Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 55 (2018), No. 3, pp. 913-920

https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b170384 pISSN: 1015-8634 / eISSN: 2234-3016

## SUMS OF TRIPOTENT AND NILPOTENT MATRICES

Marjan Sheibani Abdolyousefi and Huanyin Chen

ABSTRACT. Let R be a 2-primal strongly 2-nil-clean ring. We prove that every square matrix over R is the sum of a tripotent and a nilpotent matrices. The similar result for rings of bounded index is proved. We thereby provide a large class of rings over which every matrix is the sum of a tripotent and a nilpotent matrices.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout, all rings are associative with an identity. A ring R is nil clean provided that every element in R is the sum of an idempotent and a nilpotent (see [8]). A ring R is weakly nil-clean provided that every element in R is the sum or difference of a nilpotent and an idempotent (see [2]). The subjects of nil-clean rings and weakly nil-clean rings are interested for so many mathematicians, e.g., [2-5,7,8,11,12,14] and [16].

The purpose of this paper is to consider matrices over a new type of rings which cover (weakly) nil clean rings. An element  $e \in R$  is a tripotent if  $e = e^3$ . We call a ring R is trinil clean provided that every element in R is the sum of a tripotent and a nilpotent. We shall explore when a matrix ring is trinil-clean, i.e., when every matrix over a ring can be written as the sum of a tripotent and a nilpotent. A ring R is 2-primal if its prime radical coincides with the set of nilpotent elements of the ring, e.g., commutative rings, reduced rings, etc. Following the authors, a ring R is strongly 2-nil-clean if every element in R is the sum of two idempotents and a nilpotent that commute. Evidently, a ring R is strongly 2-nil-clean if and only if every element in R is the sum of a tripotent and a nilpotent that commute (see [6, Theorem 2.8]). If R is a 2-primal strongly 2-nil-clean ring, we prove that  $M_n(R)$  is trinil clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore, we show that the matrix ring over a strongly 2-nil-clean ring of bounded index is trinil clean. This provides a large class of rings over which every matrix is the sum of a tripotent and a nilpotent matrices.

Received April 27, 2017; Revised August 14, 2017; Accepted September 14, 2017. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16S34, 16U99, 16E50.

Key words and phrases. tripotent matrix, nilpotent matrix, strongly 2-nil-clean ring, 2-prime ring.

We use N(R) to denote the set of all nilpotent elements in R and J(R) the Jacobson radical of R.  $\mathbb{N}$  stands for the set of all natural numbers.

#### 2. Structure theorems

The aim of this section is to investigate general structure of trinil clean rings which will be used in the sequel. We begin several examples of such rings.

**Example 2.1.** The class of trinil clean rings contains many familiar examples.

- (1) Every weakly nil-clean ring is trinil clean, e.g., strongly nil-clean rings, nil-clean rings, Boolean rings, weakly Boolean rings.
- (2) Every strongly trinil clean ring is trinil clean.
- (3) A local ring R is trinil clean if and only if  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , J(R) is nil (see [6, Theorem 4.2]).

We also provide some examples illustrating which ring-theoretic extensions of trinil clean rings produce trinil clean rings.

## Example 2.2.

- (1) Any quotient of a trinil clean ring is trinil clean.
- (2) Any finite product of trinil clean rings is trinil clean. But  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_8 \times$  is an infinite product of trinil clean rings, which is not trinil clean. The element  $(0, 2, 2, 2, \ldots) \in R$  can not written as the sum of a tripotent and a nilpotent element.
- (3) The triangular matrix ring  $T_n(R)$  is trinil clean if and only if R is trinil clean.
- (4) If R is trinil clean, then so is the ring  $R[[x]]/(x^n)$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let R be trivil clean. Then  $6 \in N(R)$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis, there exists a tripotent  $e \in R$  such that  $w := 2 - e \in N(R)$  with ew = we, and so  $2 - 2^3 = (e + w) - (e + w)^3 \in N(R)$ . This shows that  $6 \in N(R)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is trivil clean.
- (2)  $R = A \times B$ , where A and B are trivil clean,  $2 \in J(A)$  and  $3 \in J(B)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) In view of Lemma 2.3,  $6 \in N(R)$ . Write  $6^n = 0$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ . Since  $2^nR + 3^nR = R$  and  $2^nR \cap 3^nR = 0$ . By Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have  $R \cong A \times B$ , where  $A = R/2^nR$  and  $B = R/3^nR$ . Clearly,  $2 \in N(A)$  and  $3 \in N(B)$ . This implies that  $2 \in J(A)$  and  $3 \in J(B)$ , as desired.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  This is obvious, by Example 2.2(2).

**Lemma 2.5.** Let R be trivil clean. Then J(R) is nil.

Proof. Let  $x \in J(R)$ . Then x = a + b,  $a \in N(R)$ ,  $b = b^3$ . Suppose  $a^q = 0$ . Then  $(x - b)^{2q+1} = a^{2q+1} = 0$ ; hence,  $b^{2q+1} \in J(R)$ . Clearly,  $b^2 \in R$  is an idempotent. Hence,  $b^{2q+1} = b(b^2)^q = b(b^2) = b^3 = b$ . It follows that  $b \in J(R)$ , and so  $b(1 - b^2) = 0$ . This implies that b = 0; hence,  $x = a \in N(R)$ . Accordingly, J(R) is nil, as required.

**Lemma 2.6** ([13, Lemma 3.5]). Let R be a ring, let  $a \in R$ . If  $a^2 - a \in N(R)$ , then there exists a monic polynomial  $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $f(a)^2 = f(a)$  and  $a - f(a) \in N(R)$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let R be a ring and  $3 \in J(R)$ . Then R is trinil clean if and only if

- (1) R/J(R) is trivil clean;
- (2) J(R) is nil.

*Proof.*  $(\Longrightarrow)$  This is obvious, by Example 2.2(1) and Lemma 2.6.

# 3. Strongly 2-nil clean rings

In this section, we shall establish the connections between trinil clean rings and strongly 2-nil-clean rings. We have:

**Lemma 3.1** (see [6, Theorem 2.8]). Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is strongly 2-nil-clean.
- (2) For all  $a \in R$ ,  $a a^3 \in N(R)$ .
- (3) Every element in R is the sum of two idempotents and a nilpotent that commute.

Recall that a ring R is right (left) quasi-duo if every right (left) maximal ideal of R is an ideal (see [17]). We come now to the following.

**Theorem 3.2.** A ring R is strongly 2-nil-clean if and only if

- (1) R is trinil clean;
- (2) R is right (left) quasi-duo;

(3) J(R) is nil.

Proof.  $(\Longrightarrow)$  (1) is obvious. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1,  $a^3 - a \in N(R)$ . It follows by [10, Theorem A1] that N(R) forms an ideal of R. Hence,  $N(R) \subseteq J(R)$ , and then R/J(R) is tripotent. In view of [9, Theorem 1], R/J(R) is commutative. Let M be a right (left) maximal ideal of R. Then M/J(R) is an ideal of R/J(R). Let  $x \in M, r \in R$ . Then  $\overline{rx} \in M/J(R)$ , and then  $rx \in M+J(R) \subseteq M$ . This shows that M is an ideal of R. Therefore R is right (left) quasi-duo. (3) is follows from Lemma 2.5.

( $\iff$ ) Since R is trinil clean, so is R/J(R). As R is right (left) quasi-duo, we can see in the same way as [5, Theorem 2.8] that R/J(R) is abelian, and so it is strongly 2-nil-clean. Let  $x \in R$ . Then  $\overline{x^3 - x} \in N(R/J(R))$  by Lemma 3.1. As J(R) is nil, we see that  $x^3 - x \in N(R)$ . By using Lemma 3.1 again, R is strongly 2-nil-clean.

Corollary 3.3. A ring R is strongly 2-nil-clean if and only if

- (1) R/J(R) is tripotent;
- (2) J(R) is nil.

Recall that a ring R is NI if N(R) forms an ideal of R. We now derive:

**Theorem 3.4.** A ring R is strongly 2-nil-clean if and only if

- (1) R is trinil clean;
- (2) R is NI.

*Proof.* ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Clearly, R is trinil clean. Let  $a \in R$ . Then a = e + w for some tripotent e and a nilpotent w that commute. Hence,  $a - a^3 = w(3e^2 + 3ew + w^2 - 1) \in N(R)$ , so by [9, Theorem A1], N(R) is an ideal of R. That is, R is NI.

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $a \in R$ . Then a = e + w where  $e^3 = e \in R$  and  $w \in N(R)$ . Hence,  $a^3 - a \in N(R)$ . In light of Lemma 3.1, R is strongly 2-nil-clean, as asserted.  $\square$ 

Corollary 3.5. Every 2-primal trinil clean ring is strongly 2-nil-clean.

*Proof.* As every 2-primal ring is NI, the result follows from Theorem 3.4.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.6.** Let R be a ring. If N(R) is commutative, then R is strongly 2-nil-clean if and only if R is trinil clean.

*Proof.*  $(\Longrightarrow)$  This is trivial.

( ) Step 1.  $E(R)N(R) \subseteq N(R)$ . This was proved as in [15, Theorem 4.1]. We include a proof for the completeness. Let  $e^2 = e \in R$  and  $r \in N(R)$ . Write  $r^{2^n} = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(er(1-e))^2 = ((1-e)re)^2 = 0$ , we see that  $er(1-e), (1-e)re \in N(R)$ . Hence,  $er^2e - (ere)^2 = er(1-e)re = e(er(1-e))(1-e)re) = e(1-e)re)(er(1-e)) = 0$ . Thus,  $er^4e = e(r^2)^2e = (er^2e)^2 = (ere)^4$ . Repeating this procedure,  $(ere)^{2^n} = er^{2^n}e = 0$ , and so  $ere \in N(R)$ . As N(R) is commutative,  $(er)^2 = (ere)r \in N(R)$ , and so  $ere \in N(R)$ .

Step 2. For any  $a \in R$ . Then we can find  $x^3 = x \in R$  and a nillpotent  $w \in R$  such that a = x + w and xw = wx. Let  $r \in N(R)$ . Clearly,  $x^2 \in E(R)$ . By the Step 1, we have  $x^2r \in N(R)$ . Write  $(x^2r)^k = 0 (k \in \mathbb{N})$ . Then  $(xrx)^{k+1} = xr(x^2r)^k x = 0$ ; hence,  $xrx \in N(R)$ . As  $(xr)^2 = (xrx)r$ , we see that  $xr \in N(R)$ . Thus,  $ar = (x + w)r = xr + wr \in N(R)$ , and so  $aN(R) \subseteq N(R)$ . Likewise,  $N(R)a \subseteq N(R)$ . Hence R is NI. According to Theorem 3.4, R is strongly 2-nil-clean.

A natural problem is if the matrix ring over a strongly 2-nil-clean ring is strongly 2-nil-clean. The answer is negative as the following shows.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $n \geq 2$ . then matrix ring  $M_n(R)$  is not strongly 2-nil-clean for any ring R.

*Proof.* Let R be a ring, and let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1_R & 1_R \\ 1_R & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $A^3 - A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1_R \\ 1_R & 1_R \end{pmatrix}$ . One checks that  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1_R \\ 1_R & 1_R \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_R & -1_R \\ -1_R & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and so  $A^3 - A$  is not nilpotent. If  $M_n(R)$  is strongly 2-nil-clean, it follows by Lemma 3.1 that  $A^3 - A$  is nilpotent, a contradiction, and we are done.

# 4. Trinil clean matrix rings

The purpose of this section is to investigate when a matrix ring over a strongly 2-nil-clean is trinil clean. We now extend [3, Theorem 3] and [2, Theorem 20] as follows.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let K be a field. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $M_n(K)$  is trivil clean.
- (2)  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $0 \neq a \in K$ . Choose  $A = aI_n$ . Then  $aI_n = E + W$ , where  $E^3 = E$  and  $W \in M_n(K)$  is nilpotent. Clearly,  $E = aI_n(I_n - a^{-1}W) \in GL_n(K)$ , and so  $E^2 = I_n$ . As K is commutative, we see that  $EW = (aI_n - W)W = W(aI_n - W) = WE$ . From this, we get  $a^2I_n - E^2 \in M_n(K)$  is nilpotent; hence,  $a^2 - 1 \in K$  is nilpotent. This shows that  $a^2 = 1$ . Thus, (a-1)(a+1) = 0; whence a = 1 or -1. If 1 = -1, then  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . If  $1 \neq -1$ , then  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ , as required.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  This follows directly from [1, Theorem 2].

**Theorem 4.2.** Let R be tripotent. Then  $M_n(R)$  is trinil clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. Let  $A \in M_n(R)$ , and let S be the subring of R generated by the entries of A. That is, S is formed by finite sums of monomials of the form:  $a_1a_2\cdots a_m$ , where  $a_1,\ldots,a_m$  are entries of A. Since R is a commutative ring in which S is a finite ring in which S is a finite ring in which S is isomorphic to finite direct product of S or S. In light of Lemma 4.1 and Example 2.2, S is trinil clean. As S is the sum of two idempotent matrices and a nilpotent matrix over S, as desired.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let R be regular, and let  $n \geq 2$ . Then R is tripotent if and only if

- (1) R is commutative;
- (2)  $M_n(R)$  is trivil clean.

*Proof.* ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) In light of [9, Theorem 1], R is commutative. By virtue of Theorem 4.2,  $M_n(R)$  is trinil clean, as required.

 $(\longleftarrow)$  Let M be a maximal ideal of R. Then R/M is a field. By hypothesis,  $M_n(R)$  is trinil clean, and then so is  $M_n(R/M)$ . In light of Lemma 4.1,  $R/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Thus, R is isomorphic to the subdirect product of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ 's and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ 's. Since  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  are both tripotent, we then easily check that R is tripotent.  $\square$ 

We are ready to prove the following main theorems.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let R be 2-primal strongly 2-nil-clean ring. Then  $M_n(R)$  is trinil clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. According to Theorem 2.4,  $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$ , where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are both strongly 2-nil-clean,  $2 \in J(R_1)$  and  $3 \in J(R_2)$ . By virtue of [6, Theorem 2.11],  $R_1$  is strongy nil-clean. According [12, Theorem 6.1],  $M_n(R_1)$  is nil-clean. As  $R_2$  is a homomorphic image of a strongly 2-nil-clean ring,  $R_2$  is strongly 2-nil-clean. It follows by Corollary 3.3 that  $J(R_2)$  is nil and  $R_2/J(R_2)$  is tripotent. In light of Theorem 4.2,  $M_n(R_2/J(R_2))$  is trinil clean. Furthermore,  $J(R_2) \subseteq N(R_2) = P(R_2) \subseteq J(R_2)$ , we get  $J(R_2) = P(R_2)$ . Hence,  $M_n(J(R_2)) = M_n(P(R_2)) = P(M_n(R_2))$  is nil. Obviously,  $3 \in J(M_2(R_2))$ . Since  $M_n(R_2/J(R_2)) \cong M_n(R_2)/M_n(J(R_2))$ , it follows by Theorem 2.7, that  $M_n(R_2)$  is trinil clean.

Therefore  $M_n(R) \cong M_n(R_1) \times M_n(R_2)$  is trinil clean, as asserted.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let R be a commutative trinil clean ring. Then  $M_n(R)$  is trinil-clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Recall that a ring R is weakly nil-clean if every element in R is the sum or difference of a nilpotent and an idempotent (cf. [14]).

Corollary 4.6. Let R be a commutative weakly nil-clean ring. Then  $M_n(R)$  is trinil clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* As every commutative weakly nil-clean ring is trinil clean 2-primal ring, we obtain the result, by Theorem 4.5.

**Example 4.7.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $M_n(\mathbb{Z}_m)$  is trinil clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if  $m = 2^k 3^l (k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+, k + l \neq 0)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) In view of Lemma 2.3,  $6 \in N(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ . Hence,  $m = 2^k 3^l (k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+, k+l \neq 0)$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  By hypothesis,  $\mathbb{Z}_m \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3^l}$ . Since  $J(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}) = 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}/J(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ , it follows by Corollary 3.3,  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$  is trinil clean. Likewise,  $\mathbb{Z}_{3^l}$  is trinil clean.

This shows that  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is trinil clean. This completes the proof, by Corollary 4.5.

Recall that a ring R is of bounded index if there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^n = 0$  for all nilpotent  $x \in R$ .

**Lemma 4.8** ([12, Lemma 6.6]). Let R be of bounded index. If J(R) is nil, then  $M_n(R)$  is nil for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** Let R be of bounded index. If R is strongly 2-nil-clean, then  $M_n(R)$  is trinil clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.4, we easily see that  $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$ , where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are both strongly 2-nil-clean,  $2 \in J(R_1)$  and  $3 \in J(R_2)$ . By virtue of [6, Theorem 2.11],  $R_1$  is strongy nil-clean. It follows by [12, Thorem 6.1] that  $M_n(R_1)$  is nil-clean. In light of Corollary 3.3,  $J(R_2)$  is nil and  $R_2/J(R_2)$  is tripotent. Hence,  $M_n(R_2/J(R_2))$  is trinil clean by Theorem 4.2. Clearly,  $R_2$  is of bounded index. In terms of Corollary 3.3,  $J(M_n(R_2))$  is nil. Clearly,  $3 \in J(M_n(R_2))$ . As  $M_n(R_2/J(R_2)) \cong M_n(R_2)/M_n(J(R_2))$ , it follows by Theorem 2.7, that  $M_n(R_2)$  is trinil clean. Therefore  $M_n(R) \cong M_n(R_1) \times M_n(R_2)$  is trinil clean.

**Corollary 4.10.** Let R be a ring, and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $(a - a^3)^m = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ , then  $M_n(R)$  is trivial clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in J(R)$ . Then  $(x-x^3)^m = 0$ , and so  $x^m = 0$ . This implies that J(R) is nil. In light of [9, Theorem A.1], N(R) forms an ideal of R, and so  $N(R) \subseteq J(R)$ . Hence, J(R) = N(R) is nil. Further, R/J(R) is tripotent. In light of Lemma 2.7, R is strongly 2-nil-clean. If  $a^k = 0 (k \in \mathbb{N})$ , then  $1-a, 1+a \in U(R)$ , and so  $1-a^2 = (1-a)(1+a) \in U(R)$ . By hypothesis,  $a^m(1-a^2)^m = 0$ . Hence,  $a^m = 0$ , and so R is of bounded index. This complete the proof, by Theorem 4.9.

A ring R is a 2-Boolean ring provided that  $a^2$  is an idempotent for all  $a \in R$ .

**Corollary 4.11.** Let R be a 2-Boolean ring. Then  $M_n(R)$  is trinil-clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in R$ . Then  $a^2 = a^4$ . Hence,  $a^2(1-a^2) = 0$ . This shows that  $(1-a^2)^2a^2(1-a^2)a = 0$ , i.e.,  $(a-a^3)^3 = 0$ . In light of Corollary 4.10, the result follows.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her careful reading of the paper. The invaluable comments led to improvement in the paper, e.g., Lemma 4.1.

### References

- [1] A. N. Abyzov and I. I. Mukhametgaliev, On some matrix analogues of the little Fermat theorem, Mat. Zametki 101 (2017), no. 2, 163–168.
- [2] S. Breaz, P. Danchev, and Y. Zhou, Rings in which every element in either a sum or a difference of a nilpotent and an idempotent, J. Algebra Appl.; DOI: 10.1142/S0219498816501486.
- [3] S. Breaz, G. Călugăreanu, P. Danchev, and T. Micu, Nil clean matrix rings, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013), 3115–3119.
- [4] S. Breaz and G. C. Modoi, Nil-clean companion matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 489 (2016), 50–60.
- [5] H. Chen, Rings related to stable range conditions, Series in Algebra, 11, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [6] H. Chen and M. Sheibani, Strongly 2-nil-clean rings, J. Algebra Appl. 16 (2017), no. 9, 1750178, 12 pp.
- [7] P. V. Danchev and W. Wm. McGovern, Commutative weakly nil clean unital rings, J. Algebra 425 (2015), 410–422.
- [8] A. J. Diesl,  $Nil\ clean\ rings,$  J. Algebra 383 (2013), 197–211.
- [9] Y. Hirano and H. Tominaga, Rings in which every element is the sum of two idempotents, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 37 (1988), no. 2, 161–164.
- [10] Y. Hirano, H. Tominaga, and A. Yaqub, On rings in which every element is uniquely expressible as a sum of a nilpotent element and a certain potent element, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 30 (1988), 33–40.
- [11] M. T. Kosan, T. K. Lee, and Y. Zhou, When is every matrix over a division ring a sum of an idempotent and a nilpotent?, Linear Algebra Appl. 450 (2014), 7–12.
- [12] M. T. Kosan, Z. Wang, and Y. Zhou, Nil-clean and strongly nil-clean rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2015.07. 009.
- [13] M. T. Kosan, Z. Ying, and Y. Zhou, Rings in which every element is a sum of two tripotent, Bull. Can. Math. January 2016, DOI: 10.4153/CMB-2016-009-0.
- [14] M. T. Kosan and Y. Zhou, On weakly nil-clean rings, Front. Math. China 11 (2016), no. 4, 949–955.
- [15] R. G. Nassif, Structure of rings with certain conditions on zero divisors, M.S. Thesis, The American University of Beirut, Beirut, 2006.
- [16] A. Stancu, A note on commutative weakly nil clean rings, J. Algebra Appl. 15 (2016), no. 10, 1620001, 4 pp.
- $[17]\,$  H.-P. Yu, On~quasi-duo~rings, Glasgow Math. J.  ${\bf 37}$  (1995), no. 1, 21–31.

Marjan Sheibani Abdolyousefi

Women's University of Semnan (Farzanegan)

Semnan, Iran

 $Email\ address: {\tt sheibani@fgusem.ac.ir}$ 

Huanyin Chen

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

HANGZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY

Hangzhou, P. R. China

Email address: huanyinchen@aliyun.com