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#### Abstract

Under the symbol $\Omega$ is a combination of $\phi_{i}(i=1,2,3, \ldots, n)$ which has a suitable growth condition, for dimension $n=2$ and $n \geq 3$, when the initial data $f$ belongs to homogeneous Sobolev space, we obtain the global $L^{q}$ estimate for maximal operators generated by operators family $\left\{S_{t, \Omega}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ associated with solution to dispersive equations, which extend some results in [27].


## 1. Introduction and main results

Assume that $\Omega$ is a continuous real-valued functions in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $f$ be a Schwartz function in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and

$$
S_{t, \Omega} f(x)=u(x, t)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t \Omega(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) d \xi, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

Here $\hat{f}$ denotes Fourier transform of $f$ defined by $\hat{f}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i \xi \cdot x} f(x) d x$. Define the global maximal operator associated with the family of operators $\left\{S_{t, \Omega}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ by

$$
S_{\Omega}^{* *} f(x)=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|S_{t, \Omega} f(x)\right|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

We recall the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)(s \in \mathbb{R})$ which is defined by

$$
\dot{H}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s}}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\xi|^{2 s}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty\right\}
$$

and the inhomogeneous Sobolev space $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)(s \in \mathbb{R})$, which is defined by

$$
H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):\|f\|_{H^{s}}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{s}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty\right\}
$$

[^0]Here, $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the space of tempered distributions.
In this paper, we will discuss the global estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\Omega}^{* *} f\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $\Omega(\xi)=|\xi|^{a}$, the maximal estimates (1.1) have been well studied associated with the following oscillatory integral:

$$
S_{t, a} f(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} e^{i t|\xi|^{a}} \hat{f}(\xi) d \xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { and } a>1
$$

which is the solution of the fractional Schrödinger equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \partial_{t} u+(-\Delta)^{a / 2} u=0, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R},  \tag{1.2}\\
u(x, 0)=f(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, the global estimate (1.1) and related questions have been well studied in literature, see e.g. Carbery [3], Kenig and Ruiz [16], Kenig, Ponce and Vega [15], Rogers and Villarroya [23], Rogers [21], Sjölin [24-29], and so on.

In particular, if $\Omega(\xi)=|\xi|^{2}$, then $u$ is the solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \partial_{t} u-\Delta u=0,  \tag{1.3}\\
u(x, 0)=f(x) .
\end{array} \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R},\right.
$$

In 1979, Carleson [4] proposed a problem: if $f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for which the optimal $s$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t)=f(x), \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When spatial dimension $n=1$, the pointwise convergence (1.4) is true if and only if $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$, (see [4], and [7]). In spatial dimension $n \geq 3$, Bourgain [1] showed that (1.4) holds for $s>\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4 n}$, and he also showed that the necessary condition of convergence (1.4) is $s \geq \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}$ when $n \geq 4$. Recently, when $n \geq 2$, Lucà, Rogers in [20] and Demeter, Guo in [8] improved above result and proved that (1.4) can fail if $s<\frac{n}{2(n+2)}$. Moreover, when $n \geq 2$, Bourgain in [2] showed that (1.4) fails if $s<\frac{n}{2(n+1)}$. Recently, in spatial dimension $n=2$, Du, Guth, Li [11] showed that (1.4) holds for data in $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with $s>\frac{1}{3}$, which is sharp up to the endpoint. For more results on the convergence (1.4) when $f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. See [19, 24, 30-32], for example.

If $n=2, \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ and $\Omega(\xi)=\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}$, then $u$ is the solution of the nonelliptic Schrödinger equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \partial_{t} u=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R},  \tag{1.5}\\
u(x, 0)=f(x) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In 2006, to discuss the pointwise convergence problem on the solution of nonelliptic Schrödinger equation (1.5), Rogers, Vargas and Vega [22] obtained the following results of global estimate (1.1) for nonelliptic Schrödinger equation (1.5).

Theorem A ([22]). Assume that $n=2$ and $\Omega(\xi)=\xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}$. Then the global estimate (1.1) holds for $s=\frac{1}{2}$ and $q=4$.

In 2007, Sjölin [27] extended Theorem A and obtained the following results.
Theorem B ([27]). (i) Assume that $n=2$ and $\Omega(\xi)=\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{a} \pm\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{a}$, where $a>1$. Then the global estimate (1.1) holds for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s<1$ and $q=\frac{2}{1-s}$;
(ii) Assume that $n \geq 3$ and $\Omega(\xi)=\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{a} \pm\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{a} \pm\left|\xi_{3}\right|^{a} \pm\left|\xi_{4}\right|^{a} \pm \cdots \pm\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{a}$, where $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ and $a>1$. Then the global estimate (1.1) holds for $\frac{n}{4} \leq s<\frac{n}{2}$ and $q=\frac{2 n}{n-2 s}$.

Assume $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following growth conditions:
(H1) There exists $m_{1}>1$, such that $\left|\phi^{\prime}(r)\right| \sim r^{m_{1}-1}$ and $\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(r)\right| \gtrsim r^{m_{1}-2}$ for all $0<r<1$;
(H2) There exists $m_{2}>1$, such that $\left|\phi^{\prime}(r)\right| \sim r^{m_{2}-1}$ and $\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(r)\right| \gtrsim r^{m_{2}-2}$ for all $r \geq 1$;
(H3) Either $\phi^{\prime \prime}(r)>0$ or $\phi^{\prime \prime}(r)<0$ for all $r>0$.
In the present paper, we will consider the global maximal estimates for generalized oscillatory integral when symbol $\Omega$ is a combination of some $\phi$. Now we state our main results as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $n=2$ and $\Omega(\xi)=\phi_{1}\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right) \pm \phi_{2}\left(\left|\xi_{2}\right|\right)$, where $\phi_{i}(i=1,2)$ satisfies $(\mathrm{H} 1) \sim(\mathrm{H} 3)$. Then the global estimate (1.1) holds for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s<1$ and $q=\frac{2}{1-s}$.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $n \geq 3$ and $\Omega(\xi)=\phi_{1}\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right) \pm \phi_{2}\left(\left|\xi_{2}\right|\right) \pm \phi_{3}\left(\left|\xi_{3}\right|\right) \pm$ $\cdots \pm \phi_{n}\left(\left|\xi_{n}\right|\right)$, where $\phi_{i}(i=1,2,3, \ldots, n)$ satisfies $(\mathrm{H} 1) \sim(\mathrm{H} 3)$. Then the global estimate (1.1) holds for $\frac{n}{4} \leq s<\frac{n}{2}$ and $q=\frac{2 n}{n-2 s}$.
Remark 1.1. We recall that

$$
S_{t, \Omega} f(x)=u(x, t)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t \Omega(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) d \xi, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

As a consequence, when $\Omega$ satisfies conditions in Theorem $1.1(n=2)$ or Theorem $1.2(n \geq 3)$, if $f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $s \geq \frac{n}{4}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t)=f(x), \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by a standard argument, for $f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the pointwise convergence (1.6) follows from the local estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\Omega}^{*}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}, \quad f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $q \geq 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ is the unit ball centered at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and the local maximal operator $S_{\Omega}^{*}$ associated with the family of operators $\left\{S_{t, \Omega}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$
S_{\Omega}^{*} f(x)=\sup _{0<t<1}\left|S_{t, \Omega} f(x)\right|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Remark 1.2. Notice that

$$
u(x, t)=e^{i t \phi(\sqrt{-\Delta)}} f(x)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t \phi(|\xi|)} \hat{f}(\xi) d \xi
$$

is the formal solution of the following generalized dispersive equation:

$$
\begin{cases}i \partial_{t} u+\phi(\sqrt{-\Delta}) u=0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R},  \tag{1.8}\\ u(x, 0)=f(x), & f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),\end{cases}
$$

where $\phi(\sqrt{-\Delta})$ is a pseudo-differential operator with symbol $\phi(|\xi|)$. Many dispersive equation can be reduced this type. For instance, the half-wave equation $(\phi(r)=r)$, the fractional Schrödinger equation $\left(\phi(r)=r^{a}(0<a, a \neq 1)\right)$, the Beam equation $\left(\phi(r)=\sqrt{1+r^{4}}\right)$, Klein-Gordon or semirelativistic equation $\left(\phi(r)=\sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right), \mathrm{iBq}\left(\phi(r)=r \sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right), \operatorname{imBq}\left(\phi(r)=\frac{r}{\sqrt{1+r^{2}}}\right)$ and the fourth-order Schrödinger equation $\left(\phi(r)=r^{2}+r^{4}\right.$ ) (see [5, 6, 9, 12-14, 17, 18] and references therein).

Remark 1.3. There are many elements $\phi$ satisfying the conditions (H1)~(H3), for instance, $r^{a}(a \geq 1),\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{\frac{a}{2}}(a \geq 1), \sqrt{1+r^{4}}, r^{2}+r^{4}, r \sqrt{1+r^{2}}$ and so on. Moreover, the results of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 can be applied to symbol $\Omega$ is a combination of $\phi_{i}(i=1,2)$ or $(i=1,2,3, \ldots, n)$, where $\phi_{i}\left(\left|\xi_{i}\right|\right)=\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{a}$, $a>1, \phi_{i}\left(\left|\xi_{i}\right|\right)=\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{4}, \phi_{i}\left(\left|\xi_{i}\right|\right)=\sqrt{1+\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{4}}, \phi_{i}\left(\left|\xi_{i}\right|\right)=\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{4}$, or $\phi_{i}\left(\left|\xi_{i}\right|\right)=\left|\xi_{i}\right| \sqrt{1+\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{2}}$, and so on. Hence, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are an extension of Theorem A and Theorem B, respectively.

This paper is organized as follows. The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are given in Section 2 and Section 3, respectively.

## 2. The proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1. To do this, we need an important lemma (i.e., Lemma 2.1 below), which plays a key role in proving Theorem 1.1.
Lemma 2.1. Assume $\phi$ satisfies $(\mathrm{H} 1) \sim(\mathrm{H} 3)$ with $m_{1}>1, m_{2}>1, \frac{1}{2} \leq s<1$, and $\mu \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$
\left.\left.\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i x \xi+i t \phi(|\xi|)}\right| \xi\right|^{-s} \mu\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right) d \xi \right\rvert\, \leq C \frac{1}{|x|^{1-s}}
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N=1,2,3, \ldots$. Here the constant $C$ may depend on $s, m_{1}, m_{2}$ and $\mu$ but not on $x, t$ or $N$.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is similar to that of Lemma 2.1 in [10]. Here, we omit the proof of Lemma 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $t(x)$ be a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $t(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $n=2, \Omega(\xi)=\phi\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right) \pm \phi\left(\left|\xi_{2}\right|\right)$, where $\phi_{i}(i=1,2)$ satisfies (H1)~(H3). We set

$$
S f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} e^{i t(x) \Omega(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) d \xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \quad f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

For $\frac{1}{2} \leq s<1$ and $q=\frac{2}{1-s}$, by linearising the maximal operator to prove the global estimate (1.1) it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|S f\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}=C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\xi|^{2 s}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, notice that

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{s}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{s}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\xi|^{2 s}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Thus to prove (2.1) it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|S f\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{s}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{s}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g(\xi)=\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{\frac{s}{2}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{f}(\xi)$, and then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} e^{i t(x) \Omega(\xi)}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-\frac{s}{2}} g(\xi) d \xi=: \quad R g(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R g(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} e^{i t(x) \Omega(\xi)}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-\frac{s}{2}} g(\xi) d \xi
$$

Thus, by (2.3), to prove (2.2) it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|R g\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g$ which is a continuous and rapidly decreasing at infinity function.
We take a real-valued function $\rho \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that $\rho(x)=1$ if $|x| \leq 1$, and $\rho(x)=0$ if $|x| \geq 2$. And we choose a real-valued function $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\psi(x)=1$ if $|x| \leq 1$, and $\psi(x)=0$ if $|x| \geq 2$, and set $\sigma(\xi)=\psi\left(\xi_{1}\right) \psi\left(\xi_{2}\right)$. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and for $N=1,2,3, \ldots$, we set $\rho_{N}(x)=\rho\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)$ and $\sigma_{N}(\xi)=\sigma\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, and for $N=1,2,3, \ldots$, the operator $R_{N}$ is defined by

$$
R_{N} g(x)=\rho_{N}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} e^{i t(x) \Omega(\xi)}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-\frac{s}{2}} \sigma_{N}(\xi) g(\xi) d \xi
$$

The adjoint of $R_{N}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{N}^{\prime} g(\xi)= & \sigma_{N}(\xi)\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-\frac{s}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} e^{-i t(x) \Omega(\xi)} \rho_{N}(x) h(x) d x \\
& \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad h \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove (2.4) it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{N} g\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By duality, show (2.5) it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{N}^{\prime} h\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C\|h\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}, \quad N=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{N}^{\prime} h\right\|_{\left.L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)}^{2}= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|R_{N}^{\prime} h(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} R_{N}^{\prime} h(\xi) \overline{R_{N}^{\prime} h(\xi)} d \xi \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \sigma_{N}(\xi)^{2}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-s}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-s}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} e^{-i t(x) \Omega(\xi)} \rho_{N}(x) h(x) d x\right) \\
& \times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i y \cdot \xi} e^{i t(y) \phi(\xi)} \rho_{N}(y) \overline{h(y)} d y\right) d \xi \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\int\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-s}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-s} e^{i(y-x) \cdot \xi} e^{i(t(y)-(t(x)) \Omega(\xi)} \sigma_{N}(\xi)^{2} d \xi\right) \\
& \times \rho_{N}(x) \rho_{N}(y) h(x) \overline{h(y)} d x d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K_{N}(x, y) \rho_{N}(x) \rho_{N}(y) h(x) \overline{h(y)} d x d y, \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{N}(x, y)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-s}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-s} e^{i\left(\left(y_{1}-x_{1}\right) \xi_{1}+\left(y_{2}-x_{2}\right) \xi_{2}\right)} e^{i(t(y)-t(x)) \phi\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right)} e^{ \pm i(t(y)-t(x)) \phi\left(\left|\xi_{2}\right|\right)} \\
& \psi\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{N}\right)^{2} \psi\left(\frac{\xi_{2}}{N}\right)^{2} d \xi \\
= & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-s} e^{i\left(y_{1}-x_{1}\right) \xi_{1}} e^{i(t(y)-t(x)) \phi\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right)} \psi\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{N}\right)^{2} d \xi_{1}\right) \\
& \times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-s} e^{i\left(y_{2}-x_{2}\right) \xi_{1}} e^{ \pm i(t(y)-t(x)) \phi\left(\left|\xi_{2}\right|\right)} \psi\left(\frac{\xi_{2}}{N}\right)^{2} d \xi_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} \leq s<1$, using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{N}(x, y)\right| \leq C \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{1-s}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{1-s}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
P_{1} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{1-s}} f\left(y_{1}, x_{2}\right) d y_{1}
$$

and

$$
P_{2} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{1-s}} f\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right) d y_{2}
$$

Thus, by (2.7) and (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int\left|R_{N}^{\prime} h(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
\leq & C \iint \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{1-s}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{1-s}}|h(x)||h(y)| d x d y \\
= & C \iint \frac{1}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{1-s}}\left(\int \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{1-s}}\left|h\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right| d y_{1}\right) d y_{2}|h(x)| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} P_{2} P_{1}|h|(x)|h(x)| d x \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.10) and invoking Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|R_{N}^{\prime} h(x)\right|^{2} d x \leq C\left\|P_{2} P_{1}|h|\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}\|h\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=\frac{2}{1-s}, q^{\prime}=\frac{2}{1+s}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq s<1$. Denote $I_{\sigma}$ the Riesz potential of order $\sigma$, which is defined by

$$
I_{\sigma}(f)(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(v)}{|u-v|^{1-\sigma}} d v
$$

Applying the fact $I_{s}$ is bounded from $L^{q^{\prime}}(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^{q}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|P_{j} h(x)\right|^{q} d x_{j}\right)^{1 / q} \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|h(x)|^{q^{\prime}} d x_{j}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=1,2$. By (2.12) and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{2} P_{1}|h|\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C\|h\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where using the fact $q^{\prime}=\frac{2}{1+s}$ and $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}-s$. Therefore, (2.6) follows from (2.11) and (2.13). Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 3. The proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $t(x)$ be a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $t(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $n \geq 3$, $\Omega(\xi)=\phi_{1}\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right) \pm \phi_{2}\left(\left|\xi_{2}\right|\right) \pm \phi_{3}\left(\left|\xi_{3}\right|\right) \pm \cdots \pm \phi_{n}\left(\left|\xi_{n}\right|\right)$, where $\phi_{i}(i=1,2,3, \ldots, n)$ satisfies the conditions (H1)~(H3). We will show that the global estimate (1.1) holds for $\frac{n}{4} \leq s<\frac{n}{2}$ and $q=\frac{2 n}{n-2 s}$. We set

$$
S f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} e^{i t(x) \Omega(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) d \xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

For $\frac{n}{4} \leq s<\frac{n}{2}$ and $q=\frac{2 n}{n-2 s}$, by linearising the maximal operator to prove the global estimate (1.1) it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|S f\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\left(\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 s}{n}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{\frac{2 s}{n}}|\cdots| \xi_{n}\right|^{\frac{2 s}{n}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g(\xi)=\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{\frac{s}{n}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{\frac{s}{n}} \cdots\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{\frac{s}{n}} \hat{f}(\xi)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} e^{i t(x) \Omega(\xi)}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}} \cdots\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}} g(\xi) d \xi=: \quad R g(x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R g(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} e^{i t(x) \Omega(\xi)}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}} \cdots\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}} f(\xi) d \xi
$$

To prove (3.1) it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|R g\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g$ is a function of continuous and rapidly decreasing at infinity.

Let $\rho \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be a real-valued function such that $\rho(x)=1$ if $|x| \leq 1$ and $\rho(x)=0$ if $|x| \geq 2$. Also let $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be a real-valued function such that $\psi(x)=1$ if $|x| \leq 1$ and $\psi(x)=0$ if $|x| \geq 2$. For $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we set $\sigma(\xi)=\psi\left(\xi_{1}\right) \psi\left(\xi_{2}\right) \cdots \psi\left(\xi_{n}\right)$. Thus, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $N=1,2,3, \ldots$, we set $\rho_{N}(x)=\rho\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)$ and $\sigma_{N}(\xi)=\sigma\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and $N=1,2,3, \ldots$, the operator $R_{N}$ is defined by

$$
R_{N} g(x)=\rho_{N}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} e^{i t(x) \phi(\xi)}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}} \cdots\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}} \sigma_{N}(\xi) g(\xi) d \xi
$$

The adjoint of $R_{N}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{N}^{\prime} g(\xi)= & \sigma_{N}(\xi)\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}} \cdots\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{-\frac{s}{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} e^{-i t(x) \Omega(\xi)} \rho_{N}(x) h(x) d x \\
& \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad h \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove (3.3) it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{N} g\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By duality, prove (3.4) it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{N}^{\prime} h\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|h\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$. A similar calculation as (2.7) in proof of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{N}^{\prime} h\right\|_{\left.L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)}^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|R_{N}^{\prime} h(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K_{N}(x, y) \rho_{N}(x) \rho_{N}(y) h(x) \overline{h(y)} d x d y \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{N}(x, y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-\frac{2 s}{n}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-\frac{2 s}{n}} \cdots\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{-\frac{2 s}{n}} e^{i(y-x) \cdot \xi} e^{i(t(y)-(t(x)) \Omega(\xi)} \sigma_{N}(\xi)^{2} d \xi \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{n}{4} \leq s<\frac{n}{2}$, it follows that $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{2 s}{n}<1$, thus, by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{N}(x, y)\right| \leq C \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}} \cdots \frac{1}{\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
P_{i} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) d y_{i}
$$

$i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Thus, by (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left|R_{N}^{\prime} h(x)\right|^{2} d x  \tag{3.9}\\
\leq & C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}} \cdots \frac{1}{\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}}|h(x)||h(y)| d x d y
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{n-1}-y_{n-1}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}} \cdots \frac{1}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}}\right. \\
& \times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left.\left.\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{1-\frac{2 s}{n}}\left|h\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right| d y_{1}\right) d y_{2} \cdots d y_{n-1} d y_{n}\right)|h(x)| d x}\right. \\
= & C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} P_{n} P_{n-1} \cdots P_{2} P_{1}|h|(x)|h(x)| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Invoking Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|R_{N}^{\prime} h(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \leq C\left\|P_{n} P_{n-1} \cdots P_{2} P_{1}|h|\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|h\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q=\frac{2 n}{n-2 s}$, it follows that $q^{\prime}=\frac{2 n}{n+2 s}$ and the fact $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}-\frac{2 s}{n}$. Similar to estimate (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|P_{j} h(x)\right|^{q} d x_{j}\right)^{1 / q} \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|h(x)|^{q^{\prime}} d x_{j}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=1,2, \ldots, n$. By (3.11) and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{n} P_{n-1} \cdots P_{2} P_{1}|h|\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|h\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (3.5) follows from (3.10) and (3.12). Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.
Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their deep gratitude to the referees for their very careful reading, important comments and valuable suggestions.

## References

[1] J. Bourgain, On the Schrödinger maximal functionin higher dimension, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 280 (2013), no. 1, 46-60; translated from Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 280 (2013), Ortogonalnye Ryady, Teoriya Priblizheniŭ i Smezhnye Voprosy, 53-66.
[2] $\qquad$
3] A. Carbery, Radial Fourier multipliers and associated maximal functions, in Recent progress in Fourier analysis (El Escorial, 1983), 49-56, North-Holland Math. Stud., 111, Notas Mat., 101, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
[4] L. Carleson, Some analytic problems related to statistical mechanics, in Euclidean harmonic analysis (Proc. Sem., Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., 1979), 5-45, Lecture Notes in Math., 779, Springer, Berlin.
[5] Y. Cho and S. Lee, Strichartz estimates in spherical coordinates, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 62 (2013), no. 3, 991-1020.
[6] Y. Cho and T. Ozawa, On small amplitude solutions to the generalized Boussinesq equations, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 17 (2007), no. 4, 691-711.
[7] B. E. J. Dahlberg and C. E. Kenig, A note on the almost everywhere behavior of solutions to the Schrödinger equation, in Harmonic analysis (Minneapolis, Minn., 1981), 205-209, Lecture Notes in Math., 908, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
[8] C. Demeter, S. Guo, Schrödinger maximal functionin estimates the pseudocoformal transformation, arXiv:1608.07640.
[9] Q. Deng, Y. Ding, and L. Sun, Estimate for generalized unimodular multipliers on modulation spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 85 (2013), 78-92.
[10] Y. Ding and Y. Niu, Weighted maximal estimates along curve associated with dispersive equations, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) 15 (2017), no. 2, 225-240.
[11] X. Du, L. Guth, and X. Li, A sharp Schrödinger maximal estimate in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, Ann. of Math. 186 (2017), no. 2, 607-640.
[12] J. Frölich and E. Lenzmann, Mean-field limit of quantum Bose gases and nonlinear Hartree equation, in Séminaire: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles. 2003-2004, Exp. XIX, 26 pp, Sémin. Équ. Dériv. Partielles, École Polytech., Palaiseau.
[13] Z. Guo, L. Peng, and B. Wang, Decay estimates for a class of wave equations, J. Funct. Anal. 254 (2008), no. 6, 1642-1660.
[14] Z. Guo and Y. Wang, Improved Strichartz estimates for a class of dispersive equations in the radial case and their applications to nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations, J. Anal. Math. 124 (2014), 1-38.
[15] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, Well-posedness of the initial value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), no. 2, 323-347.
[16] C. E. Kenig and A. Ruiz, A strong type $(2 ; 2)$ estimate for a maximal operator associated to the Schrödinger equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 280 (1983), 239-246.
[17] J. Krieger, E. Lenzmann, and P. Raphaël, Nondispersive solutions to the $L^{2}$-critical half-wave equation, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 209 (2013), no. 1, 61-129.
[18] N. Laskin, Fractional quantum mechanics, Phys. Rev. E 62 (2002), 3135-3145.
[19] S. Lee, On pointwise convergence of the solutions to Schrödinger equations in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, Art. ID 32597, 21 pp.
[20] R. Lucà and K. M. Rogers, An improved necessary condition for the Schrödinger maximal estimate, arXiv:1506.05325.
[21] K. M. Rogers, A local smoothing estimate for the Schrödinger equation, Adv. Math. 219 (2008), no. 6, 2105-2122.
[22] K. M. Rogers, A. Vargas, and L. Vega, Pointwise convergence of solutions to the nonelliptic Schrödinger equation, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 55 (2006), no. 6, 1893-1906.
[23] K. M. Rogers and P. Villarroya, Global estimates for the Schrödinger maximal operator, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 32 (2007), no. 2, 425-435.
[24] P. Sjölin, Regularity of solutions to the Schrödinger equation, Duke Math. J. 55 (1987), no. 3, 699-715.
[25] , Global maximal estimates for solutions to the Schrödinger equation, Studia Math. 110 (1994), no. 2, 105-114.
[26],$^{p}$ maximal estimates for solutions to the Schrödinger equation, Math. Scand. 81 (1997), no. 1, 35-68 (1998).
[27] , Maximal estimates for solutions to the nonelliptic Schrödinger equation, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 39 (2007), no. 3, 404-412.
[28] _, Radial functions and maximal operators of Schrödinger type, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 60 (2011), no. 1, 143-159.
[29] P. Sjölin and F. Soria, Estimates for multiparameter maximal operators of Schrödinger type, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 411 (2014), no. 1, 129-143.
[30] T. Tao, A sharp bilinear restrictions estimate for paraboloids, Geom. Funct. Anal. 13 (2003), no. 6, 1359-1384.
[31] T. Tao and A. Vargas, A bilinear approach to cone multipliers. II, Applications, Geom. Funct. Anal. 10 (2000), no. 1, 216-258.
[32] L. Vega, Schrödinger equations: pointwise convergence to the initial data, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988), no. 4, 874-878.

## Yaoming Niu

Faculty of Mathematics
Baotou Teachers' College of Inner Mongolia University
of Science and Technology
Baotou, 014030, P. R. China
Email address: nymmath@126.com
Ying Xue
Faculty of Mathematics
Baotou Teachers' College of Inner Mongolia University
of Science and Technology
Baotou, 014030, P. R. China
Email address: xuey_2013@126.com


[^0]:    Received February 8, 2017; Revised September 1, 2017; Accepted December 29, 2017.
    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B15; Secondary 42B25.
    Key words and phrases. nonelliptic Schrödinger equation, maximal operator, global estimate.

    The work is supported by NSFC (No.11661061, No.11561062, No.11761054), Inner Mongolia University scientific research projects (No.NJZZ16234, No.NJZY17289), and the natural science foundation of Inner Mongolia (No.2015MS0108).

