

## ON $[1, 2]$ -DOMINATION IN TREES

XUE-GANG CHEN AND MOO YOUNG SOHN

ABSTRACT. Chellai et al. [3] gave an upper bound on the  $[1, 2]$ -domination number of tree and posed an open question “how to classify trees satisfying the sharp bound?”. Yang and Wu [5] gave a partial solution for tree of order  $n$  with  $\ell$ -leaves such that every non-leaf vertex has degree at least 4. In this paper, we give a new upper bound on the  $[1, 2]$ -domination number of tree which extends the result of Yang and Wu. In addition, we design a polynomial time algorithm for solving the open question. By using this algorithm, we give a characterization on the  $[1, 2]$ -domination number for trees of order  $n$  with  $\ell$  leaves satisfying  $n - \ell$ . Thereby, the open question posed by Chellai et al. is solved.

### 1. Introduction

Graph theory terminology not presented here can be found in [3]. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with  $|V| = n$ . The neighborhood and closed neighborhood of a vertex  $v$  in the graph  $G$  are denoted by  $N(v)$  and  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ , respectively. The graph induced by  $S \subseteq V$  is denoted by  $G[S]$ . Let  $G - S$  denote the induced subgraph  $G[V - S]$ . A *tree* is a connected graph that contains no cycles. A *leaf* of a tree  $T$  is a vertex of degree 1. We denote the set of leaves in tree  $T$  by  $L(T)$ .

A subset  $D \subseteq V$  in a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a  $[1, 2]$ -set if, for every vertex  $v \in V \setminus D$ ,  $1 \leq |N(v) \cap D| \leq 2$ . A  $[1, 2]$ -set  $D$  is a dominating set. The  $[1, 2]$ -domination number  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum cardinality of all  $[1, 2]$ -sets in  $G$ . The notions of  $[1, 2]$ -set and  $[1, 2]$ -domination were first investigated by Dejter [4]. For any two integers  $j$  and  $k$ , a subset  $D \subseteq V$  in a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a  $[j, k]$ -set if, for every vertex  $v \in V \setminus D$ ,  $j \leq |N(v) \cap D| \leq k$ . For  $j \geq 1$ , a  $[j, k]$ -set  $D$  is a dominating set. The notions of  $[j, k]$ -set and  $[j, k]$ -domination were recently introduced by Chellali et al. [3]. For more general concepts, called set-restricted dominating set and set-restricted domination number, we refer to Amin and Slater [1, 2].

Chellali et al. [3] gave the following open question.

---

Received April 26, 2017; Accepted November 7, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C69, 05C85.

*Key words and phrases.*  $[1, 2]$ -domination number, tree, polynomial algorithm.

**Question 1.1.** If  $T$  is a tree of order  $n$  with  $\ell$  leaves, then  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) \leq n - \ell$ . For which trees is this bound sharp?

Yang and Wu [5] gave the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n$  with  $\ell$  leaves such that every non-leaf vertex has degree at least 4. Then  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) = n - \ell$ .*

In this paper, we give a new upper bound on the  $[1, 2]$ -domination number of tree which extends the result of Yang and Wu. In addition, we design a polynomial time algorithm for solving the open question. By using this algorithm, we give a characterization on the  $[1, 2]$ -domination number for trees of order  $n$  with  $\ell$  leaves satisfying  $n - \ell$ . Thereby, the open question posed by Chellai et al. is solved.

## 2. Main results

View  $T$  as the rooted tree at vertex  $t$ . For a vertex  $v$  in a rooted tree  $T$ , let  $C(v)$  and  $D(v)$  denote the sets of children and descendants of  $v$ , respectively. Let  $T_v = T[D(v) \cup \{v\}]$ . Let  $T$  be a tree. For  $1 \leq i \leq \Delta(T)$ , let  $S_i(T) = \{v \mid v \in V(T), d(v) = i\}$ . If  $T$  has  $\ell$  leaves, then  $|S_1(T)| = |L(T)| = \ell$ . Let  $S(T)$  denote the set of support vertices of  $T$ . Let  $I(T) = V(T) - S_1(T)$ . By the definition of  $[1, 2]$ -dominating set of  $T$ , if  $v \in S(T)$  and  $|N(v) \cap S_1(T)| \geq 3$ , then  $v$  belongs to every  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -set of  $T$ . A new upper bound on the  $[1, 2]$ -domination number of tree is given in the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with  $\ell$  leaves. If  $S_2(T) \setminus S(T) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) \leq n - \ell - \lceil \frac{2}{3} |S_2(T) \setminus S(T)| \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_j$  be the components of  $T[S_2(T) \setminus S(T)]$ . Then each  $T_i$  is a path. Assume  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{a_i}$  denote the vertices of  $T_i$ . Define

$$S_{T_i} = \begin{cases} \{v_{3k+1}, v_{3k+2} \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{a_i-3}{3}\} & \text{if } a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \{v_{3k+1}, v_{3k+2} \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{a_i-4}{3}\} \cup \{v_{a_i}\} & \text{if } a_i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \{v_{3k+1}, v_{3k+2} \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{a_i-5}{3}\} \cup \{v_{a_i-1}, v_{a_i}\} & \text{if } a_i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $I(T) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^j S_{T_i})$  is a  $[1, 2]$ -dominating set of  $T$ . It is obvious that  $|S_{T_i}| = \lceil \frac{2a_i}{3} \rceil$ . Hence,  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) \leq |I(T) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^j S_{T_i})| = |I(T)| - |(\bigcup_{i=1}^j S_{T_i})| = n - \ell - \sum_{i=1}^j |S_{T_i}| = n - \ell - \sum_{i=1}^j \lceil \frac{2a_i}{3} \rceil \leq n - \ell - \lceil \frac{2}{3} |S_2(T) \setminus S(T)| \rceil$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with  $\ell$  leaves. If  $S_2(T) \setminus S(T) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) < n - \ell$ .*

By Corollary 2.1, we will assume that  $S_2(T) \setminus S(T) = \emptyset$ . Theorem 1.1 is extended by the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n$  with  $\ell$  leaves and  $S_2(T) \setminus S(T) = \emptyset$ . If  $|S_2(T) \cup S_3(T)| \leq 1$ , then  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) = n - \ell$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1, if  $|S_2(T) \cup S_3(T)| = 0$ , then the theorem holds. So, we can assume that  $|S_2(T) \cup S_3(T)| = 1$ . Suppose that  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) < n - \ell$ . Among all  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -sets of  $T$ , let  $D$  be a  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -set of  $T$  such that  $|D \cap I(T)|$  is maximized. Since  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) < n - \ell = |I(T)|$ , it follows that there exists a vertex  $u \in I(T)$  such that  $u \in V(T) - D$ . Set  $W = \{w \mid w \text{ is reachable by a path from } u, \text{ all vertices of which belong to } V(T) - D\}$ .

**Case 1.**  $|W| = 1$ . Then  $W = \{u\}$ . Since  $D$  is a  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -set of  $T$  and  $u \in I(T)$ , it follows that  $d(u) = 2$ . Since  $S_2(T) \setminus S(T) = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $u \in S(T)$ . Say  $v \in N(u) \cap S_1(T)$ . Let  $D' = (D \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ . Then  $D'$  is a  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -set of  $T$  such that  $|D' \cap I(T)| > |D \cap I(T)|$ , which is a contradiction.

**Case 2.**  $|W| \geq 2$ . Then  $T[W]$  is a subtree of  $T$  with at least two leaves. Let  $v$  and  $t$  be two leaves of  $T[W]$ . Then  $d(v) \geq 2$  and  $d(t) \geq 2$ . Since  $|S_2(T) \cup S_3(T)| = 1$ , it follows that  $d(v) \geq 4$  or  $d(t) \geq 4$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $d(v) \geq 4$ . Then  $v$  is dominated by  $D$  at least three times, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with  $\ell$  leaves. Suppose that  $v \in S(T)$  and  $|N(v) \cap S_1(T)| \geq 3$ . Say  $N(v) \setminus S_1(T) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , let  $T_i$  denote the component of  $T - v$  containing  $v_i$ , and let  $T'_i = T - \bigcup_{j=1, j \neq i}^k T_j$ .*

*Then*  
 $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) = n - \ell$  if and only if  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T'_i) = n(T'_i) - |S_1(T'_i)|$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\bigcap_{j=1}^k (I(T'_j)) = \{v\}$  and  $\bigcup_{j=1}^k (I(T'_j)) = I(T)$ . Suppose that  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) = n - \ell = |I(T)|$ . Then  $I(T)$  is a  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -set of  $T$ . If there exists  $i$  such that  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T'_i) < n(T'_i) - |S_1(T'_i)|$ , assume that  $D'_i$  is a  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -set of  $T'_i$ , then  $|D'_i| < |I(T'_i)|$ . Since  $v$  is adjacent to at least three leaves in  $T'_i$ ,  $v \in D'_i$ . Hence,  $D'_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1, j \neq i}^k I(T'_j)$  is a  $[1, 2]$ -dominating set of  $T$  with cardinality less than  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T'_i) = n(T'_i) - |S_1(T'_i)|$ .

Conversely, let  $D$  be a  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -set of  $T$ . It is obvious that  $v \in D$ . Then  $D \cap V(T'_i)$  is a  $[1, 2]$ -dominating set of  $T'_i$ . If  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) < n - \ell$ , there exists  $i$  such that  $|D \cap V(T'_i)| < |I(T'_i)|$ . Then  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T'_i) < n(T'_i) - |S_1(T'_i)|$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n$ . Assume that  $|N(u) \cap L(T)| \geq 4$ . Say  $w \in N(u) \cap L(T)$ . Let  $T' = T - w$ . Then*

$$\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) = |V(T)| - |L(T)| \text{ if and only if } \gamma_{[1,2]}(T') = |V(T')| - |L(T')|.$$

Let  $T$  be a tree with  $n \geq 3$ . If  $\text{diam}(T) = 2, 3$ , it is obvious that  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) = |V(T)| - |L(T)|$ . So we can assume that  $\text{diam}(T) \geq 4$ . By Corollary 2.1, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, in order to give a characterization of tree with  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) = n - \ell$ , we define a family of trees. Let  $\Gamma'$  be a family of trees  $T$  satisfying the following properties.

- (1)  $\text{diam}(T) \geq 4$ .
- (2) For each vertex  $u \in I(T) \setminus S(T)$ ,  $d(u) \geq 3$ .

(3) For each vertex  $u \in V(T)$ ,  $|N(u) \cap L(T)| \leq 3$ .

(4) If  $|N(u) \cap L(T)| = 3$ , then  $|N(u) \cap I(T)| = 1$ .

If  $|N(u) \cap L(T)| = 3$ ,  $u$  is called a strong support vertex. Define  $A(T) = \{u \mid |N(u) \cap L(T)| = 3\}$ . Let  $P$  be the longest path in  $T$ . Let  $t$  denote the third vertex in the path  $P$ . View  $T$  as a tree rooted at  $t$ . For  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{diam}(T) - 2$ , define  $L_i = \{u \mid d(u, t) = i, u \in V(T)\}$ . For each  $v \in V(T)$ , define

$$h(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |N(v) \cap L(T)| = 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } |N(v) \cap L(T)| = 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } |N(v) \cap L(T)| = 0, \\ +\infty & \text{if } v \in A(T) \cup L(T). \end{cases}$$

**Algorithm 1:**

**Input:** A tree  $T \in \Gamma'$  and a root vertex  $t$ .

**Output:**  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) < n - \ell$  or  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) = n - \ell$ .

**Step 0:** For each vertex  $v \in \{u \mid u \in S(T), C(u) \subseteq L(T)\} \cup L(T)$ , define  $g(v) = 0$  and label  $v$  with  $(h(v), g(v))$ .

**Step 1:** **while** there exists a vertex  $v \in I(T) \setminus \{t\}$  such that  $v$  is unlabeled **do**

Choose an unlabeled vertex  $v \in V(T)$  such that each vertex of  $C(v)$  has been labeled. Say  $C(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d_v-1}\}$  and  $h(v_1) + g(v_1) \geq h(v_2) + g(v_2) \geq \dots \geq h(v_{d_v-1}) + g(v_{d_v-1})$ .

(1) Case 1.  $|C(v) \cap (A(T) \cup L(T))| = 2$ .

(a) Define  $g(v) = \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus (A(T) \cup L(T))} (h(w) + g(w))$ .

(b) Label  $v$  with  $(h(v), g(v))$ .

(2) Case 2.  $|C(v) \cap (A(T) \cup L(T))| \leq 1$ .

**If**  $h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v)} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$  **or**  $h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1\}} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$  **then** output  $r_{[1,2]}(T) < n - \ell$  **else**  
 $g(v) = \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}} (h(w) + g(w))$  (// If  $d(v) = 3$ , then  $g(v) = 0$ .)

Label  $v$  with  $(h(v), g(v))$ .

**End-while**

**Step 2:** Suppose that every vertex  $v \in I(T) \setminus \{t\}$  has been labeled. Say  $C(t) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d_t}\}$  and  $h(v_1) + g(v_1) \geq h(v_2) + g(v_2) \geq \dots \geq h(v_{d_t}) + g(v_{d_t})$ .

**If**  $h(t) + \sum_{w \in C(t) \setminus \{v_1\}} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$  **or**

$h(t) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$  **then** output  $r_{[1,2]}(T) < n - \ell$  **else**

(a) Define  $g(t) = \sum_{w \in C(t) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}} (h(w) + g(w))$

(b) Label  $t$  with  $(h(t), g(t))$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $T$  be the input tree of Algorithm 1. If there exists  $v \in I(T) \setminus \{t\}$  with  $|C(v) \cap (A(T) \cup L(T))| \leq 1$  such that  $h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v)} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$  or  $h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1\}} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$ , then  $r_{[1,2]}(T) < n - \ell$ .

*Proof.* In order to prove this Theorem, we design Algorithm 2 as follows.

**Algorithm 2:**

**Input:** Tree  $T$  and a root vertex  $t$ .

**Output:**  $S \subseteq V(T)$

**Step 0:** Say  $v \in L_i$ . Define  $S = \{v\}$ .

**Step 1:** If  $h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v)} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$ ,  $S = S \cup C(v)$ ; If  $h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1\}} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$ ,  $S = (S \cup (C(v) \setminus \{v_1\})) \cup (\{v_1\} \cap L(T))$ .

**Step 2:** For  $j = i + 1$  to  $diam(T) - 3$

**For** each  $v \in S \cap (L_j \setminus L(T))$

        Say  $C(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d_v-1}\}$  and  $h(v_1) + g(v_1) \geq h(v_2) + g(v_2) \geq \dots \geq h(v_{d_v-1}) + g(v_{d_v-1})$ .

**If**  $g(v) = \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}} (h(w) + g(w))$  **then**  $S = (S \cup (C(v) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\})) \cup (C(v) \cap L(T))$  **else**  $S = S \cup (C(v) \cap L(T))$

**End-for**

**End-for**

By Algorithm 2, we have a subset  $S \subseteq V(T)$ .

It is easy to prove that  $T[S]$  is a subtree of  $T_v$ . Furthermore,  $|V(T[S]) \cap L(T)| - |V(T[S]) \cap I(T)| = h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v)} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$  or  $|V(T[S]) \cap L(T)| - |V(T[S]) \cap I(T)| = h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1\}} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$ . It is obvious that  $(I(T) \setminus (V(T[S]) \cap I(T))) \cup (V(T[S]) \cap L(T))$  is a [1, 2]-dominating set of  $T$ . Hence,  $r_{[1,2]}(T) \leq |(I(T) \setminus (V(T[S]) \cap I(T))) \cup (V(T[S]) \cap L(T))| = |I(T)| - |(V(T[S]) \cap I(T))| + |(V(T[S]) \cap L(T))| < I(T) = n - \ell$ .  $\square$

By a similar proof as above, the following result holds.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $T$  be the input tree of Algorithm 1. Suppose that every vertex  $v \in I(T) \setminus \{t\}$  has been labeled. If  $h(t) + \sum_{w \in C(t) \setminus \{v_1\}} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$  or  $h(t) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}} (h(w) + g(w)) < 0$ , then  $r_{[1,2]}(T) < n - \ell$ .*

**Theorem 2.5.** *Suppose that  $t$  is labeled by Algorithm 1. Let  $S$  be a  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -set of  $T$ . Define  $|A(w)| = |S \cap V(T_w)| - |I(T) \cap V(T_w)|$  for any  $w \in V(T)$ .*

*For any  $v \in I(T)$ , we have*

- (1) *If  $v \notin S$ , then  $|A(v)| \geq h(v) + g(v)$ .*
- (2) *If  $v \in S$ , then  $|A(v)| \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $v \in L_i$ . We will prove it by induction on  $i$ .

Suppose that  $i = diam(T) - 3$ . If  $v \notin S$ , then  $v \notin A(T)$  and  $C(v) \subseteq S$ . By Algorithm 1,  $g(v) = 0$ . Then  $|A(v)| = h(v) + g(v)$ . If  $v \in S$ , then it is obvious that  $|A(v)| = 0$ .

Suppose that the two results hold for  $i = diam(T) - 3, \dots, \ell + 1$ . We will prove that the theorem holds for  $i = \ell$ . We will discuss it from the following two cases.

**Case 1**  $v \notin S$ . Then

$$|A(v)| = (-1) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \cap S} |A(w)| + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} |A(w)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (-1) + \sum_{w \in (C(v) \cap S) \cap L(T)} |A(w)| + \sum_{w \in (C(v) \cap S) \setminus L(T)} |A(w)| \\
 &\quad + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} |A(w)| \\
 &= h(v) + \sum_{w \in (C(v) \cap S) \setminus L(T)} |A(w)| + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} |A(w)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $w \in C(v)$  and  $v \in L_i$ , it follows that  $w \in L_{i+1}$ . By inductive hypothesis, it follows that

$$\sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} |A(w)| \geq \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} (h(w) + g(w))$$

and

$$\sum_{w \in (C(v) \cap S) \setminus L(T)} |A(w)| \geq \sum_{w \in (C(v) \cap S) \setminus L(T)} 0 = 0.$$

Hence,

$$|A(v)| \geq h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} (h(w) + g(w)).$$

Since  $S$  is a  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -set of  $T$ , it follows that  $|C(v) \cap S| \leq 2$ . That is  $|C(v) \setminus S| \geq |C(v)| - 2$ .

Suppose that  $|C(v) \cap (L(T) \cup A(T))| = 2$ . Then  $\sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} (h(w) + g(w)) = g(v)$ .

Hence,  $|A(v)| \geq h(v) + g(v)$ .

Suppose that  $|C(v) \cap (L(T) \cup A(T))| = 1$ . Then  $h(v) \leq 0$ . By Algorithm 1,  $h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1\}} (h(w) + g(w)) \geq 0$ . So,  $h(v_2) + g(v_2) \geq 0$ . Hence,  $|A(v)| \geq h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} (h(w) + g(w)) \geq h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}} (h(w) + g(w)) = h(v) + g(v)$ .

Suppose that  $|C(v) \cap (L(T) \cup A(T))| = 0$ . Then  $h(v) = -1$ . By Algorithm 1,  $h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v)} (h(w) + g(w)) \geq 0$  and  $h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1\}} (h(w) + g(w)) \geq 0$ . So,  $h(v_1) + g(v_1) \geq 0$  and  $h(v_2) + g(v_2) \geq 0$ . Hence,  $h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} (h(w) + g(w)) \geq h(v) + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}} (h(w) + g(w)) = h(v) + g(v)$ .

Hence, if  $v \notin S$ , then  $|A(v)| \geq h(v) + g(v)$ .

**Case 2**  $v \in S$ . Then  $|A(v)| = 0 + \sum_{w \in C(v) \cap S} |A(w)| + \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} |A(w)|$ .

Since  $w \in C(v)$  and  $v \in L_i$ , it follows that  $w \in L_{i+1}$ . By inductive hypothesis, it follows that  $\sum_{w \in C(v) \cap S} |A(w)| \geq \sum_{w \in C(v) \cap S} 0 = 0$ .

Hence,

$$|A(v)| \geq \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} |A(w)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus (S \cup L(T))} [(-1) + \sum_{w' \in C(w) \cap S} |A(w')| + \sum_{w' \in C(w) \setminus S} |A(w')|] \\
&= \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus (S \cup L(T))} [h(w) + \sum_{w' \in (C(w) \cap S) \setminus L(T)} |A(w')| + \sum_{w' \in C(w) \setminus S} |A(w')|].
\end{aligned}$$

By inductive hypothesis,  $|A(w')| \geq 0$  for any  $w' \in (C(w) \cap S) \setminus L(T)$  and  $|A(w')| \geq h(w') + g(w')$  for any  $w' \in C(w) \setminus S$ . So

$$|A(v)| \geq \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus (S \cup L(T))} [h(w) + \sum_{w' \in C(w) \setminus S} (h(w') + g(w'))].$$

Since  $v \in S$  and  $w \notin S$ , it follows that  $|C(w) \cap (A(T) \cup L(T))| \leq 1$  and  $|C(w) \setminus S| \geq |C(w)| - 1$ . Since  $t$  is labeled by Algorithm 1, it follows that  $h(w) + \sum_{w' \in C(w) \setminus S} (h(w') + g(w')) \geq 0$ . So  $|A(v)| \geq \sum_{w \in C(v) \setminus S} 0 \geq 0$ .

Hence, if  $v \in S$ , then  $|A(v)| \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $T \in \Gamma'$  be the tree rooted at vertex  $t$ . Then  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) = n - \ell$  if and only if vertex  $t$  is labeled by Algorithm 1.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\gamma_{12}(T) = n - \ell$ . By Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.4 and Algorithm 1, vertex  $t$  is labeled by Algorithm 1.

Conversely, we assume that vertex  $t$  is labeled by Algorithm 1. Let  $S$  be a  $\gamma_{[1,2]}$ -set of  $T$ . Suppose that  $t \in S$ . By Theorem 2.5, it follows that  $|A(T)| = |S \cap V(T_t)| - |I(T) \cap V(T_t)| \geq 0$ . Since  $|S \cap V(T_t)| = |S|$  and  $|I(T) \cap V(T_t)| = |I(T)|$ , it follows that  $|S| \geq |I(T)|$ . Suppose that  $t \notin S$ . By Theorem 2.5, it follows that  $|A(T)| \geq h(t) + g(t)$ . Since vertex  $t$  is labeled by Algorithm 1, it follows that  $h(t) + g(t) \geq 0$ . So  $|A(T)| = |S \cap V(T_t)| - |I(T) \cap V(T_t)| \geq 0$ . That is  $|S| \geq |I(T)|$ .

Therefore, for any cases, we have  $|S| \geq |I(T)|$ . It is obvious that  $|S| \leq |I(T)|$ . Hence  $\gamma_{[1,2]}(T) = |S| = |I(T)| = n - \ell$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2017R1D1A3B03029912).

## References

- [1] A. T. Amin and P. J. Slater, *Neighborhood domination with parity restrictions in graphs*, Congr. Numer. **91** (1992), 19–30.
- [2] ———, *All parity realizable trees*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. **20** (1996), 53–63.
- [3] M. Chellali, T. W. Haynes, and S. T. Hedetniemi, *[1, 2]-sets in graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **161** (2013), no. 18, 2885–2893.
- [4] I. J. Dejter, *Quasiperfect domination in triangular lattices*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **29** (2009), no. 1, 179–198.
- [5] X. Yang and B. Wu, *[1, 2]-domination in graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **175** (2014), 79–86.

XUE-GANG CHEN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NORTH CHINA ELECTRIC POWER UNIVERSITY  
BEIJING 102206, P. R. CHINA  
*Email address:* [gxcxdm@163.com](mailto:gxcxdm@163.com)

MOO YOUNG SOHN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
CHANGWON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
CHANGWON 641-773, KOREA  
*Email address:* [mysohn@changwon.ac.kr](mailto:mysohn@changwon.ac.kr)