- (2) $J(A)$ is nil, $a^2 + 2a = 0$ for all $a \in \text{Nil}(A)$, and $A/J(A)$ is a subdirect product of rings $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$, where $A_\alpha = \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, such that whenever $(x_\alpha)_\Lambda \in A/J(A)$ with $x_\alpha^3 = 1$ and $x_\alpha \neq 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, there exists $(y_\alpha)_\Lambda \in A/J(A)$ with $y_\alpha \neq 0$ and $y_\alpha^2 = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Proof. This is by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and Theorem 2.2. □

Corollary 3.4. *If $R/J(R) \cong S \oplus (\prod \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ for a Boolean ring S with $J(R)$ nil such that $a^2 + 2a = 0$ for all $a \in \text{Nil}(R)$, then R is an involution-clean ring.*

As seen in Example 2.4, a subdirect product of a Boolean ring and a family of copies of $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ need not be an involution-clean ring.

Next we determine when a (formal or triangular) matrix ring is involution-clean.

Proposition 3.5. *Let S, T be rings and M a non-trivial (S, T) -bimodule. Then the formal matrix ring $\begin{pmatrix} S & M \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}$ is an involution-clean ring if and only if S, T are involution-clean rings and $\text{Nil}(S)M = M\text{Nil}(T) = 2M = 0$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $x \in M$, then $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 + 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, and this shows that $2x = 0$. Hence $2M = 0$. Let $a \in \text{Nil}(S)$ and $x \in M$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 + 2\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, and this shows that $ax = -2x = 0$. So $\text{Nil}(S)M = 0$. Similarly $M\text{Nil}(T) = 0$. As images of $\begin{pmatrix} S & M \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}$, S and T are clearly involution-clean rings.

(\Leftarrow) We write $S = A \oplus A'$ and $T = B \oplus B'$ where $8 = 0$ in A and in B , $A' \oplus B'$ is zero or a subdirect product of \mathbb{F}_3 's. Write $1_S = 1_A + 1_{A'}$ and $1_T = 1_B + 1_{B'}$. From $2M = 0$, one deduces that $1_{A'}M = 0$ and $M1_{B'} = 0$, and that $1_Ax = x1_B = x$ for all $x \in M$. Therefore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} S & M \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \times A' \times B'.$$

Thus, we only need to show that $\begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is an involution-ring. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. Write $a = e + v$ and $b = f + w$ where $e^2 = e, v^2 = 1, f^2 = f$ and $w^2 = 1$. Then $(1 + v)^2 = 2(1 + v) \in J(A)$, so $(1 + v)x = 0$. Similarly, $x(1 + w) = 0$. Thus $vx + xw = (1 + v)x + x(1 + w) - 2x = 0$, so $\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} v & x \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix}$ is a sum of an idempotent and an involution. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let R be a ring and $n \geq 2$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathbb{T}_n(R)$ is an involution-clean ring.
- (2) $\mathbb{T}_n(R)$ is a nil-clean ring of nilpotency index ≤ 2 .
- (3) $n = 2$ and R is Boolean.
- (4) $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ is a nil-clean ring of nilpotency index ≤ 2 .
- (5) $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ is an involution-clean ring.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3) Write $\mathbb{T}_n(R) = \begin{pmatrix} S & M \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$, where $S = \mathbb{T}_{n-1}(R)$ and $M = \mathbb{M}_{(n-1) \times 1}(R)$. By Proposition 3.5, $2 = 0$ in R and $\text{Nil}(S)M = 0$, from which we deduce that $n = 2$ and R is a reduced ring. As an image of $\mathbb{T}_2(R)$, R is involution-clean. Thus, R is a subdirect product of involution-clean domains in which 2 is zero. One easily sees that each of the domains is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 , so R is Boolean.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{T}_2(R)$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a sum of an idempotent and a square-zero element.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) As $2 \in \text{Nil}(R)$, $2E_{11} + E_{12}$ is nilpotent, so $0 = (2E_{11} + E_{12})^2 = 4E_{11} + 2E_{12}$. This shows that $2 = 0$ in R . For $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$, write $A = E + B$ where $E^2 = E$ and $B^2 = 0$. Then $A = (1 + E) + (1 + B)$ is a sum of an idempotent and an involution.

(5) \Rightarrow (4) By Lemma 3.1, $\mathbb{M}_n(R) \cong A \times B$, where $8 = 0$ in A and B is zero or a subdirect product of \mathbb{Z}_3 's. Thus, there exists a central idempotent e of R such that $A \cong \mathbb{M}_n(eR)$ and $B \cong \mathbb{M}_n((1 - e)R)$. As $n \geq 2$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $e = 1$, so $8 = 0$ in $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$. As $E_{12} \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$ is nilpotent, $(E_{12})^2 + 2E_{12} = 0$, showing that $2 = 0$ in R . For $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$, write $A = E + V$ where $E^2 = E$ and $V^2 = 1$. Then $A = (1 + E) + (1 + V)$ is a sum of an idempotent and a square-zero element.

(4) \Rightarrow (3) If $x^2 = 0$ in R , then $xE_{11} + E_{12} \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$ is nilpotent; so $x E_{12} = (xE_{11} + E_{12})^2 = 0$, showing $x = 0$. Hence R is a reduced ring. As $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ is nil-clean, R is Boolean by [6, Corollary 6.3]. Assume that $n > 2$. Then, as $E_{12} + E_{23} \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$ is nilpotent, $E_{23} = (E_{12} + E_{23})^2 = 0$. This contradictions shows that $n = 2$.

(3) \Rightarrow (5) By [6, Corollary 6.3], R is nil-clean. By Lemma 3.1, it suffices to show that $A^2 = 0$ for any nilpotent matrix A in $\mathbb{M}_2(R)$. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be nilpotent in $\mathbb{M}_2(R)$. Then the determinant of A must be zero, so $ad = bc$. We have $A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a+bc & ab+bd \\ ac+cd & bc+d \end{pmatrix}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} A^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} a + bc \cdot d & ab + b \cdot ad + bc + bd \\ ac + bc + c \cdot ad + cd & a \cdot bc + d \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a + ad & ab + bc + bc + bd \\ ac + bc + bc + cd & ad + d \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a + bc & ab + bd \\ ac + cd & bc + d \end{pmatrix} = A^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $A^2 = 0$. □

Example 3.7. \mathbb{Z}_8 is an involution-clean ring, but 2 is not a sum of an idempotent and a square-zero element. The trivial extension $\mathbb{Z}_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ is not an involution-clean, but is a nil-clean ring with index of nilpotency ≤ 2 .

References

- [1] E. P. Armendariz, *On semiprime rings of bounded index*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1982), no. 2, 146–148.
- [2] K. I. Beidar, *On rings with zero total*, Beiträge Algebra Geom. **38** (1997), no. 2, 233–239.
- [3] P. V. Danchev, *Invo-clean unital rings*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **32** (2017), no. 1, 19–27.
- [4] A. J. Diesl, *Nil clean rings*, J. Algebra **383** (2013), 197–211.
- [5] T. Koşan, T.-K. Lee, and Y. Zhou, *When is every matrix over a division ring a sum of an idempotent and a nilpotent?*, Linear Algebra Appl. **450** (2014), 7–12.
- [6] M. T. Koşan, Z. Wang, and Y. Zhou, *Nil-clean and strongly nil-clean rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220** (2016), no. 2, 633–646.

YU LI
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
SOUTHWEST UNIVERSITY
CHONGQING 400715, P. R. CHINA
Email address: Liskyu@163.com

XIAOSHAN QUAN
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
GUANGXI TEACHERS EDUCATION UNIVERSITY
NANNING, GUANGXI 530001, P. R. CHINA
Email address: xiaoshanquan3@163.com

GUOLI XIA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND
ST. JOHN'S, NL A1C 5S7, CANADA
Email address: linqyou1991@163.com