## ON FRAMES FOR COUNTABLY GENERATED HILBERT MODULES OVER LOCALLY C\*-ALGEBRAS

LEILA ALIZADEH AND MAHMOUD HASSANI

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a countably generated Hilbert module over a locally  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  in multiplier module  $M(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ . We propose the necessary and sufficient condition such that a sequence  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $M(\mathcal{X})$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$ . We also show that if T in  $b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}))$ , the space of bounded maps in set of all adjointable maps on  $\mathcal{X}$ , is surjective and  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$ , then  $\{T \circ h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$ , too.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Locally  $C^*$ -algebras are generalizations of  $C^*$ -algebras. Locally  $C^*$ -algebras were first introduced by A. Inoue [5] and were also studied more by N. C. Phillips (under the name of pro- $C^*$ -algebra) [10].

A locally  $C^*$ -algebra is a complete Hausdorff complex topological \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , whose topology is determined by its continuous  $C^*$ -seminorms in the sense that the net  $\{a_i\}_{i\in I}$  converges to 0 in  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if the net  $\{p(a_i)\}_{i\in I}$  converges to 0 for every continuous  $C^*$ -seminorm p in set  $S(\mathcal{A})$  of all continuous  $C^*$ -seminorms on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Hilbert modules are essentially objects like Hilbert spaces by allowing the inner product to take values in a (locally)  $C^*$ -algebra rather than the field of complex numbers. The notion of Hilbert module over locally  $C^*$ -algebras generalize the notion of Hilbert  $C^*$ -module. Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras were first considered by N. C. Phillips [10]. He showed that many properties of the Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules are valid for Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras. But the main body of the work on Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras is due to M. Joita, all her work on the subject can be found in her book, under the title "Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras" (See [7]).

Here we recall some results about Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras from [7] and [10].

©2018 Korean Mathematical Society

527

Received May 9, 2017; Revised August 13, 2017; Accepted August 29, 2017.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L08; Secondary 46L05, 42C15.

Key words and phrases. locally  $C^*$ -algebras, Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras, bounded module maps, countably generated Hilbert modules, standard frames of multipliers.

A (right) pre-Hilbert module over a locally  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (or a pre-Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module) is a complex vector space  $\mathcal{X}$  which is also a right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, compatible with the complex algebra structure, equipped with an  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  which is  $\mathbb{C}$ - and  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear in its second variable and satisfies the following relations:

- (1)  $\langle y, x \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^*$  for every  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ ;
- (2)  $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ;
- (3)  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$  if and only if x = 0.

A pre-Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module if  $\mathcal{X}$  is complete with respect to the topology determined by the family of seminorms  $\{\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}\}_{p\in S(\mathcal{A})}$ where  $\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = \sqrt{p(\langle x, x \rangle)}, x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a locally  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with  $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$ , and the set  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$  of all sequences  $(a_n)_n$  with  $a_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\sum_n a_n^* a_n$  converges in  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with the action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$  defined by  $(a_n)_n b =$  $(a_n b)_n$  and the inner product defined by  $\langle (a_n)_n, (b_n)_n \rangle = \sum_n a_n^* b_n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. A subset  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is a generating set for  $\mathcal{X}$  if the closed submodule of  $\mathcal{X}$  generated by  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the whole of  $\mathcal{X}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is countably generated if it has a countable generating set.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  be Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. An  $\mathcal{A}$ module map  $T: \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is called bounded if for all  $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ , there is  $M_p > 0$ such that  $\bar{p}_{\mathcal{Y}}(Tx) \leq M_p \bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , and it is adjointable if there is a map  $T^*: \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}$ . It is easy to see that every adjointable map is a bounded  $\mathcal{A}$ -module map. The set of all adjointable maps from  $\mathcal{X}$  into  $\mathcal{Y}$  is denoted by  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  and we write  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ for  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ . The vector space  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  is a complete locally convex space with respect to the topology defined by the family of seminorms  $\{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}}\}_{p\in S(\mathcal{A})}$ , where  $\hat{p}_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}}$  defined by  $\hat{p}_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}}(T) = \sup\{\bar{p}_{\mathcal{Y}}(Tx): x \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and } \bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \leq 1\}$ . In particular,  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$  becomes a locally  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the topology defined by the family of seminorms  $\{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{X}}\}_{p\in S(\mathcal{A})}$ .

We say that an element T of  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  is bounded in  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  if there is M > 0 such that  $\hat{p}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}(T) \leq M$  for all  $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ . The set of all bounded elements in  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  is denoted by  $b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}))$ . It is clear that the map  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ defined by  $\|T\|_{\infty} = \sup\{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}(T) : p \in S(\mathcal{A})\}$  is a norm on  $b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}))$ . And  $b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}))$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ . So  $b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}))$ is a  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ .

Now we recall some fact about multiplier modules from [8] and [9].

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. It is not difficult to check that  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$  is a Hilbert  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ -module with the action of  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$  on  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$  defined by  $t.s = t \circ s$ ,  $t \in L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$  and  $s \in L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ and the  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ -valued inner-product defined by  $\langle t, s \rangle = t^* \circ s$ . Moreover,  $\bar{p}_{L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{X})}(s) = \hat{p}_{L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{X})}(s)$  for all  $s \in L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{X})$  and for all  $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ , the topology on  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$  induced by the inner product coincides with the topology determined by the family of seminorms  $\{\bar{p}_{L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{X})}\}_{p\in S(\mathcal{A})}$ . Therefore  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{X})$ is a Hilbert  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ -module and since  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$  can identified with the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  (see [7] and [10]),  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{X})$  becomes a Hilbert  $M(\mathcal{A})$ -module. The Hilbert  $M(\mathcal{A})$ -module  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{X})$  is called the multiplier module of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and it is denoted by  $M(\mathcal{X})$ .

The map  $i_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow M(\mathcal{X})$  defined by  $i_{\mathcal{X}}(x)(a) = xa, x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  embeds  $\mathcal{X}$  as a closed submodule of  $M(\mathcal{X})$ . Moreover, if  $t \in M(\mathcal{X})$ , then t.a = t(a) for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\langle t, x \rangle = t^*(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

A Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{X}$  is countably generated in  $M(\mathcal{X})$  if there is a countable set  $\{h_n : h_n \in M(\mathcal{X}), n = 1, 2, ...\}$  such that the closed submodule of  $M(\mathcal{X})$ generated by  $\{h_n.a : a \in \mathcal{A}, n = 1, 2, ...\}$  is the whole of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a countably generated Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, then  $\mathcal{X}$  is countably generated in  $M(\mathcal{X})$ . In general,  $\mathcal{X}$  is not always countably generated when  $\mathcal{X}$  is countably generated in  $M(\mathcal{X})$ .

Frames for Hilbert spaces were introduced by R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer [3] in 1952 as part of their research in non-harmonic Fourier series. They were reintroduced and developed by I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann and Y. Meyer [2] in 1986. Many generalizations of frames were introduced, meanwhile, M. Frank and D. R. Larson [4] presented a general approach to the frame theory in Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. A frame for a countably generated Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $\mathcal{X}$  is a sequence  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for which there are constants C, D > 0 such that

$$C\langle x,x\rangle \leq \sum_{n} \langle x,x_n \rangle \langle x_n,x \rangle \leq D\langle x,x \rangle, \ x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

M. Joita [8] generalized this definition to the situation Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras. A frame of multipliers for a countably generated Hilbert module  $\mathcal{X}$  over a locally  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a sequence  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $M(\mathcal{X})$  for which there are constants C, D > 0 such that

(1.1) 
$$C\langle x, x \rangle \leq \sum_{n} \langle x, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, x \rangle \leq D\langle x, x \rangle, \ x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

The numbers C and D are called lower and upper frame bounds, respectively. We consider standard frames of multipliers for which the sum in the middle of (1.1) converges in  $\mathcal{A}$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Any countably generated Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{X}$  in  $M(\mathcal{X})$  admits a standard frame of multipliers [8, Proposition 3.6].

In this paper we extend some results from [1] in the context of Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras.

## 2. Main results

First, we investigate some properties of bounded  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear maps between Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules.

**Definition 2.1.** An element T of  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  is bounded below in  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  if there is M > 0 such that  $M\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \leq \bar{p}_{\mathcal{Y}}(Tx)$  for all  $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Our first result is a generalization of [1, Proposition 2.1].

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules and  $T \in b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}))$ . The following statements are mutually equivalent:

- (1) T is surjective;
- (2)  $T^*$  is bounded below in  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ , i.e., there is m > 0 such that  $m\bar{p}_{\mathcal{Y}}(x) \leq \bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(T^*x)$  for all  $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$  and  $x \in \mathcal{Y}$ ;
- (3)  $T^*$  is bounded below with respect to inner product, i.e., there is m' > 0such that  $m'\langle x, x \rangle \leq \langle T^*x, T^*x \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

*Proof.* If (1) holds, then  $\text{Im}T = \mathcal{Y}$  is closed. It follows from [7, Remark 3.2.5] that  $\text{Im}T^*$  is also closed,  $\text{Ker}T \oplus \text{Im}T^* = \mathcal{X}$  and  $\text{Ker}T^* \oplus \text{Im}T = \mathcal{Y}$ . We shall prove that  $TT^*$  is bijective.

If  $TT^*x = 0$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{Y}$ , then  $T^*x \in \text{Ker}T \cap \text{Im}T^* = \{0\}$ , hence  $T^*x = 0$ . Now  $x \in \text{Ker}T^* = (\text{Im}T)^{\perp} = \mathcal{Y}^{\perp} = \{0\}$ , implies x = 0. This proves that  $TT^*$  is injective.

Let z be an arbitrarily chosen element of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . T is surjective, then we have z = Ty for some  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ . There are  $y_1 \in \text{Ker}T$  and  $x \in \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $y = y_1 \oplus T^*x$ . Then  $z = Ty = T(y_1 \oplus T^*x) = Ty_1 + TT^*x = TT^*x$ ; therefore  $TT^*$  is surjective.

Since  $TT^*$  is a positive invertible element of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Y}))$ , then  $0 \leq (TT^*)^{-1} \leq ||(TT^*)^{-1}||_{\infty} id_{\mathcal{Y}}$ , so  $TT^* \geq ||(TT^*)^{-1}||_{\infty}^{-1} id_{\mathcal{Y}}$ , where  $id_{\mathcal{Y}}$ stands for the identity operator on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Denoting  $m' = ||(TT^*)^{-1}||_{\infty}^{-1}$  we get  $TT^* - m'id_{\mathcal{Y}} \geq 0$ . This is equivalent to  $\langle (TT^* - m'id_{\mathcal{Y}})x, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{Y}$ , i.e.,  $\langle T^*x, T^*x \rangle \geq m'\langle x, x \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{Y}$ . So (3) holds.

The implication  $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$  is trivial.

Suppose that (2) holds. Then  $T^*$  is clearly injective, and it is easy to see that  $\text{Im}T^*$  is closed. Then T has the closed range, again by [7, Remark 3.2.5], and  $\mathcal{Y} = \text{Ker}T^* \oplus \text{Im}T = \{0\} \oplus \text{Im}T = \text{Im}T$ . This gives (1).

In view of [6, Theorem 3.7], we notice that if  $T \in b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}))$ ,  $\langle Tx, Tx \rangle \leq ||T||_{\infty}^{2} \langle x, x \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . So we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{X}$  a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $T \in b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}))$  such that  $T^* = T$ . The following statements are mutually equivalent:

- (1) T is surjective;
- (2) There are m, M > 0 such that  $m\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \leq \bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(Tx) \leq M\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x)$  for all  $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ;
- (3) There are m', M' > 0 such that  $m'\langle x, x \rangle \leq \langle Tx, Tx \rangle \leq M'\langle x, x \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Remark 2.4. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a countably generated Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module in  $M(\mathcal{X})$  and let  $\{h_n\}_n$  be a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$ . The module morphism  $\theta: \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$  defined by  $\theta(x) = (\langle h_n, x \rangle)_n$  is called the frame transform for  $\{h_n\}_n$ . The frame transform  $\theta$  is an injective adjointable module morphism from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$  with closed range. Moreover,  $\theta \in b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}))$  which realizes an embedding of  $\mathcal{X}$  onto an orthogonal summand of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . The adjoint operator

530

 $\theta^*$  is surjective. Moreover,  $\theta^* \circ \theta$  is an invertible element in  $b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}))$ . For details we refer the reader to [8].

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{X}$  a countably generated Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module in  $M(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  a sequence in  $M(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\theta(x) = (\langle h_n, x \rangle)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . The following statements are mutually equivalent:

- (1)  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- (2)  $\theta \in b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}))$  and  $\theta$  is bounded below in  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ .
- (3)  $\theta \in b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}))$  and  $\theta^*$  is surjective.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): It was proved in [8, Theorem 3.11].

- (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3): It follows from Proposition 2.2.
- $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Since

$$\langle \theta x, \theta x \rangle = \sum_{n} \langle x, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, x \rangle, \ x \in \mathcal{X},$$

from (2) it follows that  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Another direct consequence of Proposition 2.2 is that if  $T \in b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}))$  is surjective and  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$ , then  $\{T \circ h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$ , too.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{X}$  a countably generated Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module in  $M(\mathcal{X})$ , and  $T \in b(L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}))$  surjective. If  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$  with frame bounds C and D, then  $\{T \circ h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$  with frame bounds  $C \mid |(TT^*)^{-1}||_{\infty}^{-1}$  and  $D||T||_{\infty}^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle x, T \circ h_k \rangle \langle T \circ h_k, x \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle T^*x, h_k \rangle \langle h_k, T^*x \rangle$$

and since  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $T^*x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\sum_n \langle x, T \circ h_n \rangle \langle T \circ h_n, x \rangle$  converges in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and

$$C\langle T^*x, T^*x\rangle \le \sum_n \langle T^*x, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, T^*x \rangle \le D\langle T^*x, T^*x \rangle.$$

From the proof of Proposition 2.2 we have  $\langle T^*x, T^*x \rangle \geq ||(TT^*)^{-1}||_{\infty}^{-1} \langle x, x \rangle$ , since T is surjective. It follows that

$$C||(TT^*)^{-1}||_{\infty}^{-1}\langle x,x\rangle \leq \sum_n \langle x,T \circ h_n \rangle \langle T \circ h_n,x\rangle \leq D||T||_{\infty}^2 \langle x,x\rangle.$$

From these facts we conclude that  $\{T \circ h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$  with frame bounds  $C||(TT^*)^{-1}||_{\infty}^{-1}$  and  $D||T||_{\infty}^2$ .

The next result shows that the condition (1.1) from the definition of standard frames can be replaced with a weaker one.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{X}$  a countably generated Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module in  $M(\mathcal{X})$ , and  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  a sequence in  $M(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\sum_n \langle x, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, x \rangle$  converges in  $\mathcal{A}$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$  if and only if there are constants C, D > 0 such that

(2.1) 
$$C\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x)^2 \leq p\left(\sum_n \langle x, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, x \rangle\right) \leq D\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x)^2, \ x \in \mathcal{X}, \ p \in S(\mathcal{A}).$$

*Proof.* Obviously, every standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies (2.1).

For the converse we suppose that a sequence  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $M(\mathcal{X})$  fulfills (2.1). For an arbitrary  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and a finite  $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  we define  $x_J = \sum_{n \in J} h_n \langle h_n, x \rangle$ . Then

$$\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x_J)^4 = p(\langle x_J, x_J \rangle)^2 = p\left(\langle x_J, \sum_{n \in J} h_n \langle h_n, x \rangle \rangle\right)^2$$
$$= p\left(\sum_{n \in J} \langle x_J, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, x \rangle \right)^2$$
$$\leq p\left(\sum_{n \in J} \langle x_J, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, x_J \rangle \right) p\left(\sum_{n \in J} \langle x, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, x \rangle \right)$$
$$\leq D\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x_J)^2 p\left(\sum_{n \in J} \langle x, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, x \rangle \right),$$

therefore

$$\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\sum_{n\in J}h_n\langle h_n, x\rangle\right)^2 = \bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x_J)^2 \le Dp\left(\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, h_n\rangle\langle h_n, x\rangle\right).$$

Since J is arbitrary, the series  $\sum_n h_n \langle h_n, x \rangle$  converges in  $\mathcal{X}$  and since

$$\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\sum_{n\in J}h_n\langle h_n, x\rangle\right)^2 \le Dp\left(\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, h_n\rangle\langle h_n, x\rangle\right) \le D^2\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x)^2$$
$$\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\sum_{n\in J}h_n\langle h_n, x\rangle\right) \le D\bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x).$$

Since  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  is arbitrarily chosen, the operator

$$T: \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}, \ x \longmapsto \sum_n h_n \langle h_n, x \rangle$$

is well defined, bounded and  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear. It is easy to check that  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , so  $T \in L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $T = T^*$ . From  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = \sum_n \langle x, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , it follows that  $T \geq 0$ . Now (2.1) and

 $\langle T^{\frac{1}{2}}x, T^{\frac{1}{2}}x \rangle = \sum_{n} \langle x, h_n \rangle \langle h_n, x \rangle$  imply  $C^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \leq \bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(T^{\frac{1}{2}}x) \leq D^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{p}_{\mathcal{X}}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . By Corollary 2.3, there are constants C', D' > 0 such that

$$C'\langle x,x\rangle \leq \langle T^{\frac{1}{2}}x,T^{\frac{1}{2}}x\rangle = \sum_{n} \langle x,h_n\rangle \langle h_n,x\rangle \leq D'\langle x,x\rangle, \ x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

This proves that  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a standard frame of multipliers in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are thankful to the referee for several useful comments, which improved the presentation of the paper.

## References

- L. Arambasic, On frames for countably generated Hilbert C<sup>\*</sup>-modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), no. 2, 469–478.
- [2] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann, and Y. Meyer, *Painless nonorthogonal expansions*, J. Math. Phys. 27 (1986), no. 5, 1271–1283.
- [3] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 341–366.
- [4] M. Frank and D. R. Larson, Frames in Hilbert C<sup>\*</sup>-modules and C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras, J. Operator Theory 48 (2002), no. 2, 273–314.
- [5] A. Inoue, Locally CSp\*-algebra, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A 25 (1971), 197–235.
- [6] M. Joita, On bounded module maps between Hilbert modules over locally C\*-algebras, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.) 74 (2005), no. 1, 71–78.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, Hilbert modules over locally C\*-algebras, University of Bucharest Press, 2006.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, On frames in Hilbert modules over pro-C\*-algebras, Topology Appl. 156 (2008), no. 1, 83–92.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, On multipliers of Hilbert modules over pro-C\*-algebras, Studia Math. 185 (2008), no. 3, 263–277.
- [10] N. C. Phillips, Inverse limits of C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras, J. Operator Theory 19 (1988), no. 1, 159–195.

Leila Alizadeh Department of Mathematics Mashhad Branch Islamic Azad University Mashhad, Iran *Email address*: Leila\_al8867@yahoo.com

Mahmoud Hassani Department of Mathematics Mashhad Branch Islamic Azad University Mashhad, Iran Email address: mhassanimath@gmail.com, Hassani@mshdiau.ac.ir