

## The Geometry of $\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$

SUNG GUEN KIM

*Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea*  
e-mail : [sgk317@knu.ac.kr](mailto:sgk317@knu.ac.kr)

ABSTRACT. We classify the extreme, exposed and smooth bilinear forms of the unit ball of the space of bilinear forms on  $l_\infty^2$ .

### 1. Introduction

We write  $B_E$  for the closed unit ball of a real Banach space  $E$  and the dual space of  $E$  is denoted by  $E^*$ . An element  $x \in B_E$  is called an *extreme point* of  $B_E$  if  $y, z \in B_E$  with  $x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$  implies  $x = y = z$ . An element  $x \in B_E$  is called an *exposed point* of  $B_E$  if there is a  $f \in E^*$  so that  $f(x) = 1 = \|f\|$  and  $f(y) < 1$  for every  $y \in B_E \setminus \{x\}$ . An element  $x \in B_E$  is called a *smooth point* of  $B_E$  if there is a unique  $f \in E^*$  so that  $f(x) = 1 = \|f\|$ . It is easy to see that every exposed point of  $B_E$  is an extreme point. We denote by  $extB_E, expB_E$  and  $smB_E$  the sets of extreme, exposed and smooth points of  $B_E$ , respectively. A mapping  $P : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous 2-homogeneous polynomial if there exists a continuous bilinear form  $L$  on the product  $E \times E$  such that  $P(x) = L(x, x)$  for every  $x \in E$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(^2E)$  the Banach space of all continuous bilinear forms on  $E$  endowed with the norm  $\|L\| = \sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} |L(x, y)|$ .  $\mathcal{P}(^2E)$  denotes the Banach space of all continuous 2-homogeneous polynomials from  $E$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  endowed with the norm  $\|P\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |P(x)|$ . For more details about the theory of multilinear mappings and polynomials on a Banach space, we refer to [8].

In 1998, Choi *et al.* ([3], [4]) characterized the extreme points of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_1^2)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_2^2)$ . In 2007, the author [11] classified the exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_p^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). Recently, the author ([14], [16], [20]) classified the extreme, exposed and smooth points of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{P}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$ , where  $d_*(1, w)^2 = \mathbb{R}^2$  with the octagonal norm of weight  $w$ .

---

Received October 8, 2015; accepted January 18, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46A22.

Key words and phrases: Bilinear forms, extreme points, exposed points, smooth points.

This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2013R1A1A2057788).

In 2009, the author [13] classified the extreme, exposed and smooth points of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2l_\infty^2)$ . Recently, the author ([15], [17]–[19]) classified the extreme, exposed and smooth points of the unit balls of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$ .

We refer to ([1]–[7], [9]–[25] and references therein) for some recent work about extremal properties of multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials on some classical Banach spaces. In this paper, we classify the extreme, exposed and smooth bilinear forms of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$ .

## 2. The extreme points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$

Let  $T((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = ax_1x_2 + by_1y_2 + cx_1y_2 + dx_2y_1 \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$  for some real numbers  $a, b, c, d$ . For simplicity we will write  $T((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (a, b, c, d)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} T_1((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &:= T((y_1, x_1), (y_2, x_2)) = (b, a, d, c), \\ T_2((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &:= T((x_1, y_1), (x_2, -y_2)) = (a, -b, -c, d), \\ T_3((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &:= T((x_1, y_1), (y_2, x_2)) = (c, d, a, b) \\ T_4((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &:= T((y_2, x_2), (x_1, y_1)) = (c, d, b, a). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\|T_i\| = \|T\|$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $T((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (a, b, c, d) \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$ . Then,*

$$\|T\| = \max\{|a + b| + |c + d|, |a - b| + |c - d|\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\{(1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$  is the set of all extreme points of the unit ball of  $l_\infty^2$  and  $T$  is bilinear,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \max\{|T((1, 1), (1, 1))|, |T((1, -1), (-1, 1))|, \\ &\quad |T((1, 1), (1, -1))|, |T((-1, 1), (1, 1))|\} \\ &= \max\{|a + b| + |c + d|, |a - b| + |c - d|\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that if  $\|T\| = 1$ , then  $|a| \leq 1, |b| \leq 1, |c| \leq 1$  and  $|d| \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $T((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (a, b, c, d) \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$ . Then, the followings are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T$  is extreme;
- (2)  $(b, a, d, c)$  is extreme;
- (3)  $(a, -b, -c, d)$  is extreme;
- (4)  $(c, d, a, b)$  is extreme;
- (5)  $(c, d, b, a)$  is extreme.

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.1 and the above remark of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Norm}(T) = \{ & ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \in \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1)), \\ & ((1, -1), (1, 1))\} : |T((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))| = \|T\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

We call  $\text{Norm}(T)$  the *norming set* of  $T$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$ . Then,  $T \in \text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)}$  if and only if*

$$\text{Norm}(T) = \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1)), ((1, -1), (1, 1))\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$ . By Theorem 2.2, we may assume that  $a \geq |b|$  and  $c \geq |d|$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Obviously,

$$1 = |T((1, 1), (1, 1))| = |T((1, -1), (1, -1))| = |T((1, 1), (1, -1))| = |T((1, -1), (1, 1))|.$$

Hence,  $1 = |a + b + c + d| = |a + b - c - d| = |a - b - c + d| = |a - b + c - d|$ , so  $1 = a + b + c + d = a - b + c - d$ , so  $c = 1 - a, d = -b$ . Since  $1 = |a + b - c - d| = |a - b - c + d|$ ,  $1 = |(2a - 1) + 2b| = |(2a - 1) - 2b|$ . Hence,  $(2a - 1)2b = 0$ , so  $a = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $b = 0$ . If  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $b = \pm\frac{1}{2}$  and  $T = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$  or  $T = (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . Note that  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$  and  $T = (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  are extreme. If  $b = 0$ , then  $a = 0$  or  $a = 1$ , so  $T = (0, 0, 1, 0)$  or  $T = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ . Note that  $(0, 0, 1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0, 0, 0)$  are extreme. By Theorem 2.2,  $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1)$  are extreme.

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Suppose that  $T \in \text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)}$  and the necessary condition is not true. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Norm}(T) & \subset \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1))\} \text{ or} \\ \text{Norm}(T) & \subset \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, -1), (1, 1))\} \text{ or} \\ \text{Norm}(T) & \subset \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1)), ((1, -1), (1, 1))\} \text{ or} \\ \text{Norm}(T) & \subset \{((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1)), ((1, -1), (1, 1))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Norm}(T) & = \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1))\} \text{ or} \\ \text{Norm}(T) & = \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, -1), (1, 1))\} \text{ or} \\ \text{Norm}(T) & = \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1)), ((1, -1), (1, 1))\} \text{ or} \\ \text{Norm}(T) & = \{((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1)), ((1, -1), (1, 1))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1:  $\text{Norm}(T) = \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1))\}$

Let  $T_1 = (a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n}, c - \frac{1}{n}, d + \frac{1}{n})$  and  $T_2 = (a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}, c + \frac{1}{n}, d - \frac{1}{n})$  for a sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\|T_i\| = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore,  $T$  is not extreme, which is a contradiction.

Case 2:  $Norm(T) = \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, -1), (1, 1))\}$

Let  $T_1 = (a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}, c - \frac{1}{n}, d + \frac{1}{n})$  and  $T_2 = (a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n}, c + \frac{1}{n}, d - \frac{1}{n})$  for a sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\|T_i\| = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore,  $T$  is not extreme, which is a contradiction.

Case 3:  $Norm(T) = \{((1, 1), (1, 1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1)), ((1, -1), (1, 1))\}$

Let  $T_1 = (a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}, c + \frac{1}{n}, d + \frac{1}{n})$  and  $T_2 = (a + \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n}, c - \frac{1}{n}, d - \frac{1}{n})$  for a sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\|T_i\| = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore,  $T$  is not extreme, which is a contradiction.

Case 4:  $Norm(T) = \{((1, -1), (1, -1)), ((1, 1), (1, -1)), ((1, -1), (1, 1))\}$

Let  $T_1 = (a + \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n}, c + \frac{1}{n}, d + \frac{1}{n})$  and  $T_2 = (a - \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}, c - \frac{1}{n}, d - \frac{1}{n})$  for a sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\|T_i\| = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore,  $T$  is not extreme, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

### Theorem 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned} extB_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)} &= \{\pm(1, 0, 0, 0), \pm(0, 1, 0, 0), \pm(0, 0, 1, 0), \pm(0, 0, 0, 1), \\ &\quad \pm\frac{1}{2}(-1, 1, 1, 1), \pm\frac{1}{2}(1, -1, 1, 1), \pm\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, -1, 1), \pm\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, -1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

### 3. The exposed points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)^*$  and  $\alpha = f(x_1x_2), \beta = f(y_1y_2), \delta = f(x_1y_2), \gamma = f(x_2y_1)$ . Then,*

$$\|f\| = \max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|, |\delta|, |\gamma|, \frac{1}{2}|\alpha - \beta + \delta + \gamma|, \frac{1}{2}|\alpha + \beta - \delta + \gamma|, \frac{1}{2}|\alpha + \beta + \delta - \gamma|\}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.3 and the fact that

$$\|f\| = \max_{T \in extB_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)}} |f(T)|.$$

$\square$

Note that if  $\|f\| = 1$ , then  $|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1, |\delta| \leq 1, |\gamma| \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2** ([18, Theorem 2.3]). *Let  $E$  be a real Banach space such that  $extB_E$  is finite. Suppose that  $x \in extB_E$  satisfies that there exists an  $f \in E^*$  with  $f(x) = 1 = \|f\|$  and  $|f(y)| < 1$  for every  $y \in extB_E \setminus \{\pm x\}$ . Then,  $x \in expB_E$ .*

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $T((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (a, b, c, d) \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$ . Then, the followings are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T$  is exposed;
- (2)  $(b, a, d, c)$  is exposed;

- (3)  $(a, -b, -c, d)$  is exposed;
- (4)  $(c, d, a, b)$  is exposed;
- (5)  $(c, d, b, a)$  is exposed.

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.1 and the above remark of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

Now we are in position to describe all the exposed points of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.**  $\exp B_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)} = \text{ext} B_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)}$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $\text{ext} B_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)} \subset \exp B_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)}$ .

Claim:  $T = (1, 0, 0, 0)$  is exposed.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)^*$  be such that  $\alpha = 1, 0 = \beta = \delta = \gamma$ . Then  $f(T) = 1, |f(S)| < 1$  for every  $S \in \text{ext} B_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)} \setminus \{\pm T\}$ . By Theorem 3.2,  $T$  is exposed. By Theorem 3.3,  $(0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1)$  are exposed.

Claim:  $T = \frac{1}{2}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$  is exposed.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)^*$  be such that  $\alpha = 1, 0 = \beta, \delta = \gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $f(T) = 1, |f(S)| < 1$  for every  $S \in \text{ext} B_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)} \setminus \{\pm T\}$ . By Theorem 3.2,  $T$  is exposed. By Theorem 3.3,  $\frac{1}{2}(1, -1, 1, 1), \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, -1, 1), \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, -1)$  are exposed.  $\square$

#### 4. The smooth points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $T((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (a, b, c, d) \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$ . Then, the followings are equivalent:

- (1)  $T$  is smooth;
- (2)  $(b, a, d, c)$  is smooth;
- (3)  $(a, -b, -c, d)$  is smooth;
- (4)  $(c, d, a, b)$  is smooth;
- (5)  $(c, d, b, a)$  is smooth.

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.1 and the above remark of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $T((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (a, b, c, d) \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$ . Then,  $T \in \text{sm} B_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)}$  if and only if  $(0 < |a + b| < 1, |a + b| + |c + d| = 1, |a - b| + |c - d| < 1)$  or  $(0 < |a - b| < 1, |a - b| + |c - d| = 1, |a + b| + |c + d| < 1)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.1, we may assume that  $a \geq |b|$  and  $c \geq |d|$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Suppose that  $T$  is smooth. For  $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in S_{l_\infty^2}$ , let  $\delta_{(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)} \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)^*$  such that

$\delta_{(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)}(L) = L((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2))$  for  $L \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$ . Then  $\|\delta_{(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)}\| \leq 1$ . Note that, by Theorem 3.1,  $1 = \|\delta_{(1,1), (1,\pm 1)}\| = \|\delta_{(1,-1), (-1,1)}\| = \|\delta_{(-1,1), (1,1)}\|$ .

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_{(1,1),(1,1)}(T)| &= |(a+b) + (c+d)|, & |\delta_{(1,-1),(-1,1)}(T)| &= |(a+b) - (c+d)|, \\ |\delta_{(1,1),(1,-1)}(T)| &= |(a-b) - (c-d)|, & |\delta_{(-1,1),(1,1)}(T)| &= |(a-b) + (c-d)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $T \in smB_{\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)}$ , then  $\|T\| = 1$ , so, by Theorem 2.1, ( $|a+b| + |c+d| = 1, |a-b| + |c-d| < 1$ ) or ( $|a-b| + |c-d| = 1, |a+b| + |c+d| < 1$ ). Suppose that  $|a+b| + |c+d| = 1, |a-b| + |c-d| < 1$ . We will show that  $0 < |a+b| < 1$ . Otherwise. Then  $a+b = 1$  or  $a+b = 0$ . Suppose that  $a+b = 1$ . Let  $f_1 \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)^*$  such that  $f_1(x_1x_2) = 1 = f_1(y_1y_2), f_1(x_1y_2) = 0 = f_1(x_2y_1)$ , and let  $f_2 \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)^*$  such that  $1 = f_2(x_1x_2) = f_2(y_1y_2) = f_2(x_1y_2) = f_2(x_2y_1)$ . Since  $f_1 \neq f_2, 1 = \|f_j\| = f_j(T)$  for  $j = 1, 2, T$  is not smooth, which is a contradiction. Suppose that  $a+b = 0$ . Then  $c+d = 1$ . Let  $g_1 \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)^*$  such that  $g_1(x_1x_2) = 0 = g_1(y_1y_2), g_1(x_1y_2) = 1 = g_1(x_2y_1)$ . Since  $g_1 \neq f_2, 1 = \|g_1\| = g_1(T), T$  is not smooth, which is a contradiction. Therefore, if  $|a+b| + |c+d| = 1, |a-b| + |c-d| < 1$ , then  $0 < |a+b| < 1$ . Similarly as the case that  $|a+b| + |c+d| = 1, |a-b| + |c-d| < 1$ , if  $|a-b| + |c-d| = 1, |a+b| + |c+d| < 1$ , then we should have  $0 < |a-b| < 1$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)^*$  such that  $1 = \|f\| = f(T)$  with  $\alpha = f(x_1x_2), \beta = f(y_1y_2), \delta = f(x_1y_2), \gamma = f(x_2y_1)$ .

Case 1:  $0 < |a+b| < 1, |a+b| + |c+d| = 1, |a-b| + |c-d| < 1$

By Theorem 2.1,  $\|T\| = 1$ . Note that

$$(*) \quad a + b + c + d = 1 = a\alpha + b\beta + c\delta + d\gamma.$$

By Theorem 2.1, it follows that, for a sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (**) \quad 1 &\geq \|ax_1x_2 + (b \pm \frac{1}{n})y_1y_2 + (c \mp \frac{1}{n})x_1y_2 + dx_2y_1\| \\ 1 &\geq \|ax_1x_2 + (b \pm \frac{1}{n})y_1y_2 + cx_1y_2 + (d \mp \frac{1}{n})x_2y_1\| \\ 1 &\geq \|(a \pm \frac{1}{n})x_1x_2 + by_1y_2 + (c \mp \frac{1}{n})x_1y_2 + dx_2y_1\| \end{aligned}$$

From (\*\*),  $1 \geq f(ax_1x_2 + (b \pm \frac{1}{n})y_1y_2 + (c \mp \frac{1}{n})x_1y_2 + dx_2y_1) = 1 + \frac{1}{n}|\beta - \delta|$ , hence  $\beta = \delta$  and  $1 \geq f(ax_1x_2 + (b \pm \frac{1}{n})y_1y_2 + cx_1y_2 + (d \mp \frac{1}{n})x_2y_1) = 1 + \frac{1}{n}|\beta - \gamma|$ , hence  $\beta = \gamma$ .  $1 \geq f((a \pm \frac{1}{n})x_1x_2 + by_1y_2 + (c \mp \frac{1}{n})x_1y_2 + dx_2y_1) = 1 + \frac{1}{n}|\alpha - \delta|$ , hence  $\alpha = \delta$ . Therefore, by (\*),  $1 = \alpha = \beta = \delta = \gamma$ , hence  $f$  is uniquely determined. Therefore,  $T$  is smooth.

Case 2:  $0 < |a-b| < 1, |a-b| + |c-d| = 1, |a+b| + |c+d| < 1$

By Case 1 and Theorem 4.1,  $T$  is smooth.  $\square$

**Remark.** Nine months after the acceptance of this paper in Kyungpook Math. J., the author found that W. Cavalcante and D. Pellegrino had proved in [2], independently, Theorems 2.1, 2.4 and 3.4.

## References

- [1] R. M. Aron, Y. S. Choi, S. G. Kim and M. Maestre, *Local properties of polynomials on a Banach space*, Illinois J. Math., **45** (2001), 25–39.
- [2] W. Cavalcante and D. Pellegrino, *Geometry of the closed unit ball of the space of bilinear forms on  $l_\infty^2$* , arXiv:1603.01535v2.
- [3] Y. S. Choi, H. Ki and S. G. Kim, *Extreme polynomials and multilinear forms on  $l_1$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **228**(1998), 467–482.
- [4] Y. S. Choi and S. G. Kim, *The unit ball of  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_2^2)$* , Arch. Math.(Basel), **71**(1998), 472–480.
- [5] Y. S. Choi and S. G. Kim, *Extreme polynomials on  $c_0$* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **29**(1998), 983–989.
- [6] Y. S. Choi and S. G. Kim, *Smooth points of the unit ball of the space  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_1)$* , Results Math., **36**(1999), 26–33.
- [7] Y. S. Choi and S. G. Kim, *Exposed points of the unit balls of the spaces  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_p^2)$  ( $p = 1, 2, \infty$ )*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **35**(2004), 37–41.
- [8] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, London (1999).
- [9] S. Dineen, *Extreme integral polynomials on a complex Banach space*, Math. Scand., **92**(2003), 129–140.
- [10] B. C. Grecu, *Geometry of 2-homogeneous polynomials on  $l_p$  spaces,  $1 < p < \infty$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **273**(2002), 262–282.
- [11] B. C. Grecu, G. A. Munoz-Fernandez and J. B. Seoane-Sepulveda,, *Unconditional constants and polynomial inequalities*, J. Approx. Theory, **161**(2009), 706–722.
- [12] S. G. Kim, *Exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_p^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ )*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad., **107A**(2007), 123–129.
- [13] S. G. Kim, *The unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2l_\infty^2)$* , Extracta Math., **24**(2009), 17–29.
- [14] S. G. Kim, *The unit ball of  $\mathcal{P}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad., **111A**(2011), 79–94.
- [15] S. G. Kim, *The unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , Kyungpook Math. J., **53**(2013), 295–306.
- [16] S. G. Kim, *Smooth polynomials of  $\mathcal{P}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad., **113A**(2013), 45–58.
- [17] S. G. Kim, *Extreme bilinear forms of  $\mathcal{L}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , Kyungpook Math. J., **53**(2013), 625–638.
- [18] S. G. Kim, *Exposed symmetric bilinear forms of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , Kyungpook Math. J., **54**(2014), 341–347.
- [19] S. G. Kim, *Exposed bilinear forms of  $\mathcal{L}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , Kyungpook Math. J., **55**(2015), 119–126.
- [20] S. G. Kim, *Exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on the 2-dimensional real predual of Lorentz sequence space*, Mediterr. J. Math., **7**(2015), 1–13
- [21] S. G. Kim and S.H. Lee, *Exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on Hilbert spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **131**(2003), 449–453.

- [22] J. Lee and K. S. Rim, *Properties of symmetric matrices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **305**(2005), 219–226.
- [23] G. A. Munoz-Fernandez, S. Revesz and J. B. Seoane-Sepulveda, *Geometry of homogeneous polynomials on non symmetric convex bodies*, Math. Scand., **105**(2009), 147–160.
- [24] G. A. Munoz-Fernandez and J. B. Seoane-Sepulveda, *Geometry of Banach spaces of trinomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **340**(2008), 1069–1087.
- [25] R. A. Ryan and B. Turett *Geometry of spaces of polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **221**(1998), 698–711.