

## ON EULERIAN $q$ -INTEGRALS FOR SINGLE AND MULTIPLE $q$ -HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

THOMAS ERNST

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we extend the two  $q$ -additions with powers in the umbrae, define a  $q$ -multinomial-coefficient, which implies a vector version of the  $q$ -binomial theorem, and an arbitrary complex power of a JHC power series is shown to be equivalent to a special case of the first  $q$ -Lauricella function. We then present several  $q$ -analogues of hypergeometric integral formulas from the two books by Exton and the paper by Choi and Rathie. We also find multiple  $q$ -analogues of hypergeometric integral formulas from the recent paper by Kim. Finally, we prove several multiple  $q$ -hypergeometric integral formulas emanating from a paper by Koschmieder, which are special cases of more general formulas by Exton.

### 1. Introduction

We will prove several Eulerian  $q$ -integrals using the  $q$ -beta function in ordinary and vector form. In each of the following references, as well as the present paper, we refer to the original papers by Koschmieder [9–11]. Earlier in [2] we proved multiple  $q$ -hypergeometric transformations by using the  $q$ -beta integral and the  $q$ -binomial theorem. Then, in [5], we started with a  $q$ -integral representation of the fourth  $q$ -Lauricella function. Then we found four  $q$ -hypergeometric integral transformations between the same  $q$ -Lauricella function with vector  $q$ -beta function coefficients.

The outline of the present article runs as follows: In this section we present the necessary definitions together with a  $q$ -integral representation of the first  $q$ -Lauricella function, which goes back to the original paper by Lauricella [12].

In Section 2 we start with general forms of integrands in the  $q$ -beta integral according to Exton and find special cases by using known  $q$ -summation formulas. An example by Rainville [13] is given, where powers of the JHC  $q$ -addition is used as function argument. In the latter part, multiple  $q$ -analogues occur because of the corresponding multiple  $q$ -hypergeometric formulas. They all

---

Received March 20, 2017; Revised June 22, 2017; Accepted July 20, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33D05, 33D15; Secondary 33C65, 33D60.

*Key words and phrases.* Eulerian  $q$ -integral,  $q$ -multinomial-coefficient, Srivastava  $\Delta$  notation, multiple  $q$ -beta function.

have correct hypergeometric limits. In Subsection 2.1 we investigate products of two power series in the integrand.

In Section 3 we first find a general multiple  $q$ -integral formula and continue with  $q$ -analogues of some of Koschmieder's formulas [11]. The variety of these formulas is illustrated with many examples by Exton, an example by Rainville [13], where a multiple  $q$ -addition and powers of the JHC  $q$ -addition are used as function arguments. Finally, in Subsection 3.1 we show that the previous formulas can be generalized to multiple Eulerian  $q$ -integrals in an obvious way.

We only make the definitions which differ from [3], except from some special cases. For the following definition, compare with [3, p. 22].

**Definition 1.** Let  $S_r$  denote the additional poles of  $\Gamma_q$ , vertical if  $q$  is real and slanting if  $q$  is complex. Then the  $q$ -beta function, a function

$$(\mathbb{C} \setminus (\{\mathbb{Z} \leq 0\} \cup S_r))^2 \times \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathbb{C},$$

is defined as follows:

$$(1) \quad B_q(x, y) \equiv \frac{\Gamma_q(x)\Gamma_q(y)}{\Gamma_q(x+y)}.$$

**Definition 2.** Similarly, if  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  have dimension  $n$ , the vector  $q$ -beta function, a function  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus (\{\mathbb{Z} \leq 0\} \cup S_r))^{2n} \times \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ , is defined as follows:

$$(2) \quad B_q(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \equiv \frac{\Gamma_q(\vec{x})\Gamma_q(\vec{y})}{\Gamma_q(\vec{x} + \vec{y})}.$$

**Definition 3.** We extend the two  $q$ -additions as follows: If we write a letter  $\gamma$  in the form

$$(3) \quad \gamma \equiv (\alpha \oplus_q \beta)^k \vee \gamma \equiv (\alpha \boxplus_q \beta)^k,$$

this means the two linear functionals

$$(4) \quad \gamma^n \equiv (\alpha \oplus_q \beta)^{nk} \vee \gamma^n \equiv (\alpha \boxplus_q \beta)^{nk}.$$

This definition will be used in formulas (27) and (69).

**Definition 4** ([3, p. 387, p. 437]). The  $q$ -Lauricella functions are

$$(5) \quad \Phi_A^{(n)}(a, \vec{b}; \vec{c}|q; \vec{x}) \equiv \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{b}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{c}, \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}},$$

$$(6) \quad \Phi_B^{(n)}(\vec{a}, \vec{b}; c|q; \vec{x}) \equiv \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle \vec{a}, \vec{b}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle c; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}},$$

$$(7) \quad \Phi_C^{(n)}(a, b; \vec{c}|q; \vec{x}) \equiv \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle a, b; q \rangle_m \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{c}, \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}},$$

$$(8) \quad \Phi_D^{(n)}(a, b_1, \dots, b_n; c|q; x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_m \prod_{j=1}^n \langle b_j; q \rangle_{m_j} x_j^{m_j}}{\langle c; q \rangle_m \prod_{j=1}^n \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_j}}.$$

The convergence regions for the above functions are [4] for

$$(9) \quad \Phi_A^{(n)}(a, \vec{b}; \vec{c}|\vec{x}) : |x_1| \oplus_q \cdots \oplus_q |x_n| < 1.$$

For

$$(10) \quad \Phi_B^{(n)}(\vec{a}, \vec{b}; c|\vec{x}) : \max(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|) < 1.$$

For

$$(11) \quad \Phi_C^{(n)}(a, b; \vec{c}|\vec{x}) : |\sqrt{x_1}| \oplus_q \cdots \oplus_q |\sqrt{x_n}| < 1.$$

For

$$(12) \quad \Phi_D^{(n)}(a, \vec{b}; c|\vec{x}) : \max(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|) < 1.$$

**Definition 5** ([3, p. 367 f]). The vectors

$$(a), (b), (g_i), (h_i), (a'), (b'), (g'_i), (h'_i)$$

have dimensions

$$A, B, G_i, H_i, A', B', G'_i, H'_i.$$

Let

$$1 + B + B' + H_i + H'_i - A - A' - G_i - G'_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then the generalized  $q$ -Kampé de Fériet function  $q$ -Kampé de Fériet function is defined by

$$(13) \quad \Phi_{B+B':H_1+H'_1;\dots;H_n+H'_n}^{A+A':G_1+G'_1;\dots;G_n+G'_n} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (\hat{a}) : (\hat{g}_1); \dots; (\hat{g}_n) \\ (\hat{b}) : (\hat{h}_1); \dots; (\hat{h}_n) \end{array} \middle| \vec{q}; \vec{x} \right] \begin{array}{c} (a') : (g'_1); \dots; (g'_n) \\ (b') : (h'_1); \dots; (h'_n) \end{array} \\ \equiv \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle \hat{a}; q_0 \rangle_m (a')(q_0, m) \prod_{j=1}^n \langle \hat{g}_j; q_j \rangle_{m_j} \langle (g'_j)(q_j, m_j) x_j^{m_j} \rangle}{\langle \hat{b}; q_0 \rangle_m (b')(q_0, m) \prod_{j=1}^n \langle \hat{h}_j; q_j \rangle_{m_j} \langle (h'_j)(q_j, m_j) \langle 1; q_j \rangle_{m_j} \rangle} \\ \times (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n m_j (1+H_j+H'_j-G_j-G'_j+B+B'-A-A')} \\ \times \text{QE} \left( (B+B'-A-A') \binom{m}{2}, q_0 \right) \prod_{j=1}^n \text{QE} \left( (1+H_j+H'_j-G_j-G'_j) \binom{m_j}{2}, q_j \right).$$

It is assumed that there are no zero factors in the denominator. We assume that  $(a')(q_0, m), (g'_j)(q_j, m_j), (b')(q_0, m), (h'_j)(q_j, m_j)$  contain factors of the form  $\langle a(\hat{k}); q \rangle_k, (s; q)_k, (s(k); q)_k$  or  $\text{QE}(f(\vec{m}))$ .

**Definition 6** ([3, p. 368 f]). The vectors

$$(a), (b), (g_i), (h_i), (a'), (b'), (g'_i), (h'_i)$$

have dimensions

$$A, B, G, H, A', B', G', H'.$$

Let

$$1 + B + B' + H + H' - A - A' - G - G' \geq 0.$$

Then the generalized  $q$ -Kampé de Fériet function is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (14) \quad & \Phi_{B+B':H+H'}^{A+A':G+G'} \left[ \begin{matrix} (\hat{a}) : (\hat{g}_1); \dots; (\hat{g}_n) \\ (\hat{b}) : (\hat{h}_1); \dots; (\hat{h}_n) \end{matrix} \middle| \vec{q}; \vec{x} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a') : (g'_1); \dots; (g'_n) \\ (b') : (h'_1); \dots; (h'_n) \end{matrix} \right] \\
 & \equiv \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle (\hat{a}); q_0 \rangle_m (a')(q_0, m) \prod_{j=1}^n \langle (\hat{g}_j); q_j \rangle_{m_j} \langle (g'_j)(q_j, m_j) x_j^{m_j} \rangle}{\langle (\hat{b}); q_0 \rangle_m (b')(q_0, m) \prod_{j=1}^n \langle (\hat{h}_j); q_j \rangle_{m_j} \langle (h'_j)(q_j, m_j) \langle 1; q_j \rangle_{m_j} \rangle} \\
 & \quad \times (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n m_j (1+H+H'-G-G'+B+B'-A-A')} \\
 & \quad \times \text{QE} \left( (B+B'-A-A') \binom{m}{2}, q_0 \right) \prod_{j=1}^n \text{QE} \left( (1+H+H'-G-G') \binom{m_j}{2}, q_j \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(15) \quad \hat{a} \equiv a \vee \tilde{a} \vee \widetilde{\frac{m}{n} a} \vee_k \tilde{a} \vee \Delta(q; l; \lambda).$$

We recall the following definition from [3, p. 110]:

**Definition 7.** Given an integer  $k$ , the formula

$$(16) \quad m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_j = k$$

determines a set  $J_{m_0, \dots, m_j} \in \mathbb{N}^{j+1}$ .

Then if  $f(x)$  is the formal power series  $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l x^l$ , its  $k$ 'th JHC-power is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad & (\boxplus_{q, l=0}^{\infty} a_l x^l)^k \equiv (a_0 \boxplus_q a_1 x \boxplus_q \dots)^k \\
 & \equiv \sum_{|\vec{m}|=k} \prod_{m_l \in J_{m_0, \dots, m_j}} (a_l x^l)^{m_l} \binom{k}{\vec{m}}_q q^{\binom{\vec{n}}{2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\vec{n} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ .

In an equivalent way we can define a  $q$ -analogue of the function

$$(18) \quad F(u_1, x_1, \dots, u_n, x_n) \equiv (1 - u_1 x_1 - \dots - u_n x_n)^{-a}.$$

The function  $F(u_1, x_1, \dots, u_n, x_n)$  is just a special Lauricella function  $\Phi_A^{(n)}$  in its region of convergence as the following reasoning shows.

**Definition 8.** Assume that  $\vec{m} \equiv (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ ,  $m \equiv m_1 + \dots + m_n$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . The  $q$ -multinomial-coefficient  $\binom{a}{\vec{m}}_q$  is defined by

$$(19) \quad \binom{a}{\vec{m}}_q \equiv \frac{\langle -a; q \rangle_m (-1)^m q^{-\binom{\vec{m}}{2} + am}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_2} \dots \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_n}}.$$

In accordance with (17) and (19), we can now define the following  $q$ -analogue of (18), a vector version of the  $q$ -binomial theorem.

**Definition 9.**

$$(20) \quad (1 \ominus_q q^{am_1} x_1 \ominus_q \cdots \ominus_q q^{am_n} x_n)^{-a} \equiv \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n (-x_j)^{m_j} \binom{-a}{\vec{m}}_q q^{\binom{\vec{m}}{2} + am}.$$

**Corollary 1.1.**

$$(21) \quad (1 \ominus_q q^{am_1} x_1 \ominus_q \cdots \ominus_q q^{am_n} x_n)^{-a} = \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_m \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}}.$$

*Remark 1.* There are several  $q$ -Taylor formulas, some of them very similar, and some with  $q$ -integral remainder term. All of these formulas can be generalized to  $n$  variables, where the summation indices and the variables are written in the same form, but with vectors. The formula (21) is a very simple example of such a vector  $q$ -Taylor formula.

All the integral representations of Lauricella functions from the original paper by Lauricella [12, pp. 145–147] are given without proofs in Exton [6]. We will now find a  $q$ -analogue of the first one by using the previous definition for a power of a JHC sum. The other integral representations, which use another beta integral due to Dirichlet, are not suitable for  $q$ -deformation, since they require a more complicated multiple integral.

**Theorem 1.2** (A  $q$ -analogue of [12, p. 145], [6, p. 48 2.3.3], a  $q$ -integral representation of the first  $q$ -Lauricella function).

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_q(\vec{b}, c \vec{-} b) \Phi_A^{(n)}(a, \vec{b}; \vec{c}; q; \vec{x}) \\ &= \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 (n) \cdots \int_0^1 u_1^{b_1-1} \cdots u_n^{b_n-1} (qu_1; q)_{c_1-b_1-1} \\ & \quad \cdots (qu_n; q)_{c_n-b_n-1} (1 \ominus_q q^{am_1} u_1 x_1 \ominus_q \cdots \ominus_q q^{am_n} u_n x_n)^{-a} d_q(u_1) \cdots d_q(u_n). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.*

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_m \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} b_1 + m_1, c_1 - b_1, \dots, b_n + m_n, c_n - b_n \\ c_1 + m_1, \dots, c_n + m_n \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= \int_0^1 (q\vec{u}; q)_{\vec{c}-\vec{b}-\vec{1}} \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_m \vec{u}^{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} \vec{u}^{\vec{b}-\vec{1}} d_q(\vec{u}) \stackrel{\text{by (21)}}{=} \text{RHS}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**2. Eulerian  $q$ -integrals for  $q$ -hypergeometric series**

We start with  $q$ -analogues of some general integrals from Exton [7, p. 32 ff]:

**Theorem 2.1** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 32 2.1.6])). Assume that  $f(x)$  can be written as the power series

$$(24) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n.$$

Then we have

$$(25) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (qx; q)_{\beta-1} f(x) d_q(x) = B_q(\alpha, \beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha; q \rangle_n c_n}{\langle \alpha + \beta; q \rangle_n}.$$

*Proof.* Use the  $q$ -beta integral formula and the fundamental properties of the  $\Gamma_q$  function. □

**Theorem 2.2** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 33 2.1.1.2])).

$$(26) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (qx; q)_{\beta-1} {}_2\phi_1(\gamma, \delta; \lambda | q; yx^k) d_q(x) \\ = B_q(\alpha, \beta) {}_{2+2k}\phi_{1+2k} \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma, \delta, \Delta(q; k; \alpha) \\ \lambda, \Delta(q; k; \alpha + \beta) \end{matrix} \middle| q; y \right].$$

*Proof.* Put  $f(x) = {}_2\phi_1(\gamma, \delta; \lambda | q; yx^k)$  in (25). □

**Theorem 2.3** (Almost a  $q$ -analogue of [13, p. 104 (4)]). Let  $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(27) \quad B_q(\alpha, \beta) {}_{p+2(k+2s)}\phi_{r+2(k+s)} \left[ \begin{matrix} c_1, \dots, c_p, \Delta(q; k; \alpha), \Delta(q; s; \beta), 2s\infty \\ d_1, \dots, d_r, \Delta(q; k + s; \alpha + \beta) \end{matrix} \middle| q; t \right] \\ = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (xq; q)_{\beta-1} {}_{p+2s}\phi_r(c_1, \dots, c_p; d_1, \dots, d_r | q; tx^k (1 \boxplus_q xq^\beta)^s) d_q(x).$$

*Proof.*

$$(28) \quad \text{RHS} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle c_1, \dots, c_p; q \rangle_m t^m}{\langle 1, d_1, \dots, d_r; q \rangle_m} \left[ (-1)^m q^{\binom{m}{2}} \right]^{1+r-p-2s} \\ \times \int_0^1 x^{\alpha+mk-1} (xq; q)_{\beta+sm-1} d_q(x) \\ = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle c_1, \dots, c_p; q \rangle_m t^m}{\langle 1, d_1, \dots, d_r; q \rangle_m} \left[ (-1)^m q^{\binom{m}{2}} \right]^{1+r-p-2s} \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha + km, \beta + sm \\ \alpha + \beta + m(k + s) \end{matrix} \right] \\ = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle c_1, \dots, c_p, \Delta(q; k; \alpha), \Delta(q; s; \beta); q \rangle_m t^m}{\langle 1, d_1, \dots, d_r, \Delta(q; k + s; \alpha + \beta); q \rangle_m} \left[ (-1)^m q^{\binom{m}{2}} \right]^{1+r-p-2s} \\ \times \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \alpha + \beta \end{matrix} \right] = \text{LHS.} \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.4** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 33 2.1.1.5]).

$$(29) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (qx; q)_{\beta-1} {}_2\phi_1(\gamma, \delta; \lambda | q; yx) d_q(x) \\ = B_q(\alpha, \beta) {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma, \delta, \alpha \\ \lambda, \alpha + \beta \end{matrix} \middle| q; y \right].$$

*Proof.* Put  $k = 1$  in (26). □

We now give a couple of examples of when the  $q$ -Clausenian function in (29) can be explicitly computed.

**Corollary 2.5** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 33 2.1.1.4]).

$$(30) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} \frac{(qx; q)_{\beta-1}}{(yx; q)_{\delta}} d_q(x) = B_q(\alpha, \beta) {}_2\phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \delta, \alpha \\ \alpha + \beta \end{matrix} \middle| q; y \right].$$

*Proof.* Put  $\gamma = \lambda$  in (29). □

**Corollary 2.6** (A  $q$ -analogue of the corrected version of [7, p. 33 2.1.1.6]).

$$(31) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (qx; q)_{\delta-\alpha-1} {}_2\phi_1(\gamma, \delta; \alpha | q; yx) d_q(x) \\ = B_q(\alpha, \delta - \alpha) \frac{1}{(y; q)_{\gamma}}, \quad |y| < 1.$$

*Proof.* Put  $\lambda = \alpha, \beta = \delta - \alpha$  in (29). □

**Corollary 2.7.**

$$(32) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (qx; q)_{\beta-1} {}_2\phi_1(\gamma, \delta; \alpha | q; xq^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma-\delta}) d_q(x) \\ = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta - \gamma - \delta \\ \alpha + \beta - \gamma, \alpha + \beta - \delta \end{matrix} \right].$$

*Proof.* Put  $\alpha = \lambda, y = q^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma-\delta}$  in (29). □

**Corollary 2.8.**

$$(33) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (qx; q)_{\delta-\lambda-n} {}_2\phi_1(-n, \delta; \lambda | q; qx) d_q(x) \\ = B_q(\alpha, 1 + \delta - \lambda - n) \frac{\langle \lambda - \alpha, \lambda - \delta; q \rangle_n}{\langle \lambda, \lambda - \alpha - \delta; q \rangle_n}.$$

*Proof.* Put  $\gamma = -n, \beta = 1 + \delta - \lambda - n, y = q$  in (29). □

**Corollary 2.9** (A  $q$ -analogue of [1, p. 287 (3.1)]).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 x^{\beta-1} (qx; q)_{\frac{1}{2}(-N-1-\beta)} {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{\lambda}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, -N \\ -\frac{N+1+\beta}{2}, \lambda \end{matrix} \middle| q; qx \right] d_q(x) \\
 (34) \quad & = B_q(\beta, \frac{1}{2}(-N+1-\beta)) \begin{cases} \langle \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+\lambda-\beta}{2}; q^2 \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}, & \text{if } N \text{ even;} \\ \langle \frac{1-\beta}{2}, \frac{1+\lambda}{2}; q^2 \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}, & \\ 0, & \text{if } N \text{ uneven.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Use the  $q$ -analogue of the Watson-Schafheitlin summation formula [3, p. 281 (8.9)]. □

**Corollary 2.10** (A  $q$ -analogue of [1, p. 285 (2.6)]).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 x^{\gamma-1} (qx; q)_{\gamma-1} {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \widetilde{\gamma}, -N \\ -\frac{N+1+\alpha}{2}, \frac{-N+1+\alpha}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| q; qx \right] d_q(x) \\
 (35) \quad & = B_q(\gamma, \gamma) \begin{cases} \langle \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-\alpha}{2} + \gamma; q^2 \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}, & \text{if } N \text{ even;} \\ \langle \frac{1-\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \gamma; q^2 \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}, & \\ 0, & \text{if } N \text{ uneven.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Use the  $q$ -analogue of the Watson-Schafheitlin summation formula [3, p. 281 (8.9)]. □

We will now find nine  $q$ -analogues of [8, p. 332 (2.1)-(2.3)].

**Corollary 2.11** (Three  $q$ -analogues of [8, p. 332 (2.1)]).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (36) \quad & \int_0^1 x^{\beta-1} (qx; q)_{\alpha-2\beta} {}_4\phi_4 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, \gamma \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \frac{1}{2}a, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, \infty \end{matrix} \middle| q; xq^{1+\alpha-\beta-\gamma} \right] d_q(x) \\
 & = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \beta, \alpha - 2\beta + 1, 1 + \alpha - \gamma \\ 1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma \end{matrix} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (37) \quad & \int_0^1 x^{\beta-1} (qx; q)_{\alpha-2\beta} {}_5\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, -n, \infty \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \frac{1}{2}a, 1 + \alpha + n \end{matrix} \middle| q; xq^{n-\beta} \right] d_q(x) \\
 & = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \beta, \alpha - 2\beta + 1, 1 + \alpha + n \\ 1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \beta + n \end{matrix} \right] q^{-n\beta},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (38) \quad & \int_0^1 x^{\beta-1} (qx; q)_{\alpha-2\beta} {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \gamma \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \alpha - \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| q; -xq^{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha-\beta-\gamma} \right] d_q(x) \\
 & = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \beta, \alpha - 2\beta + 1, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} + b, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta - \gamma + b \\ 1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta + b, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + b \end{matrix} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $b \equiv \frac{\log(-1)}{\log q}$ .



(Three  $q$ -analogues of [8, p. 332 (2.2)])

$$(39) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\gamma-1} (qx; q)_{\frac{1}{2}\alpha-\gamma-1} {}_4\phi_4 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \widetilde{\frac{1}{2}a}, \beta \\ 1 + \alpha - \beta, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, \frac{1}{2}a, \infty \end{matrix} \middle| q; xq^{1+\alpha-\beta-\gamma} \right] d_q(x) \\ = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\alpha - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \beta, 1 + \alpha - \gamma \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma \end{matrix} \right],$$

$$(40) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\gamma-1} (qx; q)_{\frac{1}{2}\alpha-\gamma-1} {}_5\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, -n, 1 + \widetilde{\frac{1}{2}a}, \infty \\ 1 + \alpha + n, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, \frac{1}{2}a \end{matrix} \middle| q; xq^{n-\gamma} \right] d_q(x) \\ = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\alpha - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, 1 + \alpha + n \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \gamma + n \end{matrix} \right] q^{-n\gamma},$$

$$(41) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\gamma-1} (qx; q)_{\frac{1}{2}\alpha-\gamma-1} {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \beta \\ 1 + \alpha - \beta, 1 + \alpha - \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| q; -xq^{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha-\beta-\gamma} \right] d_q(x) \\ = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\alpha - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \beta, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} + b, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta - \gamma + b \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta + b, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + b \end{matrix} \right],$$

where  $b \equiv \frac{\log(-1)}{\log q}$ .

(Three  $q$ -analogues of [8, p. 332 (2.3)])

$$(42) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\gamma-1} (qx; q)_{\alpha-\beta-\gamma} {}_4\phi_4 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \widetilde{\frac{1}{2}a} \\ 1 + \alpha - \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \frac{1}{2}a, \infty \end{matrix} \middle| q; xq^{1+\alpha-\beta-\gamma} \right] d_q(x) \\ = B_q(\gamma, 1 + \alpha - \gamma),$$

$$(43) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\gamma-1} (qx; q)_{\alpha+n-\gamma} {}_5\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, -n, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \widetilde{\frac{1}{2}a}, \infty \\ 1 + \alpha - \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \frac{1}{2}a \end{matrix} \middle| q; xq^{n-\gamma} \right] d_q(x) \\ = B_q(\gamma, 1 + \alpha - \gamma) q^{-n\gamma},$$

$$(44) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\gamma-1} (qx; q)_{\alpha-\beta-\gamma} {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ 1 + \alpha - \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\alpha \end{matrix} \middle| q; -xq^{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha-\beta-\gamma} \right] d_q(x) \\ = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} + b, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta - \gamma + b \\ 1 + \alpha, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta + b, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + b \end{matrix} \right],$$

where  $b \equiv \frac{\log(-1)}{\log q}$ .

*Proof.* Use [3, p. 269 (7.114)-(7.116)]. □

**Corollary 2.12** (A  $q$ -analogue of [1, p. 289 (4.1)]).

$$(45) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\beta-1} (qx; q)_{\alpha-2\beta} {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \gamma, \widetilde{1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha} \\ 1 + \alpha - \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\alpha \end{matrix} \middle| q; xq^{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha-\beta-\gamma} \right] d_q(x) \\ = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \beta, \alpha - 2\beta + 1, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta - \gamma \\ 1 + \alpha, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma \end{matrix} \right].$$

*Proof.* Use [3, p. 268 (7.113)]. □

We will now find  $q$ -analogues of [8, p. 333 (2.5)-(2.7)].

**Corollary 2.13** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 35 (2.1.2.5)] and of [8, p. 333 (2.5)]).

$$(46) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\beta-1} (qx; q)_{\alpha-2\beta} {}_5\phi_4 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \widetilde{1 + \frac{1}{2}a}, \gamma, \delta \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \frac{1}{2}a, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \delta \end{matrix} \middle| q; xq^{1+\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta} \right] d_q(x) \\ = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \beta, \alpha - 2\beta + 1, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \delta, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma - \delta \\ 1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \delta, 1 + \alpha - \gamma - \delta \end{matrix} \right].$$

(A  $q$ -analogue of [8, p. 333 (2.6)])

$$(47) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\gamma-1} (qx; q)_{\frac{1}{2}\alpha-\gamma-1} {}_5\phi_4 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, \widetilde{1 + \frac{1}{2}a}, \beta, \delta \\ 1 + \alpha - \beta, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, \frac{1}{2}a, 1 + \alpha - \delta \end{matrix} \middle| q; xq^{1+\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta} \right] d_q(x) \\ = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\alpha - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \beta, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \delta, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma - \delta \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \delta, 1 + \alpha - \gamma - \delta \end{matrix} \right].$$

(A  $q$ -analogue of [8, p. 333 (2.7)])

$$(48) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\delta-1} (qx; q)_{\alpha-2\delta} {}_5\phi_4 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \widetilde{1 + \frac{1}{2}a}, \gamma \\ 1 + \alpha - \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \frac{1}{2}a, 1 + \alpha - \beta \end{matrix} \middle| q; xq^{1+\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta} \right] d_q(x) \\ = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \delta, 1 + \alpha - 2\delta, 1 + \alpha - \beta, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma - \delta \\ 1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \delta, 1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma, 1 + \alpha - \gamma - \delta \end{matrix} \right].$$

*Proof.* Use [3, p. 268 (7.112)]. □

**Corollary 2.14** (A  $q$ -analogue of [1, p. 291 (5.1)]).

$$(49) \quad \int_0^1 x^{c-1} (qx; q)_{c-e} {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \tilde{c}, 1 + n, -n \\ \tilde{1}, e \end{matrix} \middle| q; qx \right] d_q(x) \\ = B_q(c, c - e + 1) \frac{\langle \tilde{e}, e - 2c; q \rangle_\infty}{\langle \tilde{e} - n, -n + e - 2c; q \rangle_\infty} \\ \times \frac{\langle \frac{e+1+n}{2}, \frac{-n+e+1}{2} - 2c, -n + e; q^2 \rangle_\infty}{\langle \frac{-n+e+1}{2}, \frac{n+1+e}{2} - 2c, e; q^2 \rangle_\infty}.$$

*Proof.* Use [3, p. 284 (8.22)]. □

**2.1. Eulerian  $q$ -integrals with product argument**

We will now investigate some  $q$ -integrals with product of two power series in the integrand.

**Theorem 2.15** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 48 2.3.4]). *Assume that  $f(x)$  can be written as the power series*

$$(50) \quad f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m x^m.$$

Then we have

$$(51) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (qx; q)_{\beta-1} {}_2\phi_1(\gamma, \delta; \lambda | q; yx^k) f(x) d_q(x) \\ = B_q(\alpha, \beta) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha; q \rangle_m c_m}{\langle \alpha + \beta; q \rangle_m} {}_{2+2k}\phi_{1+2k} \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma, \delta, \Delta(q; k; \alpha + m) \\ \lambda, \Delta(q; k; \alpha + \beta + m) \end{matrix} \middle| q; y \right].$$

**Corollary 2.16** (A  $q$ -analogue of the corrected version of [7, p. 48 2.3.6]). *Assume (50). Then we have*

$$(52) \quad \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (qx; q)_{\delta-\lambda-n} {}_2\phi_1(-n, \delta; \lambda | q; qx) f(x) d_q(x) \\ = B_q(\alpha, 1 + \delta - \lambda - n) \frac{\langle \lambda - \delta; q \rangle_n}{\langle \lambda; q \rangle_n} \\ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \lambda; q \rangle_m \langle 1 + \alpha - \lambda + \delta; q \rangle_{m-n} c_m q^{\delta n}}{\langle \alpha + \delta + 1 - \lambda - n, 1 + \alpha - \lambda + \delta; q \rangle_m \langle 1 + \alpha - \lambda; q \rangle_{m-n}}.$$

*Proof.* Use formula (51) and

$$(53) \quad \frac{\langle \lambda - \alpha - m; q \rangle_n}{\langle \lambda - \alpha - \delta - m; q \rangle_n} = \frac{\langle 1 + \alpha - \lambda; q \rangle_m \langle 1 + \alpha - \lambda + \delta; q \rangle_{m-n} q^{\delta n}}{\langle 1 + \alpha - \lambda + \delta; q \rangle_m \langle 1 + \alpha - \lambda; q \rangle_{m-n}}. \quad \square$$

**3. Eulerian  $q$ -integrals for multiple  $q$ -hypergeometric series**

We continue our investigations of the  $q$ -beta integral and find a vector version of our previous formula.

**Theorem 3.1** (Almost a  $q$ -analogue of Exton [7, p. 121 6.1.6]). *Assume that  $f(\vec{x})$  can be written as the multiple power series*

$$(54) \quad f(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\vec{\infty}} c_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}.$$

Then we have

$$(55) \quad \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{1}} \vec{x}^{\alpha-\vec{1}} (q\vec{x}; q)_{\beta-\vec{\alpha}-\vec{1}} f(\vec{x}\vec{s}) d_q(\vec{x}) = B_q(\vec{\alpha}, \beta - \vec{\alpha}) \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\vec{\infty}} \frac{\langle \vec{\alpha}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{\beta}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} c_{\vec{m}} \vec{s}^{\vec{m}}.$$

*Proof.* Similar to above.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* Formula (55) also applies when  $s$  is a scalar like in formulas (56), (59) and (62).

We next prove some special Eulerian  $q$ -integrals by using the  $q$ -binomial theorem. Observe that the  $q$ -Lauricella functions are the same in each equation.

**Theorem 3.2** (A  $q$ -analogue of Koschmieder [11, 2.5 p. 65]).

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_q(\alpha, \lambda - \alpha) \Phi_D^{(n)}(\alpha, \vec{\beta}; \gamma | q; \vec{x}) \\ & \cong \int_0^1 s^{\alpha-1} (qs; q)_{\lambda-\alpha-1} \Phi_D^{(n)}(\lambda, \vec{\beta}; \gamma | q; s\vec{x}) d_q(s). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Compute the RHS:

$$(57) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\vec{\infty}} \frac{\langle \lambda; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{\beta}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \gamma; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} (1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(\alpha+m)} \langle 1+k; q \rangle_{\lambda-\alpha-1} \\ & = \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\vec{\infty}} \frac{\langle \lambda; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{\beta}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \gamma; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} (1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(\alpha+m)} \frac{\langle \lambda - \alpha; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle \lambda - \alpha; q \rangle_{\infty}} \\ & = \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\vec{\infty}} \frac{\langle \lambda; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{\beta}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \gamma; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} (1-q) \frac{\langle m + \lambda, 1; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle \lambda - \alpha, \alpha + m; q \rangle_{\infty}} = \text{LHS}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Corollary 3.3.**

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_q(\alpha, \lambda - \alpha) \Phi_1(\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma | q; x_1, x_2) \\ & \cong \int_0^1 s^{\alpha-1} (qs; q)_{\lambda-\alpha-1} \Phi_1(\lambda, \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma | q; sx_1, sx_2) d_q(s). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.4** (A  $q$ -analogue of [11, 3.2 p. 66]).

$$(59) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_q(\nu, \gamma - \nu) \Phi_B^{(n)}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}; \nu | q; \vec{x}) \\ & \cong \int_0^1 s^{\nu-1} (qs; q)_{\gamma-\nu-1} \Phi_B^{(n)}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}; \nu | q; s\vec{x}) d_q(s). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We compute the right hand side:

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\vec{\infty}} \frac{\langle \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \nu; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} (1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(\nu+m)} \langle 1+k; q \rangle_{\gamma-\nu-1} \\ & = \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\vec{\infty}} \frac{\langle \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \nu; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} (1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(\nu+m)} \frac{\langle \gamma - \nu; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle \gamma - \nu; q \rangle_{\infty}} \\ & = \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\vec{\infty}} \frac{\langle \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \nu; q \rangle_m \langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} (1-q) \frac{\langle m + \gamma, 1; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle \gamma - \nu, \nu + m; q \rangle_{\infty}} = \text{LHS}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Corollary 3.5.**

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_q(\nu, \gamma - \nu) \Phi_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma|q; x_1, x_2) \\ & \cong \int_0^1 s^{\nu-1} (qs; q)_{\gamma-\nu-1} \Phi_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1, \beta_2; \nu|q; sx_1, sx_2) d_q(s). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.6** (A  $q$ -analogue of [11, 3.4 p. 66]).

$$(62) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_q(\alpha, \lambda - \alpha) \Phi_C^{(n)}(\alpha, \beta; \vec{\gamma}|q; \vec{x}) \\ & \cong \int_0^1 s^{\alpha-1} (qs; q)_{\lambda-\alpha-1} \Phi_C^{(n)}(\lambda, \beta; \vec{\gamma}|q; s\vec{x}) d_q(s). \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.7.**

$$(63) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_q(\alpha, \lambda - \alpha) \Phi_4(\alpha, \beta; \gamma_1, \gamma_2|q; x_1, x_2) \\ & \cong \int_0^1 s^{\alpha-1} (qs; q)_{\lambda-\alpha-1} \Phi_4(\lambda, \beta; \gamma_1, \gamma_2|q; sx_1, sx_2) d_q(s). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.8** (A  $q$ -analogue of [11, 3.5 p. 66]).

$$(64) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_C^{(n)}(\alpha, \beta; \vec{\gamma}|q; \vec{x}) \\ & \cong \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} \lambda, \mu \\ \alpha, \lambda - \alpha, \beta, \mu - \beta \end{array} \right] \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{1}} s^{\alpha-1} \\ & \quad \times (qs; q)_{\lambda-\alpha-1} t^{\beta-1} (qt; q)_{\mu-\beta-1} \Phi_C^{(n)}(\lambda, \mu; \vec{\gamma}|q; st\vec{x}) d_q(s) d_q(t). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We compute the right hand side ( $\vec{k}$  has dimension 2):

$$(65) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Gamma_q \sum_{\vec{m}, \vec{k}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \lambda, \mu; q \rangle_m \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{\gamma}, \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} (1-q)^2 q^{\overline{k((\alpha, \beta) + \vec{m})}} \langle \vec{1} + \vec{k}; q \rangle_{\lambda, \vec{\mu} - \alpha, \vec{\beta} - \vec{1}} \\ & = \Gamma_q \sum_{\vec{m}, \vec{k}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \lambda, \mu; q \rangle_m \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{\gamma}, \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} (1-q)^2 q^{\overline{k((\alpha, \beta) + \vec{m})}} \frac{\langle (\lambda - \alpha, \mu - \beta); q \rangle_{\vec{k}} \langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{k}} \langle (\lambda - \alpha, \mu - \beta); q \rangle_{\infty}} \\ & = \Gamma_q \sum_{\vec{m}, \vec{k}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \lambda, \mu; q \rangle_m \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{\gamma}, \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} (1-q)^2 \frac{\langle \lambda + m, \mu + m, \vec{m} + \vec{\gamma}, \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle (\lambda - \alpha, \mu - \beta, \lambda + m, \mu + m); q \rangle_{\infty}} = \text{LHS}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.9.**

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_4(\alpha, \beta; \gamma_1, \gamma_2|q; x_1, x_2) \\ & \cong \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} \lambda, \mu \\ \alpha, \lambda - \alpha, \beta, \mu - \beta \end{array} \right] \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{1}} s^{\alpha-1} \\ & \quad \times (qs; q)_{\lambda-\alpha-1} t^{\beta-1} (qt; q)_{\mu-\beta-1} \Phi_4(\lambda, \mu; \gamma_1, \gamma_2|q; stx_1, stx_2) d_q(s) d_q(t). \end{aligned}$$

All of these  $q$ -integral formulas are similar to some general  $q$ -analogues of Exton [7, p. 35 ff]:

**Theorem 3.10** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 35 2.1.3.5]).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (67) \quad & \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (qx; q)_{b-1} \Phi_{C':G;G'}^{C:D;D'} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : (d); (d') \\ (c') : (g); (g') \end{matrix} \middle| q; rx^k, sx^k \right] d_q(x) \\
 & = B_q(a, b) \Phi_{C'+2k;G;G'}^{C+2k;D;D'} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c), \Delta(q; k; a) : (d); (d') \\ (c'), \Delta(q; k; a + b) : (g); (g') \end{matrix} \middle| q; r, s \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

(A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 36 2.1.3.6])

$$\begin{aligned}
 (68) \quad & \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (qx; q)_{b-1} \Phi_{C':G;G'}^{C:D;D'} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : (d); (d') \\ (c') : (g); (g') \end{matrix} \middle| q; r, sx^k \right] d_q(x) \\
 & = B_q(a, b) \Phi_{C'+2k;G;G'+2k}^{C:D;D'+2k} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : (d); (d'), \Delta(q; k; a) \\ (c') : (g); (g'), \Delta(q; k; a + b) \end{matrix} \middle| q; r, s \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

(A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 36 2.1.3.7])

$$\begin{aligned}
 (69) \quad & \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (qx; q)_{b-1} \Phi_{C:D-1;D-1}^{C:D;D'} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : (d); (d') \\ (c') : (g); (g') \end{matrix} \middle| q; rx^k, s(1 \ominus_q q^b x)^k \right] d_q(x) \\
 & = B_q(a, b) \Phi_{C+2k;D+2k-1;D'+2k-1}^{C+2k;D+2k;D'+2k} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c), 2k\infty : (d), \Delta(q; k; a); (d'), \Delta(q; k; b) \\ (c'), \Delta(q; k; a + b) : (g), 2k\infty; (g'), 2k\infty \end{matrix} \middle| q; r, s \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We only prove the last formula, (69). Start with the left hand side and change the order between summation and  $q$ -integration.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (70) \quad & \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle (c); q \rangle_{m+n} \langle (d); q \rangle_m \langle (d'); q \rangle_n r^m s^n}{\langle (c'); q \rangle_{m+n} \langle 1, (g); q \rangle_m \langle 1, (g)'; q \rangle_n} \int_0^1 x^{a+mk-1} (qx; q)_{b+kn-1} \\
 & = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle (c); q \rangle_{m+n} \langle (d); q \rangle_m \langle (d'); q \rangle_n r^m s^n}{\langle (c'); q \rangle_{m+n} \langle 1, (g); q \rangle_m \langle 1, (g)'; q \rangle_n} \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} a + km, b + kn \\ a + b + k(m + n) \end{matrix} \right] \\
 & = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle (c); q \rangle_{m+n} \langle (d), \Delta(q; k; a); q \rangle_m \langle (d'), \Delta(q; k; b); q \rangle_n r^m s^n}{\langle (c'), \Delta(q; k; a + b); q \rangle_{m+n} \langle 1, (g); q \rangle_m \langle 1, (g)'; q \rangle_n} \\
 & \quad \times \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} a, b \\ a + b \end{matrix} \right] = \text{RHS.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.11** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 36 2.1.3.9]).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (71) \quad & \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (qx; q)_{b-1} \Phi_{2k;G;G'}^{2k;D;D'} \left[ \begin{matrix} \Delta(q; k; a + b) : (d); (d') \\ (\Delta(q; k; a) : (g); (g')) \end{matrix} \middle| q; rx^k, sx^k \right] d_q(x) \\
 & = B_q(a, b) {}_D\Phi_G \left[ \begin{matrix} (d) \\ (g) \end{matrix} \middle| q; r \right] {}_{D'}\Phi_{G'} \left[ \begin{matrix} (d') \\ (g') \end{matrix} \middle| q; s \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Put

$$C = C' = 2k, \prod_{k=1}^C \langle c_k; q \rangle_m = \langle \Delta(q; k; a + b) \rangle_m, \prod_{k=1}^C \langle c'_k; q \rangle_m = \langle \Delta(q; k; a) \rangle_m$$

in (67).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12** (A  $q$ -analogue of the corrected version of [7, p. 36 2.1.3.10]).

(72)

$$\int_0^1 x^{a-1} (qx; q)_{b-1} \Phi_{C':0;2k}^{C:1;2k+1} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : d; d', \Delta(q; k; a + b) \\ (c') : -; \Delta(q; k; a) \end{matrix} \middle| q; s, sx^k q^{-d'} \right] d_q(x) \\ = B_q(a, b)_{C+1} \Phi_{C'} \left( (c), d + d'; (c') | q; r | q; sq^{-d'} \right).$$

*Proof.* Put

$$(73) \quad r = s, D = 1, D' = 2k + 1, G = 0, G' = 2k, (d') = d', \Delta(q; k; a + b), \\ g' = \Delta(q; k; a)$$

in (68) and use [3, 10.143, p. 390].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 36 2.1.3.11]).

(74)

$$\int_0^1 x^{a-1} (qx; q)_{b-1} \Phi_{C:0;0}^{C:1;1} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : \infty; \infty \\ (c') : \Delta(q; k; a); \Delta(q; k; b) \end{matrix} \middle| q; rx^k, s(1 \ominus_q q^b x)^k \right] d_q(x) \\ = B_q(a, b)_{C+2k+1} \Phi_{C+2k} \left( (c), (2k + 1)\infty; (c'), \Delta(q; k; a + b) | q; r \oplus_q s \right).$$

*Proof.* Put

$$D = D' = 1, (g) = \Delta(q; k; a), (g') = \Delta(q; k; b)$$

in (69) and use the fundamental property of NWA  $q$ -addition.  $\square$

We will now compute two Eulerian  $q$ -integrals with the Karlsson definition (14).

**Theorem 3.14** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 37 2.1.4.4]).

$$(75) \quad \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (qx; q)_{b-1} \Phi_{C':G}^{C:D} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : \vec{d} \\ (c') : \vec{g} \end{matrix} \middle| q; x^k \vec{s} \right] d_q(x) \\ = B_q(a, b) \Phi_{C'+2k;G}^{C+2k;D} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c), \Delta(q; k; a) : \vec{d} \\ (c'), \Delta(q; k; a + b) : \vec{g} \end{matrix} \middle| q; \vec{s} \right].$$

**Theorem 3.15** (Compare with [7, p. 37 2.1.4.2]).

$$(76) \quad \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (qx; q)_{b-1} \Phi_{C:D-1}^{C:D} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : \vec{d} \\ (c') : \vec{g} \end{matrix} \middle| q; x^k \vec{s} | (xq^b; q)_{mk} \right] d_q(x) \\ = B_q(a, b) \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle (c), \Delta(q; k; a, b); q \rangle_m \langle \vec{d}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{s}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle (c'), \Delta(q; 2k; a + b); q \rangle_m \langle \vec{1}, \vec{g}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}}.$$

### 3.1. Multiple Eulerian $q$ -integrals

We first observe that formula (25) can be generalized in the following way:

**Theorem 3.16** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 121 6.1.6]). *Assume that  $f(\vec{x})$  can be written as the multiple power series*

$$(77) \quad f(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} A_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}.$$

Then we have

$$(78) \quad \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{1}} \vec{u}^{\vec{\alpha}-\vec{1}}(q\vec{u}; q)_{\vec{\beta}-\vec{1}} f(\vec{x}\vec{u}) d_q(\vec{u}) = B_q(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}) \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \vec{\alpha}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} A_{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}.$$

**Theorem 3.17** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 121 6.1.1.1] written in vector form).

$$(79) \quad \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{1}} \vec{u}^{\vec{\alpha}-\vec{1}}(q\vec{u}; q)_{\vec{\beta}-\vec{\alpha}-\vec{1}} {}_C\phi_D((c), (d)|q; x_1u_1 \oplus_q x_2u_2 \oplus_q \cdots \oplus_q x_nu_n) d_q(\vec{u}) \\ = B_q(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta} \vec{-} \alpha) \Phi_{D+1:1}^{C:2} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : \vec{\infty}, \vec{\alpha} \\ (d), \infty : \vec{\beta} \end{matrix} \middle| q; \vec{x} \right].$$

*Proof.*

$$(80) \quad \text{LHS} = \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{1}} \vec{u}^{\vec{\alpha}-\vec{1}}(q\vec{u}; q)_{\vec{\beta}-\vec{\alpha}-\vec{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle (c); q \rangle_k}{\langle 1, (d); q \rangle_k} \left[ (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \right]^{1+D-C} \\ \sum_{|\vec{m}|=k} \prod_{l=1}^n (x_l u_l)^{m_l} \frac{\langle 1; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} d_q(\vec{u}) \\ = \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{1}} \vec{u}^{\vec{\alpha}+\vec{m}-\vec{1}}(q\vec{u}; q)_{\vec{\beta}-\vec{\alpha}-\vec{1}} d_q(\vec{u}) \frac{\langle (c); q \rangle_{\vec{m}}}{\langle (d); q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} \left[ (-1)^{\vec{m}} q^{\binom{\vec{m}}{2}} \right]^{1+D-C} \frac{\vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} \\ = \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle (c); q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle (d); q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \langle \vec{1}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha \vec{+} m, \beta \vec{-} \alpha \\ \beta \vec{+} m \end{matrix} \right] \\ = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \vec{\alpha}, \beta \vec{-} \alpha \\ \vec{\beta} \end{matrix} \right] \sum_{\vec{m}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle (c); q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \langle \vec{\alpha}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \vec{x}^{\vec{m}}}{\langle (d); q \rangle_{\vec{m}} \langle \vec{1}, \vec{\beta}; q \rangle_{\vec{m}}} \left[ (-1)^{\vec{m}} q^{\binom{\vec{m}}{2}} \right]^{1+D-C} \\ = \text{RHS.} \quad \square$$



**Theorem 3.18** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 122 6.1.2.1]).

$$(81) \quad \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{1}} \vec{u}^{\alpha \vec{-} 1} (q\vec{u}; q)_{\beta \vec{-} 1} \Phi_{F;G}^{C:D} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : (\vec{\delta}) \\ (\varphi) : (\vec{\gamma}) \end{matrix} \middle| q; x_1 u_1, x_2 u_2, \dots, x_n u_n \right] d_q(\vec{u}) \\ = B_q(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}) \Phi_{F;G+1}^{C:D+1} \left[ \begin{matrix} (c) : (\vec{\delta}), \vec{\alpha} \\ (\varphi) : (\vec{\gamma}), \alpha \vec{+} \beta \end{matrix} \middle| q; \vec{x} \right].$$

*Proof.* Use formula (78). □

**Corollary 3.19** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 123 6.1.3.2]). *A formula for the  $q$ -Laguerre polynomial.*

$$(82) \quad \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{1}} \vec{u}^{\alpha \vec{-} 1} (q\vec{u}; q)_{\beta \vec{-} \alpha - 1} L_{m,q}^{(\gamma)}(x_1 u_1 \oplus_q x_2 u_2 \oplus_q \dots \oplus_q x_n u_n) d_q(\vec{u}) \\ = B_q(\vec{\alpha}, \beta \vec{-} \alpha) \frac{\langle \gamma + 1; q \rangle_m}{\langle 1; q \rangle_m} \Phi_{2:1}^{1:2} \left[ \begin{matrix} -m : \vec{\infty}, \vec{\alpha} \\ \gamma + 1, \infty : \vec{\beta} \end{matrix} \middle| q; \vec{x} (-(1-q)q^{m+\gamma+1}) \right].$$

*Proof.* Use (79) and  $L_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{\langle \alpha+1; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1; q \rangle_n} {}_1\phi_1(-n; \alpha + 1 | q; -x(1-q)q^{n+\alpha+1})$ . □

**Corollary 3.20** (A  $q$ -analogue of [7, p. 123 6.1.3.4]).

$$(83) \quad \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{1}} \vec{u}^{\alpha \vec{-} 1} (q\vec{u}; q)_{\beta \vec{-} \alpha - 1} \Phi_A^{(n)}(\gamma, \vec{\delta}; \vec{\varphi} | q; x_1 u_1, x_2 u_2, \dots, x_n u_n) d_q(\vec{u}) \\ = B_q(\vec{\alpha}, \beta \vec{-} \alpha) \Phi_{1:2}^{1:3} \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma : \vec{\infty}, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\delta} \\ \infty : \vec{\beta}, \vec{\varphi} \end{matrix} \middle| q; \vec{x} \right].$$

*Proof.* Use (81). □

### 4. Conclusion

All proofs use the same  $q$ -beta integral technique and the  $\Delta$  notation by Srivastava, as well as the (multiple) NWA  $q$ -addition, which is used in the formulas. We have saved the old Koschmieder articles from oblivion and maybe some other multiple hypergeometric function might have a similar formula. In a forthcoming article we will consider the corresponding Laplace integrals, which are  $q$ -analogues of the Laplace transform.

### References

- [1] J. Choi and A. Rathie, *Evaluation of certain new class of definite integrals*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **26** (2015), no. 4, 282–294.
- [2] T. Ernst, *Multiple  $q$ -hypergeometric transformations involving  $q$ -integrals*, Proceedings of the 9th Annual Conference of the Society for Special Functions and their Applications (SSFA). Vol. 9, 91–99, Soc. Spec. Funct. Appl., Chennai, 2011.
- [3] ———, *A Comprehensive Treatment of  $q$ -Calculus*, Birkhäuser, 2012.
- [4] ———, *Convergence aspects for  $q$ -Lauricella functions I*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **22** (2012), no. 1, 35–50.

- [5] ———, *On the symmetric  $q$ -Lauricella functions*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **19** (2016), no. 2, 319–344.
- [6] H. Exton, *Multiple hypergeometric functions and applications*, Mathematics and its Applications. Ellis Horwood Ltd., Chichester; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons, Inc.], New York-London-Sydney, 1976.
- [7] ———, *Handbook of hypergeometric integrals. Theory, applications, tables, computer programs*, Chichester; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons, Inc.], New York-London-Sydney, 1978.
- [8] Y. Kim, *New class of integrals involving generalized hypergeometric function and the logarithmic function*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **31** (2016), no. 2, 329–342.
- [9] L. Koschmieder, *Integrale mit hypergeometrischen Integranden*, Acta Math. **79** (1947), 241–254.
- [10] ———, *Verallgemeinerte Ableitungen und hypergeometrische Funktionen*, Monatsh. Math. **53** (1949), 169–183.
- [11] ———, *Integrals with hypergeometric integrands. II*, (Spanish) Univ. Nac. Tucuman. Revista A. **9** (1952), 63–78.
- [12] G. Lauricella, *On hypergeometric functions of several variables*, (Sulle Funzioni Ipergeometriche a piu Variabili.) (Italian) Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **7** (1893), 111–158.
- [13] E. D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Reprint of 1960 first edition. Chelsea Publishing Co., Bronx, N.Y., 1971.

THOMAS ERNST  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UPPSALA UNIVERSITY  
P.O. BOX 480, SE-751 06 UPPSALA, SWEDEN  
Email address: [thomas@math.uu.se](mailto:thomas@math.uu.se)