Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 33 (2018), No. 2, pp. 507-513

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c170171} \\ {\rm pISSN:~1225\text{-}1763~/~eISSN:~2234\text{-}3024} \end{array}$

THE TOEPLITZNESS OF WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS

SHÛICHI OHNO

Dedicated to the memory of Takahiko Nakazi

ABSTRACT. We will consider the asymptotic toeplitzness associated with weighted composition operators on the Hardy-Hilbert space H^2 .

1. Introduction

Throughout let H^2 be the Hardy-Hilbert space of all analytic functions on the open unit disk $\mathbb D$ with square-summable Taylor coefficients. For $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n z^n$ in H^2 , identifying functions in H^2 with their boundary functions, the standard inner product is defined as

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \overline{b_n}$$

= $\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(e^{i\theta}) \overline{g(e^{i\theta})} dm(\theta),$

where m is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the boundary $\partial \mathbb{D}$ of \mathbb{D} . Refer to [8,15] for the basic properties of the classical Hardy spaces.

Let T be a bounded linear operator on H^2 . Then T is a Toeplitz operator if and only if $S^*TS = T$, where S is the forward shift defined by Sf(z) = zf(z) for $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in H^2$ and S^* is the backward shift on H^2 . In the natural way, for a bounded measurable function $u \in L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D})$, a Toeplitz operator T_u on H^2 is defined as $T_u f = P(uf)$ for $f \in H^2$, where P is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\partial \mathbb{D})$ to H^2 . Recall that the compact Toeplitz operator on H^2 is only the zero operator. See [6,13] for operator theory on H^2 .

In [1], Barría and Halmos firstly called an operator T on H^2 asymptotically Toeplitz if the sequence of operators $\{S^{*^n}TS^n\}$ converges strongly on H^2 . Then Feintuch [9] suggested the analogous conditions relative to either weak or norm

Received April 24, 2017; Revised September 22, 2017; Accepted November 2, 2017. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B33, 47B38, 30H10.

 $[\]mathit{Key}\ \mathit{words}\ \mathit{and}\ \mathit{phrases}.$ asympotic Toeplitz operator, weighted composition operator.

The author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (No.15K04905).

508 S. OHNO

operator convergence. So there are actually three different kinds of asymptotic toeplitzness.

Definition. Let T be a bounded linear operator on H^2 .

- (i) T is said to be uniformly asymptotically Toeplitz if there is a bounded linear operator A on H^2 such that $||S^{*^n}TS^n A|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
- (ii) T is said to be strongly asymptotically Toeplitz if there is an operator A on H^2 such that $\|(S^{*^n}TS^n-A)f\|\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ for any $f\in H^2$.
- (iii) T is said to be weakly asymptotically Toeplitz if there is an operator A on H^2 such that $\langle (S^{*^n}TS^n A)f, g \rangle \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $f, g \in H^2$.

Feintuch [9] showed the following result.

Theorem of Feintuch. A bounded linear operator on H^2 is uniformly asymptotically Toeplitz if and only if it is the sum of a Toeplitz operator and a compact operator.

The asymptotic toeplitzness of composition operators originally was considered by Shapiro. For an analytic self-map φ of \mathbb{D} , the composition operator C_{φ} is defined by $C_{\varphi}f = f \circ \varphi$. It has been known for a long time that such operators are bounded linear operators on H^2 . See [3, 16, 19] for the study of composition operators. Nazarov and Shapiro [14] investigated properties of the asymptotic toeplitzness of composition operators and adjoints. Also, refer to [17, 18] for a survey of early results on the toeplitzness of composition operators. Recently the toeplitzness of products of composition operators and their adjoints is independently investigated in [4,7].

The concept of composition operators has been generalized to weighted composition operators. Let u be a non-zero bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} and φ an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . We define the weighted composition operator M_uC_{φ} by

$$M_u C_{\varphi} f = u \cdot (f \circ \varphi)$$

for $f \in H^2$. Then $M_u C_{\varphi}$ is a bounded linear operator on H^2 .

In this article we would consider the asymptotic to eplitzness associated with weighted composition operators on ${\cal H}^2.$

2. Toeplitzness of weighted composition operators

First we consider the condition for the weighted composition operator to be a Toeplitz operator.

Theorem 2.1. Let u be a non-zero bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} and φ a non-constant analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Then M_uC_{φ} is Toeplitz if and only if φ is the identity.

Proof. By the definition, M_uC_{φ} is Toeplitz if and only if $S^*M_uC_{\varphi}S=M_uC_{\varphi}$. Then, taking $f\equiv 1,\ S^*M_uC_{\varphi}S\ 1=M_uC_{\varphi}\ 1$ and $S^*(u\varphi)=u$. So

$$\frac{u(z)\varphi(z) - u(0)\varphi(0)}{z} = u(z) \text{ and } u(z)(\varphi(z) - z) = u(0)\varphi(0).$$

Next, taking $f(z) \equiv z$, $S^*M_uC_{\varphi}S$ $z = M_uC_{\varphi}$ z and $S^*(u\varphi^2) = u\varphi$. Thus $u(z)\varphi(z)(\varphi(z) - z) = u(0)\varphi^2(0)$.

Consequently it holds that
$$\varphi(z)u(0)\varphi(0)=u(0)\varphi^2(0)$$
. If $u(0)\varphi(0)\neq 0$, $\varphi(z)=\varphi(0)=$ constant and this is a contradiction. If $u(0)\varphi(0)=0$, then $u(z)(\varphi(z)-z)=0$. By the analyticity, $\varphi(z)\equiv z$.

In [12], to eplitzness of weighted composition operators on ${\cal H}^2$ is considered from another view point.

Due to Feintuch's theorem, we can show the following.

Theorem 2.2. Let u be a non-zero bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} and φ a non-constant analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Then M_uC_{φ} is uniformly asymptotically Toeplitz if and only if M_uC_{φ} is compact or φ is the identity.

Proof. Suppose that M_uC_{φ} is uniformly asymptotically Toeplitz. By Theorem of Feintuch, it holds that $M_uC_{\varphi}-T_f=K$, where $f\in L^{\infty}(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and K is a compact operator. Moreover, assume that M_uC_{φ} is not compact and φ is not the identity. Let $K_{\lambda}(z)=1/(1-\overline{\lambda}z)$ for each $\lambda\in\mathbb{D}$ and $k_{\lambda}(z)=\sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}K_{\lambda}(z)$. By the compactness of K, $\langle (M_uC_{\varphi}-T_f)^*k_{\lambda},k_{\lambda}\rangle\to 0$ as $|\lambda|\to 1$.

$$\langle (M_u C_{\varphi} - T_f)^* k_{\lambda}, k_{\lambda} \rangle = (1 - |\lambda|^2) \langle \overline{u(\lambda)} K_{\varphi(\lambda)}, K_{\lambda} \rangle - \langle \overline{f} k_{\lambda}, k_{\lambda} \rangle$$
$$= \frac{(1 - |\lambda|^2) \overline{u(\lambda)}}{1 - \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} \lambda} - P[\overline{f}](\lambda),$$

where $P[\overline{f}]$ is the Poisson integral of \overline{f} .

As φ is not the identity, $\varphi(e^{i\theta}) \neq e^{i\theta}$ a.e. on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Whenever λ tends to $e^{i\theta}$, then

$$\langle (M_u C_\varphi - T_f)^* k_\lambda, k_\lambda \rangle \to -\overline{f(e^{i\theta})} = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on} \quad \partial \mathbb{D}.$$

So $M_u C_{\varphi} = K$ and this contradicts that $M_u C_{\varphi}$ is not compact.

The compactness of M_uC_{φ} on H^2 is an interesting problem and was characterized in [2,5] in terms of Carleson measures, but there would not be the function-theoretic characterization. Gunatillake [10] characterized some sufficient conditions for the compactness, assuming the continuity of u and φ . We here would present the condition independently of the continuity hypothesis, using the similar notion as in [11].

For a non-constant analytic self-map φ of \mathbb{D} , denote $\Gamma(\varphi) = \{e^{i\theta} \in \partial \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(e^{i\theta})| = 1\}$, where we are identifying φ with its boundary function. For each r, 0 < r < 1, let

$$\{|\varphi|>r\}=\{e^{i\theta}\in\partial\mathbb{D}:|\varphi(e^{i\theta})|>r\}$$

and $||f||_{\infty}$ the essential supremum norm of a function f on $\partial \mathbb{D}$.

510 S. OHNO

Theorem 2.3. Let u be a non-zero bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} and φ a non-constant analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . If M_uC_{φ} is compact on H^2 , then $|\varphi| < 1$ a.e. on $\partial \mathbb{D}$.

Moreover, if $\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi|>r\}}\|_{\infty} \to 0$ as $r \to 1$, then M_uC_{φ} is compact on H^2 .

Proof. Let $\{z^n\}$ be an orthogonal basis in H^2 . By the compactness,

$$||(M_u C_{\varphi})z^n|| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Suppose $m(\Gamma(\varphi)) > 0$. Then

$$||(M_u C_{\varphi})z^n||^2 = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |u(e^{i\theta})|^2 |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^{2n} dm(\theta)$$
$$\geq \int_{\Gamma(\varphi)} |u(e^{i\theta})|^2 dm(\theta).$$

So we obtain u=0 on $\Gamma(\varphi)$. By the analyticity of $u,\ u\equiv 0$. This is a contradiction.

Moreover we assume that $\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi|>r\}}\|_{\infty} \to 0$ as $r \to 1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant δ , $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi|>r\}}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ for $\delta < r < 1$.

Let $\{f_n\}$ in H^2 with $||f_n|| \le 1$ such that f_n converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Then we have

$$||(M_{u}C_{\varphi})f_{n}||^{2}$$

$$= \int_{\{|\varphi| > r\}} |u(e^{i\theta})f_{n}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^{2} dm(\theta) + \int_{\{|\varphi| \le r\}} |u(e^{i\theta})f_{n}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^{2} dm(\theta)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon^{2} ||C_{\varphi}f_{n}||^{2} + \sup_{|\varphi(e^{i\theta})| \le r} |f_{n}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^{2} ||u||_{\infty}^{2}.$$

Taking $n \to \infty$, $\|(M_u C_{\varphi}) f_n\|^2 \le \varepsilon^2 \|C_{\varphi}\|^2$. As ε is arbitrary, $M_u C_{\varphi}$ is compact on H^2 .

For example, u(z)=1-z and $\varphi(z)=(1+z)/2$ satisfy this condition. Indeed, $|\varphi(e^{i\theta})|=\left|\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right|$ and

$$\sup_{\{|\varphi| > r\}} |1 - e^{i\theta}| = \sup_{\{|\varphi| > r\}} 2 \left| \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right| \le 2\sqrt{1 - r^2}.$$

As $r \to 1$, $\sup_{\{|\varphi| > r\}} |1 - e^{i\theta}| \to 0$. So $M_u C_{\varphi}$ is compact on H^2 .

Let $u(z) = \exp\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right)$ be a singular inner function and $\varphi(z) = (1+z)/2$ as in [10]. Then $\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi|>r\}}\|_{\infty} \not\to 0$ as $r\to 1$. In fact, M_uC_{φ} is not compact on H^2

Next we consider the strongly asymptotically toeplitzness. If $M_u C_{\varphi}$ is compact, then $M_u C_{\varphi}$ is uniformly asymptotically Toeplitz and so strongly (weakly) asymptotically Toeplitz.

Theorem 2.4. Let u be a non-zero bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} and φ a non-constant analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} such that M_uC_{φ} is not compact. If $|\varphi| < 1$ a.e. on $\partial \mathbb{D}$, then M_uC_{φ} is strongly (and so weakly) asymptotically Toeplitz with asymptotic symbol zero.

Proof. For $f \in H^2$,

$$||S^{*^n}(M_u C_{\varphi}) S^n f||^2 \le ||u\varphi^n f \circ \varphi||^2$$

$$= \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |u(e^{i\theta}) \varphi^n(e^{i\theta}) f(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^2 dm(\theta)$$

$$= \int_{\{|\varphi| < 1\}} |u(e^{i\theta}) \varphi^n(e^{i\theta}) f(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^2 dm(\theta).$$

By the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, $||S^{*^n}(M_uC_{\varphi})S^nf|| \to 0$. Thus M_uC_{φ} is strongly asymptotically Toeplitz with asymptotic symbol zero.

We could obtain the converse of the theorem above under the hypothesis.

Theorem 2.5. Let u be a non-zero bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} and φ a non-constant analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} with $\varphi(z) \not\equiv z$. Suppose that $\varphi(0) = 0$. If M_uC_{φ} is strongly asymptotically Toeplitz with asymptotic symbol zero, then $|\varphi| < 1$ a.e. on $\partial \mathbb{D}$.

Proof. As $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(z) = z\psi(z)$ where ψ is analytic on \mathbb{D} . Then $S^{*^n}(M_uC_{\omega})S^n1 = S^{*^n}(u\varphi^n) = u\psi^n.$

Suppose $m(\Gamma(\varphi)) > 0$. We have

$$||S^{*^n}(M_uC_{\varphi})S^n1||^2 = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |u(e^{i\theta})\psi^n(e^{i\theta})|^2 dm(\theta)$$
$$\geq \int_{\Gamma(\alpha)} |u(e^{i\theta})|^2 dm(\theta).$$

Thus, since $||S^{*^n}(M_uC_{\varphi})S^n1|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, u = 0 on $\Gamma(\varphi)$. By the analyticity of $u, u \equiv 0$. This is a contradiction.

Finally we obtain the criterion for $M_u C_{\varphi}$ to be weakly asymptotically Toeplitz.

Theorem 2.6. Let u be a non-zero bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} and φ a non-constant analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . If M_uC_{φ} is weakly asymptotically Toeplitz with asymptotic symbol zero, then φ is not a nontrivial rotation. Furthermore, if φ is not a rotation with $\varphi(0) = 0$, M_uC_{φ} is weakly asymptotically Toeplitz with asymptotic symbol zero.

The proof is done by the same way as in [14]. In this case the behavior of the weight u does not cause the weakly asymptotic toeplitzness.

512 S. OHNO

3. Adjoint asymptotic toeplitzness

In this section we consider the adjoint of M_uC_{φ} . But it is easily checked that the toeplitzness, uniformly asymptotic toeplitzness and weakly asymptotic toeplitzness of $(M_uC_{\varphi})^*$ are ones of M_uC_{φ} .

We could show the following by the same method as in [14].

Theorem 3.1. Let u be a non-zero bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} and φ a non-constant analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Suppose that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and φ is not a rotation. Then $(M_u C_{\varphi})^*$ is strongly asymptotically Toeplitz.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the referee for the very careful reading and for helpful comments that improved the manuscript. Especially, the author would appreciate that the referee pointed out Gunatillake's paper [10] which the author does not have known.

References

- [1] J. Barría and P. R. Halmos, Asymptotic Toeplitz operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **273** (1982), no. 2, 621–630.
- [2] M. D. Contreras and A. G. Hernández-Díaz, Weighted composition operators on Hardy spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 263 (2001), no. 1, 224–233.
- [3] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [4] Ž. Čučković and M. Nikpour, On the Toeplitzness of the adjoint of composition operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 408 (2013), no. 2, 541-546.
- [5] Ž. Čučković and R. Zhao, Weighted composition operators between different weighted Bergman spaces and different Hardy spaces, Illinois J. Math. 51 (2007), no. 2, 479–498.
- [6] R. G. Douglas, Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 179, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [7] C. Duna, M. Gagne, C. Gu, and J. Shapiro, Toeplitzness of products of composition operators and their adjoints, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 410 (2014), no. 2, 577–584.
- [8] P. L. Duren, Theory of H^p spaces, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 38, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [9] A. Feintuch, On asymptotic Toeplitz and Hankel operators, in The Gohberg anniversary collection, Vol. II (Calgary, AB, 1988), 241–254, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 41, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989.
- [10] G. Gunatillake, Compact weighted composition operators on the Hardy space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 8, 2895–2899.
- [11] K. J. Izuchi, Y. Izuchi, and S. Ohno, Weighted composition operators on the space of bounded harmonic functions, Integral Equations Operator Theory 71 (2011), no. 1, 91–111.
- [12] S. Jung and E. Ko, On T_u -Toeplitzness of weighted composition operators on H^2 , Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **60** (2015), no. 11, 1522–1538.
- [13] R. A. Martinez-Avendano and P. Rosenthal, An introduction to operators on the Hardy-Hilbert space, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 237, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [14] F. Nazarov and J. H. Shapiro, On the Toeplitzness of composition operators, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 52 (2007), no. 2-3, 193–210.
- [15] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, third edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
- [16] J. H. Shapiro, Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory, Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

- [17] J. H. Shapiro, Every composition operator is (mean) asymptotically Toeplitz, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 333 (2007), no. 1, 523–529.
- [18] ______, Composition operators ♡ Toeplitz operators, in Five lectures in complex analysis, 117–139, Contemp. Math., **525**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [19] K. H. Zhu, Operator Theory on Function Spaces, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 139, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1990.

SHÛICHI OHNO NIPPON INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MIYASHIRO, MINAMI-SAITAMA 345-8501, JAPAN Email address: ohno@nit.ac.jp