

Recent Advancement of the Molecular Diagnosis in Pediatric Brain Tumor

Jeong-Mo Bae, M.D., Ph.D.,¹ Jae-Kyung Won, M.D., Ph.D.,¹ Sung-Hye Park, M.D., Ph.D.^{1,2}

Department of Pathology,¹ Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea
Department of Neuroscience Institute,² Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Recent discoveries of brain tumor-related genes and fast advances in genomic testing technologies have led to the era of molecular diagnosis of brain tumor. Molecular profiling of brain tumor became the significant step in the diagnosis, the prediction of prognosis and the treatment of brain tumor. Because traditional molecular testing methods have limitations in time and cost for multiple gene tests, next-generation sequencing technologies are rapidly introduced into clinical practice. Targeted sequencing panels using these technologies have been developed for brain tumors. In this article, focused on pediatric brain tumor, key discoveries of brain tumor-related genes are reviewed and cancer panels used in the molecular profiling of brain tumor are discussed.

Key Words : Brain neoplasms · Pediatrics · Molecular diagnostics · High-throughput nucleotide sequencing · Next generation sequencing.

INTRODUCTION

Since the end of the Human Genome Project, a number of genomic studies of human disease such as The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and International Cancer Genome Consortium have been activated²⁵. Such large scale genome studies were enabled by the development of massively parallel sequencing technology, also known as next-generation sequencing (NGS), which can rapidly generate high-throughput data with low per-base cost^{5,40}.

In addition, targeted anti-cancer therapy has been highlighted with the discovery of cancer driver genes^{32,67}. Such clinical

needs, in turn, prompted the development of sequencing technologies and cancer genome studies. TCGA, the large scale cancer genome study consortium, started its three-year pilot project in 2006, especially about glioblastoma and now completed the characterization of 33 cancer types including 10 rare cancers⁷.

The acceleration of genomic studies in the field of brain tumor led to the discovery of key genes in brain tumor development, such as isocitrate dehydrogenase (*IDH*), *H3F3A*, and alpha thalassemia/mental retardation syndrome X-linked (*ATRX*)^{52,64}. In addition, these genes have been found to be deeply involved in the diagnosis and prognosis of brain tumor.

Brain tumor is the most common type of solid cancer in chil-

• Received : March 13, 2018 • Revised : April 4, 2018 • Accepted : April 7, 2018

• Address for reprints : **Sung-Hye Park, M.D., Ph.D.**

Departments of Pathology, Neuroscience Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Korea
Tel : +82-2-2072-3090, Fax : +82-2-765-5600, E-mail : shpark@snu.ac.kr

Jae-Kyung Won, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Pathology, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Korea
Tel : +82-2-2072-4895, Fax : +82-2-743-5530, E-mail : jkwon@snuh.org

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

dren. Over the past decade, molecular research on brain tumors has made unprecedented progress in pediatric brain tumors. Unique genomic and epigenomic alterations are continuously discovered according to the patients' age, tumor grade, and histologic differences of brain tumors in large-scale global collaborative studies. In addition, the therapeutic paradigm is changing as targeted therapies are developed to correct the genetic abnormalities, prompting a new sub-classification of brain tumors. In 2016, the new World Health Organization (WHO) classification of central nervous system (CNS) tumors incorporated the genetic abnormalities into the classification and diagnosis of the tumor³⁸. Therefore, some tumors must undergo molecular testing, which is essential for accurate diagnosis. Sometimes multiple tests are required for each patient, so multiplex panel tests using NGS method have begun to be used in clinical settings to meet the reasonable turnaround time and the price.

In this article, the important genetic abnormalities involved in brain tumors, especially in pediatric brain tumors, are reviewed and the existing brain tumor panels are analyzed to suggest the optimal design of the pediatric brain tumor panel.

GENETIC ABNORMALITIES IN PEDIATRIC GLIOMAS

Gliomas are the most common CNS tumors in children and adolescents⁸¹. Children's gliomas are mostly low-grade, classified as grade 1 or grade 2 according to the WHO classification of CNS tumors and appear to be slowly growing lesions. Low grade glioma (LGG) in children is fundamentally different from those of adult which are characterized by IDH mutation and have generally good prognosis. Gliomas are currently not fully cured, despite efforts to utilize all currently available treatment. Therefore, the purpose of the treatment of LGGs, which is pursued by neurosurgeons, pediatric oncologists and radiation therapists, is to improve the quality of life of patients and prevent long-term sequelae.

Among gliomas, the newly included tumors in the WHO classification revised in 2016 is the diffuse midline glioma, *H3 K27M*-mutant, a broad-spectrum central glioma within the astrocytic-tumor category³⁸. *RELA* fusion-positive ependymoma was classified as a new subtype of supratentorial ependymoma.

Low grade gliomas including other astrocytic tumors

In the newly revised WHO classification in 2016, pilocytic astrocytoma (PA), pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma (PXA), subependymal giant cell astrocytomas are belonging to the "other astrocytic tumor". About 50% of optic pathway PA and about 4% of cerebellar PA occurs in families with mutations in the neurofibromatosis type 1 (*NFI*) gene and the rest occurs sporadically²⁴. In these gliomas, the most common somatic point mutation is *BRAF* V600E mutation causing *BRAF* activation, which is also observed in 33% of ganglioglioma, 70% of PXA, and approximately 15% of pediatric LGG^{4,60}. The 70% of PA showed one copy gain of *BRAF* gene, by the fusion between *BRAF* gene and *KIAA1549* gene located on chromosome 7q34^{58,80}. As a result of overactivation of *MEK* and *ERK* genes in the down-stream of *BRAF* signaling pathway, gliomagenesis is known to occur³¹. *BRAF* gene duplication is known to occur in more than 80% of PA of posterior fossa and 22% of the pilomyxoid astrocytoma¹⁶. Other *BRAF* fusion partners (*FAM131B*, *SRGAP3*, *MACF1*, *RNF130*, *CLCN6*, *MRKN1*, and *GNAI1*) result in equally strong *BRAF* activation through the loss of the N-terminal of autoregulatory domain⁷³. However, the effect of specific *BRAF* abnormalities on the prognosis is unclear⁸¹. In one study of 146 childhood PAs, *BRAF-KIAA1549* fusion was associated with a good prognosis while other studies do not show any association with prognosis⁷⁴.

The mutations of other genes, including *FGFR1*, *MYB*, *MYBL1*, and *ATRX*, have been identified through whole exome sequencing (WES) of these gliomas⁵⁵.

Diffuse midline glioma, H3 K27M-mutant (WHO grade IV)

Gliomas with histone H3 K27M mutation, formerly called infiltrating brainstem or pontine glioma have been named as "diffuse midline glioma, H3 K27M-mutation" in the revised WHO classification^{30,33}. These tumors are classified as astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor category and are classified as WHO grade IV glioma of the pediatric population, which occur in the midline of CNS, such as thalamus, pons and spinal cord. It has been shown that high grade gliomas in children have genetic abnormalities and gene expressions different from adults and their prognosis is different^{29,68}. In 2014, Histone gene mutation was found to be a driver mutation through WES^{33,71,76}. This tumor usually differentiates into astrocytes

and is morphologically similar to WHO grade IV human astrocytoma. In addition to H3 K27M mutation, the mutation of *TP53* (50%), *PPM1D* (15%), *ACVR1* (20%), *PDGFRA* (10%), and *SMARCA4/B* (<5% of cases) can be present^{44,68}.

It is known that tumors with this mutation are worse than those without the K27M-mutation among the same grade glioma in the same region. Two-year survival rate is generally less than 10% when treated with current therapies^{64,76}.

Ependymomas

It is known that the genetic aberration of ependymoma varies depending on the tumor site of the CNS and the biology of the tumor follows genetic characteristics^{50,77}. Although the morphology of the lesion may be identical, it can be divided into three groups according to the location of the tumor because the gene abnormality is different⁵⁰.

About 70% of cases of supratentorial ependymomas are characterized by *RELA-C11orf95* fusion and 30% by *YAP1* gene fusion^{43,77}. It is known that the *LAMA2* overexpression group show worse prognosis than *NELL2* overexpression group among the cases of posterior fossa ependymomas^{1,46}. In the spinal cord, familial ependymomas are known to associate with *NFI* gene mutation, while the other spinal cord ependymomas do not show any specific mutation but it is known that they show three types of copy number variation⁵⁰.

Ependymoma, *RELA* fusion-positive

This *C11orf95-RELA* fusion ependymoma is a new genetic subtype of the supratentorial ependymoma which has been newly included in the 2016 WHO classification. The *C11orf95-RELA* gene fusion, which is one of the genetic features of the ependymomas above the tent, is associated with the activation of *NF-κB* pathway^{1,51}. The partner gene can be a gene other than *C11orf95*. The grade of this tumor follows a pathologic grade that is evaluated according to existing morphologic features, but the prognosis is worse in the case of *RELA* fusion than *YAP* fusion^{43,51}.

NEURONAL AND MIXED NEURONAL-GLIAL TUMORS

Thirteen tumors belong to mixed neuronal-glial tumors, including dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor, ganglion cell

tumor, papillary glioneuronal tumor, rosette-forming glioneuronal tumor, central neurocytoma, extraventricular neurocytoma, cerebellar liponeurocytoma and paraganglioma.

Diffuse leptomeningeal glioneuronal tumor was newly included in the revised 2016 WHO classification.

Gangliocytoma and ganglioglioma

It is known that approximately 20–43% of neuroepithelial neoplasms and ganglioglioma show *BRAF* V600E mutation and the frequency of this mutation increases with the degree of malignancy^{31,51}. *BRAF* V600E mutation is related to the use of a targeted therapeutic agent for this mutation, such as vemurafenib. The *BRAF* V600E mutation also has been reported to be associated with the worse prognosis of ganglioglioma^{39,81}.

Diffuse leptomeningeal glioneuronal tumor (International Classification of Diseases for Oncology [ICDO] code and WHO grade not yet assigned)

There are few reported cases of diffuse leptomeningeal glioneuronal tumors (DLGNT). WHO grade has not yet been established in this tumor²⁰.

A recent report showed the presence of *BRAF* duplication in about 44% and *BRAF* V600E mutation in about 11%¹⁵. Other aberrations in *MAPK/ERK* pathways including *RAF1*, *FGFR1*, *NFI*, and *MYB* or *MYBL1* were also reported⁸¹. Low grade nature of *DLGNT* was reported, but cases having nuclear atypia, high Ki-67 index and glomerular vascular proliferation may show bad prognosis¹¹.

CNS EMBRYONAL TUMORS

The most significant change in the revised 2016 WHO classification is the CNS embryonal tumor, previously referred to as the CNS primitive neuroectodermal tumor (CNS PNET). The reason for the change in the name of the tumor is to prevent confusion with the extracranial PNETs, such as Ewing sarcoma. Among CNS embryonal tumors, medulloblastoma (MB) is classified according to the combination of tumor genetics and morphological subtype³⁸. Embryonal tumor with multilayered rosettes (ETMR; chromosome 19 microRNA cluster [C19MC] altered, and not otherwise specified [NOS]) is newly added to the 2016 WHO classification, which is characterized by gene amplification at the site of the microRNA clusters on chromo-

some 19^{38,69}.

However, if morphologically CNS PNET, described above, is not genetically clear, it is classified as “CNS embryonal tumor, NOS”. ETMR can strongly express Lin28 or show the amplification of C19MC locus on 19q13.42 chromosome region or both^{69,70}.

Medulloblastomas

MB is the most common embryonal tumor of the CNS and is common in children. Most occur in the cerebellum but exceptionally, WNT activated tumors can occur in the dorsal brainstem²². In accordance with the known genetic abnormalities and morphologic features, it is classified by two classification systems, i.e., genetically determined and morphologically determined classification³⁸.

Genetic MB classification is composed of WNT-activated, Sonic Hedgehog (*SHH*)-activated, group 3 and group 4. *CTNNB1* mutation and monosomy 6 are the characteristics of WNT subtype¹⁸. The germline or somatic mutation of the *TP53* gene is observed in *SHH*-activated MB, but not observed in the WNT-activated MB or group 3 and group 4 MBs⁶⁹. The *SHH* MB with *TP53* gene mutation is known to have a poor prognosis⁸².

In contrast, group 3 and group 4 MBs are immunohistochemically and molecular-genetically overlapped and they show copy number variations in variable chromosomal loci⁵³. In many hospitals, these two groups are classified as non-WNT/non-*SHH* groups because group 3 and group 4 cannot be easily categorized by conventional laboratory tests. Tumors with either *MYC* or N-*MYCN* gene amplification or anaplastic/large cell type have poor prognosis⁸². Generally, group 3 has the worst prognosis^{19,53}. If there are cerebrospinal metastases, the prognosis is poor and the recurrence is common^{2,53}. MB with extensive nodularity usually has a good prognosis⁹. If genetic testing is not possible or the results are ambiguous, it can be diagnosed as MB, NOS.

In order to genetically classify MBs, originally it is necessary to examine the mutation of several genes and chromosome copy number variation. Currently, immunohistochemistry can be used to classify MB⁷². However, it is not easy to interpret the immunoreactivity and match with genetic subgroup.

Recently, according to Cavalli et al.⁹, the genetic groups of MB can be further subdivided. That is, it is subdivided into *WNT* α to β , *SHH* α to δ , group 3 α to γ , and group 4 α to γ , which better reflect the prognosis and clinical characteristics⁹.

Embryonal tumor with multilayered rosettes, C19MC altered, and NOS, WHO grade IV

This tumor is one of the malignant CNS tumors. It is composed of multiple layers of rosettes and broad neuropil, and also has genetic characteristics of C19MC in chromosomal band 19q13.42 amplification or fusion with Tweety family member 1 (*TTYHI*) gene^{35,47}. This can be confirmed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). These tumors are very rare and can occur in the cerebrum, brain stem, and cerebellum.

If the tumor is morphologically compatible but has not undergone genetic tests or has no abnormality of this gene by the molecular test, it is diagnosed as an “embryonal tumor with multilayered rosettes, NOS”. This tumor is a very rapidly growing WHO grade IV tumor with a poor prognosis, and the average survival time with the current treatment is 12 months (reported up to 24–36 months), and the relationship between the gene alteration and the prognosis should be studied³⁷.

CNS embryonal tumor, NOS

Most of the tumors diagnosed as “CNS-PNET” in the past are now diagnosed as “CNS embryonal tumor, NOS”. These tumors are very rare CNS neuroepithelial tumor with poor differentiation, and the specific morphological features or classifiable genetic abnormalities of these tumors have not yet been revealed^{26,38}. Most of these tumors express Lin28 immunohistochemically^{69,70}. The prognosis of this tumor is very poor (WHO grade IV), and worse than that of the MB³.

Atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor (AT/RT)²³

AT/RT are characterized by the mutation of *SMARCB1* (95%) or *SMARCA4* (about 2%) genes²³. When the mutation of those genes is not examined or this mutation is not found in spite of compatible histology, it is diagnosed as “CNS embryonal tumor with rhabdoid features”.

A rare case of a malignant neoplasm characterized by atypical morphology and rhabdoid features with acquisition of the secondary *SMARCB1* mutations in PXA and GG was reported⁶⁵.

GERM CELL TUMORS

Germinoma

CNS Germ cell tumor (GCT) has been known to have a common chromosomal abnormality 12p redundancy, i(12p), which

was found in studies of malignant testicular tumors¹⁴. The most common cytogenetic abnormality in extragonadal germinomas is the 12p overlap⁶¹. However, specific genes on i(12p) associated with the development of GCT are not known.

Cytogenetic abnormalities as driver mutations in childhood GCT include the loss of 1p and 6q, the changes in sex chromosomes, and 12p abnormalities with some gains⁶². The most common chromosomal imbalance is an increase in the X chromosome, as well as an increase in 1p, 8p, and 12q, and a loss in 13q and 18q⁶². The most frequent gene abnormality in CNS GCTs is XXY, similar to Klinefelter syndrome which tends to develop GCTs in the intracranium⁴⁹.

In the intracranial pure germinomas, mutations of *KIT*/*RAS* gene were frequently detected, and mutations in the *KIT* gene exons 11, 13, and 17 as well as *KIT* amplification were found in 23–25% of intracranial GCTs⁶³. It is thought to contribute to the development of GCTs. *MYC* or *MYCN* amplification can be observed in a small number of GCTs²¹.

Non-germinomatous germ cell tumor

In the yolk sac tumor (YST), chromosome 1p36 gain, 6q loss and chromosome 1 and chromosome 20 abnormalities have been reported⁴¹. In addition, i(12p), which is characteristic of other malignant GCTs of testis and ovary, can be detected^{12,54}. Embryonal carcinoma and choriocarcinoma show similar cytogenetic abnormalities, i(12p)^{64,65}.

Immature teratomas are usually diploid, whereas YST can be diploid, tetraploid or aneuploid⁶⁶. Chromosomal abnormalities include gain of chromosomes X, 1, 3, 8, 12, and 14, i(12p) and loss of X and Y. There may be loss of 1q and rearrangement of 3q and 6q^{13,57,78}.

CURRENT STATUS OF TARGETED NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING IN BRAIN TUMORS

There has been a great progress in the understanding of molecular characteristics of brain tumors by genome-wide study, such as WES and whole genome sequencing (WGS)^{10,48}. However, targeted NGS panel composed of limited number of genes is required for the routine clinical practice of brain tumor diagnosis and treatment. For the clinical NGS test of brain tumors, we should consider the spectrum of sequencing panel (pan-cancer panel or organ-specific panel), target enrichment meth-

od (hybrid capture or amplicon sequencing), type of tissues (fresh frozen [FF] or formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded [FFPE] tissues) and gene contents²⁷. The summary of targeted NGS panels used for brain tumors in recent publications is shown in Table 1. There are three types of panel: pan-cancer, brain tumor-specific and glioma-specific panel.

Pan-cancer panels and organ-specific panels have pros and cons. Pan-cancer panels are usually composed of more than 300 genes of major oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes and druggable genes frequently altered in various type of cancers. Because of large target region, pan-cancer panels show better performance in copy number alterations (CNA). However, pan-cancer panels usually require more time and cost. Moreover, genes solely mutated in certain type of cancer with rare frequency, such as *HIST1H3B* or *HIST1H3C* are not covered by pan-cancer panels. Organ-specific panels consist of lower number of genes than pan-cancer panel, so we can reduce the time and cost for the NGS test. In addition, organ-specific panel covers cancer type-specific genes with rare mutation rate. However, organ-specific panel have limitations in the clinical trial enroll and CNA analysis.

Because the target region of NGS panel is smaller than 1% of human genome, we should enrich the region of interest in the genome. There are two types of target enrichment method, which are hybrid capture and amplicon method. Hybrid capture method uses DNA or RNA baits complementary to target sequences. The baits are hybridized to target sequences, and collected by magnetic beads. Amplicon sequencing method enriches target sequences by PCR amplification. Hybrid capture method is suitable for NGS panels with more than 50 genes as well as comprehensive genomic analysis including single nucleotide variation (SNV), indel, CNA and structural variation. However, hybrid capture method takes longer hands-on time and turn-around time, and usually requires more than 200 ng of genomic DNA. Amplicon sequencing method has easier workflow, short turn-around time and requires small amount of genomic DNA (more than 20 ng). However, amplicon sequencing is usually used for NGS panels composed of less than 50 genes, and has a limit to CNA analysis.

FFPE tissue is widely used in the histological diagnosis due to the preservation of morphology, fast tissue preparation, and low cost for storage. However, FFPE tissue has several issues in molecular testing, such as the fragmentation of DNA, crosslinking, and cytidine deamination. For those reasons, DNA extract-

Table 1. Summary of targeted next-generation sequencing panel used for brain tumor in recently published studies

Study	Number of tested genes	Number of patients	Sample type	Tumor type used in study	Target enrichment method	Spectrum of panel	Name of panel
Blumenthal et al. (2016) ⁶⁾	236, 315	43	FFPE	Glioma	Hybrid capture	Pan-cancer	FoundationOne
Dubbink et al. (2016) ¹⁷⁾	12	139	FFPE	Glioma	Amplicon	Glioma-specific	
Nikiforova et al. (2016) ⁴⁵⁾	30	54	FF, FFPE	Glioma and non-glioma	Amplicon	Glioma and non-glioma	GlioSeq
Sahm et al. (2016) ⁵⁹⁾	130	150	FFPE	Glioma and non-glioma	Hybrid capture	Glioma and non-glioma	
Carter et al. (2017) ⁸⁾	25, 151, 99, 131	50	FFPE	Glioma	Hybrid capture	Pan-cancer	Comprehensive cancer gene set
Johnson et al. (2017) ²⁸⁾	315	282	FFPE	Glioma	Hybrid capture	Pan-cancer	FoundationOne
Kline et al. (2017) ³⁶⁾	510	31	FFPE	Glioma and non-glioma	Hybrid capture	Pan-cancer	UCSF500 Cancer Gene Panel
Movassaghi et al. (2017) ⁴²⁾	315	71	FFPE	Glioma	Hybrid capture	Pan-cancer	FoundationOne
Ramkissoon et al. (2017) ⁵⁶⁾	300	203	FFPE	Glioma and non-glioma	Hybrid capture	Pan-cancer	OncoPanel
Zacher et al. (2017) ⁷⁹⁾	20	121	FF, FFPE	Glioma	Amplicon	Glioma-specific	

FFPE : formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded, FF : fresh frozen

ed from FF is preferred in NGS test. However, several commercially available DNA extraction kit for FFPE yield high quality of DNA from FFPE tissues³⁴⁾. So, both of FF and FFPE tissues can be used in clinical NGS test. When the test was performed with FFPE tissues, C to T transition with low variant allele frequency could be false-positive call caused by cytidine deamination. Enzymatic removal of deaminated cytosine by UDP glucuronyl transferase can reduce that error⁷⁵⁾.

Selection of gene contents for brain tumor panel is based on the classification of brain tumors and corresponding oncogenic pathways. For the diagnosis and classification of gliomas, *IDH1*, *IDH2*, *ATRX*, *TP53*, *CIC*, *FUBP1*, *BRAF* genes and telomerase reverse transcriptase (*TERT*) promoter are usually included. For the detection of 1p/19q co-deletion in oligodendroglioma, additional genomic regions which exist in 1p or 19q can be included in the panel. To diagnose diffuse midline glioma, *H3F3A*, *HIST1H3B*, and *HIST1H3C* should be tested. For the classification of MB, *APC*, *CTNNB1*, *TP53*, *PTCH1*, *SMO*, *SUFU*, *KDM6A*, *MYC*, *MYCN* genes and *TERT* promoter can be used. For the diagnosis of AT/RT, *SMARCB1* (*IN11*) and *SMARCA4* (*BRG1*) can be included. For the therapeutic intent,

druggable or potentially druggable target such as *HER2*, *ALK*, *MET*, *ROS1*, *KIT*, *PDGFRA*, *FGFR1*, *FGFR3*, *BRAF* can be included in NGS panel.

For now, targeted NGS panel for brain tumors has several limitations. First, reliable detection of copy number alteration is limited due to uneven target coverage, the absence of matched normal data, or the lack of coverage uniformity³⁴⁾. Second, nucleotide sequences with high GC content such as *TERT* promoter usually show lower depth of coverage¹⁷⁾. Third, exact classification of MB and ependymoma based on NGS panel test is limited because of the classification of those tumors are mainly based on transcriptome and methylome analysis^{9,50)}.

CONCLUSION

The molecular abnormalities of pediatric brain tumors and current status of targeted brain cancer panels were reviewed. Due to the completion of the human genome project and the development of gene abnormality testing techniques, the revolution of genomic tests for human diseases are actively under-

way. Even if morphologically tumors are belonging to the same group, it is found that the prognosis and response to the treatment depend on the gene abnormality. Therefore, pathologic diagnosis has been transformed into the integrated diagnosis reflecting the gene abnormality in the revised 2016 WHO classification of CNS tumors. Brain cancer panel using targeted sequencing will be helpful to such integrated diagnosis and target therapies to cure diseases.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

INFORMED CONSENT

This type of study does not require informed consent.

• Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the grant of the Korea Health Technology R & D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare (grant number : HI14C1277) and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants, funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (2015M3A9A7067220), Republic of Korea.

References

1. Araki A, Chocholous M, Gojo J, Dorfer C, Czech T, Heinzl H, et al. : Chromosome 1q gain and tenascin-C expression are candidate markers to define different risk groups in pediatric posterior fossa ependymoma. **Acta Neuropathol Commun** 4 : 88, 2016
2. Bai RY, Staedtke V, Rudin CM, Bunz F, Riggins GJ : Effective treatment of diverse medulloblastoma models with mebendazole and its impact on tumor angiogenesis. **Neuro Oncol** 17 : 545-554, 2015
3. Bavle AA, Lin FY, Parsons DW : Applications of genomic sequencing in pediatric CNS tumors. **Oncology (Williston Park)** 30 : 411-423, 2016
4. Behling F, Barrantes-Freer A, Skardelly M, Nieser M, Christians A, Stockhammer F, et al. : Frequency of BRAF V600E mutations in 969 central nervous system neoplasms. **Diagn Pathol** 11 : 55, 2016
5. Bentley DR, Balasubramanian S, Swerdlow HP, Smith GP, Milton J, Brown CG, et al. : Accurate whole human genome sequencing using reversible terminator chemistry. **Nature** 456 : 53-59, 2008
6. Blumenthal DT, Dvir A, Lossos A, Tzuk-Shina T, Lior T, Limon D, et al. : Clinical utility and treatment outcome of comprehensive genomic profiling in high grade glioma patients. **J Neurooncol** 130 : 211-219, 2016
7. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network : Comprehensive genomic characterization defines human glioblastoma genes and core pathways. **Nature** 455 : 1061-1068, 2008
8. Carter JH, McNulty SN, Cimino PJ, Cottrell CE, Heusel JW, Vigh-Conrad KA, et al. : Targeted next-generation sequencing in molecular subtyping of lower-grade diffuse gliomas: application of the World Health Organization's 2016 revised criteria for Central Nervous System Tumors. **J Mol Diagn** 19 : 328-337, 2017
9. Cavalli FMG, Remke M, Rampasek L, Peacock J, Shih DJH, Luu B, et al. : Intertumoral heterogeneity within medulloblastoma subgroups. **Cancer Cell** 31 : 737-754.e6, 2017
10. Ceccarelli M, Barthel FP, Malta TM, Sabedot TS, Salama SR, Murray BA, et al. : Molecular profiling reveals biologically discrete subsets and pathways of progression in diffuse glioma. **Cell** 164 : 550-563, 2016
11. Cho HJ, Myung JK, Kim H, Park CK, Kim SK, Chung CK, et al. : Primary diffuse leptomeningeal glioneuronal tumors. **Brain Tumor Pathol** 32 : 49-55, 2015
12. Cornejo KM, Cheng L, Church A, Wang M, Jiang Z : Chromosome 12p abnormalities and IMP3 expression in prepubertal pure testicular teratomas. **Hum Pathol** 49 : 54-60, 2016
13. Dal Cin P, Dei Tos AP, Qi H, Giannini C, Furlanetto A, Longatti PL, et al. : Immature teratoma of the pineal gland with isochromosome 12p. **Acta Neuropathol** 95 : 107-110, 1998
14. de Bruin TW, Slater RM, Defferrari R, Geurts van Kessel A, Suijkerbuijk RF, Jansen G, et al. : Isochromosome 12p-positive pineal germ cell tumor. **Cancer Res** 54 : 1542-1544, 1994
15. Dodgshun AJ, SantaCruz N, Hwang J, Ramkissoon SH, Malkin H, Bergthold G, et al. : Disseminated glioneuronal tumors occurring in childhood: treatment outcomes and BRAF alterations including V600E mutation. **J Neurooncol** 128 : 293-302, 2016
16. Dougherty MJ, Santi M, Brose MS, Ma C, Resnick AC, Sievert AJ, et al. : Activating mutations in BRAF characterize a spectrum of pediatric low-grade gliomas. **Neuro Oncol** 12 : 621-630, 2010
17. Dubbink HJ, Atmodimedjo PN, Kros JM, French PJ, Sanson M, Idbaih A, et al. : Molecular classification of anaplastic oligodendroglioma using next-generation sequencing: a report of the prospective randomized EORTC Brain Tumor Group 26951 phase III trial. **Neuro Oncol** 18 : 388-400, 2016
18. Ellison DW, Dalton J, Kocak M, Nicholson SL, Fraga C, Neale G, et al. : Medulloblastoma: clinicopathological correlates of SHH, WNT, and non-SHH/WNT molecular subgroups. **Acta Neuropathol** 121 : 381-396, 2011
19. Gajjar A, Pfister SM, Taylor MD, Gilbertson RJ : Molecular insights into pediatric brain tumors have the potential to transform therapy. **Clin Cancer Res** 20 : 5630-5640, 2014
20. Gardiman MP, Fassan M, Orvieto E, D'Avella D, Denaro L, Calderone M, et al. : Diffuse leptomeningeal glioneuronal tumors: a new entity? **Brain Pathol** 20 : 361-366, 2010

21. Gessi M, Zur Muehlen A, Lauriola L, Gardiman MP, Giangaspero F, Pietsch T : TP53, beta-Catenin and c-myc/N-myc status in embryonal tumours with ependymoblastic rosettes. **Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol** **37** : 406-413, 2011
22. Gibson P, Tong Y, Robinson G, Thompson MC, Curre DS, Eden C, et al. : Subtypes of medulloblastoma have distinct developmental origins. **Nature** **468** : 1095-1099, 2010
23. Hasselblatt M, Gesk S, Oyen F, Rossi S, Viscardi E, Giangaspero F, et al. : Nonsense mutation and inactivation of SMARCA4 (BRG1) in an atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor showing retained SMARCB1 (INI1) expression. **Am J Surg Pathol** **35** : 933-935, 2011
24. Helfferich J, Nijmeijer R, Brouwer OF, Boon M, Fock A, Hoving EW, et al. : Neurofibromatosis type 1 associated low grade gliomas: a comparison with sporadic low grade gliomas. **Crit Rev Oncol Hematol** **104** : 30-41, 2016
25. Hood L, Rowen L : The Human Genome Project: big science transforms biology and medicine. **Genome Med** **5** : 79, 2013
26. Hoshida R, Jandial R : 2016 World Health Organization classification of central nervous system tumors: an era of molecular biology. **World Neurosurg** **94** : 561-562, 2016
27. Jennings LJ, Arcila ME, Corless C, Kamel-Reid S, Lubin IM, Pfeifer J, et al. : Guidelines for validation of next-generation sequencing-Based oncology panels: a joint consensus recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology and College of American Pathologists. **J Mol Diagn** **19** : 341-365, 2017
28. Johnson A, Severson E, Gay L, Vergilio JA, Elvin J, Suh J, et al. : Comprehensive genomic profiling of 282 pediatric low- and high-grade gliomas reveals genomic drivers, tumor mutational burden, and hypermutation signatures. **Oncologist** **22** : 1478-1490, 2017
29. Joyon N, Tauziède-Espariat A, Alentorn A, Giry M, Castel D, Capelle L, et al. : K27M mutation in H3F3A in ganglioglioma grade I with spontaneous malignant transformation extends the histopathological spectrum of the histone H3 oncogenic pathway. **Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol** **43** : 271-276, 2017
30. Kallappagoudar S, Yadav RK, Lowe BR, Partridge JF : Histone H3 mutations--a special role for H3.3 in tumorigenesis? **Chromosoma** **124** : 177-189, 2015
31. Karajannis MA, Legault G, Fisher MJ, Milla SS, Cohen KJ, Wisoff JH, et al. : Phase II study of sorafenib in children with recurrent or progressive low-grade astrocytomas. **Neuro Oncol** **16** : 1408-1416, 2014
32. Keedy VL, Temin S, Somerfield MR, Beasley MB, Johnson DH, McShane LM, et al. : American Society of Clinical Oncology provisional clinical opinion : epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) Mutation testing for patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer considering first-line EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy. **J Clin Oncol** **29** : 2121-2127, 2011
33. Khuong-Quang DA, Buczkowicz P, Rakopoulos P, Liu XY, Fontebasso AM, Bouffet E, et al. : K27M mutation in histone H3.3 defines clinically and biologically distinct subgroups of pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas. **Acta Neuropathol** **124** : 439-447, 2012
34. Kim J, Park WY, Kim NKD, Jang SJ, Chun SM, Sung CO, et al. : Good laboratory standards for clinical next-generation sequencing cancer panel tests. **J Pathol Transl Med** **51** : 191-204, 2017
35. Kleinman CL, Gerges N, Papillon-Cavanagh S, Sin-Chan P, Pramatarova A, Quang DA, et al. : Fusion of TTYH1 with the C19MC microRNA cluster drives expression of a brain-specific DNMT3B isoform in the embryonal brain tumor ETMR. **Nat Genet** **46** : 39-44, 2014
36. Kline CN, Joseph NM, Grenert JP, van Ziffle J, Talevich E, Onodera C, et al. : Targeted next-generation sequencing of pediatric neuro-oncology patients improves diagnosis, identifies pathogenic germline mutations, and directs targeted therapy. **Neuro Oncol** **19** : 699-709, 2017
37. Korshunov A, Sturm D, Ryzhova M, Hovestadt V, Gessi M, Jones DT, et al. : Embryonal tumor with abundant neuropil and true rosettes (ETANTR), ependymoblastoma, and medulloepithelioma share molecular similarity and comprise a single clinicopathological entity. **Acta Neuropathol** **128** : 279-289, 2014
38. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Figarella-Branger D, Cavenee WK, et al. : The 2016 World Health Organization classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. **Acta Neuropathol** **131** : 803-820, 2016
39. Masui K, Mischel PS, Reifenberger G : Molecular classification of gliomas. **Handb Clin Neurol** **134** : 97-120, 2016
40. Metzker ML : Sequencing technologies - the next generation. **Nat Rev Genet** **11** : 31-46, 2010
41. Mostert M, Rosenberg C, Stoop H, Schuyer M, Timmer A, Oosterhuis W, et al. : Comparative genomic and in situ hybridization of germ cell tumors of the infantile testis. **Lab Invest** **80** : 1055-1064, 2000
42. Movassaghi M, Shabihkhani M, Hojat SA, Williams RR, Chung LK, Im K, et al. : Early experience with formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) based commercial clinical genomic profiling of gliomas-robust and informative with caveats. **Exp Mol Pathol** **103** : 87-93, 2017
43. Nambirajan A, Malgulkar PB, Sharma MC, Singh A, Pathak P, Satyarthee GD, et al. : C11orf95-RELA fusion present in a primary intracranial extra-axial ependymoma: report of a case with literature review. **Neuropathology** **36** : 490-495, 2016
44. Nikbakht H, Panditharatna E, Mikael LG, Li R, Gayden T, Osmond M, et al. : Spatial and temporal homogeneity of driver mutations in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. **Nat Commun** **7** : 11185, 2016
45. Nikiforova MN, Wald AI, Melan MA, Roy S, Zhong S, Hamilton RL, et al. : Targeted next-generation sequencing panel (GlioSeq) provides comprehensive genetic profiling of central nervous system tumors. **Neuro Oncol** **18** : 379-387, 2016
46. Nobusawa S, Hirato J, Yokoo H : Molecular genetics of ependymomas and pediatric diffuse gliomas : a short review. **Brain Tumor Pathol** **31** : 229-233, 2014.
47. Nobusawa S, Orimo K, Horiguchi K, Ikota H, Yokoo H, Hirato J, et al. : Embryonal tumor with abundant neuropil and true rosettes with only one structure suggestive of an ependymoblastic rosette. **Pathol Int** **64** : 472-477, 2014
48. Northcott PA, Buchhalter I, Morrissy AS, Hovestadt V, Weischenfeldt J, Ehrenberger T, et al. : The whole-genome landscape of medulloblastoma subtypes. **Nature** **547** : 311-317, 2017

49. Okada Y, Nishikawa R, Matsutani M, Louis DN : Hypomethylated X chromosome gain and rare isochromosome 12p in diverse intracranial germ cell tumors. **J Neuropathol Exp Neurol** 61 : 531-538, 2002
50. Pajtlér KW, Witt H, Sill M, Jones DT, Hovestadt V, Kratochwil F, et al. : Molecular classification of ependymal tumors across all CNS compartments, histopathological grades, and age groups. **Cancer Cell** 27 : 728-743, 2015
51. Parker M, Mohankumar KM, Punchihewa C, Weinlich R, Dalton JD, Li Y, et al. : C11orf95-RELA fusions drive oncogenic NF- κ B signalling in ependymoma. **Nature** 506 : 451-455, 2014
52. Parsons DW, Jones S, Zhang X, Lin JC, Leary RJ, Angenendt P, et al. : An integrated genomic analysis of human glioblastoma multiforme. **Science** 321 : 1807-1812, 2008
53. Pietsch T, Haberler C : Update on the integrated histopathological and genetic classification of medulloblastoma - a practical diagnostic guideline. **Clin Neuropathol** 35 : 344-352, 2016
54. Poulos C, Cheng L, Zhang S, Gersell DJ, Ulbright TM : Analysis of ovarian teratomas for isochromosome 12p: evidence supporting a dual histogenetic pathway for teratomatous elements. **Mod Pathol** 19 : 766-771, 2006
55. Qaddoumi I, Orisme W, Wen J, Santiago T, Gupta K, Dalton JD, et al. : Genetic alterations in uncommon low-grade neuroepithelial tumors: BRAF, FGFR1, and MYB mutations occur at high frequency and align with morphology. **Acta Neuropathol** 131 : 833-845, 2016
56. Ramkissoon SH, Bandopadhyay P, Hwang J, Ramkissoon LA, Greenwald NF, Schumacher SE, et al. : Clinical targeted exome-based sequencing in combination with genome-wide copy number profiling: precision medicine analysis of 203 pediatric brain tumors. **Neuro Oncol** 19 : 986-996, 2017
57. Rickert CH, Simon R, Bergmann M, Dockhorn-Dworniczak B, Paulus W : Comparative genomic hybridization in pineal germ cell tumors. **J Neuropathol Exp Neurol** 59 : 815-821, 2000
58. Roth JJ, Santi M, Pollock AN, Harding BN, Rorke-Adams LB, Tooke LS, et al. : Chromosome band 7q34 deletions resulting in KIAA1549-BRAF and FAM131B-BRAF fusions in pediatric low-grade gliomas. **Brain Pathol** 25 : 182-192, 2015
59. Sahn F, Schrimpf D, Jones DT, Meyer J, Kratz A, Reuss D, et al. : Next-generation sequencing in routine brain tumor diagnostics enables an integrated diagnosis and identifies actionable targets. **Acta Neuropathol** 131 : 903-910, 2016
60. Schindler G, Capper D, Meyer J, Janzarik W, Omran H, Herold-Mende C, et al. : Analysis of BRAF V600E mutation in 1,320 nervous system tumors reveals high mutation frequencies in pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma, ganglioglioma and extra-cerebellar pilocytic astrocytoma. **Acta Neuropathol** 121 : 397-405, 2011
61. Schneider DT, Schuster AE, Fritsch MK, Calaminus G, Harms D, Göbel U, et al. : Genetic analysis of childhood germ cell tumors with comparative genomic hybridization. **Klin Padiatr** 213 : 204-211, 2001
62. Schneider DT, Zahn S, Sievers S, Alemazkour K, Reifenberger G, Wiestler OD, et al. : Molecular genetic analysis of central nervous system germ cell tumors with comparative genomic hybridization. **Mod Pathol** 19 : 864-873, 2006
63. Schulte SL, Waha A, Steiger B, Denkhaus D, Dörner E, Calaminus G, et al. : CNS germinomas are characterized by global demethylation, chromosomal instability and mutational activation of the Kit-, Ras/Raf/Erk- and Akt-pathways. **Oncotarget** 7 : 55026-55042, 2016
64. Schwartzentruber J, Korshunov A, Liu XY, Jones DT, Pfaff E, Jacob K, et al. : Driver mutations in histone H3.3 and chromatin remodelling genes in paediatric glioblastoma. **Nature** 482 : 226-231, 2012
65. Schweizer Y, Meszaros Z, Jones DTW, Koelsche C, Boudalil M, Fiesel P, et al. : Molecular transition of an adult low-grade brain tumor to an atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor over a time-course of 14 years. **J Neuropathol Exp Neurol** 76 : 655-664, 2017
66. Silver SA, Wiley JM, Perlman EJ : DNA ploidy analysis of pediatric germ cell tumors. **Mod Pathol** 7 : 951-956, 1994
67. Soda M, Choi YL, Enomoto M, Takada S, Yamashita Y, Ishikawa S, et al. : Identification of the transforming EML4-ALK fusion gene in non-small-cell lung cancer. **Nature** 448 : 561-566, 2007
68. Solomon DA, Wood MD, Tihan T, Bollen AW, Gupta N, Phillips JJ, et al. : Diffuse midline gliomas with histone H3-K27M mutation: a series of 47 cases assessing the spectrum of morphologic variation and associated genetic alterations. **Brain Pathol** 26 : 569-580, 2016
69. Spence T, Perotti C, Sin-Chan P, Picard D, Wu W, Singh A, et al. : A novel C19MC amplified cell line links Lin28/let-7 to mTOR signaling in embryonal tumor with multilayered rosettes. **Neuro Oncol** 16 : 62-71, 2014
70. Sturm D, Orr BA, Toprak UH, Hovestadt V, Jones DTW, Capper D, et al. : New brain tumor entities emerge from molecular classification of CNS-PNETs. **Cell** 164 : 1060-1072, 2016
71. Sturm D, Witt H, Hovestadt V, Khuong-Quang DA, Jones DT, Konermann C, et al. : Hotspot mutations in H3F3A and IDH1 define distinct epigenetic and biological subgroups of glioblastoma. **Cancer Cell** 22 : 425-437, 2012
72. Taylor MD, Northcott PA, Korshunov A, Remke M, Cho YJ, Clifford SC, et al. : Molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma: the current consensus. **Acta Neuropathol** 123 : 465-472, 2012
73. Tomić TT, Olausson J, Wilzén A, Sabel M, Truvé K, Sjögren H, et al. : A new GTF2I-BRAF fusion mediating MAPK pathway activation in pilocytic astrocytoma. **PLoS One** 12 : e0175638, 2017
74. Trabelsi S, Chabchoub I, Ksira I, Karmeni N, Mama N, Kanoun S, et al. : Molecular diagnostic and prognostic subtyping of gliomas in tunisian population. **Mol Neurobiol** 54 : 2381-2394, 2017
75. Wong SQ, Li J, Tan AY, Vedururu R, Pang JM, Do H, et al. : Sequence artefacts in a prospective series of formalin-fixed tumours tested for mutations in hotspot regions by massively parallel sequencing. **BMC Med Genomics** 7 : 23, 2014
76. Wu G, Broniscer A, McEachron TA, Lu C, Paugh BS, Becksfors J, et al. : Somatic histone H3 alterations in pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas and non-brainstem glioblastomas. **Nat Genet** 44 : 251-253, 2012
77. Wu J, Armstrong TS, Gilbert MR : Biology and management of ependymomas. **Neuro Oncol** 18 : 902-913, 2016
78. Yu IT, Griffin CA, Phillips PC, Strauss LC, Perlman EJ : Numerical sex chromosomal abnormalities in pineal teratomas by cytogenetic analysis and fluorescence in situ hybridization. **Lab Invest** 72 : 419-423, 1995

79. Zacher A, Kaulich K, Stepanow S, Wolter M, Kohrer K, Felsberg J, et al. : Molecular diagnostics of gliomas using next generation sequencing of a glioma-tailored gene panel. **Brain Pathol** **27** : 146-159, 2017
80. Zhang C, Berney DM, Hirsch MS, Cheng L, Ulbright TM : Evidence supporting the existence of benign teratomas of the postpubertal testis: a clinical, histopathologic, and molecular genetic analysis of 25 cases. **Am J Surg Pathol** **37** : 827-835, 2013
81. Zhang J, Wu G, Miller CP, Tatevossian RG, Dalton JD, Tang B, et al. : Whole-genome sequencing identifies genetic alterations in pediatric low-grade gliomas. **Nat Genet** **45** : 602-612, 2013
82. Zhukova N, Ramaswamy V, Remke M, Pfaff E, Shih DJ, Martin DC, et al. : Subgroup-specific prognostic implications of TP53 mutation in medulloblastoma. **J Clin Oncol** **31** : 2927-2935, 2013