Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **55** (2018), No. 3, pp. 865–870 https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b170341 pISSN: 1015-8634 / eISSN: 2234-3016

# MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS SHARING SOME FINITE SETS IM

#### Manabu Shirosaki

ABSTRACT. We show that if two nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g on  $\mathbb{C}$  sharing some finite sets IM, then there is a nonconstant rational function R(z) such that R(f) = R(g).

### 1. Introduction

For nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g on  $\mathbb{C}$  and a finite set Sin  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we say that f and g share S CM (counting multiplicities) if  $f^{-1}(S) = g^{-1}(S)$  and if for each  $z_0 \in f^{-1}(S)$  two functions  $f - f(z_0)$  and  $g - g(z_0)$  have the same multiplicity of zero at  $z_0$ , where the notations  $f - \infty$ and  $g - \infty$  mean 1/f and 1/g, respectively. Also, if  $f^{-1}(S) = g^{-1}(S)$ , then we say that f and g share S IM (ignoring multiplicities). In particular if S is a one-point set  $\{a\}$ , then we say also that f and g share a CM or IM.

In [3] and [4], R. Nevanlinna showed the following two theorems:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $a_1, \ldots, a_4$  four distinct points in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . If f and g share  $a_1, \ldots, a_4$ CM, then f is a Möbius transform of g, i.e., f = (ag + b)/(cg + d) for some complex numbers a, b, c, d with  $ad - bc \neq 0$ , and there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that  $a_{\sigma(3)}, a_{\sigma(4)}$  are Picard exceptional values of f and gand the cross ratio  $(a_{\sigma(1)}, a_{\sigma(2)}, a_{\sigma(3)}, a_{\sigma(4)}) = -1$ . Furthermore, the Möbius transformation fixes  $a_{\sigma(1)}$  and  $a_{\sigma(2)}$ , and  $a_{\sigma(3)}$  and  $a_{\sigma(4)}$  interchanges under the Möbius transformation.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  sharing distinct five points in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  IM. Then f = g.

Remark 1.3. Let T(z) = (az+b)/(cz+d) be a Möbius transformation of order 2, *i.e.*,  $T^2 = T \circ T$  is the identity. Then d = -a and  $a^2 + bc \neq 0$ . This Möbius transformation has two distinct fixed points  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $T_0$  be a Möbius transformation such that  $T_0(0) = \xi_1, T_0(\infty) = \xi_2$ . Then the Möbius

©2018 Korean Mathematical Society

Received April 14, 2017; Accepted August 10, 2017.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30D35.

Key words and phrases. uniqueness theorem, sharing sets, Nevanlinna theory.

transformation  $T_1 = T_0 \circ T \circ T_0^{-1}$  fixes 0 and  $\infty$  and it is of order 2, and hence  $T_1(z) = -z$ . Put w = T(z), then we have  $T_0(w) = T_0 \circ T(z) = T_1 \circ T_0(z) = -T_0(z)$ , and hence  $\{T_0(w)\}^2 = \{T_0(z)\}^2$ . Since the Möbius transformation of Theorem 1.1 is of order 2, we see that the existence of a nonconstant rational function R(z) such that R(f) = R(g) under the assumption of Theorem 1.1. Of course, the existence of such a rational function is trivial if f = g.

In [6] the author showed the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $S_1, \ldots, S_5$  be pairwise disjoint one-point or two-point sets in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . If two nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g on  $\mathbb{C}$  share  $S_1, \ldots, S_5$ IM, then f is a Möbius transform of g.

The Möbius transformation in the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 is also of order 2 since the composition of it and itself has at least three fixed points. So, we see the existence of a rational function as in remark above.

By the results of [7–10], if two nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g on  $\mathbb{C}$  share pairwise disjoint one-point or two-point sets  $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4$  CM, then f is a Möbius transform of g, and hence there is a nonconstant rational function R(z) such that R(f) = R(g).

These raise the following problems:

**Problem 1.** Let q be an integer not less than 5. Let  $S_1, \ldots, S_q$  be pairwise disjoint finite sets in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . If two nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g share  $S_1, \ldots, S_q$  IM, then does there exist a nonconstant rational function R(z) such that R(f) = R(g)?

**Problem 2.** Let q be an integer not less than 4. Let  $S_1, \ldots, S_q$  be pairwise disjoint finite sets in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . If two nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g share  $S_1, \ldots, S_q$  CM, then does there exist a nonconstant rational function R(z) such that R(f) = R(g)?

Both problems are affirmatively answered, as shown above, for the case that the all finite sets are one-point sets or two-points sets, and also we can find similar results for polynomials in [1] and [5]. In this paper, we give a partial solution for Problem 1.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let p be a non-negative integer and let q be an integer not less than 2. Let  $S_1, \ldots, S_p$  be one-point sets in  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $S_{p+1}, \ldots, S_{p+q}$  be n-point sets in  $\mathbb{C}$ , where n is an integer not less than 2. Assume that  $S_1, \ldots, S_{p+q}$  are pairwise disjoint and that  $p + q \ge 5$ . If two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g on  $\mathbb{C}$  share  $S_1, \ldots, S_{p+q}$  IM, then there exists distinct  $j_1, j_2$ in  $\{p+1, \ldots, p+q\}$  such that  $P_{j_2}(f)/P_{j_1}(f) = P_{j_2}(g)/P_{j_1}(g)$ , where  $P_j(z)$  are defining polynomials of  $S_j$ .

By considering a suitable Möbius transformation, we have:

**Corollary 1.6.** Let p be a non-negative integer and let q be an integer not less than 2. Let  $S_1, \ldots, S_p$  be one-point sets in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  and let  $S_{p+1}, \ldots, S_{p+q}$  be n-point

sets in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , where n is an integer not less than 2. Assume that  $S_1, \ldots, S_{p+q}$  are pairwise disjoint and that  $p+q \ge 5$ . If two nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g on  $\mathbb{C}$  share  $S_1, \ldots, S_{p+q}$  IM, then there exists a nonconstant rational function R(z) such R(f) = R(g).

We assume that the reader is familiar with the standard notations and results of the value distribution theory (see, for example, [2]). In particular, we express by S(r, f) quantities such that  $\lim_{r\to\infty,r\notin E} S(r, f)/T(r, f) = 0$ , where E is a subset of  $(0, \infty)$  with finite linear measure and it is variable in each cases.

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Now we start the proof of Theorem 1.5. We may assume that  $p \leq 4$  by Theorem 1.2.

By the second main theorem and the first main theorem we have

$$(p+nq-2)T(r,f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} \sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f-\xi}) + S(r,f)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} \sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{g-\xi}) + S(r,f)$$
$$\leq (p+nq)T(r,g) + S(r,f)$$

and, by the same way,

(2) 
$$(p+nq-2)T(r,g) \le (p+nq)T(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

Hence, by (1) and (2), there is no need to distinguish S(r, f) and S(r, g), and so we denote them by S(r).

By  $\overline{N}_E(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi})$  and  $\overline{N}_N(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi})$  we denote the counting functions which count the point z such that  $f(z) = \xi = g(z)$  and  $f(z) = \xi \neq g(z)$  counted once, respectively, and we define  $\overline{N}_E(r, \frac{1}{g-\xi})$  and  $\overline{N}_N(r, \frac{1}{g-\xi})$  by the same way. It is easy to see that  $\overline{N}_N(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi}) = \overline{N}_N(r, \frac{1}{g-\xi}) = 0$  for  $\xi \in S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_p$  and that

(3) 
$$\sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}_E(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi}) = \sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}_E(r, \frac{1}{g-\xi}),$$
$$\sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}_N(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi}) = \sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}_N(r, \frac{1}{g-\xi})$$

for  $j = p + 1, \ldots, q$ . Since  $f - g \not\equiv 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p+q} \sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}_E(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi}) \le \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f-g}) \le T(r, f) + T(r, g) + O(1),$$

M. SHIROSAKI

and hence

$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}_N(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} \sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi}) - \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} \sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}_E(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi})$$
$$\geq (p+nq-2)T(r, f) - T(r, f) - T(r, g) + S(r)$$
$$= (p+nq-3)T(r, f) - T(r, g) + S(r)$$

by using (1). By the same way and (3) we have

$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}_N(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi}) \ge (p+nq-3)T(r,g) - T(r,f) + S(r).$$

Adding these two inequalities we obtain

(4) 
$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \sum_{\xi \in S_j} \overline{N}_N(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi}) \ge \frac{1}{2}(p+nq-4)(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) + S(r).$$

Note that  $q \ge 2$ . From (4) we see that there exist distinct  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  in  $\{p+1,\ldots,q\}$  and a subset I of  $(0,+\infty)$  of infinite linear measure such that

(5) 
$$\frac{1}{q}(p+nq-4)(T(r,f)+T(r,g))+S(r) \le \sum_{\xi \in S_{j_1} \cup S_{j_2}} \overline{N}_N(r,\frac{1}{f-\xi})$$

holds for  $r \in I$ . Put  $Q(z,w) = (P_{j_1}(z)P_{j_2}(w) - P_{j_1}(w)P_{j_2}(z))/(z-w)$  and  $\Phi = Q(f,g)$ . Assume that  $\Phi \neq 0$ . If  $f(z), g(z) \in S_{j_1} \cup S_{j_2}$  and  $f(z) \neq g(z)$ , then  $\Phi(z) = 0$ . Therefore we have

(6) 
$$\sum_{\xi \in S_{j_1} \cup S_{j_2}} \overline{N}_N(r, \frac{1}{f-\xi}) \le N_0(r, \frac{1}{\Phi})$$

holds for  $r \in I$ , where  $N_0(r, \frac{1}{\Phi})$  denotes the counting functions corresponding to the zeros of  $\Phi$  that are not the poles of f and g. We see that Q(z, w) is a symmetric polynomial of z and w and it has degree at most n-1 with respect to each of z and w. By using the first fundamental theorem and the definition of counting function and that of proximity function, we have

$$N_0(r, \frac{1}{\Phi}) \le N(r, Q(f, g)) + m(r, Q(f, g))$$
  
$$\le (n - 1)(N(r, f) + N(r, g) + m(r, f) + m(r, g)) + O(1)$$
  
$$= (n - 1)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + O(1).$$

By connecting (5), (6) and this,

$$\frac{1}{q}(p+nq-4)(T(r,f)+T(r,g))+S(r) \le (n-1)(T(r,f)+T(r,g))+O(1)$$

holds for  $r \in I$ . Here I may be different from that in (5). We obtain  $p+nq-4 \leq q(n-1)$ , which contradicts hypothesis  $p+q \geq 5$ . Therefore we conclude that  $\Phi \equiv 0$ , which induces that  $P_{j_2}(f)/P_{j_1}(f) = P_{j_2}(g)/P_{j_1}(g)$ .

### 3. An application to the uniqueness

In this section, we apply the above results to the uniqueness of meromorphic functions. Let n be an integer not less than 2, and let  $S_1, \ldots, S_5$  be pairwise disjoint n-point sets in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For each  $j = 1, \ldots, 5$ , we take a defining polynomial  $P_j(z)$  of  $S_j$ , and let  $\xi_{j1} \cdots \xi_{jn}$  be the distinct elements of  $S_j$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that

(7) 
$$\frac{P_j(\xi_{l\mu})}{P_k(\xi_{l\mu})} \neq \frac{P_j(\xi_{i\nu})}{P_k(\xi_{l\nu})}$$

for distinct  $j, k, l \in \{1, ..., 5\}$  and  $1 \le \mu < \nu \le n$ . If two nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g on  $\mathbb{C}$  share  $S_1, \ldots, S_5$  IM, then f = g.

*Proof.* Assume that  $f \neq g$ . From Theorem 1, we may assume that

$$\frac{P_1(f)}{P_2(f)} = \frac{P_1(g)}{P_2(g)}$$

by renumbering  $S_1, \ldots, S_5$ , if necessary. By (7), there is no z such that f(z), g(z) are distinct values in  $S_3 \cup S_4 \cup S_5$ . Therefore, f and g share each values in  $S_3 \cup S_4 \cup S_5$ . This fact yields, by Theorem 1.2, f = g, which is a contradiction. Hence we conclude f = g.

*Remark* 3.2. In the case of n = 2, the assumption (7) becomes to

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_j & b_j \\ 1 & a_k & b_k \\ 1 & a_l & b_l \end{vmatrix} \neq 0,$$

where  $P_j(z) = z^2 + a_j z + b_j$  and so on. This is a necessary and sufficient condition for the absence of a Möbius transformation exchanging two elements of each  $S_j, S_k, S_l$ .

For  $n \ge 3$ , we can weaken the assumption about (7). It is enough to hold (7) for distinct two l, in the case of n = 3, 4, and for one l, in the case of  $n \ge 5$ , different from any given  $1 \le j < k \le 5$ .

Acknowledgments. The author express great thanks to Professor Zieve who asked him Problem 1 and others. Also, he express thanks to the referee for his/her appropriate comments.

## References

- [1] W. W. Adams and E. G. Straus, Non-archimedian analytic functions taking the same values at the same points, Illinois J. Math. 15 (1971), 418–424.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [3] R. Nevanlinna, Einige Eindeutigkeitssätze in der Theorie der meromorphen Funktionen, Acta Math. 48 (1926), no. 3-4, 367–391.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Le théorèm de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1929.
- [5] I. V. Ostrovskii, F. B. Pakovitch, and M. G. Zaidenberg, A remark on complex polynomials of least deviation, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1996 (1996), no. 14, 699–703.

#### M. SHIROSAKI

- [6] M. Shirosaki, On meromorphic functions sharing five one-point sets or two two-point sets IM, Proc Japan Acad. Ser. A 86 (2010), no. 1, 6–9.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, On meromorphic functions sharing a one-point set and three two-point sets CM, Kodai Math. J. **36** (2013), no. 1, 56–68.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, On meromorphic functions sharing four two-point sets CM, Kodai Math. J. 36 (2013), no. 2, 386–395.
- [9] M. Shirosaki and M. Taketani, On meromorphic functions sharing two one-point sets and two two-point sets, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 83 (2007), no. 3, 32–35.
- [10] K. Tohge, Meromorphic functions covering certain finite sets at the same points, Kodai Math. J. 11 (1988), no. 2, 249–279.

Manabu Shirosaki

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCHIENCES OSAKA PREFECTURE UNIVERSITY SAKAI 599-8531, JAPAN Email address: mshiro@ms.osakafu-u.ac.jp