Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **55** (2018), No. 2, pp. 573–586 https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b170153 pISSN: 1015-8634 / eISSN: 2234-3016

# *b*-GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS ON MULTILINEAR POLYNOMIALS IN PRIME RINGS

#### BASUDEB DHARA

ABSTRACT. Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2, Q be its maximal right ring of quotients and C be its extended centroid. Suppose that  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a noncentral multilinear polynomial over  $C, b \in Q, F$  a b-generalized derivation of R and d is a nonzero derivation of R such that

$$([F(f(r)), f(r)]) = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then one of the following holds:

d

(1) there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ ;

(2) there exist  $\lambda \in C$  and  $p \in Q$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x + px + xp$  for all  $x \in R$  with  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued in R.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R always denotes an associative prime ring with center Z(R). A ring R is said to be a prime ring if for any  $a, b \in R$ , aRb = 0 implies a = 0 or b = 0. Q denotes the maximal right ring of quotients of R. Then C = Z(Q) is called the extended centroid of R. It is well known that when R is a prime ring, then Q is also a prime ring and C is a field. We refer the reader to the book [1] for details. The commutator of x and y is denoted by [x, y] and defined by [x, y] = xy - yx for  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \to R$  is called a derivation if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $F: R \to R$  is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $d: R \to R$  such that F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y) holds for all  $x, y \in R$  is an example of generalized derivation which is called as inner generalized derivation of R.

For a subset S of R, a mapping  $f: S \to R$  is called commuting (centralizing) on S if [f(x), x] = 0 (resp.  $[f(x), x] \in Z(R)$ ) for all  $x \in S$ . Posner [19] initiated

O2018Korean Mathematical Society



Received February 19, 2017; Accepted August 18, 2017.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W25, 16N6.

Key words and phrases. prime ring, derivation, generalized derivation, b-generalized derivation, generalized skew derivation.

This work is supported by a grant from Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), DST, New Delhi, India. Grant No. EMR/2016/004043 dated 29-Nov-2016.

the study of commuting and centralizing maps. Posner [19] proved that a prime ring must be commutative, if it possesses a nonzero centralizing derivation. Since then many authors investigated commuting and centralizing maps in different directions.

In [13], Lee and Lee proved that if R is a prime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R and d is a nonzero derivation of R such that  $[d(f(r)), f(r)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in I^n$ , then  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is central-valued on R, except when char(R) = 2 and R satisfies  $s_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ .

Recently, De Filippis and Di Vincenzo (see [5]) studied the situation when  $\delta([d(f(r)), f(r)]) = 0$  for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where d and  $\delta$  are two derivations of R. The statement of De Filippis and Di Vincenzo's theorem is the following:

**Theorem A** ([5, Theorem 1]). Let K be a noncommutative ring with unity, R a prime K-algebra of characteristic different from 2, d and  $\delta$  two nonzero derivations of R and  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  a multilinear polynomial over K. If

$$\delta([d(f(r)), f(r)]) = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  is central-valued on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Then, De Filippis and Di Vincenzo [6] studied above result replacing derivation d with a generalized derivation F of R. More precisely, authors proved the following:

**Theorem B.** Let R be a prime algebra over a commutative ring K with unity, and let  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a multilinear polynomial over K, not central valued on R. Suppose that d is a nonzero derivation of R and F is a nonzero generalized derivation of R such that

$$d([F(f(r)), f(r)]) = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If the characteristic of R is different from 2, then one of the following holds:

- (1) There exists  $\lambda \in C$ , the extended centroid of R such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ ;
- (2) There exist  $a \in U$ , the Utumi quotient ring of R, and  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = ax + xa + \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ , and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued on R.

Our motivation in the present paper is to consider F as a *b*-generalized derivation of R. Let  $b \in Q$ . An additive map  $G : R \to Q$  is called a *b*-generalized derivation of R if g(xy) = g(x)y + bxd(y) holds for all  $x, y \in R$ , where  $d : R \to Q$  is an additive map. It is proved in [11] that if R is a prime ring and  $b \neq 0$ , then the associated map d must be a derivation of R. Evidently, a generalized derivation is a 1-generalized derivation. For some  $a, b, c \in Q$ , the map  $F(x) = ax + bxc \in Q$  is an example of *b*-generalized

derivation of R, which we call as inner *b*-generalized derivation of R. The *b*-generalized derivations appeared canonically in [3] and were introduced and studied recently in [11, 15, 17].

More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2, Q be its maximal right ring of quotients and C be its extended centroid. Suppose that  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a noncentral multilinear polynomial over  $C, b \in Q, F$  a b-generalized derivation of R and d is a nonzero derivation of R such that

$$d([F(f(r)), f(r)]) = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then one of the following holds:

- (1) there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ ;
- (2) there exist  $\lambda \in C$  and  $p \in Q$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x + px + xp$  for all  $x \in R$  with  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued in R.

As an application of above theorem, we have the following corollary which is a generalization of particular result of [4].

**Corollary 1.2.** Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2, Q be its maximal right ring of quotients and C be its extended centroid. Suppose that  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a noncentral multilinear polynomial over  $C, b \in Q, F$  a b-generalized derivation of R and d is a nonzero derivation of R such that

## $[F(f(r)), f(r)] \in C$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then one of the following holds:

- (1) there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ ;
- (2) there exist  $\lambda \in C$  and  $p \in Q$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x + px + xp$  for all  $x \in R$  with  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued in R.

Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of R.  $\sigma$  is said to be inner automorphism of R, if there exists an invertible element  $p \in Q$  such that  $\sigma(x) = pxp^{-1}$  for all  $x \in R$ . If  $\sigma$  is not inner, we say  $\sigma$  as an outer automorphism of R. An additive map  $d: R \to R$  is called a  $\sigma$ -derivation, if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + \sigma(x)d(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . For some  $a \in Q$ ,  $d(x) = ax - \sigma(x)a$  is an example of  $\sigma$ -derivation, which is called as inner  $\sigma$ -derivation. An additive map  $G: R \to R$  is called a generalized  $\sigma$ -derivation, if there exists a  $\sigma$ -derivation d such that  $G(xy) = G(x)y + \sigma(x)d(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . Note that generalized  $1_R$ -derivation is called as generalized derivation, where  $1_R$  denotes the identity automorphism of R. Generally, generalized  $\sigma$ -derivation is called as generalized set  $\sigma$ -derivation is called as  $generalized \sigma$ -derivation is called as  $generalized \sigma$ -derivation. Where  $1_R$  denotes the identity automorphism of R. Generally,  $generalized \sigma$ -derivation is called as  $generalized \sigma$ -derivation is called as  $generalized \sigma$ -derivation. If for some invertible  $b \in Q$ ,  $\sigma(x) = bxb^{-1}$  for all  $x \in R$ , and d is inner  $\sigma$ -derivation of R, then  $G(xy) = G(x)y + \sigma(x)d(y) = G(x)y + bxb^{-1}(ay - byb^{-1}a) = G(x)y + bx(b^{-1}ay - yb^{-1}a) = G(x)y + bx[b^{-1}a, y]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , is nothing but a b-generalized derivation of R with associated derivation  $d(x) = [b^{-1}a, x]$  for all  $x \in R$ . It is very easy to prove that any

generalized  $\sigma$ -derivation of R with associated  $\sigma$ -derivation d, where  $\sigma(x) = bxb^{-1}$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $b \in Q$  is an inner automorphism, is a *b*-generalized derivation of R with the associated map  $b^{-1}d$ .

Thus as an application of Theorem 1.1, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2, Q be its maximal right ring of quotients, C be its extended centroid and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a noncentral multilinear polynomial over C. Suppose that F is a generalized  $\sigma$ -derivation of R with  $\sigma$  an inner automorphism of R and d is a nonzero derivation of R such that

$$d([F(f(r)), f(r)]) = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then one of the following holds:

- (1) there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ ;
- (2) there exist  $\lambda \in C$  and  $p \in Q$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x + px + xp$  for all  $x \in R$  with  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued in R.

Similarly, following the corollary also holds.

**Corollary 1.4.** Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2, Q be its maximal right ring of quotients, C be its extended centroid and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a noncentral multilinear polynomial over C. Suppose that F is a generalized  $\sigma$ -derivation of R with  $\sigma$  an inner automorphism of R such that

$$[F(f(r)), f(r)] \in C$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then one of the following holds:

- (1) there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ ;
- (2) there exist  $\lambda \in C$  and  $p \in Q$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x + px + xp$  for all  $x \in R$  with  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued in R.

### 2. The case of inner *b*-generalized derivation

First we consider the case when F is the inner *b*-generalized derivation and d is inner derivation of R. Let F(x) = ax + bxq for all  $x \in R$  and d(x) = [c, x] for all  $x \in R$ , for some  $a, b, c, q \in Q$ . Then by our hypothesis, we have

$$[c, [ar + brq, r]] = 0$$

for all  $r \in f(R)$ . This can be re-written as

$$car^{2} + cbrqr - crar - crbrq - ar^{2}c - brqrc + rarc + rbrqc = 0$$

for all  $r \in f(R)$ .

We investigate this generalized polynomial identity in prime ring. In all that follows, let R be a prime ring with extended centroid C, char $(R) \neq 2$  and  $c \notin C$ . Moreover, we assume that  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is a multilinear polynomial over C which is not central valued on R.

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $b \in C$ , then either  $a, bq \in C$  or  $a - bq \in C$  with  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued in R.

*Proof.* If  $b \in C$ , then our hypothesis becomes

$$[c, [ar + rbq, r]] = 0$$

for all  $r \in f(R)$ . In this case by [6], one of the following holds: (i)  $a, bq \in C$ ; (ii)  $a - bq \in C$  with  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $q \in C$ , then  $a + bq \in C$ .

*Proof.* If  $q \in C$ , then our hypothesis becomes

$$[c, [(a+bq)r, r]] = 0,$$

that is,

$$[c, [a+bq, r]r] = 0$$

for all  $r \in f(R)$ . In this case by [8, Corollary 2.9],  $a + bq \in C$ .

**Lemma 2.3** ([6, Lemma 1]). Let C be an infinite field and  $m \ge 2$ . If  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$  are not scalar matrices in  $M_m(C)$ , then there exists some invertible matrix  $P \in M_m(C)$  such that any matrices  $PA_1P^{-1}, \ldots, PA_kP^{-1}$  have all non-zero entries.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $R = M_m(C)$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , be the ring of all  $m \times m$  matrices over the infinite field C,  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  a non-central multilinear polynomial over C and  $a, b, c, q \in R$ . If

$$car^{2} + cbrqr - crar - crbrq - ar^{2}c - brqrc + rarc + rbrqc = 0$$

for all  $r \in f(R)$ , then either b or c or q are central.

*Proof.* By our assumption R satisfies the generalized polynomial identity

(1)  

$$\begin{aligned} caf(r_1, \dots, r_n)^2 + cbf(r_1, \dots, r_n)qf(r_1, \dots, r_n) \\ &- cf(r_1, \dots, r_n)af(r_1, \dots, r_n) - cf(r_1, \dots, r_n)bf(r_1, \dots, r_n)q \\ &- af(r_1, \dots, r_n)^2 c - bf(r_1, \dots, r_n)qf(r_1, \dots, r_n)c \\ &+ f(r_1, \dots, r_n)af(r_1, \dots, r_n)c + f(r_1, \dots, r_n)bf(r_1, \dots, r_n)qc = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We assume first that  $b \notin Z(R)$ ,  $c \notin Z(R)$  and  $q \notin Z(R)$ . Now we shall show that this case leads to a contradiction.

Since  $b \notin Z(R)$ ,  $c \notin Z(R)$  and  $q \notin Z(R)$ , by Lemma 2.3 there exists a *C*-automorphism  $\phi$  of  $M_m(C)$  such that  $\phi(b)$ ,  $\phi(c)$  and  $\phi(q)$  have all non-zero entries. Clearly *R* must satisfies the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(ca)f(r_1,...,r_n)^2 + \phi(cb)f(r_1,...,r_n)\phi(q)f(r_1,...,r_n) \\ &- \phi(c)f(r_1,...,r_n)\phi(a)f(r_1,...,r_n) \\ &- \phi(c)f(r_1,...,r_n)\phi(b)f(r_1,...,r_n)\phi(q) \\ &- \phi(a)f(r_1,...,r_n)^2\phi(c) - \phi(b)f(r_1,...,r_n)\phi(q)f(r_1,...,r_n)\phi(c) \end{aligned}$$

B. DHARA

$$(2) + f(r_1, \dots, r_n)\phi(a)f(r_1, \dots, r_n)\phi(c) + f(r_1, \dots, r_n)\phi(b)f(r_1, \dots, r_n)\phi(qc) = 0.$$

Here  $e_{kl}$  denotes the usual matrix unit with 1 in (k, l)-entry and zero elsewhere. Since  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is not central, by [14] (see also [16]), there exist  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in M_m(C)$  and  $0 \neq \gamma \in C$  such that  $f(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \gamma e_{kl}$ , with  $k \neq l$ . Moreover, since the set  $\{f(r_1, \ldots, r_n) : r_1, \ldots, r_n \in M_m(C)\}$  is invariant under the action of all C-automorphisms of  $M_m(C)$ , then for any  $i \neq j$  there exist  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in M_m(C)$  such that  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = \gamma e_{ij}$ , where  $0 \neq \gamma \in C$ . Hence by (2) we have

(3) 
$$\phi(cb)e_{ij}\phi(q)e_{ij} - \phi(c)e_{ij}\phi(a)e_{ij} - \phi(c)e_{ij}\phi(b)e_{ij}\phi(q) - \phi(b)e_{ij}\phi(q)e_{ij}\phi(c) + e_{ij}\phi(a)e_{ij}\phi(c) + e_{ij}\phi(b)e_{ij}\phi(qc) = 0$$

and then left and right multiplying by  $e_{ij}$ , it follows  $2e_{ij}\phi(c)e_{ij}\phi(b)e_{ij}\phi(q)e_{ij} = 0$ , which is a contradiction, since  $\phi(b)$ ,  $\phi(c)$  and  $\phi(q)$  have all non-zero entries. Thus we conclude that either b or c or q are central.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $R = M_m(C)$ ,  $m \ge 2$  be the ring of all matrices over the field C with  $char(R) \ne 2$  and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  a non-central multilinear polynomial over C and  $a, b, c, q \in R$ . If

$$car^{2} + cbrqr - crar - crbrq - ar^{2}c - brqrc + rarc + rbrqc = 0$$

for all  $r \in f(R)$ , then either b or c or q are central.

*Proof.* If one assumes that C is infinite, then the conclusions follow by Proposition 2.4.

Now let C be finite and K be an infinite field which is an extension of the field C. Let  $\overline{R} = M_m(K) \cong R \otimes_C K$ . Notice that the multilinear polynomial  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is central-valued on R if and only if it is central-valued on  $\overline{R}$ . Consider the generalized polynomial

$$P(r_1, \dots, r_n) = caf(r_1, \dots, r_n)^2 + cbf(r_1, \dots, r_n)qf(r_1, \dots, r_n) - cf(r_1, \dots, r_n)af(r_1, \dots, r_n) - cf(r_1, \dots, r_n)bf(r_1, \dots, r_n)q - af(r_1, \dots, r_n)^2c - bf(r_1, \dots, r_n)qf(r_1, \dots, r_n)c + f(r_1, \dots, r_n)af(r_1, \dots, r_n)c + f(r_1, \dots, r_n)bf(r_1, \dots, r_n)qc (4) = 0$$

which is a generalized polynomial identity for R.

Moreover, it is a multi-homogeneous of multi-degree  $(2, \ldots, 2)$  in the indeterminates  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ .

Hence the complete linearization of  $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is a multilinear generalized polynomial  $\Theta(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  in 2n indeterminates, moreover

$$\Theta(x_1,\ldots,x_n,x_1,\ldots,x_n)=2^n P(x_1,\ldots,x_n).$$

Clearly the multilinear polynomial  $\Theta(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  is a generalized polynomial identity for R and  $\overline{R}$  too. Since  $char(C) \neq 2$  we obtain  $P(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = 0$  for all  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \overline{R}$  and then conclusion follows from Proposition 2.4.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $R = M_m(C)$ ,  $m \ge 2$  be the ring of all matrices over the field C with  $char(R) \ne 2$  and  $a, b, c, q \in R$ . If

 $car^{2} + cbrqr - crar - crbrq - ar^{2}c - brqrc + rarc + rbrqc = 0$ 

for all  $r \in R$ , then either b or c or q are central.

Above corollary can be rewritten as:

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $R = M_m(C)$ ,  $m \ge 2$  be the ring of all matrices over the field C with  $char(R) \ne 2$  and  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7 \in R$ . If

 $a_1r^2 + a_2ra_3r - a_5ra_4r - a_5ra_6ra_3 - a_4r^2a_5 - a_6ra_3ra_5 + ra_4ra_5 + ra_6ra_7 = 0$ 

for all  $r \in R$ , then either  $a_3$  or  $a_5$  or  $a_6$  are central.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let R be a primitive ring, which is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformations of a vector space V over C, such that  $\dim_C V = \infty$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7 \in R$ . If

 $a_1r^2 + a_2ra_3r - a_5ra_4r - a_5ra_6ra_3 - a_4r^2a_5 - a_6ra_3ra_5 + ra_4ra_5 + ra_6ra_7 = 0$ 

for all  $x \in R$ , then either  $a_3$  or  $a_5$  or  $a_6$  are central.

*Proof.* We assume that  $a_3$ ,  $a_5$  and  $a_6$  are noncentral central. Since V is infinite dimensional over C, for any  $e = e^2 \in Soc(R)$ , we have  $eRe \cong M_k(C)$  with  $k = \dim_C Ve$ . Since  $a_3 \notin C$ ,  $a_5 \notin C$  and  $a_6 \notin C$ , they do not centralize the nonzero ideal Soc(R) of R, so  $a_3h_0 \neq h_0a_3$ ,  $a_5h_1 \neq h_1a_5$  and  $a_6h_2 \neq h_2a_6$  for some  $h_0, h_1, h_2 \in Soc(R)$ . By Litoff's theorem [12, p. 280] there exists an idempotent  $e \in Soc(R)$  such that  $h_0, h_1, h_2, h_0a_3, a_3h_0, h_1a_5, a_5h_1, h_2a_6, a_6h_2$  are all in eRe. We have  $eRe \cong M_k(C)$  where  $k = \dim_C Ve$ . Since R satisfies GPI  $e(a_1(ere)^2 + a_2erea_3ere - a_5erea_4ere - a_5erea_6erea_3 - a_4(ere)^2a_5 - a_6erea_3erea_5 + erea_4erea_5 + erea_6erea_7)e = 0$ , the subring eRe satisfies the GPI

 $ea_1er^2 + ea_2erea_3er - ea_5erea_4er - ea_5erea_6erea_3e - ea_4er^2ea_5e$ 

 $-ea_6erea_3erea_5e + rea_4erea_5e + rea_6erea_7e = 0.$ 

Then by above finite dimensional case, we conclude that either  $ea_3e \in Z(eRe)$ or  $ea_5e \in Z(eRe)$  or  $ea_6e \in Z(eRe)$ . Then

> $a_3h_0 = ea_3h_0 = ea_3eh_0 = h_0ea_3e = h_0a_3e = h_0a_3,$  $a_5h_1 = ea_5h_1 = ea_5eh_1 = h_1ea_5e = h_1a_5e = h_1a_5,$

and

$$a_6h_2 = ea_6h_2 = ea_6eh_2 = h_2ea_6e = h_2a_6e = h_2a_6.$$

All the cases lead to the contradiction.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2, Q be its maximal right ring of quotients, C be its extended centroid and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a noncentral multilinear polynomial over C. Suppose for some

 $a, b, c, q \in Q$  that F(x) = ax + bxq for all  $x \in R$  and d(x) = [c, x] for all  $x \in R$  with  $c \notin C$ . If

$$d([F(f(r)), f(r)]) = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then one of the following holds:

- (i)  $b \in C$  and  $a, bq \in C$ ;
- (ii)  $b \in C$ ,  $a bq \in C$  with  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued in R;
- (iii)  $q \in C, a + bq \in C.$

*Proof.* If  $b \in C$  or  $q \in C$ , then result follows by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 respectively. Thus we assume that  $b \notin C$  and  $q \notin C$ .

By hypothesis, we have

(5) 
$$\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = [c, [af(x_1, \dots, x_n) + bf(x_1, \dots, x_n)q, f(x_1, \dots, x_n)]] = 0$$

for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in R$ . Since R and Q satisfy same generalized polynomial identities (see [2]), Q satisfies  $\Psi(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ . Since  $c \notin C$ ,  $b \notin C$  and  $q \notin C$ ,  $\Psi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is a non-trivial GPI for Q. By the well known Martindale's theorem [18], Q is then a primitive ring with nonzero socle and with C as its associated division ring. Then, by Jacobson's theorem [9, p. 75], Q is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformations of a vector space V over C. Assume first that V is finite dimensional over C, that is,  $\dim_C V = m$ . By density of R, we have  $R \cong M_m(C)$ . Since  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  is not central valued on R, R must be noncommutative and so  $m \ge 2$ . In this case, by Proposition 2.5, we get that b or q or c are in C, a contradiction.

If V is infinite dimensional over C, then by Lemma 2 in [20], the set f(Q) is dense on R. Then by hypothesis, Q satisfies

(6) 
$$[c, [ar + brq, r]] = 0,$$

which gives

$$car^{2} + cbrqr - crar - crbrq - ar^{2}c - brqrc + rarc + rbrqc = 0.$$

Then by Lemma 2.8, we conclude that either  $b \in C$  or  $q \in C$  or  $c \in C$ , which leads to a contradiction.

### 3. Result on *b*-generalized derivations

**Lemma 3.1.** Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2, Q be its maximal right ring of quotients and C be its extended centroid. Suppose that  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a noncentral multilinear polynomial over C,  $b \in Q$ , F a b-generalized derivation of R and  $c \in R - C$  such that

$$[c, [F(f(r)), f(r)]] = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then one of the following holds:

- (i) there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ ;
- (ii) there exist  $\lambda \in C$  and  $p \in Q$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x + px + xp$  for all  $x \in R$  with  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued in R.

*Proof.* By [11, Theorem 2.3], there exist a derivation  $d : R \to Q$  and  $a \in Q$  such that F(x) = ax + bd(x) for all  $x \in R$ . By assumption,

$$[c, [af(r) + bd(f(r)), f(r)]] = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

If d is an inner derivation, that is d(x) = [p, x] for all  $x \in R$  and for some  $p \in Q$ , then F(x) = (a + bp)x - bxp for all  $x \in R$  and hence by Lemma 2.9, we have:

- (i)  $a + bp, b, bp \in C$ . In this case F(x) = ax for all  $x \in R$ , where  $a \in C$ .
- (ii)  $b \in C$ ,  $a + 2bp \in C$  and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued in R. Let  $a + 2bp = \lambda \in C$ . Then  $F(x) = \lambda x bpx xbp$  for all  $x \in R$ .
- (iii)  $p \in C$  and  $a \in C$ . In this case also F(x) = ax for all  $x \in R$ , where  $a \in C$ .

Next assume that d is an outer derivation of R. It is well know that any derivation of R can be uniquely extended to a derivation of Q (see [14, Lemma 2]). By hypothesis, we have

$$[c, [af(r) + bd(f(r)), f(r)]] = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , which gives

$$[c, [af(r_1, \dots, r_n) + bf^d(r_1, \dots, r_n) + b\sum_i f(r_1, \dots, d(r_i), \dots, r_n), f(r_1, \dots, r_n)]] = 0$$

for all  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in Q$  by [1, Theorem 6.4.4]. By Kharchenko's Theorem [10], Q satisfies

$$[c, [af(r_1, \dots, r_n) + bf^d(r_1, \dots, r_n) + b\sum_i f(r_1, \dots, s_i, \dots, r_n), f(r_1, \dots, r_n)]] = 0.$$

In particular, Q satisfies the blended component

(7) 
$$[c, [b\sum_{i} f(r_1, \dots, s_i, \dots, r_n), f(r_1, \dots, r_n)]] = 0.$$

Assuming  $s_1 = r_1$  and  $s_2 = \cdots = s_n = 0$ , Q satisfies

(8) 
$$[c, [bf(r_1, \dots, r_n), f(r_1, \dots, r_n)]] = 0$$

that is

(9) 
$$[c, [b, f(r_1, \dots, r_n)]f(r_1, \dots, r_n)] = 0.$$

By [8, Corollary 2.9], since  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  is noncentral valued in R and  $c \notin C$ , we have  $b \in C$ . Then (7) yields

(10) 
$$[c, [\sum_{i} f(r_1, \dots, s_i, \dots, r_n), f(r_1, \dots, r_n)]] = 0.$$

Replacing  $s_i$  with  $[q, r_i]$  for some  $q \notin C$ , we get from above relation that Q satisfies

 $\square$ 

(11) 
$$[c, [[q, f(r_1, \dots, r_n)], f(r_1, \dots, r_n)]] = 0.$$

By [5, Theorem 1], either  $c \in C$  or  $q \in C$ , a contradiction.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2, Q be its maximal right ring of quotients and C be its extended centroid. Suppose that  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a noncentral multilinear polynomial over  $C, b \in Q, F$  a b-generalized derivation of R and d is a nonzero derivation of R such that

$$d([F(f(r)), f(r)]) = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then one of the following holds:

- (i) there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ ;
- (ii) there exist  $\lambda \in C$  and  $p \in Q$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x + px + xp$  for all  $x \in R$  with  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$  is central valued in R.

*Proof.* By [11, Theorem 2.3], there exist a derivation  $\delta : R \to Q$  and  $a \in Q$  such that  $F(x) = ax + b\delta(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . If d is inner derivation of R, then result follows by Lemma 3.1. Thus we assume that d is outer derivation of R. By hypothesis R satisfies

(12) 
$$d([af(r_1, \dots, r_n) + b\delta(f(r_1, \dots, r_n)), f(r_1, \dots, r_n)]) = 0$$

Since any derivation of R can be uniquely extended to a derivation of Q (see [14, Lemma 2]), by [14] this differential identity is also satisfied by Q.

<u>Case-I</u>: Assume that d and  $\delta$  are C-dependent modulo inner derivations of Q, say  $\alpha d + \beta \delta = ad_q$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in C, q \in Q$  and  $ad_q(x) = [q, x]$  for all  $x \in Q$ .

<u>Subcase-i</u>: Let  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

Then  $d(x) = \lambda \delta(x) + [c, x]$  for all  $x \in Q$ , where  $\lambda = -\beta \alpha^{-1}$  and  $c = \alpha^{-1}q$ . Then d can not be inner derivation of Q. From (12), we obtain

(13) 
$$\lambda \delta([af(r) + b\delta(f(r)), f(r)]) + [c, [af(r) + b\delta(f(r)), f(r)]] = 0$$

that is,

(14)  

$$\lambda[af(r) + b\delta(f(r)), \delta(f(r))] + \lambda[\delta(a)f(r) + a\delta(f(r)) + \delta(b)\delta(f(r)) + b\delta^{2}(f(r)), f(r)] + [c, [af(r) + b\delta(f(r)), f(r)]] = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in Q^n$ . Let  $f^{\delta}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  and  $f^{\delta^2}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  be the polynomials obtained from  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  replacing each coefficients  $\alpha_{\sigma}$  with  $\delta(\alpha_{\sigma})$  and  $\delta^2(\alpha_{\sigma})$  respectively. Then we have

$$\delta(f(r_1,\ldots,r_n)) = f^{\delta}(r_1,\ldots,r_n) + \sum_i f(r_1,\ldots,\delta(r_i),\ldots,r_n)$$

and

$$\delta^{2}(f(r_{1},\ldots,r_{n})) = f^{\delta^{2}}(r_{1},\ldots,r_{n}) + 2\sum_{i} f^{\delta}(r_{1},\ldots,\delta(r_{i}),\ldots,r_{n})$$
$$+ \sum_{i} f(r_{1},\ldots,\delta^{2}(r_{i}),\ldots,r_{n})$$
$$+ \sum_{i\neq j} f(r_{1},\ldots,\delta(r_{i}),\ldots,\delta(r_{j}),\ldots,r_{n}).$$

By applying Kharchenko's Theorem [10] to (14), we can replace  $\delta(f(r_1, \ldots, r_n))$  with  $f^{\delta}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) + \sum_i f(r_1, \ldots, y_i, \ldots, r_n)$  and  $\delta^2(f(r_1, \ldots, r_n))$  with

$$f^{\delta^2}(r_1, \dots, r_n) + 2\sum_i f^{\delta}(r_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, r_n)$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_i f(r_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, r_n) + \sum_{i \neq j} f(r_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_j, \dots, r_n)$$

in (14) and then Q satisfies blended component

(15) 
$$\lambda[b\sum_{i}f(r_1,\ldots,t_i,\ldots,r_n),f(r_1,\ldots,r_n)] = 0.$$

In particular, for  $t_2 = \cdots = t_n = 0$  and  $t_1 = r_1$ , Q satisfies

(16) 
$$\lambda[bf(r_1,\ldots,r_n),f(r_1,\ldots,r_n)] = 0$$

which is

(17) 
$$[\lambda b, f(r_1, \ldots, r_n)]f(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = 0.$$

By [7], it yields  $\lambda b \in C$ .

Replacing  $t_i$  with  $[q, r_i]$  for some  $q \notin C$  in (15) and then using  $\lambda b \in C$ , we have that Q satisfies

(18) 
$$[\lambda bq, f(r_1, \dots, r_n)]_2 = 0.$$

By [13, Theorem], this implies  $\lambda bq \in C$ . Since  $q \notin C$ , we conclude that  $\lambda b = 0$ . This implies  $\lambda = 0$  or b = 0. Both case leads to a contradiction.

<u>Subcase-ii:</u> Let  $\alpha = 0$ .

Then 
$$\delta(x) = [c, x]$$
 for all  $x \in Q$ , where  $c = \beta^{-1}q$ . From (12), we obtain  
(19)  $d([af(r) + b[c, f(r)], f(r)]) = 0$ 

that is

(20) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} af(r) + b[c, f(r)], d(f(r))] + [d(a)f(r) + ad(f(r)), f(r)] \\ + [d(b)[c, f(r)] + b[d(c), f(r)] + b[c, d(f(r))], f(r)] = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in Q^n$ .

Since

$$d(f(r_1,\ldots,r_n)) = f^d(r_1,\ldots,r_n) + \sum_i f(r_1,\ldots,d(r_i),\ldots,r_n)$$

by Kharchenko's Theorem [10], we can replace  $d(f(r_1, \ldots, r_n))$  by  $f^d(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ +  $\sum_i f(r_1, \ldots, y_i, \ldots, r_n)$  in (20) and then Q satisfies blended component

(21) 
$$[af(r_1, \dots, r_n) + b[c, f(r_1, \dots, r_n)], \sum_i f(r_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, r_n)]$$
  
+ 
$$[a\sum_i f(r_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, r_n), f(r_1, \dots, r_n)]$$
  
+ 
$$[b[c, \sum_i f(r_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, r_n)], f(r_1, \dots, r_n)] = 0.$$

In particular, for  $y_1 = r_1$  and  $y_2 = \cdots = y_n = 0$ , we have

(22) 
$$2[af(r) + b[c, f(r)], f(r)] = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in Q^n$ . Since  $char(R) \neq 2$ , this can be written as

(23) 
$$[(a+bc)f(r) - bf(r)c, f(r)] = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in Q^n$ .

By Lemma 2.9, one of the following holds: (i)  $a + bc, b, bc \in C$ , that is  $a, b, bc \in C$ . In this case  $F(x) = ax + b\delta(x) = ax + b[c, x] = ax$  for all  $x \in R$ , which is our conclusion (1). (ii)  $b, a + 2bc \in C$  and  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_n)^2$  is central valued. In this case  $F(x) = ax + b\delta(x) = ax + b[c, x] = ax + [bc, x] = (a + bc)x - x(bc) = (a + 2bc)x - bcx - xbc$  for all  $x \in R$ . This gives conclusion (2). (iii)  $c, a \in C$ . In this case  $F(x) = ax + b\delta(x) = ax + b[c, x] = ax$  for all  $x \in R$  which is conclusion (1).

<u>Case-II</u>: Assume next that d and  $\delta$  are C-independent modulo inner derivations of Q.

From (12) we have

(24) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} af(r) + b\delta(f(r)), d(f(r)) \end{bmatrix} \\ + \left( [d(a)f(r) + ad(f(r)) + d(b)\delta(f(r)) + b(d\delta)(f(r)), f(r)] \right) = 0$$

for all  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in Q^n$ . By applying Kharchenko's theorem [10] to (24), we can replace  $d(f(r_1, \ldots, r_n))$  with  $f^d(r_1, \ldots, r_n) + \sum_i f(r_1, \ldots, y_i, \ldots, r_n)$ ,  $\delta(f(r_1, \ldots, r_n))$  with  $f^{\delta}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) + \sum_i f(r_1, \ldots, s_i, \ldots, r_n)$  and  $d\delta(f(r_1, \ldots, r_n))$  with

$$f^{d\delta}(r_1,\ldots,r_n) + \sum_i f^{\delta}(r_1,\ldots,s_i,\ldots,r_n) + \sum_i f^d(r_1,\ldots,y_i,\ldots,r_n)$$
$$+ \sum_i f(r_1,\ldots,t_i,\ldots,r_n) + \sum_i f(r_1,\ldots,y_i,\ldots,s_j,\ldots,r_n)$$

in (24) and then Q satisfies blended component

(25) 
$$[b\sum_{i} f(r_1, \dots, r_n), f(r_1, \dots, r_n)] = 0.$$

585

Replacing  $t_1 = r_1$  and  $t_2 = \cdots = t_n = 0$  in (25), we have

$$[b, f(r_1, \ldots, r_n)]f(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = 0$$

for all  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in Q$ . By [7], this yields  $b \in C$ . Since  $b \neq 0$ , again (25) yields

(26) 
$$\left[\sum_{i} f(r_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, r_n), f(r_1, \dots, r_n)\right] = 0$$

for all  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in Q$ .

Let  $q \notin C$  be an element of Q. Then replacing  $t_i$  with  $[q, r_i]$ , we have that

$$\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} f(r_1, \dots, [q, r_i], \dots, r_n), f(r_1, \dots, r_n)\right] = 0$$

which gives,

$$[q, f(r_1, \ldots, r_n)]_2 = 0$$

for all  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$  implying  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  is central-valued on R [13, Theorem], a contradiction.

#### References

- K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martimdale III, and A. V. Mikhalev, *Rings with Generalized Identities*, Pure Appl. Math., **196**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996.
- [2] C. L. Chuang, GPIs having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), no. 3, 723–728.
- [3] C. L. Chuang and T. K. Lee, Derivations modulo elementary operators, J. Algebra 338 (2011), 56–70.
- [4] V. De Filippis, An Engel condition with generalized derivations on multilinear polynomials, Israel J. Math. 162 (2007), 93–108.
- [5] V. De Filippis and O. M. Di Vincenzo, Posner's second theorem, multilinear polynomials and vanishing derivations, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 76 (2004), no. 3, 357–368.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, Vanishing derivations and centralizers of generalized derivations on multilinear polynomials, Comm. Algebra 40 (2012), no. 6, 1918–1932.
- [7] C. Demir and N. Argac, Prime rings with generalized derivations on right ideals, Algebra Colloq. 18 (2011), no. 1, 987–998.
- [8] B. Dhara and N. Argac, Generalized derivations acting on multilinear polynomials in prime rings and Banach algebras, Commun. Math. Stat. 4 (2016), no. 1, 39–54.
- [9] N. Jacobson, Structure of rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub., 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1964.
- [10] V. K. Kharchenko, Differential identity of prime rings, Algebra i Logika 17 (1978), no. 2, 220–238, 242–243.
- [11] M. T. Košan and T. K. Lee, b-Generalized derivations having nilpotent values, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 96 (2014), no. 3, 326–337.
- [12] C. Lanski, Differential identities, Lie ideals, and Posner's theorems, Pacific J. Math. 134 (1988), no. 2, 275–297.
- [13] P. H. Lee and T. K. Lee, Derivations with Engel conditions on multilinear polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 9, 2625–2629.
- [14] T. K. Lee, Semiprime rings with differential identities, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 20 (1992), no. 1, 27–38.
- [15] \_\_\_\_\_, Additive maps having a generalized derivation expansion, J. Algebra Appl. 14 (2015), no. 4, 1550048, 13 pp.

#### B. DHARA

- [16] U. Leron, Nil and power central polynomials in rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 202 (1975), 97–103.
- [17] C.-K. Liu, An Engel condition with b-generalized derivations, Linear Multilinear Algebra **65** (2017), no. 2, 300–312.
- [18] W. S. Martindale III, Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, J. Algebra 12 (1969), 576–584.
- [19] E. C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093–1100.
- [20] T. L. Wong, Derivations with power central values on multilinear polynomials, Algebra Colloq. 3 (1996), no. 4, 369–478.

BASUDEB DHARA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS BELDA COLLEGE BELDA, PASCHIM MEDINIPUR, 721424, W.B., INDIA Email address: basu\_dhara@yahoo.com