

## REAL HYPERSURFACES WITH MIAO-TAM CRITICAL METRICS OF COMPLEX SPACE FORMS

XIAOMIN CHEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex space form with constant curvature  $c$ . In this paper, we study the hypersurface  $M$  admitting Miao-Tam critical metric, i.e., the induced metric  $g$  on  $M$  satisfies the equation:  $-(\Delta_g \lambda)g + \nabla_g^2 \lambda - \lambda Ric = g$ , where  $\lambda$  is a smooth function on  $M$ . At first, for the case where  $M$  is Hopf,  $c = 0$  and  $c \neq 0$  are considered respectively. For the non-Hopf case, we prove that the ruled real hypersurfaces of non-flat complex space forms do not admit Miao-Tam critical metrics. Finally, it is proved that a compact hypersurface of a complex Euclidean space admitting Miao-Tam critical metric with  $\lambda > 0$  or  $\lambda < 0$  is a sphere and a compact hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form does not exist such a critical metric.

### 1. Introduction

Recall that on a compact Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$ ,  $n > 2$  with a smooth boundary  $\partial M$  the metric  $g$  is referred as *Miao-Tam critical metric* if there exists a smooth function  $\lambda : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(1) \quad -(\Delta_g \lambda)g + \nabla_g^2 \lambda - \lambda Ric = g$$

on  $M$  and  $\lambda = 0$  on  $\partial M$ , where  $\Delta_g, \nabla_g^2 \lambda$  are the Laplacian, Hessian operator with respect to the metric  $g$  and  $Ric$  is the  $(0, 2)$  Ricci tensor of  $g$ . The function  $\lambda$  is known as the potential function. The equation (1) is called as *Miao-Tam equation*. Applying this equation, Miao-Tam in [12] classified Einstein and conformally flat Riemannian manifolds. In particular, they proved that any Riemannian metric  $g$  satisfying the equation (1) must have constant scalar curvature. Recently, Patra-Ghosh studied the Miao-Tam equation on certain class of odd dimensional Riemannian manifolds, namely contact metric

---

Received June 29, 2017; Revised September 26, 2017; Accepted October 31, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C25, 53D10.

*Key words and phrases*. Miao-Tam critical metric, Hopf hypersurface, non-flat complex space form, ruled hypersurface, complex Euclidean space.

The author is supported by the Science Foundation of China University of Petroleum-Beijing(No.2462015YQ0604) and partially supported by the Personnel Training and Academic Development Fund (2462015QZDX02).

manifolds (see [15, 16]). It was proved that a complete  $K$ -contact metric satisfying the Miao-Tam equation is isometric to a unit sphere. Wang-Wang [18] also considered an almost Kenmotsu manifold with Miao-Tam critical metric.

An  $n$ -dimensional complex space form is an  $n$ -dimensional Kähler manifold with constant sectional curvature  $c$ . A complete and simple connected complex space form is complex analytically isometric to a complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  if  $c > 0$ , a complex hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  if  $c < 0$ , a complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  if  $c = 0$ . The complex projective and complex hyperbolic spaces are called *non-flat complex space forms* and denoted by  $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$ . Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex space form, then there exists an almost contact structure  $(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  on  $M$  induced from the complex space form. In particular, if  $\xi$  is an eigenvector of shape operator  $A$ , then  $M$  is called a *Hopf hypersurface*. Since there are no Einstein real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms ([3, 13]), Cho and Kimura [4, 5] considered a generalization of Einstein metric, called Ricci soliton, which satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_V g + Ric - \rho g = 0,$$

where  $V$  and  $\rho$  are the potential vector field and some constant on  $M$ , respectively. They proved that a compact contact-type hypersurface with a Ricci soliton in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a sphere and a compact Hopf hypersurface in a non-flat complex space form does not admit a Ricci soliton.

From the Miao-Tam equation (1), we remark that the Miao-Tam critical metric can also be viewed as a generalization of the Einstein metric since the critical metric will become an Einstein metric if the potential function  $\lambda$  is constant. Thus the above results intrigue us to study the real hypersurfaces admitting Miao-Tam critical metrics of complex space forms. In this article, we mainly study the Hopf hypersurfaces in complex space forms as well as a class of non-Hopf hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms. For a compact real hypersurface with Miao-Tam critical metric, we also get a result.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall some basic concepts and related results. In Section 3, we consider respectively the Hopf hypersurfaces with Miao-Tam critical metrics of non-flat complex space forms and complex Euclidean spaces, and one class of non-Hopf hypersurfaces of non-flat complex space forms is considered in Section 4. In the last section we will prove the result of compact real hypersurfaces with Miao-Tam critical metrics.

## 2. Some basic concepts and related results

Let  $(\widetilde{M}^n, \widetilde{g})$  be a complex  $n$ -dimensional Kähler manifold and  $M$  be an immersed, without boundary, real hypersurface of  $\widetilde{M}^n$  with the induced metric  $g$ . Denote by  $J$  the complex structure on  $\widetilde{M}^n$ . There exists a local defined unit normal vector field  $N$  on  $M$  and we write  $\xi := -JN$  by the structure vector field of  $M$ . An induced one-form  $\eta$  is defined by  $\eta(\cdot) = \widetilde{g}(J\cdot, N)$ , which

is dual to  $\xi$ . For any vector field  $X$  on  $M$  the tangent part of  $JX$  is denoted by  $\phi X = JX - \eta(X)N$ . Moreover, the following identities hold:

$$(2) \quad \phi^2 = -Id + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \phi \circ \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$(3) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

$$(4) \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X),$$

where  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . By (2)-(4), we know that  $(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  is an almost contact metric structure on  $M$ .

Denote by  $\nabla, A$  the induced Riemannian connection and the shape operator on  $M$ , respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$(5) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)N, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X N = -AX,$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the connection on  $\tilde{M}^n$  with respect to  $\tilde{g}$ . Also, we have

$$(6) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX.$$

In particular,  $M$  is said to be a *Hopf hypersurface* if the structure vector field  $\xi$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ .

From now on we always assume that the sectional curvature of  $\tilde{M}^n$  is constant  $c$ . When  $c = 0$ ,  $\tilde{M}^n$  is complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . When  $c \neq 0$ ,  $\tilde{M}^n$  is a non-flat complex space form, denoted by  $\tilde{M}^n(c)$ , then from (5), we know that the curvature tensor  $R$  of  $M$  is given by

$$(7) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \left( g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z \right) + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$

and the shape operator  $A$  satisfies

$$(8) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \frac{c}{4} \left( \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi \right)$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  on  $M$ . From (7), we get the Ricci tensor  $Q$  of type  $(1, 1)$ :

$$(9) \quad QX = \frac{c}{4} \{ (2n + 1)X - 3\eta(X)\xi \} + hAX - A^2X,$$

where  $h$  denotes the mean curvature of  $M$  (i.e.,  $h = \text{trace}(A)$ ). We denote by  $S$  the scalar curvature of  $M$ , i.e.,  $S = \text{trace}(Q)$ .

If  $M$  is a Hopf hypersurface of  $\tilde{M}^n(c)$ ,  $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ , where  $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$ . Due to [14, Theorem 2.1],  $\alpha$  is constant. Remark that when  $c = 0$ ,  $\alpha$  is also constant (see the proof of [5, Lemma 1]). Using the equation (8), we obtain

$$(10) \quad (\nabla_\xi A)X = \alpha\phi AX - A\phi AX + \frac{c}{4}\phi X$$

for any vector field  $X$ . Since  $\nabla_\xi A$  is self-adjoint, by taking the anti-symmetry part of (10), we get the relation:

$$(11) \quad 2A\phi AX - \frac{c}{2}\phi X = \alpha(\phi A + A\phi)X.$$

As the tangent bundle  $TM$  can be decomposed as  $TM = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathfrak{D}$ , where  $\mathfrak{D} = \{X \in TM : X \perp \xi\}$ , the condition  $A\xi = \alpha\xi$  implies  $A\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}$ , thus we can pick up  $X \in \mathfrak{D}$  such that  $AX = fX$  for some function  $f$  on  $M$ . Then from (11) we obtain

$$(12) \quad (2f - \alpha)A\phi X = \left(f\alpha + \frac{c}{2}\right)\phi X.$$

If  $2f = \alpha$ , then  $c = -4f^2$ , which shows that  $M$  is locally congruent to a horosphere in  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  (see [2]).

Next we recall an important lemma for a Riemannian manifold satisfying Miao-Tam equation (1).

**Lemma 2.1** ([7]). *Let a Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$  satisfies the Miao-Tam equation. Then the curvature tensor  $R$  can be expressed as*

$$R(X, Y)\nabla\lambda = X(\lambda)QY - Y(\lambda)QX + \lambda\{(\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X\} + X(\beta)Y - Y(\beta)X$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M$  and  $\beta = -\frac{S\lambda+1}{n-1}$ .

Applying this lemma we obtain:

**Lemma 2.2.** *For a Hopf real hypersurface  $M^{2n-1}$  with Miao-Tam critical metric of a complex space form, the following equation holds:*

$$(13) \quad \lambda\alpha \left[ X(h) - \xi(h)\eta(X) \right] = \mu \left( \xi(\lambda)\eta(X) - X(\lambda) \right) + \alpha^2 \xi(\lambda)\eta(X) - \alpha AX(\lambda),$$

$$\text{where } \mu = \frac{c}{4}(2n-1) + \alpha h - \alpha^2 - \frac{S}{2n-2}.$$

*Proof.* Replacing  $Z$  in (7) by  $\nabla\lambda$ , we have

$$(14) \quad R(X, Y)\nabla\lambda = \frac{c}{4} \left( Y(\lambda)X - X(\lambda)Y + \phi Y(\lambda)\phi X - \phi X(\lambda)\phi Y \right. \\ \left. + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi\nabla\lambda \right) + AY(\lambda)AX - AX(\lambda)AY.$$

By combining with Lemma 2.1, we get

$$(15) \quad X(\lambda)QY - Y(\lambda)QX + \lambda\{(\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X\} \\ = \left( \frac{c}{4} - \frac{S}{2n-2} \right) \left( Y(\lambda)X - X(\lambda)Y \right) + \frac{c}{4} \left( \phi Y(\lambda)\phi X - \phi X(\lambda)\phi Y \right. \\ \left. + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi\nabla\lambda \right) + AY(\lambda)AX - AX(\lambda)AY.$$

Now making use of (9), for any vector fields  $X, Y$  we first compute

$$(\nabla_Y Q)X = \frac{c}{4} \{ -3(\nabla_Y \eta)(X)\xi - 3\eta(X)\nabla_Y \xi \} + Y(h)AX + h(\nabla_Y A)X$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -(\nabla_Y A)AX - A(\nabla_Y A)X \\
 = & -\frac{3c}{4}\{g(\phi AY, X)\xi + \eta(X)\phi AY\} + Y(h)AX + h(\nabla_Y A)X \\
 & -(\nabla_Y A)AX - A(\nabla_Y A)X.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (8), we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad & (\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X \\
 = & -\frac{3c}{4}\{g(\phi AX + A\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi AX - \eta(X)\phi AY\} \\
 & + X(h)AY - Y(h)AX + \frac{hc}{4}(\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi) \\
 & - (\nabla_X A)AY + (\nabla_Y A)AX - \frac{c}{4}(\eta(X)A\phi Y - \eta(Y)A\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)A\xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking the product of (15) with  $\xi$  and using (16), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad & -\frac{3c}{4}g(\phi AX + A\phi X, Y) + \alpha X(h)\eta(Y) - \alpha Y(h)\eta(X) \\
 & - g((\nabla_X A)AY + (\nabla_Y A)AX, \xi) - \frac{hc - \alpha c}{2}g(\phi X, Y) \\
 = & \frac{\mu}{\lambda}(Y(\lambda)\eta(X) - X(\lambda)\eta(Y)) + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}AY(\lambda)\eta(X) - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}AX(\lambda)\eta(Y),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu = \frac{c}{4}(2n - 1) + \alpha h - \alpha^2 - \frac{S}{2n-2}$ . Moreover, using (11) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g((\nabla_X A)AY - (\nabla_Y A)AX, \xi) \\
 = & g(\frac{\alpha}{2}(\phi AX - A\phi X) - \frac{c}{4}\phi X, AY) - g(\frac{\alpha}{2}(\phi AY - A\phi Y) - \frac{c}{4}\phi Y, AX).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (17) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad & -\frac{c + 2\alpha^2}{4}(\phi AX + A\phi X) + \alpha X(h)\xi - \alpha\eta(X)\nabla h \\
 & + \frac{\alpha}{2}(A^2\phi X + \phi A^2 X) - \frac{2hc - \alpha c}{4}\phi X \\
 = & \frac{\mu}{\lambda}(\eta(X)\nabla\lambda - X(\lambda)\xi) + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\eta(X)A\nabla\lambda - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}AX(\lambda)\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, taking an inner product of (18) with  $\xi$  gives (13). □

### 3. Hopf real hypersurfaces of complex space forms

First of all, we assume  $c \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $M^{2n-1}$  is a Hopf real hypersurface of non-flat complex space form  $\bar{M}^n(c)$ . We first consider  $\alpha = 0$ , i.e.,  $A\xi = 0$ , then the relation (13) yields

$$(19) \quad \left(-\frac{S}{2n-2} + \frac{c}{4}(2n-1)\right)(\xi(\lambda)\xi - \nabla\lambda) = 0.$$

If  $-\frac{S}{2n-2} + \frac{c}{4}(2n-1) = 0$ , i.e.,  $S = \frac{1}{2}c(n-1)(2n-1)$ . Then from (18) we find

$$(20) \quad \frac{c}{4}(\phi AX + A\phi X) = 0,$$

which yields  $\phi AX + A\phi X = 0$  for all vector field  $X$ . This is contradictory with [14, Corollary 2.12]. Thus  $S \neq \frac{c}{2}(n-1)(2n-1)$ , and it follows from (19) that  $\nabla\lambda = \xi(\lambda)\xi$ . Differentiating this along  $X$  gives

$$(21) \quad \nabla_X \nabla\lambda = X(\xi(\lambda))\xi + \xi(\lambda)\phi AX.$$

On the other hand, from (1) we can obtain

$$(22) \quad \nabla_X \nabla\lambda = (1 + \Delta\lambda)X + \lambda QX.$$

Comparing (21) and (22), we have

$$(23) \quad X(\xi(\lambda))\xi + \xi(\lambda)\phi AX = (1 + \Delta\lambda)X + \lambda QX.$$

Moreover, by (9), putting  $X = \xi$  gives

$$(24) \quad \xi(\xi(\lambda)) = 1 + \Delta\lambda + \frac{\lambda c}{2}(n-1).$$

Choose a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_i\}$  such that  $e_{2n-1} = \xi$  and  $e_{n-1+i} = \phi e_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Using the frame to contract over  $X$  in (23), we also derive that

$$\xi(\xi(\lambda)) = (1 + \Delta\lambda)(2n-1) + \lambda S.$$

Comparing with (24), we find

$$(25) \quad (2n-2)(1 + \Delta\lambda) + \lambda S = \frac{\lambda c}{2}(n-1).$$

Furthermore, by taking the trace of Miao-Tam equation (1), we get

$$(26) \quad (2-2n)\Delta\lambda - \lambda S = 2n-1,$$

which, together with (25), yields

$$(27) \quad \frac{\lambda c}{2}(n-1) + 1 = 0.$$

This shows that  $\lambda$  is constant. Thus  $M$  is Einstein, but as is well-known that there are no Einstein hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form as in introduction, hence we immediately obtain:

**Proposition 3.1.** *A real hypersurface with  $A\xi = 0$  of a non-flat complex space form does not admit Miao-Tam critical metric.*

Next we consider the case where  $\alpha \neq 0$ . If for every  $X \in \mathfrak{D}$  such that  $AX = \frac{\alpha}{2}X$ , as before we know that  $M$  is locally congruent a horosphere in  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  and  $c = -\alpha^2$ . Moreover, the mean curvature  $h = n\alpha$  is constant. Then from (18) we can obtain  $nc = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2}$ . This implies  $2n = 1$ . It is impossible.

Now choose  $X \in \mathfrak{D}$  such that  $AX = fX$  with  $f \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , so from (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{c+2\alpha^2}{4}(f\phi X + \tilde{f}\phi X) + \alpha X(h)\xi + \frac{\alpha}{2}(\tilde{f}^2\phi X + f^2\phi X) - \frac{2hc - \alpha c}{4}\phi X \\ &= -\frac{\mu}{\lambda}X(\lambda)\xi - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}AX(\lambda)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used  $A\phi X = \tilde{f}\phi X$  with  $\tilde{f} = \frac{f\alpha + \frac{c}{2}}{2f - \alpha}$  followed from (12). Since  $\phi X \in \mathfrak{D}$ , we further derive

$$(28) \quad -(c + 2\alpha^2)(f + \tilde{f}) + 2\alpha(\tilde{f}^2 + f^2) - (2hc - \alpha c) = 0.$$

Moreover, inserting  $\tilde{f} = \frac{f\alpha + \frac{c}{2}}{2f - \alpha}$  into the equation (28), we have

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} & 8\alpha f^4 - 4(c + 4\alpha^2)f^3 + (6\alpha c + 8\alpha^3 - 8hc)f^2 \\ & + (8hc\alpha - 4\alpha^2c - c^2)f + \alpha c^2 + 2\alpha^3c - 2hc\alpha^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we denote the roots of the polynomial by  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4$ , then from the relation between the roots and coefficients we obtain

$$(30) \quad \begin{cases} f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 = \frac{c+4\alpha^2}{2\alpha}, \\ f_1f_2 + f_1f_3 + f_1f_4 + f_2f_3 + f_2f_4 + f_3f_4 = \frac{3\alpha c + 4\alpha^3 - 4hc}{4\alpha}, \\ f_1f_2f_3 + f_1f_2f_4 + f_2f_3f_4 = -\frac{8hc\alpha - 4\alpha^2c - c^2}{8\alpha}, \\ f_1f_2f_3f_4 = \frac{c^2 + 2\alpha^2c - 2hc\alpha}{8}. \end{cases}$$

As the proof of [5, Lemma 4.2], we can also get the following.

**Lemma 3.2.** *The mean curvature  $h$  is constant.*

Hence from (13) we conclude

$$A\nabla\lambda = \frac{\mu}{\alpha}\phi^2\nabla\lambda + \alpha\xi(\lambda)\xi.$$

By taking the inner product with the principal vector  $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ , we obtain

$$(f + \frac{\mu}{\alpha})X(\lambda) = 0.$$

If  $X(\lambda) = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ , then  $\nabla\lambda = \xi(\lambda)\xi$ . As the proof of Proposition 3.1, we see that  $M$  is Einstein, which is impossible.

If  $X(\lambda) \neq 0$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ , then  $f + \frac{\mu}{\alpha} = 0$ , i.e.,  $M$  has only two distinct constant principal curvatures  $\alpha, -\frac{\mu}{\alpha}$ . Further, we see from (12) that

$$(31) \quad 2f^2 - 2\alpha f - \frac{c}{2} = 0.$$

Since the hypersurface  $M$  has two distinct constant principle curvatures:  $\alpha$  of multiplicity 1 and  $f$  of multiplicity  $2n - 2$ , it is easy to get that the mean curvature  $h = \alpha + (2n - 2)f$  and the scalar curvature  $S = c(n^2 - 1) + 2\alpha(2n - 2)f + (2n - 2)(2n - 3)f^2$ . Thus

$$\mu = -\frac{3c}{4} + (2n - 4)\alpha f - (2n - 3)f^2.$$

Inserting this into the relation  $f + \frac{\mu}{\alpha} = 0$ , we obtain

$$(32) \quad (2n - 3)(\alpha f - f^2) = \frac{3c}{4}.$$

Combining (31) with (32), we find  $nc = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $X(\lambda) \neq 0$  for some principle vector  $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ , and without loss general, we suppose  $e_1(\lambda) \neq 0$ , then  $Ae_1 = -\frac{\mu}{\alpha}e_1$  and  $A\phi e_1 = \frac{\alpha\mu - \frac{c}{2}\alpha}{2\mu + \alpha^2}\phi e_1$ .

Notice that if the hypersurface  $M$  of  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  has constant principal curvatures, the classification is as follows:

**Theorem 3.3** ([2]). *Let  $M$  be a Hopf real hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with constant principal curvatures. Then  $M$  is locally congruent to the following:*

- (1)  $A_2$  : Tubes around a totally geodesic  $\mathbb{C}H^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}H^n$ .
- (2)  $B$  : Tubes of radius  $r$  around a totally geodesic real hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{R}H^n \subset \mathbb{C}H^n$ .
- (3)  $N$  : Horospheres in  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ .

Since the horospheres have two distinct principal curvatures, it is impossible. By Theorems 3.9 and 3.12 in [14], the Type  $A_2, B$  hypersurfaces have three distinct principal curvatures:  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{r} \tanh(u)$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{r} \coth(u)$  and  $\alpha = \frac{2}{r} \tanh(2u)$ . Then  $h = \alpha + (n-1)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = \alpha + \frac{2(n-1)}{r} \coth(2u)$ . On the other hand, from Corollary 2.3(ii) in [14], we also have  $\frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2}\alpha + \frac{c}{4}$ , i.e.,  $c = -\frac{4}{r^2}$ . This implies from the last relation in (30) that

$$\frac{1}{r^4} = \frac{c^2 + 2\alpha^2c - 2hc\alpha}{8} = \frac{4n-2}{r^4}.$$

Thus  $n = \frac{3}{4}$ , that is impossible.

For the case of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , the classification is as follow:

**Theorem 3.4** ([9, 17]). *Let  $M$  be a Hopf hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with constant principal curvatures. Then  $M$  is an open part of*

- (1)  $A_2$  : a tuber over a totally geodesic complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^k$  of radius  $\frac{\pi r}{4}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ , where  $r = \frac{2}{\sqrt{c}}$ , or
- (2)  $B$  : a tuber over a complex quadric  $Q_{n-1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ , or
- (3)  $C$  : a tube around the Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^k$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^{2k+1}$  for some  $k \leq 2$ , or
- (4)  $D$  : a tube around the Plücker embedding into  $\mathbb{C}P^9$  of the complex Grassmann manifold  $G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$  of complex 2-planes in  $\mathbb{C}^5$ , or
- (5)  $E$  : a tube around the half spin embedding into  $\mathbb{C}P^{15}$  of the Hermitian symmetric space  $SO(10) = U(5)$ .

The Type  $A_2$  and  $B$  hypersurfaces have three distinct principal curvatures:  $\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{r} \cot(u)$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{r} \tan(u)$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{2}{r} \tan(2u)$  (see [14, Theorems 3.14 and 3.15]). From the first relation of (30), we have

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \frac{c + 4\alpha^2}{4\alpha} \Rightarrow -\frac{16}{r^2} = c + 4\alpha^2.$$

It gives a contradiction since  $c > 0$ .

For the Type  $C, D$  and  $E$  hypersurfaces, they have five distinct principal curvatures (see [14, Theorems 3.16, 3.17, and 3.18]). We compute

$$\frac{1}{r} \left( -\cot(u) + \tan(u) + \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - u\right) + \cot\left(\frac{3\pi}{4} - u\right) \right) = \frac{2}{r} (1 + \cot^2(2u)).$$

Thus the first relation of (30) implies

$$-\frac{24}{r^2} \cot^2(2u) = c + \frac{8}{r^2}.$$

It is impossible since  $c > 0$ . So the hypersurfaces of Type  $C, D, E$  do not admit Miao-Tam critical metrics.

Summarizing the above discussion, we thus assert the following:

**Proposition 3.5.** *A real hypersurface with  $A\xi = \alpha\xi, \alpha \neq 0$  in a non-flat complex space form does not admit Miao-Tam critical metric.*

Together Proposition 3.1 with Proposition 3.5, we prove:

**Theorem 3.6.** *There exist no Hopf real hypersurfaces with Miao-Tam critical metric in non-flat complex space forms.*

In the following we always assume  $c = 0$ . That is to say that  $M$  is a real hypersurface of complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . First of all, if  $A\xi = 0$ , we obtain from (19)

$$S(\xi(\lambda)\xi - \nabla\lambda) = 0.$$

If  $S \neq 0$ , we have  $\nabla\lambda = \xi(\lambda)\xi$ . As before we can also lead to (27), but it yields a contradiction since  $c = 0$ . Thus the scalar curvature  $S = 0$ , and the relation (26) implies  $\Delta\lambda = -\frac{2n-1}{2n-2}$ . Actually,  $\lambda = -\frac{2n-1}{4n-4}|x|^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ . Since  $R(\xi, X, \xi, X) = 0$  for all  $X$ , the sectional curvature of  $M$  is also zero. By Hartman and Nirenberg's theorem in [8],  $M$  is a hyperplane or a cylinder, hence we have the following:

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $M^{2n-1}$  be a complete real hypersurface with  $A\xi = 0$  of complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $M$  admits Miao-Tam critical metric, it is a generalized cylinder  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1-p} \times \mathbb{S}^p$  or  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ .*

When  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Let us choose  $X \in \mathfrak{D}$  such that  $AX = \beta X$  for a smooth function  $\beta$ , then we know  $\beta \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , otherwise, if  $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , then  $-4\beta^2 = c = 0$  from (12), i.e.,  $\beta = 0$ . This is a contradiction with  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Further, from (12) we have

$$(33) \quad A\phi X = \frac{\beta\alpha}{2\beta - \alpha} \phi X.$$

Therefore we find that the equation (29) holds, and for  $c = 0$  and  $f = \beta$  it becomes

$$(\beta^2 - \alpha\beta)^2 = 0.$$

So  $\beta^2 = \alpha\beta$ , that means that  $\beta$  is constant and further  $h$  is also constant. If  $\alpha = \beta$ , from (33) we see that the shape operator can be expressed as  $A = \alpha I$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity map. In this case,  $M$  is locally congruent to a sphere.

If  $\beta = 0$ ,  $A = \alpha\eta \otimes \xi$ , as the proof of [11, Theorem 1.1], we know that  $M$  is  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ . Therefore we assert the following:

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $M^{2n-1}$  be a complete real hypersurface with  $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , of complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $M$  admits Miao-Tam critical metric, it is locally congruent to a sphere, or  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ .*

#### 4. Ruled hypersurfaces of non-flat complex space forms

In this section we study a class of non-Hopf hypersurfaces with Miao-Tam critical metric of non-flat complex space forms. Let  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^n(c)$  be any regular curve. For  $t \in I$ , let  $\widetilde{M}_{(t)}^n(c)$  be a totally geodesic complex hypersurface through the point  $\gamma(t)$  which is orthogonal to the holomorphic plane spanned by  $\gamma'(t)$  and  $J\gamma'(t)$ . Write  $M = \{\widetilde{M}_{(t)}^n(c) : t \in I\}$ . Such a construction asserts that  $M$  is a real hypersurface of  $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$ , which is called a *ruled hypersurface*. It is well-known that the shape operator  $A$  of  $M$  is written as:

$$\begin{aligned} A\xi &= \alpha\xi + \beta W (\beta \neq 0), \\ AW &= \beta\xi, \\ AZ &= 0 \text{ for any } Z \perp \xi, W, \end{aligned}$$

where  $W$  is a unit vector field orthogonal to  $\xi$ , and  $\alpha, \beta$  are differentiable functions on  $M$ . From (9), we have

$$(34) \quad Q\xi = \left(\frac{1}{2}(n-1)c - \beta^2\right)\xi,$$

$$(35) \quad QW = \left(\frac{1}{4}(2n+1)c - \beta^2\right)W,$$

$$(36) \quad QZ = \left(\frac{1}{4}(2n+1)c\right)Z \text{ for any } Z \perp \xi, W.$$

From these equations we know the scalar curvature  $S = (n^2 - 1)c - 2\beta^2$ . Since  $S$  is constant, this shows that  $\beta$  is also constant. Further, the following relation  $\nabla\beta = (\beta^2 + c/4)\phi W$  is valid (see [10]), which yields

$$(37) \quad \beta^2 + c/4 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S = -(4n^2 - 2)\beta^2.$$

Further, the following lemma holds:

**Lemma 4.1** ([10]). *For all  $Z \in \{X \in TM : \eta(X) = g(X, W) = g(X, \phi W) = 0\}$ , we have the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_W \phi W &= -2\beta W, & \nabla_W W &= (\beta + \beta^2)\phi W, \\ \nabla_Z \phi W &= -\beta Z, & \nabla_Z W &= \beta\phi Z, \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla_{\phi W} \phi W = 0.$$

Now putting  $Y = \xi$  and  $X = W$  in (15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (38) \quad & W(\lambda) \left( \frac{1}{2}(n-1)c - \beta^2 \right) \xi - \xi(\lambda) \left( \frac{1}{4}(2n+1)c - \beta^2 \right) W \\ & + \lambda \{ (\nabla_W Q) \xi - (\nabla_\xi Q) W \} \\ & = \left( \frac{c}{4} - \frac{S}{2n-2} \right) \left( \xi(\lambda) W - W(\lambda) \xi \right) + A\xi(\lambda)AW - AW(\lambda)A\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\beta$  is constant, from (35) and (34), by Lemma 4.1 we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W Q) \xi - (\nabla_\xi Q) W &= \nabla_W(Q\xi) - Q\nabla_W \xi - \nabla_\xi(QW) + Q\nabla_\xi W \\ &= -W(\beta^2)\xi + \xi(\beta^2)W = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (38), we conclude that

$$(39) \quad \begin{cases} W(\lambda) \left[ \frac{1}{4}(2n-1)c - 2\beta^2 - \frac{S}{2n-2} \right] = 0, \\ \xi(\lambda) \left[ \frac{1}{2}(n+1)c - 2\beta^2 - \frac{S}{2n-2} \right] = 0. \end{cases}$$

From (39), we get  $\xi(\lambda) = W(\lambda) = 0$  since  $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)c - 2\beta^2 - \frac{S}{2n-2} \neq 0$ , which is followed from (37).

Putting  $Y = \xi$  and  $X = Z$  in (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (40) \quad & Z(\lambda) \left( \frac{1}{2}(n-1)c - \beta^2 \right) \xi - \xi(\lambda) \left( \frac{1}{4}(2n+1)c \right) Z + \lambda \{ (\nabla_Z Q) \xi - (\nabla_\xi Q) Z \} \\ & = \left( \frac{c}{4} - \frac{S}{2n-2} \right) \left( \xi(\lambda) Z - Z(\lambda) \xi \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1, we also obtain

$$(\nabla_Z Q) \xi - (\nabla_\xi Q) Z = -Z(\beta^2)\xi + \xi(\beta^2)Z = 0.$$

Since  $\xi(\lambda) = 0$ , the relation (40) becomes

$$Z(\lambda) \left[ \frac{1}{4}(2n-1)c - \beta^2 - \frac{S}{2n-2} \right] = 0.$$

Thus  $Z(\lambda) = 0$  since  $\frac{1}{4}(2n-1)c - \beta^2 - \frac{S}{2n-2} \neq 0$  as before.

By taking  $X = \phi W$  and  $Y = \xi$  in (15), a similar computation gives

$$(41) \quad -\lambda\beta \left( \frac{1}{2}(n+2)c + \beta^2 \right) = \left( -\frac{S}{2n-2} + \frac{1}{4}(2n-1)c - \beta^2 \right) \phi W(\lambda).$$

Inserting (37) into (41), we find

$$\phi W(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda\beta(2n+3)(n-1)}{2n-1}.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$(42) \quad \nabla \lambda = \frac{\lambda\beta(2n+3)(n-1)}{2n-1} \phi W.$$

On the other hand, as we known  $\nabla_X \nabla \lambda = \lambda QX + (1 + \Delta\lambda)X$  by Miao-Tam equation (1). When  $X = Z$  and  $W$  respectively, by Lemma 4.1 it follows respectively from (35), (36) and (42) that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\lambda\beta^2(2n+3)(n-1)}{2n-1} &= -\lambda(2n+1)\beta^2 + (1 + \Delta\lambda), \\ -2\frac{\lambda\beta^2(2n+3)(n-1)}{2n-1} &= -\lambda(2n+2)\beta^2 + (1 + \Delta\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

It will give  $\lambda\beta^2 = 0$ , which is a contradiction with  $\lambda, \beta \neq 0$ . Hence the following theorem is proved.

**Theorem 4.2.** *There exist no ruled hypersurfaces with Miao-Tam critical metrics of non-flat complex space forms.*

### 5. Compact hypersurfaces of complex space forms

For the case where  $M$  is compact, we immediately obtain the following result:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $M^{2n-1}$  be a compact real hypersurface admitting Miao-Tam critical metric with  $\lambda > 0$  or  $\lambda < 0$  of complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $M$  is a sphere. In the compact real hypersurfaces of a non-flat complex space form  $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$  there does not exist such a critical metric.*

*Proof.* Write  $\overset{\circ}{Ric} = Ric - \frac{S}{2n-1}g$ . It is proved the following relation (see the proof of [1, Lemma 5]):

$$\operatorname{div}(\overset{\circ}{Ric}(\nabla\lambda)) = \lambda|\overset{\circ}{Ric}|^2.$$

Thus integrating it over  $M$  gives  $\overset{\circ}{Ric} = 0$  if  $\lambda > 0$  or  $\lambda < 0$ , that means that  $Ric = \frac{S}{2n-1}g$ . Namely  $M$  is Einstein. For the case of complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , it is proved that  $M$  is a sphere, a hyperplane, or a hypercylinder over a complete plane curve (cf. [6]). But the latter two cases are not compact. For  $c \neq 0$ , it is impossible since there are no Einstein hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form. Therefore we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the referees for the helpful suggestions.

### References

- [1] R. Batista, R. Diógenes, M. Ranieri, and E. Ribeiro Jr, *Critical metrics of the volume functional on compact three-manifolds with smooth boundary*, J. Geom. Anal. **27** (2017), no. 2, 1530–1547.
- [2] J. Berndt, *Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **395** (1989), 132–141.
- [3] T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, *Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269** (1982), no. 2, 481–499.
- [4] J. T. Cho and M. Kimura, *Ricci solitons and real hypersurfaces in a complex space form*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **61** (2009), no. 2, 205–212.

- [5] ———, *Ricci solitons of compact real hypersurfaces in Kähler manifolds*, Math. Nachr. **284** (2011), no. 11-12, 1385–1393.
- [6] A. Fialkow, *Hypersurfaces of a space of constant curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **39** (1938), no. 4, 762–785.
- [7] A. Ghosh and D. S. Patra, *The critical point equation and contact geometry*, J. Geom. **108** (2017), no. 1, 185–194.
- [8] P. Hartman and L. Nirenberg, *On spherical image maps whose Jacobians do not change sign*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 901–920.
- [9] M. Kimura, *Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296** (1986), no. 1, 137–149.
- [10] ———, *Sectional curvatures of holomorphic planes on a real hypersurface in  $P^n(\mathbb{C})$* , Math. Ann. **276** (1987), no. 3, 487–497.
- [11] Y. Li, X. Xu, and J. Zhou, *The complete hyper-surfaces with zero scalar curvature in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$* , Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **44** (2013), no. 4, 401–416.
- [12] P. Miao and L.-F. Tam, *On the volume functional of compact manifolds with boundary with constant scalar curvature*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **36** (2009), no. 2, 141–171.
- [13] S. Montiel, *Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **37** (1985), no. 3, 515–535.
- [14] R. Niebergall and P. J. Ryan, *Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms*, in Tight and taut submanifolds (Berkeley, CA, 1994), 233–305, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 32, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- [15] D. S. Patra and A. Ghosh, *Certain contact metrics satisfying the Miao-Tam critical condition*, Ann. Polon. Math. **116** (2016), no. 3, 263–271.
- [16] ———, *Certain almost Kenmotsu metrics satisfying the Miao-Tam equation*, arXiv: 1701.04996v1.
- [17] R. Takagi, *On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space*, Osaka J. Math. **10** (1973), 495–506.
- [18] Y. Wang and W. Wang, *An Einstein-like metric on almost Kenmotsu manifolds*, accepted by Filomat, 2017.

XIAOMIN CHEN  
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE  
CHINA UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM-BEIJING  
BEIJING 102249, P. R. CHINA  
Email address: xmchen@cup.edu.cn