

## TWO POINTS DISTORTION ESTIMATES FOR CONVEX UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

MARI OKADA AND HIROSHI YANAGIHARA

ABSTRACT. We study the class  $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  of analytic functions  $f$  in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  of the form  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  satisfying

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \in \Omega, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where  $\Omega$  is a convex and proper subdomain of  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $1 \in \Omega$ . Let  $\phi_\Omega$  be the unique conformal mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Omega$  with  $\phi_\Omega(0) = 1$  and  $\phi'_\Omega(0) > 0$  and

$$k_\Omega(z) = \int_0^z \exp\left(\int_0^t \zeta^{-1}(\phi_\Omega(\zeta) - 1) d\zeta\right) dt.$$

Let  $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $z_0 \neq z_1$ . As the first result in this paper we show that the region of variability  $\{\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0) : f \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)\}$  coincides with the set  $\{\log k'_\Omega(z_1 z) - \log k'_\Omega(z_0 z) : |z| \leq 1\}$ . The second result deals with the case when  $\Omega$  is the right half plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} w > 0\}$ . In this case  $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  is identical with the usual normalized class of convex univalent functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . And we derive the sharp upper bound for  $|\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0)|$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H})$ . The third result concerns how far two functions in  $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  are from each other. Furthermore we determine all extremal functions explicitly.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex plane,  $\mathbb{D}(c, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - c| < r\}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(c, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - c| \leq r\}$  with  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $r > 0$ . In particular we denote the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}(0, 1)$  by  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the linear space of analytic functions in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ , endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Set  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0\}$  and denote by  $S$  the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}_0$  consisting of all univalent functions as usual. Then  $S$  is a compact subset of the metrizable space  $\mathcal{A}$ . See [1, Chap. 9] for details.

Unless otherwise stated explicitly, throughout the discussion let  $\Omega$  be a simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $1 \in \Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$  and  $\phi_\Omega$  the unique conformal

---

Received May 4, 2017; Revised September 14, 2017; Accepted November 3, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* univalent, convex functions, modulus of continuity, region of variability.

mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Omega$  with  $\phi_\Omega(0) = 1$  and  $\phi'_\Omega(0) > 0$ . Ma and Minda [3] considered the classes  $S^*(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$

$$S^*(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}_0 : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in \Omega \text{ on } \mathbb{D} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{CV}(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}_0 : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \in \Omega \text{ on } \mathbb{D} \right\},$$

with some mild conditions, e.g.  $\Omega$  is starlike with respect to 1 and the symmetry with respect to the real axis  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega$ . It is easy to see that for  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  if and only if  $zf' \in S^*(\Omega)$ . Note that, with the special choice of  $\Omega = \mathbb{H} := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} w > 0\}$ , these two classes consist of starlike and convex functions in the standard sense, and are denoted simply by  $S^*$  and  $\mathcal{CV}$ , respectively.

If  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\Omega = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Arg} w| < 2^{-1}\pi\alpha\}$ , then  $\phi_\Omega(z) = \{(1+z)/(1-z)\}^\alpha$ , and hence, in this choice  $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  reduces to the class of strongly convex functions of order  $\alpha$ . Furthermore for  $\Omega = \mathbb{H}_\beta := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} w > \beta\}$  with  $0 \leq \beta < 1$  the class  $\mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)$  coincides with the class of convex functions of order  $\beta$ . Also  $\mathcal{CV}(\{\operatorname{Re} w > k|w-1|\})$  with  $0 \leq k < \infty$  called the class of  $k$ -uniformly convex functions, which was introduced in [2]. Various subclasses of  $\mathcal{CV}$  can be expressed in this way. For details we refer to [3] and [4]. We notice that it may be possible that  $\mathbb{H} \subset \Omega$ , and in this case we have  $\mathcal{CV} \subset \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$ .

Since  $\Omega$  is simply connected and  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$  has an unbounded component. Therefore  $f \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  forces that  $f'(z) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  and the single valued branch  $\log f'(z)$  with  $\log f'(0) = 0$  exists on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $z_0 \neq z_1$ . One of the aims of the present article is to study the variability regions

$$(1.1) \quad V_\Omega(z_0, z_1) = \{\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0) : f \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)\}$$

for various classes  $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  in a unified manner. Let

$$(1.2) \quad k_\Omega(z) = \int_0^z \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{\phi_\Omega(\zeta) - 1}{\zeta} d\zeta\right) dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then  $k_\Omega \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  and  $k_\Omega$  plays the role of the extremal function.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $\Omega$  is convex, then*

$$(1.3) \quad V_\Omega(z_0, z_1) = \{\log k'_\Omega(z_1 z) - \log k'_\Omega(z_0 z) : z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}\}.$$

*Furthermore the set in the right hand side of the equation is a convex closed Jordan domain enclosed by the simple closed curve given by*

$$\partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \ni \varepsilon \mapsto \log k'_\Omega(z_1 \varepsilon) - \log k'_\Omega(z_0 \varepsilon),$$

*and  $\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0) = \log k'_\Omega(z_1 \varepsilon) - \log k'_\Omega(z_0 \varepsilon)$  holds for some  $f \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  and  $\varepsilon \in \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  if and only if  $f(z) = \bar{\varepsilon}k_\Omega(\varepsilon z)$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

When  $\Omega = \mathbb{H}_\beta$ , the functions  $\phi_{\mathbb{H}_\beta}$ ,  $k_{\mathbb{H}_\beta}$  and the set  $V_{\mathbb{H}_\beta}(z_0, z_1)$  will be written simply as  $\phi_\beta$ ,  $k_\beta$  and  $V_\beta(z_0, z_1)$ , respectively. Then we have

$$(1.4) \quad \phi_\beta(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z},$$

$$(1.5) \quad \log k'_\beta(z) = 2(1 - \beta) \log \frac{1}{1 - z},$$

$$(1.6) \quad k_\beta(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\beta-1} \{1 - (1 - z)^{2\beta-1}\}, & \beta \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \log \frac{1}{1-z}, & \beta = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

As a simple application of Theorem 1.1 we have the following simple estimate.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)$  with  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . For  $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $z_0 \neq z_1$  we have*

$$(1.7) \quad |\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0)| \leq 2(1 - \beta) \frac{|z_1 - z_0|}{1 - \max\{|z_0|, |z_1|\}}.$$

The inequality (1.7) is not sharp. Applying Theorem 1.1 more precisely we can determine

$$\max_{f \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)} |\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0)|, \quad \max_{f, g \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)} |\log f'(z_1) - \log g'(z_1)|.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** *For  $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $|z_0| \leq |z_1|$  and  $z_0 \neq z_1$  let*

$$(1.8) \quad c = \frac{1 - z_0 \bar{z}_1}{1 - |z_1|^2}, \quad \rho = \frac{|z_1 - z_0|}{1 - |z_1|^2}$$

and  $\varphi_0 = \text{Arg } c$ . Then the equation

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{|c| \sin \theta}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta}} \log \left( |c| \cos \theta + \sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right) - \theta = \varphi_0$$

has the unique solution  $\theta_0 \in \left( -\sin^{-1} \frac{\rho}{|c|}, \sin^{-1} \frac{\rho}{|c|} \right)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{f \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)} |\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0)| \\ &= 2(1 - \beta) \left| \log \left( |c| \cos \theta_0 + \sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta_0} \right) + i(\theta_0 + \varphi_0) \right| \end{aligned}$$

and the maximum is attained if and only if  $f(z) = \bar{\varepsilon}_0 k_\beta(\varepsilon_0 z)$ , where  $\varepsilon_0 \in \partial \mathbb{D}$  is given by

$$(1.10) \quad \left( |c| \cos \theta_0 + \sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta_0} \right) e^{i(\theta_0 + \varphi_0)} = \frac{1 - \varepsilon_0 z_0}{1 - \varepsilon_0 z_1}.$$

Particularly when  $z_0/z_1 \geq 0$  or  $z_0/z_1 < 0$ , we have  $\varphi_0 = \theta_0 = 0$  and the maximum coincides with  $2(1 - \beta) \log \frac{1 - |z_0|}{1 - |z_1|}$  or  $2(1 - \beta) \log \frac{1 + |z_0|}{1 - |z_1|}$ , respectively.

The following theorem shows that how far two functions in  $\mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)$  are from each other.

**Theorem 1.4.** *For  $z_1 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$  we have*

$$\max_{f, g \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)} |\log f'(z_1) - \log g'(z_1)| = 2(1 - \beta) \log \frac{1 + |z_1|}{1 - |z_1|}$$

and the maximum is attained if and only if

$$f(z) = -\frac{z_1}{|z_1|} k_\beta \left( -\frac{\bar{z}_1}{|z_1|} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \frac{z_1}{|z_1|} k_\beta \left( \frac{\bar{z}_1}{|z_1|} \right)$$

or permutation of them.

### 2. Determination of $V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$

Assume  $\Omega$  is convex and let  $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $z_0 \neq z_1$  be fixed. For  $f \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  let

$$p_f(z) = 1 + z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The set  $V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$  is a compact and convex subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and has 0 as an interior point. Particularly  $\partial V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$  is a simple closed curve and  $V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$  is the closed Jordan domain enclosed by  $\partial V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$ , i.e.,  $V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$  is the union of  $\partial V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$  and the domain surrounded by  $\partial V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  is a compact subset of the metric space  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$  is the image of  $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  with respect to the continuous functional  $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega) \ni f \mapsto \log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0)$ , it is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For  $f_0, f_1 \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  let

$$p_t(z) = (1 - t)p_{f_1}(z) + tp_{f_0}(z), \quad f_t(z) = \int_0^z \exp \left( \int_0^\zeta \frac{p_t(\xi) - 1}{\xi} d\xi \right) d\zeta.$$

Then  $f_t \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  and

$$\log f'_t(z_1) - \log f'_t(z_0) = (1 - t)\{\log f'_1(z_1) - \log f'_1(z_0)\} + t\{\log f'_0(z_1) - \log f'_0(z_0)\}.$$

From this it easily follows that  $V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$  is convex.

For  $\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  let

$$F_\varepsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} k_\Omega(\varepsilon z), & \varepsilon \neq 0, \\ z, & \varepsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $p_{F_\varepsilon}(z) = \phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z)$  and hence  $F_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Let

$$q(\varepsilon) = \log F'_\varepsilon(z_1) - \log F'_\varepsilon(z_0) = \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0).$$

Then  $q(\varepsilon) \in V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$  and we have

$$q'(0) = \frac{k''_\Omega(0)}{k'_\Omega(0)}(z_1 - z_0) = \phi'_\Omega(0)(z_1 - z_0) \neq 0.$$

Therefore  $q$  is nonconstant analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $0(= q(0))$  is an interior point of  $q(\mathbb{D})$ . Since  $q(\mathbb{D}) \subset V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$ ,  $0$  is an interior point of  $V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$ .

Since the latter statement of the lemma is a simple consequence of the former one, proof is left to the reader.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* For  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\phi_\Omega$  maps  $\mathbb{D}(0, r)$  conformally onto the convex domain  $\phi_\Omega(\mathbb{D}(0, r))$ . Also the boundary  $\partial\phi_\Omega(\mathbb{D}(0, r))$  is the image of the convex closed curve given by  $(-\pi, \pi] \ni \theta \mapsto \phi_\Omega(re^{i\theta})$ . By the Schwarz lemma we have  $|\phi_\Omega^{-1}(p_f(z))| \leq |z|$ . This implies  $p_f(\zeta) \in \overline{\phi_\Omega(\mathbb{D}(0, r))} = \phi_\Omega(\overline{\mathbb{D}(0, r)})$  for  $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}(0, r)}$ . Thus for  $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}(0, r)}$ ,  $p_f(\zeta)$  belongs to the left half plane of the tangential line at  $\phi_\Omega(re^{i\theta})$  with the tangential vector  $ire^{i\theta}\phi'_\Omega(re^{i\theta})$ . Hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\phi_\Omega(re^{i\theta}) - p_f(\zeta)}{re^{i\theta}\phi'_\Omega(re^{i\theta})} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \in \partial\mathbb{D}(0, r)$ . Applying the above inequality to  $\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon \cdot)$  instead of  $\phi_\Omega$  and letting  $\zeta = re^{i\theta} = z$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z) - p_f(z)}{\varepsilon z \phi'_\Omega(\varepsilon z)} \right\} \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

with equality at some  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$  if and only if  $p_f(z) \equiv \phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z)$ .

Since  $\Omega$  is convex, the line segment connecting  $\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)$  and  $\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1)$  entirely lies in  $\Omega$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the path defined by

$$z(t) = \bar{\varepsilon} \phi_\Omega^{-1}((1-t)\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0) + t\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then  $\Gamma$  is a  $C^1$ -path in  $\mathbb{D}$  joining  $z_0$  and  $z_1$  and satisfying  $\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z(t)) = (1-t)\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0) + t\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1)$ . By differentiation we have

$$(2.2) \quad \varepsilon \phi'_\Omega(\varepsilon z(t)) z'(t) = \phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0).$$

By (2.1) and (2.2) we have successively

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z(t)) - p_f(z(t))}{\varepsilon z(t) \phi'_\Omega(\varepsilon z(t))} \right\} dt \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{\frac{\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z(t)) - p_f(z(t))}{z(t)} z'(t)}{\varepsilon \phi'_\Omega(\varepsilon z(t)) z'(t)} dt \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{\frac{\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z(t)) - p_f(z(t))}{z(t)} z'(t)}{\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)} dt \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\int_\Gamma \frac{\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z) - p_f(z)}{z} dz}{\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\int_\Gamma \frac{\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z) - 1}{z} dz - \int_\Gamma \frac{p_f(z) - 1}{z} dz}{\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0) - (\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0))}{\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)} \right\}.$$

Letting  $w_0 = \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)$  and  $c = \phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)$  it easily follows that  $\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0)$  always belongs to the half plane  $\mathcal{H} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\{(w_0 - w)/c\} \geq 0\}$ . Thus we have  $V_\Omega(z_0, z_1) \subset \mathcal{H}$ . From this  $w_0 = \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0) \in V_\Omega(z_0, z_1) \cap \partial\mathcal{H}$ . Therefore we obtain  $\log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0) \in \partial V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$  for any  $\varepsilon \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ .

We deal with uniqueness. Suppose that  $\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0) = \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)$  holds for some  $f \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$  and  $\varepsilon \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ . Then from the uniqueness part of (2.1) it follows that  $\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z) = p_f(z)$  on the image of  $\Gamma$ . By the identity theorem for analytic functions we obtain that  $\phi_\Omega(\varepsilon z) = p_f(z)$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Therefore,  $\bar{\varepsilon}k_\Omega(\varepsilon z) = f(z)$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  by normalization.

Now we show that the closed curve given by  $\partial\mathbb{D} \ni \varepsilon \mapsto \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)$  is simple. Assume that  $\log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon_1 z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon_1 z_0) = \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon_0 z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon_0 z_0)$ . Then from the uniqueness part of the theorem which is shown above we have  $\bar{\varepsilon}_1 k_\Omega(\varepsilon_1 z) = \bar{\varepsilon}_0 k_\Omega(\varepsilon_0 z)$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Since  $k_\Omega(z) = z + 2^{-1}k''_\Omega(0)z^2 + \dots$  with  $k''_\Omega(0) = \phi'_\Omega(0) > 0$ , this implies  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_0$ .

We have shown that the closed curve given by  $\partial\mathbb{D} \ni \varepsilon \mapsto \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)$  is simple and its image is contained in  $\partial V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$ . By Lemma 2.1  $\partial V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$  is also a image of simple closed curve. Note that a simple closed curve cannot contain any simple closed curve other than itself, the mapping  $\partial\mathbb{D} \ni \varepsilon \mapsto \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_1) - \log k'_\Omega(\varepsilon z_0)$  is a parametrization of the boundary curve  $\partial V_\Omega(z_0, z_1)$ . □

### 3. The case that $\Omega = \mathbb{H}_\beta$

*Proof of Proposition 1.2.* When  $\Omega = \mathbb{H}_\beta$ , by Theorem 1.1 and (1.5), for  $f \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)$  there exists  $z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0) = 2(1 - \beta) \log \frac{1 - z_0 z}{1 - z_1 z}$ .

Since  $\log \frac{1}{1-w} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{w^k}{k}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0)}{z_1 - z_0} \right| &= 2(1 - \beta) \left| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{z_1^{k-1} + z_1^{k-2}z_0 + \dots + z_0^{k-1}}{k} z^{k-1} \right| \\ &\leq 2(1 - \beta) \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\max\{|z_1|, |z_0|\})^{k-1} |z|^{k-1} \\ &= \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{1 - \max\{|z_1|, |z_0|\}|z|} \\ &\leq \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{1 - \max\{|z_1|, |z_0|\}}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Similarly we have

$$V_\beta(z_0, z_1) = \{\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0) : f \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)\}$$

$$= \left\{ 2(1 - \beta) \log \frac{1 - z_0 z}{1 - z_1 z} : z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \right\}.$$

The image of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  under the linear fractional transformation  $z \mapsto \frac{1 - z_0 z}{1 - z_1 z}$  coincides with  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(c, \rho)$ , where  $c$  and  $\rho$  are defined by (1.8). Notice that  $|c| < \rho$ . Let  $\varphi_0 = \text{Arg } c \in (-\pi, \pi]$ . Then for  $re^{i(\theta + \varphi_0)} \in \partial\mathbb{D}(c, \rho)$ , by the law of cosines we have  $r = |c| \cos \theta \pm \sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta}$ ,  $|\theta| \leq \sin^{-1} \frac{\rho}{|c|}$ . Then the boundary  $\partial V_\beta(z_0, z_1) = 2(1 - \beta) \log \partial\mathbb{D}(c, \rho)$  consists of two simple arcs  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  which have parametric representations

$$J_\ell : u + iv = u_\ell(\theta) + i\Theta(\theta), \quad \ell = 1, 2, \quad |\theta| \leq \sin^{-1} \frac{\rho}{|c|},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(\theta) &= 2(1 - \beta) \log \left\{ |c| \cos \theta - \sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right\}, \\ u_2(\theta) &= 2(1 - \beta) \log \left\{ |c| \cos \theta + \sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right\}, \\ \Theta(\theta) &= 2(1 - \beta)(\theta + \varphi_0), \quad \varphi_0 = \text{Arg } c. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2}\{u_1(\theta) + u_2(\theta)\} = (1 - \beta) \log\{|c|^2 - \rho^2\}$ ,  $\partial V_\beta(z_0, z_1)$  is symmetric with respect to the vertical line  $L : u = (1 - \beta) \log\{|c|^2 - \rho^2\}$  and the horizontal line  $v = 2(1 - \beta)\varphi_0$ . By

$$|c - 1| = \frac{|\overline{z_1}(z_1 - z_0)|}{1 - |z_1|^2} < \frac{|z_1 - z_0|}{1 - |z_1|^2} = \rho,$$

we also note that the origin is an interior point of  $V_\beta(z_0, z_1)$ .

Since  $V_\beta(z_0, z_1)$  is compact, there exists  $w_0 \in \partial V_\beta(z_0, z_1)$  with  $|w_0| = \max_{f \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)} |\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0)|$ . From  $|z_1| \geq |z_0|$  it follows that  $|c|^2 - \rho^2 \geq 1$  and hence the origin lies in the left hand side of the symmetric axis  $L$ . Therefore there exists  $\theta_0$  with  $|\theta_0| \leq \sin^{-1} \frac{\rho}{|c|}$  such that  $w_0 = u_2(\theta_0) + i\Theta(\theta_0)$  and that the normal line at  $w_0$  passes through the origin.

**Claim.** There exists uniquely the normal line to the arc  $J_2$ , which passes through the origin.

We temporarily assume the claim. Then the unique normal line can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} u = u_2(\theta_0) - \frac{d\Theta}{d\theta}(\theta_0)t = 2(1 - \beta) \left\{ \log \left( |c| \cos \theta_0 + \sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta_0} \right) - t \right\}, \\ v = \Theta(\theta_0) + \frac{du_2}{d\theta}(\theta_0)t = 2(1 - \beta) \left\{ \theta_0 + \varphi_0 - \frac{|c| \sin \theta_0}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta_0}} t \right\} \end{cases}$$

$t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since the line passes through the origin, we obtain

$$\theta_0 + \varphi_0 - \frac{|c| \sin \theta_0}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta_0}} \log \left( |c| \cos \theta_0 + \sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta_0} \right) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to (1.9).

By the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.1 the extremal function which attains  $\max_{f \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)} |\log f'(z_1) - \log f'(z_0)|$  is given by  $f(z) = \bar{\varepsilon}_0 k_\beta(\varepsilon_0 z)$ , where  $\varepsilon_0$  satisfies

$$w_0 = u_2(\theta_0) + i\Theta(\theta_0) = 2(1 - \beta) \log \frac{1 - \varepsilon_0 z_0}{1 - \varepsilon_0 z_1},$$

which is equivalent to (1.10). □

*Proof of Claim.* Let  $h(\theta)$  be the  $v$ -coordinate of the intersection of the normal line at  $(u_2(\theta), \Theta(\theta))$  and the symmetric axis  $L$ . Then

$$\frac{h(\theta)}{2(1 - \beta)} = \theta + \varphi_0 - \frac{|c| \sin \theta}{2\sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta}} \log \left( \frac{|c| \cos \theta + \sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta}}{|c| \cos \theta - \sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta}} \right).$$

By an elementary calculation

$$\frac{h'(\theta)}{2(1 - \beta)} = \frac{\rho^2}{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta} - \frac{|c| \rho^2 \cos \theta}{2(\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{3/2}} \log \left( \frac{1 + \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta}}{|c| \cos \theta}}{1 - \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 - |c|^2 \sin^2 \theta}}{|c| \cos \theta}} \right).$$

Notice that  $\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+x}{1-x} = x + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2k+1} x^{2k+1} > x$  for  $0 < x < 1$ . It is easy to see that  $h'(\theta) < 0$  for  $|\theta| < \sin^{-1} \frac{\rho}{|c|}$ . Thus  $h(\theta)$  is strictly decreasing in  $\theta$ . From a geometric consideration we infer that any two normal lines to the curve  $J_2$  intersect in the right hand side of the symmetric axis  $L$ . Therefore a normal line passing through 0 is unique. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* The maximum in question is obviously the diameter of the variability region  $V_\beta(0, z_1) = \{2(1 - \beta) \log \frac{1}{1-z_1 z} : |z| \leq 1\}$ , i.e.,

$$\max_{f, g \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathbb{H}_\beta)} |\log f'(z_1) - \log g'(z_1)| = \max_{w, \tilde{w} \in V_\beta(0, z_1)} |w - \tilde{w}|.$$

We may assume that  $z_1 = r \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $a = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-r^2}}{r}$ . Then we have  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $1 - ar = \sqrt{1 - r^2}$  and  $r - a = a\sqrt{1 - r^2}$ . Consider the function

$$F(z) = \log \frac{1}{1 - r \frac{z+a}{1+az}} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1 - r^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then  $V_\beta(0, r) = \{2(1 - \beta)(F(z) + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1-r^2}) : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$  and we have by an elementary calculation

$$F(z) = \log \frac{1 + az}{1 - az} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{a^{2n+1}}{2n + 1} z^{2n+1}.$$



Since  $F(z)$  is an odd function of  $z$  and has a Taylor expansion of non-negative coefficients, we have

$$|F(z)| \leq F(|z|), \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$$

with equality if and only if  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \cap \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, the diameter of  $F(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  is given only by  $F(1) - F(-1) = 2F(1) = \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}$  as is expected. It is easy to determine the extremal functions explicitly. We omit details.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the referees for their careful reading of our manuscript and their constructive comments. In particular the referees suggested us to introduce the auxiliary function  $F(z)$  in the proof of Theorem 1.4, which enabled us to improve and shorten the proof.  $\square$

### References

- [1] P. Duren, *Univalent Functions* (Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 259, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo), Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [2] S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska, *Conic regions and  $k$ -uniform convexity*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **105** (1999), no. 1-2, 327–336.
- [3] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, *A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*, in Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992), 157–169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [4] H. Yanagihara, *Variability regions for families of convex functions*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **10** (2010), no. 1, 291–302.

MARI OKADA  
 DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED SCIENCE  
 FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
 YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY  
 TOKIWADAI, UBE 755-8611, JAPAN  
*Email address:* okada@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

HIROSHI YANAGIHARA  
 DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED SCIENCE  
 FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
 YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY  
 TOKIWADAI, UBE 755-8611, JAPAN  
*Email address:* hiroshi@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp