

## A NATURAL MAP ON AN ORE EXTENSION

EUN-HEE CHO\* AND SEI-QWON OH\*\*

ABSTRACT. Let  $\delta$  be a derivation in a noetherian integral domain  $A$ . It is shown that a natural map induces a homeomorphism between the spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]$  and the Poisson spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]_p$  such that its restriction to the primitive spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]$  is also a homeomorphism onto the Poisson primitive spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]_p$ .

Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra and let  $h$  be a nonzero, nonunit, non-zero-divisor and central element of  $R$  such that  $R/hR$  is commutative. Then  $R/hR$  becomes a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket

$$(1) \quad \{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} = \overline{h^{-1}(ab - ba)}$$

for  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in R/hR$ , which is called a semiclassical limit of  $R$  and  $R$  is called a quantization of its semiclassical limit. One estimates that a class  $D$  of nontrivial algebras  $R/(h - \lambda)R$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ , shares its algebraic structure with Poisson algebraic structure of  $R/hR$  since the multiplication of  $R/(h - \lambda)R$  and the Poisson bracket (1) of  $R/hR$  are induced by that of  $R$ . In fact, there are many positive evidences, for instance, see [8], [4] and [1], [5], [10], [9]. In [9] and [5], the second author constructed a natural map from a quantized algebra onto its semiclassical limit which can explain relationships between algebraic structures of quantized algebra and Poisson structures of its semiclassical limit.

Let  $\delta$  be a derivation in a noetherian integral domain  $A$ . Then, in [3], Jordan proved that the spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]$  is homeomorphic to the Poisson spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]_p$  such that its restriction to the primitive spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]$  is also a homeomorphism onto the Poisson primitive spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]_p$ . In usual, it is difficult for a map to be a homeomorphism between two spaces. In this paper, it is established that the natural map in [9] and [5] induces a homeomorphism between the spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]$  and the Poisson spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]_p$  such that its

---

Received October 03, 2017; Accepted December 01, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B63, 16S36.

Key words and phrases: Poisson algebra, skew polynomial algebra, prime ideal, Poisson prime ideal.

Correspondence should be addressed to Sei-Qwon Oh, [sqoh@cnu.ac.kr](mailto:sqoh@cnu.ac.kr).

restriction to the primitive spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]$  is also a homeomorphism onto the Poisson primitive spectrum of  $A[z; \delta]_p$ .

Assume throughout the paper that  $\mathbf{k}$  denotes a base field of characteristic zero and that all algebras considered have unities.

Let  $A$  be a finitely generated commutative  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra and domain with a non-zero derivation  $\delta$ . Then there exists the skew polynomial algebra  $A[z; \delta]$ . Refer to [2, Chapter 2] for details of skew polynomial algebra which is frequently called Ore extension. On the other hand, there exists the Poisson polynomial algebra  $A[z; \delta]_p$  which is the Poisson algebra  $A[z]$  with Poisson bracket

$$\{A, A\} = 0, \quad \{z, a\} = \delta(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Refer to [6, 1.1] for details of Poisson polynomial algebra.

The derivation  $\delta$  on  $A$  is extended to a  $\mathbf{k}[t]$ -derivation on  $A[t]$ , still denoted by  $\delta$ , by setting  $\delta(t) = 0$ . Hence  $(t - 1)\delta$  is a derivation on  $A[t]$  and thus there exists the skew polynomial  $\mathbf{k}[t]$ -algebra

$$B := A[t][z; (t - 1)\delta].$$

Note that  $B$  is a domain and thus the central element  $t - 1 \in B$  is a nonzero, nonunit and non-zero-divisor such that

$$B_1 := B/(t - 1)B$$

is commutative. Hence  $B_1$  becomes a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket

$$\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} = \overline{(t - 1)^{-1}(ab - ba)}$$

for  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in B_1$ .

LEMMA 1.  $B_1 \cong A[z; \delta]_p$  as Poisson algebras.

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $B_1 \cong A[z]$  as commutative algebras since  $A[z] \cap (t - 1)B = \{0\}$ . For all  $a, b \in A$ ,  $\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} = 0$  and  $\{\bar{z}, \bar{b}\} = \overline{\delta(b)}$  in  $B_1$ . Hence the result follows.  $\square$

Set  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{k} \setminus \{a \in \mathbf{k} \mid a^n = 1 \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$  and

$$B_\lambda := B/(t - \lambda)B$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ . Note that  $1 \notin \mathbf{K}$  and that  $B_\lambda$  is a nontrivial  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra since  $t - \lambda$  is a nonzero and nonunit for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ .

LEMMA 2. For each  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $B_\lambda \cong A[z; (\lambda - 1)\delta] \cong A[z; \delta]$  as  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras.

*Proof.* The map from  $B$  into  $A[z; (\lambda - 1)\delta]$  defined by  $z \mapsto z$  and  $a(t) \mapsto a(\lambda)$  for all  $a(t) \in A[t]$  is an epimorphism with kernel  $(t - \lambda)B$ . Hence  $B_\lambda \cong A[z; (\lambda - 1)\delta]$ .

The map from  $A[z; (\lambda - 1)\delta]$  into  $A[z; \delta]$  defined by  $z \mapsto (\lambda - 1)z$  and  $a \mapsto a$  for all  $a \in A$  is an isomorphism since  $\lambda \neq 1$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ . It completes the proof.  $\square$

LEMMA 3. *The map*

$$\gamma : B \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbf{K}} B_\lambda, \quad \gamma(b) = (\gamma_\lambda(b))_{\lambda \in \mathbf{K}}$$

is a monomorphism, where  $\gamma_\lambda$  is the canonical projection from  $B$  onto  $B_\lambda = B/(t - \lambda)B$ .

*Proof.* Since  $B$  is a skew polynomial algebra  $A[t][z; (t - 1)\delta]$ , every element  $b \in B$  is expressed uniquely by  $b = \sum_i a_i(t)z^i$  for some  $a_i(t) \in A[t]$  and each  $a_i(t)$  is expressed uniquely by  $a_i(t) = \sum_j c_{ij}t^j$  for some  $c_{ij} \in A$ . If  $\gamma(b) = 0$  then  $\sum_j c_{ij}\lambda^j = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$  and thus  $c_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ . It follows that  $b = 0$  and thus  $\gamma$  is a monomorphism.  $\square$

By Lemma 3, there exists the composition of  $\gamma^{-1}$  and  $\gamma_1$

$$\Gamma : \gamma(B) \xrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}} B \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} B_1, \quad \Gamma(x) = \gamma_1\gamma^{-1}(x)$$

which is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra epimorphism, where  $\gamma_1 : B \rightarrow B_1 = B/(t - 1)B$  is the canonical projection.

As in [5, Remark 3.2], let  $\hat{q}$  be a parameter taking values in  $\mathbf{K}$  and let  $B_{\hat{q}}$  be the  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra obtained by replacing  $\lambda$  in  $B_\lambda$  by  $\hat{q}$ . That is,  $B_{\hat{q}}$  is the  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra defined by  $B/(t - \hat{q})B$ , which is isomorphic to  $A[z; (\hat{q} - 1)\delta]$ . Let

$$\hat{\cdot} : B_{\hat{q}} \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbf{K}} B_\lambda, \quad \hat{\cdot}(b) = (b|_{\hat{q}=\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbf{K}}.$$

Then  $\hat{\cdot}$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra homomorphism such that  $\hat{\cdot}(\hat{q}) = (\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbf{K}}$  and  $\hat{\cdot}(a) = (a)_{\lambda \in \mathbf{K}}$  for all  $a \in A$ . Set

$$\hat{B} = \hat{\cdot}^{-1}(\gamma(B)).$$

It is clear that  $\hat{B}$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -subalgebra of  $B_{\hat{q}}$  and that there exists the composition of  $\Gamma$  and  $\hat{\cdot}$

$$(2) \quad \hat{\Gamma} : \hat{B} \xrightarrow{\hat{\cdot}} \gamma(B) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} B_1, \quad \hat{\Gamma}(b) = \Gamma(\hat{\cdot}(b)).$$

- LEMMA 4. (1)  $(\hat{q} - 1)^{-1} \notin \hat{B}$  and  $A \subseteq \hat{B}$ .  
 (2)  $\hat{\Gamma}(z) = z, \hat{\Gamma}(\hat{q}) = 1$  and  $\hat{\Gamma}(a) = a$  for all  $a \in A$ .  
 (3) For any ideal  $I$  of  $\hat{B}$ ,  $\hat{\Gamma}(I)$  is a Poisson ideal of  $B_1$ .

*Proof.* [5, Remark 3.2] and [9, Theorem 1.4].  $\square$

LEMMA 5. *Let  $q$  be an element of  $\mathbf{K}$ . Then  $B_q = \widehat{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $q$  can take any element of  $\mathbf{K}$ ,  $q$  plays a role as a parameter taking values in  $\mathbf{K}$  and thus  $q$  is equal to  $\widehat{q}$  as parameters. Since  $q$  is an element of  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $B_q = B/(t - q)B \cong A[z; (q - 1)\delta]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f \in B_q &\Leftrightarrow f = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i(q)z^i \text{ for some } a_i(t) \in \mathbf{k}[t] \\ &\Leftrightarrow f = \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i(t)z^i \right) \Big|_{t=q} \\ &\Leftrightarrow f = \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i(t)z^i \right) \Big|_{t=\widehat{q}} \in \widehat{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $B_q = \widehat{B}$ . (cf., [5, Lemma 3.6])  $\square$

Let  $R$  be an algebra. The spectrum of  $R$ , denoted by  $\text{Spec } R$ , is the set of all prime ideals of  $R$  equipped with the Zariski topology. The primitive spectrum, denoted by  $\text{Prim } R$ , is the subspace of  $\text{Spec } R$  consisting of all primitive ideals of  $R$ . Similarly, let  $S$  be a Poisson algebra. The Poisson spectrum of  $S$ , denoted by  $\text{P. Spec } S$ , is the set of all Poisson prime ideals of  $S$  equipped with the Zariski topology. The Poisson primitive spectrum of  $S$ , denoted by  $\text{P. Prim } S$ , is the subspace of  $\text{P. Spec } S$  consisting of all Poisson primitive ideals of  $S$ . If  $S$  is noetherian then  $\text{P. Spec } S$  is a subspace of  $\text{Spec } S$  since Poisson prime is prime.

An ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is said to be  $\delta$ -ideal if  $\delta(I) \subseteq I$ . A  $\delta$ -ideal  $P$  is said to be  $\delta$ -prime if, for any  $\delta$ -ideals  $I$  and  $J$ ,  $IJ \subseteq P$  implies  $I \subseteq P$  or  $J \subseteq P$ .

LEMMA 6. *The map*

$$(3) \quad \varphi : \text{Spec } \widehat{B} \longrightarrow \text{P. Spec } B_1, \quad \varphi(P) = \widehat{\Gamma}(P)$$

*is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let us find  $\text{Spec } B_q$  and  $\text{P. Spec } B_1$ . Note that  $B_q \cong A[z; (q - 1)\delta]$  and  $B_1 \cong A[z; \delta]_p$  by Lemma 2 and Lemma 1, that  $\delta(A)B_q$  is an ideal of  $B_q$  and that  $\delta(A)B_1$  is a Poisson ideal of  $B_1$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Spec}_1 B_q &= \{P \in \text{Spec } B_q \mid \delta(A)B_q \subseteq P\} \\ \text{P. Spec}_1 B_1 &= \{P \in \text{P. Spec } B_1 \mid \delta(A)B_1 \subseteq P\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B_q = \widehat{B}$  by Lemma 5 and  $\widehat{\Gamma}(z) = z$ ,  $\widehat{\Gamma}(a) = a$  for all  $a \in A$  by Lemma 4(2), we have  $\widehat{\Gamma}(\delta(A)B_q) = \delta(A)B_1$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is bijective between  $\text{Spec}_1 B_q$  and  $\text{P.Spec}_1 B_1$  since

$$B_q/\delta(A)B_q \cong (A/\delta(A)A)[z] \cong B_1/\delta(A)B_1.$$

By [3, Lemma 3.2, 3.3] and [7, 2.2],

$\text{Spec } B_q \setminus \text{Spec}_1 B_q = \{IB_q | I \text{ is a } \delta\text{-prime ideal of } A \text{ such that } \delta(A) \not\subseteq I\}$ ,  
 $\text{Spec } B_1 \setminus \text{Spec}_1 B_1 = \{IB_1 | I \text{ is a } \delta\text{-prime ideal of } A \text{ such that } \delta(A) \not\subseteq I\}$ .

Hence  $\varphi$  is a bijection between  $\text{Spec } B_q \setminus \text{Spec}_1 B_q$  and  $\text{P.Spec } B_1 \setminus \text{P.Spec}_1 B_1$  since  $\widehat{\Gamma}(a) = a$  for all  $a \in A$ . It follows that  $\varphi$  in (3) is a homeomorphism from  $\text{Spec } B_q$  onto  $\text{P.Spec } B_1$  by Lemma 5.  $\square$

Now we can prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 7.** [3, Theorem 3.6] *The map (3) induces a homeomorphism from  $\text{Spec } A[z; \delta]$  onto  $\text{P.Spec } A[z; \delta]_p$  such that its restriction to  $\text{Prim } A[z; \delta]$  is also a homeomorphism onto  $\text{P.Prim } A[z; \delta]_p$ .*

*Proof.* The map (3) induces a homeomorphism from  $\text{Spec } A[z; \delta]$  onto  $\text{P.Spec } A[z; \delta]_p$  by Lemma 2, Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 since  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  is a map preserving inclusions. Moreover, the restriction of (3) to  $\text{Prim } A[z; \delta]$  is also a homeomorphism onto  $\text{P.Prim } A[z; \delta]_p$  by [3, Corollary 4.4].  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

The second author is supported by Chungnam Nationality University Grant.

## References

1. E.-H. Cho and S.-Q. Oh, *Semiclassical limits of Ore extensions and a Poisson generalized Weyl algebra*, Letters in Math. Phys. **106** (2016), no. 7, 997-1009.
2. K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield, *An introduction to noncommutative noetherian rings*, London Mathematical Society Student Text 16, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
3. D. A. Jordan, *Ore extensions and Poisson algebras*, Glasgow Math. J. **56** (2014), no. 2, 355-368.
4. A. P. Kitchin and S. Launois, *Endomorphisms of quantum generalized Weyl algebras*, Letters in Math. Phys. **104** (2014), 837-848.
5. N.-H. Myung and S.-Q. Oh, *Automorphism groups of Weyl algebras*, arXiv.org: [math.RA] (2017).
6. S.-Q. Oh, *Poisson polynomial rings*, Comm. Algebra, **34** (2006), 1265-1277.

7. ———, *Poisson prime ideals of Poisson polynomial rings*, Comm. Algebra, **35** (2007), 3007-3012.
8. ———, *Quantum and Poisson structures of multi-parameter symplectic and Euclidean spaces*, J. Algebra, **319** (2008), 4485-4535.
9. ———, *A natural map from a quantized space onto its semiclassical limit and a multi-parameter Poisson Weyl algebra*, Comm. Algebra, **45** (2017), 60-75.
10. S.-Q. Oh and M.-Y. Park, *Relationship between quantum and Poisson structures of odd dimensional Euclidean spaces*, Comm. Algebra, **38** (2010), no. 9, 3333-3346.

\*

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: ehcho@cnu.ac.kr

\*\*

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: sqoh@cnu.ac.kr