Research Article

Journal of Electrochemical Science and Technology jecst.org

Sulfur Tolerance Effects on $Sr_{0.92}Y_{0.08}Ti_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O_{3-\delta}$ as an Alternative Anode in Solid Oxide Fuel Cells

Jun Ho Kim¹ and Jeong Woo Yun^{1,*}

¹School of Chemical Engineering, Chonnam National University, Gwangju, 61186, Republic of Korea

ABSTRACT

 $Sr_{0.92}Y_{0.08}Ti_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O_{3.6}$ (SYTF0.5) is investigated as an alternative anode in H₂ fuels containing H₂S (0-200 ppm). Although additional ionic conductivity is introduced by aliovalent substitution of Ti⁴⁺ by Fe³⁺ in the B-site, the SYTF0.5 has lower electrical conductivity than that of the $Sr_{0.92}Y_{0.08}TiO_{3.6}$. Due to the mixed ionic and electronic conductive (MIEC) property exhibited in the SYTF0.5 anode, the electrochemical performance of the SYTF0.5 anode is improved, as well as the sulfur tolerance. The maximum power densities in H₂ at 900°C for the SYT anode and the SYTF0.5 anode were 56.9 and 98.6 mW/cm², respectively. The maximum power density in the SYTF0.5 anode at 200 ppm of H₂S concentration decreased by only 12.9% (86.3 to 75.2 mW/cm²).

Keywords : Sulfur poisoning, Alternative anode, Mixed ionic and electronic conductivity, $Sr_{0.92}Y_{0.08}Ti_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O_{3.\delta}$, Electrochemical oxidation

Received : 26 February 2018, Accepted : 9 April 2018

1. Introduction

The solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) has been considered a promising electrochemical conversion device, due to its high efficiency, modularity, reliability, and environmental benignity [1-4]. The high temperature operation (700-900°C) of SOFCs allows various types of fuels to be utilized, including natural gas, biogas, coal derived syngas, and liquid hydrocarbon fuels, as well as pure H₂. The fuel flexibilities can lower the operational costs of the SOFCs system by eliminating the need for high-grade purifying processes and for external fuel reforming systems, and allowing the direct use of economical fuels. Unfortunately, many economically available fuel sources contain sulfur species as impurity or additive, and their concentration can reach levels of over several hundreds of ppm in coal syngas and biogas derived from landfilled or sewage areas [5,6]. A mixture of Ni and yttria-stabilized zirconia (Ni/YSZ) has been

*E-mail address: jwyun@jnu.ac.kr

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5229/JECST.2018.9.2.133

used as the most popular anode material in SOFCs, due to its low cost, ease of fabrication, and high electrochemical property. However, the Ni-based cermet experiences critical degradation in levels of a few part per million (ppm) of H_2S [7,8]. The H_2S poisoning on Ni phase includes physical adsorption on the Ni surface, dissociative chemisorption of sulfur, and bulk nickel sulfide formation [9], depending on the H_2S concentration, operation temperature, and cell current. Therefore, for direct utilization of such economically available fuels without strict pretreatment, alternative sulfur tolerable anodes should be developed, which would bring progress towards commercialization of SOFCs.

To overcome the sulfur poisoning problems of conventional Ni-based cermet anodes, several alternatives have been developed as anode materials, which lead to direct utilization of economically available fuels. Thiospinel sulfides, such as $CuFe_2S_4$, $NiFe_2S_4$, and $CuCo_2S_4$, have shown good electro-catalytic activity toward H_2S oxidation [10,11]. Metal sulfides, including WS_2 , CoS_2 , and MoS_2 , were reported as alternative anode materials in H_2S oxidation fuel cells to improve cell performance [12-14]. Doped and undoped cerium oxides have been reported as excellent candidates as sulfur tolerable components in metal cermet anodes, due to their reversible sulfursorbent property, as well as their good electro-catalytic property [15-17]. Perovskites with both ionic and electronic conductivity (MIEC) in reducing environment and at high temperature have been receiving increasing attention recently for their application as alternative SOFC anode or anode components, due to their superior properties for sulfur poisoning and carbon coking, compared to metal cermet [18-26]. In addition, the extended electrochemical reaction sites beyond triple phase boundary (TPB, electrolyte/electrode/fuel) exhibited in the MIEC perovskites can decrease the interfacial polarization resistance. The MIEC perovskites also provide excellent compatibility with the dense electrolyte, and mechanical stability without thermal mismatch and/or structural failure during long-term operation. Although the reported alternative anodes or anode components have improved sulfur tolerance under SOFC operating condition, their low electrical conductivity and poor catalytic activity provide lower cell performance than the conventional Ni/YSZ anode-supported SOFCs.

In our previous research, we investigated the carbon deposition and sulfur tolerance of alternative anode materials, including Ni-based cermet, doped and undoped ceria, and perovskite [17,27-31]. In the present study, the electrochemical properties of $Sr_{0.92}Y_{0.08}Ti_{1-y}Fe_yO_{3-\delta}$ (SYTF) were investigated in fuels containing sulfur compound, to assess the feasibility of the oxide materials as alternative anodes. The $Sr_{0.92}Y_{0.08}TiO_{3-\delta}$ (SYT), a promising alternative anode candidate, was modified by aliovalent B-site substitution by Fe^{3+} to improve the ionic conductivity for the electrochemical oxidation of adsorbed sulfur or sulfide. Using the modification, we aimed to improve the electrochemical properties of the SYTF anode, as well as enhance the sulfur tolerance.

2. Experimental

 $Sr_{0.92}Y_{0.08}Ti_{1-y}Fe_yO_{3-\delta}$ (SYTF) powder was synthesized via the Pechini method with strontium nitrate (Sr(NO₃)₃·H₂O, Aldrich), yttrium nitrate hexahydrate (Y(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, Aldrich), titanium isopropoxide (Ti[OCH(CH₃)₂]₄, Junsei), and iron nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, Aldrich). The detailed



Fig. 1. Schematic of the experimental setup for the cell-housing in the reactor.

synthesis method of SYTF has been reported elsewhere [30]. After calcination of the prepared solution-gel at 600°C in air for 5 h, a single perovskite structure of SYTF was formed. The synthesized crystal structures were analyzed by X-ray diffractometry (XRD, Rigaku, RINT-5200). To investigate the electrical conductivity of the SYTF as alternative anode materials, the SYTF powder was pressed into cuboids at 7,600 kPa, and then sintered at 1,200°C in air for 10 h. The electrical conductivity was measured via 4-probe direct current (DC) method with multi-meter device (Model 1000 series, Kithessly Co.). For electrochemical measurement, electrolyte supported SOFC was prepared by uniaxial dry-pressing of 8 mol% yttria-stabilized zirconia (8YSZ, Tosoh, Japan) powers. After sintering at 1,400°C for 10 h, the 8YSZ electrolyte substrate was of 0.9 and 25.2 mm thickness and diameter, respectively. After cleaning the 8SYZ surface with ultrasonic device, the SYTF paste for anode was tape-casted on the 8YSZ electrolyte with the size of 0.69 cm^2 , and then sintered at 1,200°C for 10 h in air. La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}MnO₃ (LSM) paste was coated as a cathode material on the 8YSZ electrolyte, and fired at 1,100°C. The anode microstructure was analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, Hitach, S-4200, Japan).

The electrochemical performance was investigated by mounting the electrolyte supported button cell between the double-layered alumina tubes, and sealing it with Pyrex glass on the dense electrolyte, as shown in Fig. 1. A perforated Pt plate (1 cm² in area) and a Pt wire (0.5 mm in thickness) were used as current collectors. The H₂S concentration was regulated with a mass flow controller (MFC) at 200 and 500 ppm of H₂S balanced in H₂ and pure H₂, respectively. For example, 200 mL/min of 50 ppm H₂S was obtained by mixing 50 mL/min of 200 ppm H₂S and 150 mL/min of pure H₂. Similarly, to obtain 200 mL/ min of 200 ppm H₂S, 80 mL/min of 500 ppm H₂S was mixed with 120 mL/min of pure H2. The H2S gas was allowed to bypass the humidifier, and was mixed with pure H₂ in a mixing filter, before fueling the reactor, to avoid the dissolution of H2S in water. The experiment was performed at H₂S concentrations of 0 to 200 ppm. Either H₂ or H₂-H₂S mixture was used as anode gas, and O2 was used as cathode gas. The electrochemical characteristics of the anodes were quantified using an impedance analysis device (SP-150, Biologic Science Instrument). The impedance spectra were recorded over the frequency range of 0.01 Hz - 1 MHz.

3. Results and Discussion

Fig. 2 shows the *ex situ* XRD patterns of SYTF powder calcined at varying temperature (400, 600, 800, 1,000, and 1,200°C) in air environment. No



Fig. 2. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of SYTF0.5 calcined at varying temperature (400-1,200°C) for 10 h in air.

noticeable peaks were detected for the sample calcined at 400°C, where the perovskite crystalline structure was not formed. The perovskite phase of SYTF was observed at 600°C, and was prominent at higher temperature. Fig. 3(a) shows the XRD patterns of $Sr_{0.92}Y_{0.08}Ti_{1-y}Fe_yO_{3-\delta}$ (y = 0-0.5) powder sintered at 1,200°C. The amount of Fe as a B-site dopant in ABO₃ perovskite was varied as 0 (SYT), 0.1 (SYTF0.1), 0.3 (SYTF0.3), and 0.5 (SYTF0.5) mole fraction. No noticeable peaks were detected in SYT, SYTF0.1, SYTF0.3, and SYTF0.5, other than strontium titanate based perovskite structure. All peaks



Fig. 3. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of $Sr_{0.92}Y_{0.08}Ti_{1.}$ _vFe_vO_{3- δ} (y = 0-0.5) powder sintered at 1,200°C in air.

shifted slightly to the right side with an increase in the amount of the Fe dopant as shown in Fig. 3(b). The different size of cations changes the tolerance factor of the SYTF perovskite structure, leading to the peak shift of the XRD patterns. Substitution of cations with different ionic radii into crystal structure changes strain of the crystal, referring to the tolerance factor of the SYTF perovskite. In addition, the strain caused by different concentration of Fe³⁺ in the SYTF would be directly and/or indirectly related to the effects of the sulfur tolerance and the cell performance. The tolerance factor in doped perovskite can commonly be described by:

$$t = \frac{(1-x) \cdot R_A + x \cdot R_{A'} + R_O}{\sqrt{2}((1-y) \cdot R_B + y \cdot R_{B'} + R_O)}$$
(1)

where, x and y are the mole fraction of dopant at the A and B sites, respectively; R_A is the ionic radius of the A cation, and $R_{A'}$ is the ionic radius of the A-site dopant; R_B is the ionic radius of the B cation, and $R_{B'}$ is the ionic radius of the B-site dopant; and R_O is the ionic radius of oxygen anion. The tolerance factor for the SYT is 1.013, and it increases by increasing the amount of the B-site dopant for the SYTF0.1, SYTF0.3, and SYTF0.5 to 1.017, 1.023, and 1.030, respectively. The smaller radii of Fe³⁺ (0.55 Å for sixcoordination) than Ti⁴⁺ (0.61 Å for six-coordination) causes the tolerance factor to be larger than 1, leading to the slight peak shift exhibited in the SYTF0.5. Although stable perovskite has a tolerance factor of (0.75-1), cubic perovskite structure still exhibits a tolerance factor of 1±0.05.

Fig. 4 (a) shows the electrical conductivity of the SYT and SYTF0.5 sample in reducing (10% H₂ balanced in N₂), inert (N₂), and oxidizing (Air) environment, at varying temperature (600-900°C). The electrical conductivity increased with elevating temperature, which corresponds to the conducting behavior of ceramic materials as a function of temperature. For the SYT sample, the electrical conductivities at 900°C in H₂, N₂, and Air were 1.07, 0.39, and 0.08 S/ cm, respectively. The electrical conductivities of the SYTF0.5 sample at 900°C in H₂, N₂, and Air were 0.79, 0.13, and 0.06 S/cm, respectively. The electrical conductivity of doped perovskite is affected by the dopant size in both A-site and B-site. Because of the smaller radius of Y³⁺ (1.19 Å of ionic radius for twelve-coordination) than that of Sr^{2+} (1.44 Å of ionic radius for twelve-coordination), the Sr²⁺ in



Fig. 4. (a) Electrochemical conductivity of the SYT and SYTF0.5 in reducing (10% H₂ balanced in N₂), inert (N₂), and oxidizing (Air) environment at varying temperature ($600-900^{\circ}$ C). (b) Arrhenius plots of the electrical conductivity corresponding to (a).

strontium titanate can be substituted by Y^{3+} . This aliovalent cation substitution creates lattice defects to maintain the electrical neutrality of the crystals, and leads to n-type semiconductor behavior. For B-site substitution, Ti^{4+} can be substituted by Fe^{3+} to form $Sr_{1-x}Y_xTi_{1-y}Fe_yO_{3-\delta}$, because Fe^{3+} (0.55 Å of ionic radius for six-coordination) has very similar ionic radius to that of Ti⁴⁺ (0.61 Å of ionic radius for sixcoordination). The mechanisms of formation of the electron pair in strontium vacancy as an n-type defect (1) and the oxygen vacancy (2) in *Kröger–Vink* notation are represented as:

$$Y_2 O_3 \xrightarrow{SrO} 2 Y_{Sr}^{\rho} + 3 O_O^X + V_{Sr}^{\prime\prime}$$

$$\tag{2}$$

$$Fe_2O_3 \xrightarrow{T_iO_2} 2Fe'_{Sr} + 3O'_O + V^{oo}_{Sr}$$
(3)

The two lattice sites of Sr²⁺ are substituted by Y³⁺ via mechanism (2), producing single strontium vacancy with two electrons (V''_{Sr}) per one mole of Y₂O₃, and it behaves as an n-type semiconductor. For the B-site, two lattice sites of Ti⁴⁺ may be substituted by Fe³⁺, producing single oxygen ion vacancy with two electron holes (V_{Sr}^{oo}), where oxygen ions (O^{2-}) can transfer. In addition, the reduction of TiO₂ in doped $SrTiO_3$ to $TiO_{2-\delta}$ under reducing conditions produces additional oxygen ion vacancies, leading to the improvement of ionic conductivity. The electrical conductivity of SYTF0.5 exhibited lower than that of SYT, although both electronic conductivity and ionic conductivity were improved via mechanism (2) and mechanism (3). The two electrons in the strontium vacancies and the charged oxygen vacancies in the SYTF0.5 can be associated, leading to decrease of the electronic conductivity of the SYTF0.5. Because the magnitude of the electronic conductivity in MIEC is much higher compared to that of the ionic conductivity, the electrical conductivity of the SYTF0.5 was lower than that of the SYT. Fig. 4 (b) shows Arrhenius plots of the electrical conductivity corresponding to Fig. 4 (a), which can be described by:

$$\sigma \cdot T = \sigma_0 \cdot exp\left(\frac{E_A}{k_B T}\right) \tag{4}$$

where, σ_0 is a pre-exponential factor related to the

effective number of electrons and mobile oxygen ions, E_A is the activation energy for electrical conduction processes, and k_B and T denote the Boltzmann constant and absolute temperature, respectively. The dashed lines in Fig. 4 (b) are linear least-squares fits of the electrical conductivities, while Table 1 summarizes the activation energies derived from the straight lines.

Fig. 5 shows the XRD patterns of SYTF0.5/8YSZ mixture to investigate the chemical compatibility of the SYTF0.5 anode with the 8YSZ electrolyte. To verify the by-product formation between anode/electrolyte interlayer during the sintering process, the mixture of the 8YSZ electrolyte and SYTF0.5 anode was co-fired under the anode sintering condition (1,200°C/10 h/air). No apparent by-products patterns were detected in the XRD, other than the SYTF0.5 phase and the 8YSZ phase.

Fig. 6 (a) shows impedance spectra of the SYT anode and the SYTF0.5 anode. Electrolyte supported single cells were prepared with the LSM/8YSZ/SYT or SYTF0.5. The experimental was carried out at 800 and 900°C in H₂ under OCV condition. Even though



Fig. 5. Chemical compatibility analysis based on X-ray diffraction patterns of SYTF0.5/8YSZ mixture sintered at $1,200^{\circ}$ C in H₂ for 10 h.

Table 1. Summary of the activation energies for the total electrical conductivities analyzed with the straight lines of Fig. 4 (b).

	SYT			SYTF0.5		
	H_2	N_2	Air	H ₂	N_2	Air
σ_0 (S/cm)	10029	11407	370	37835	9474	4780
E _A (eV)	0.213	0.340	0.145	0.370	0.439	0.416
R ² (-)	0.989	0.915	0.989	0.997	0.896	0.997



Fig. 6. (a) Impedance spectra of the SYT anode and the SYTF0.5 anode measured at 800 and 900°C in H_2 under OCV condition. (b) IV-characteristics of the SYT anode and the SYTF0.5 anode at 900°C in H_2 .

the SYT anode has ionic conductivity by reducing $TiO_{2-\delta}$ in H₂, electronic conductivity would be the major contribution to electrical conductivity in the SYT anode by A-site substitution. Therefore, the SYT anode has limited MIEC property. Otherwise, a B-site substitution exhibited in the SYTF0.5 anode improves the ionic conductivity, as well as the electronic conductivity, via A-site substitution, leading to good MIEC property. The improved MIEC property exhibited in the SYTF0.5 anode could lead to lower polarization resistance, compared to the SYT anode. Fig. 6 (b) shows the IV-characteristics with the same experimental conditions as Fig. 6 (a). The data were recorded after stabilizing the system for approximately 30 min. The maximum power densities of the SYT anode were 56.9 mW/cm² at 900°C. Otherwise, the maximum power densities of the SYTF0.5 anode at (800 and 900)°C were (68.4 and 98.6) mW/cm², respectively, due to the improved MIEC property of



Fig. 7. H_2S tolerance effects on the SYTF0.5 anode with (a) impedance spectra, and (b) IV-characteristics at 900°C measured in varying H_2S concentration (0-200 ppm).

the SYTF0.5 anode.

Fig. 7 shows H₂S tolerant effects on the SYTF0.5 anode with the impedance spectra in Fig. 7 (a) and the IV-characteristics in Fig. 7 (b). To investigate the electrochemical property by H₂S concentration in the fuel, the electrolyte supported button-type single cells with LSM/8YSZ/SYTF0.5 were prepared. The impedance spectra of the cell were obtained under OCV condition, and measured at 900°C in a gas mixture of H_2 and H_2S . The spectra were measured after 2 h of H₂S exposure, to stabilize, and to reach quasiequilibrium. Because of the constant conditions of the LSM cathode and the YSZ electrolyte, the response of the impedance spectra is caused by the anode polarization resistance from sulfur poisoning. The polarization resistance was stable up to 200 ppm of H₂S exposure, compared to the conventional Ni/ YSZ anode. In our previous research, the cell performance of the Ni/YSZ drastically and irreversibly decreased over 40%, even in 100 ppm of H₂S exposure [27]. The extended electrochemical reaction sites beyond the TPB area could confine H₂S poisoning, as well as the excellent resistance property exhibited in the MIEC oxides for sulfur poisoning. The cell performance loss in sulfur poisoning to the SOFC anodes can be attributed to physical adsorption and chemisorption of H₂S at the active site of the anode surface. The loss of the electrochemical reaction site can directly affect the performance. The adsorbed sulfur can react with anode materials for long-term operation to form the sulfides, resulting in the loss of electrical conductivity, stability, and catalytic activity. The possible electrochemical oxidations of sulfur on the SOFC anode are given by:

$$H_2S(g) \leftrightarrow H_2(g) + S_{surface adsorption}(s)$$
 (5)

$$H_2S(g) + 3O^{2-} \leftrightarrow H_2O(g) + SO_2(g) + 6e^{-} \tag{6}$$

$$H_2S(g) + O^{2-} \leftrightarrow H_2O(g) + S(S) + 2e^{-}$$
(7)

$$S_{adsorbed on surface}(s) + 20^{2-} \leftrightarrow SO_2(g) + 4e^{-1}$$
(8)

Sulfur can be produced from H₂S decomposition via reaction (5), leading to physical or chemical surface adsorption. SO_2 formed via reactions (6) and (8) may further react with excess H₂S to produce sulfur. Because SO_2 shows a more stable thermodynamic phase than H₂S and S, the reverse reactions in (6) and (8) may be less probable in SOFC operation condition. Therefore, the reactions (6) and (8) are favorable for the sulfur tolerance of anode, and excess O²⁻ at the TPB area or reaction sites increases the prominence of reactions (6) and (8). The MIEC property exhibited in the SYTF0.5 anode by Fe³⁺ substitution could provide O²⁻ on the electrochemical reaction sites via reactions (6) and (8), to form SO₂, electrochemically leading to improved H₂S tolerance on the anode. The results shows that other aliovalent substitution in the Ti⁴⁺ of B-site instead of Fe³⁺ may play a same role in the ionic conductivity leading to improvement of H₂S tolerance. We are investigating Ni²⁺ dopant effects of the sulfur tolerance and the results will be contributed in our further research. Fig. 7 (b) shows the I-V characteristics with varying H₂S concentration (0-200 ppm) at 900°C in the SYTF0.5 anode. The data of the cell performance were obtained after reaching a quasisteady state after the H₂S introduction. The maximum power densities were slightly decreased by 13% (86.3 to 75.2 mW/cm² at 0 to 200 ppm), compared to Ni/ YSZ anode. The extended electrochemical reaction beyond the TPB via B-site substitution of Fe³⁺ might improve the H₂S tolerance, as well as increase the cell performance.

4. Conclusions

Electrochemical characteristics of the SYTF0.5 were investigated in H₂ fuels containing H₂S, to assess the feasibility of the oxide materials as alternative anodes. The electrical conductivity of the SYTF0.5 was lower than that of the SYT, even though additional ionic conductivity was introduced by aliovalent substitution of the B-site of Ti⁴⁺ by Fe³⁺. The defect association between the electron pair in strontium vacancy and the oxygen vacancy with two electron-hole pairs exhibited in the SYTF0.5 might decrease the electrical conductivity. Because of the additional ionic conductivity introduced by Fe³⁺ substitution, the electrochemical performance of the SYTF0.5 anode was improved. The maximum power densities for the SYT anode and the SYTF0.5 anode in H_2 at 900°C were 56.9 and 98.6 mW/cm², respectively. The maximum power density in the SYTF0.5 anode at 200 ppm of H₂S concentration decreased by 13%. The MIEC property exhibited in the SYTF0.5 anode would expand electrochemical oxidation area to the whole anode surface, as well as TPB, resulting in decrease of the overall anode polarization resistance. The additional ionic conductivity of Ti⁴⁺ substitution by Fe³⁺ would likely provide O²⁻ on the electrochemical reaction sites to electrochemically form SO₂, leading to improved H₂S tolerance on the anode.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Nanomaterial Technology Development Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT, and Future Planning (NRF-2015M3A7B4050495).

References

[1] S.C. Singhal, Solid State Ionics, 2002, 152-153, 405-410.

- [2] N.Q. Minh, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 1993, 76, 563-588.
- [3] L. Malavasi, C.A.J. Fisher, M.S. Islam, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 4370-4387.
- [4] Fuel Cell Handbook, 7th ed., EG&G Technical Services, Inc. for NETL of U.S. Dept. of Energy, 2004.
- [5] T.H. Milby, R.C. Baselt, Am. J. Ind. Med., 1999, 35, 192.
- [6] M. Gong, X. Liu, J. Trembly, C. Johnson, J. Power Sources, 2007, 168, 289.
- [7] D.K. Niakolas, Appl. Catal. A-Gen., 486, 2014, 123-142.
- [8] Z. U. Din, Z.A. Zainal, Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev., 2017, 72, 1050-1066.
- [9] H. Madi, S. Diethelm, C. Ludwig, J.V. Herle, Int. J. Hydrogen Energ., 2016, 41, 12231-12241.
- [10] N.U. Pujare, K.W. Semkow, A.F. Sammells, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1987, 134, 2639-2640.
- [11] N.U. Pujare, K.J. Tsai, A.F. Sammells, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1989, 136, 3362-3378.
- [12] C. Yates, J. Winnick, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1999, 148, 2841-2844.
- [13] M. Liu, G.Wei, J. Luo, A.R. Sanger, K.T. Chuang, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2003, 150, A1025-A1029.
- [14] G.L. Wei, J. Luo, A.R. Sanger, K.T. Chuang, J. *Electrochem. Soc.*, **2004**, *151*, A232-A237.
- [15] M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M, Sakbodin, Z. Wang, *Science*, **2006**, *312*, 1508-1510.
- [16] H. Devianto, S.P. Yoon, S.W. Nam, J. Han, T.H. Lim, J. Power Sources, 2006, 159, 1147-1152.
- [17] J.W. Yun, S.P. Yoon, J. Han, S. Park, H.S. Kim, S.W. Nam, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2010, 152, B1825-1830.

- [18] S. Zha, P Tsang, Z. Cheng, M. Liu, J. Solid State Chem., 2005, 178, 1844-1850.
- [19] S. Wang, M. Liu, J. Winnick, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2001, 5, 188-195.
- [20] R. Munkundan, E.L. Brosha, F.H. Garzon, *Electrochem. Solid State Lett.*, 2004, 7, A5-A7.
- [21] Y.H. Huang, R.I. Dass, Z.L. Xing, J.B. Goodenough, *Science*, 2006, 312, 254-257.
- [22] Z. Han, Y. Wang, Y. Yang, L. Li, Z. Yang, M. Han, J. Alloys Compd., 2017, 703, 258-263.
- [23] N. Yan, S. Zanna, L.H. Klein, M. Roushanafshar, B.S. Amirkhiz, Y. Zeng, G. Rothenberg, P. Marcus, J. L. Luo, *J. Power Sources*, 2017, 343, 127-134.
- [24] T.G. Howell, C.P. Kuhnell, T.L. Reitz, A.M. Sukeshini, R.N. Singh, *J. Power Sources*, **2013**, 231, 279-284.
- [25] Y. Li, Z. Wang, J. Li, X. Zhu, Y. Zhang, X. Huang, Y. Zhou, L. Zhu, Z. Lü, J. Alloys Compd., 2017, 698, 794-799.
- [26] L.Xu, Y.M. Yin, N. Zhou, Z. Wang, Z.F. Ma, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2017, 76, 51-54.
- [27] J.W. Yun, S.P. Yoon, S. Park, H.S. Kim, S.W. Nam, Int. J. Hydrogen Energ., 2011, 36, 787-796.
- [28] J.W. Yun, H.C. Ham, H.S. Kim, S.A. Song, S.W. Nam, S.P. Yoon, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2013, 160, F153-F161.
- [29] E.K. Park, J.W. Yun, J. Electrochem. Sci. Technol., 2016, 7, 33-40.
- [30] J.M. Lee, J.W. Yun, Ceram. Int., 2016, 42, 8698-8705.
- [31] E.K. Park, S. Lee, J.W. Yun, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2018, 429, 171-179.