



Cancer Metabolism: a Hope for Curing Cancer

Soo-Youl Kim*

Cancer Microenvironment Branch, Division of Cancer Biology, Research Institute, National Cancer Center, Goyang 10408, Republic of Korea

There must be two questions in cancer biology. First, we can ask what causes cancer. It is fundamentally based upon genetic mutations and multiple carcinogenic progress (Vogelstein and Kinzler, 1993; Weinberg, 1989). The question is answered by a series of mechanism studies against a selected targets in cancer biology, which lead to a discovery of new mechanisms to understand cancer physiology as well as response against anti-cancer treatment. However, discovery of a new target and revealing a novel molecular mechanism of cancer is helpless to suggest therapeutic approach because tumor is composed of cancer cells containing infinite target variant mutation combination (Greaves and Maley, 2012). Some thoughtful scientists cautioned that discoveries by genomic approach is wrong way of developing cancer therapeutics due to genomic instability in cancer (Gabor Miklos, 2005).

Second one is that we can ask how to cure cancer. It is answered by screening based on anti-cancer efficacy through targeting simply tumor growth such as 5-fluorouracil (Heidelberg *et al.*, 1957) or targeting specific molecular targets such as imatinib against BCR-ABL fusion gene (Druker *et al.*, 1996). The question resulted in FDA approved anti-cancer drugs as cytotoxic drugs including alkylating agents, anti-mitotic agents, topoisomerase inhibitor, and DNA/RNA anti-metabolites, or as targeted drugs including inhibitors of tyrosine receptor kinases, Wnt signaling, RAS signaling, and mTOR signaling. During last two decades, every efforts on cancer therapy was focused on abrogating biomolecule synthesis by targeting growth signaling such as receptor tyrosine kinases including EGFR, VEGFR, PDGFR, and downstream kinases of them including PI3K, AKT, ERK, and final downstream signaling of biomolecule synthesis such as mTOR (Engelman *et al.*, 2006). However, blocking a signaling pathway always makes cancer cell opening alternative signaling detours to transfer growth signal. Finally, when we blocked the translation master mTOR, cancer cells evade shortage of biomolecule supply through autophagy (Janku *et al.*, 2011) or alternative signaling activation through mTORC2 activation (Harada *et al.*, 2013).

These phenomena often observed in the clinic as recurrent cancer or drug resistance cancer, which resulted in a therapeutic limit. Therefore, an alternative therapeutic approach is needed beyond blocking translation signal. Regulating cancer metabolism is perfectly fit to this demand and co-operative with current drug development, because it is totally different domain of cancer regulation to compare to the conventional strategy (Kim, 2015a, 2015b). Historically, it has been suggested that molecular and cellular mechanisms of many anti-cancer drugs are associated with metabolic regulation (Table 1). People disappointed with the limit of therapeutic response by metabolic regulation. But it can be overcome soon because the metabolic bypath was not counted in cancer. Once we identify correct target as well as bypath in a certain type of cancer, it will be very easy to control cancer without any harm to normal cell. It is demonstrated that a simple combination of low glucose supply and inhibition of lactate uptake made a critical damage to cancer growth (Sonveaux *et al.*, 2008).

We need to find effective targets responsible for cancer supply as well as develop effective inhibitors against them. Increasing number of promising targets for regulating cancer metabolism have been reported (Galluzzi *et al.*, 2013). The most veiled part of understanding is that the metabolic switch of cancer cells is different from destined metabolic profiles in normal cells. Current studies of regulating cancer metabolism reveal shapes of therapeutic directions, which suggests drugs against metabolism. More evidences and more clever combinations will be available for cancer therapy in the very near future with powerful arsenals from medicines of metabolic diseases (Kim, 2015a, 2015b).

In this special review, we have five parts of cancer metabolism including a general introduction, an anabolic metabolism, a catabolic metabolism, a metabolic regulation, and a imaging metabolism. A general trend in cancer metabolism research was reviewed by Dang and Kim (2018), which includes cancer specific metabolism as well as metabolic adaptation in tumor microenvironment including immunity. A recent update of

Open Access <https://doi.org/10.4062/biomolther.2017.300>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received Sep 25, 2017 Revised Nov 2, 2017 Accepted Nov 25, 2017
Published Online Dec 7, 2017

*Corresponding Author

E-mail: kimsooyoul@gmail.com
Tel: +82-31-920-2221, Fax: +82-31-920-2006

Table 1. Anti-cancer therapeutics to modulate cancer metabolism

Metabolic targets	Metabolic pathways	Drugs	Application	Reference
CPT1 (Carnitine O-palmitoyl-transferase1)	β-oxidation	Etomoxir Oxfenicine		(Samudio <i>et al.</i> , 2010)
Mitochondrial Complex I	Oxidative phosphorylation	Metformin Phenformin	Phenformin is not available, and metformin is drug for type II diabetes	(El-Mir <i>et al.</i> , 2000)
Hexokinases	Glycolysis	2-deoxyglucose 3-bromopyruvate Lonidamine	Clinical trial of these drugs has been discontinued	(Galluzzi <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
PDK1 (Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase1)	TCA cycle	Dichloroacetate	DCA is an approved drug for lactic acidosis	(Michelakis <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
HMGCR (3-hydroxy-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase)	Mevalonate pathway	Statins	Statins are drug for hypercholesterolaemia	(Cao <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
mTOR (Mechanistic target of rapamycin)	Cell growth	Rapalogues Torins	Rapalogues are drug for graft rejection too.	(Sabatini, 2006)
Cyclooxygenase 2	Cell growth	Aspirin	It is an anti-inflammatory drug.	(Hawley <i>et al.</i> , 2012)

heterogeneous anabolic metabolism including glycolysis, glutaminolysis, and pentose phosphate pathway in cancer were reviewed by Neugent *et al.* (2018), Choi and Park (2018), and Cho *et al.* (2018), respectively. A catabolic metabolism especially in cancer energy metabolism was reviewed by Kim (2018) although main pathway of catabolic energy metabolism in cancer remains to be clarified. A metabolic regulation focused on epigenetic modifications was reviewed by Kim and Yeom (2018) that is an important non-genetic factor for tumor progression. A metabolic regulation mediated by transcription factor of NRF2 was reviewed by Jung *et al.* (2018) that is an important genetic factor for tumor progression. Oncogene-driven metabolic regulation such as RAS, MYC and EGFR was also reviewed by Min and Lee (2018). A recent technical advance of imaging cancer metabolism including multi-modality imaging was reviewed by Momcilovic and Shackelford (2018), which suggests clinical approaches for the ultimate goal of improving detection, diagnosis and treatment of cancer patients.

REFERENCES

- Cao, Z., Fan-Minogue, H., Bellovin, D. I., Yevtodiynko, A., Arzeno, J., Yang, Q., Gambhir, S. S. and Felsher, D. W. (2011) MYC phosphorylation, activation, and tumorigenic potential in hepatocellular carcinoma are regulated by HMG-CoA reductase. *Cancer Res.* **71**, 2286-2297.
- Cho, E. S., Cha, Y. H., Kim, H. S., Kim, N. H. and Yook, J. I. (2018) The pentose phosphate pathway as a potential target for cancer therapy. *Biomol. Ther. (Seoul)* **26**, 29-38.
- Choi, Y.-K. and Park, K.-G. (2018) Targeting glutamine metabolism for cancer treatment. *Biomol. Ther. (Seoul)* **26**, 19-28.
- Dang, C. V. and Kim, J.-w. (2018) Convergence of cancer metabolism and immunity: an overview. *Biomol. Ther. (Seoul)* **26**, 4-9.
- Druker, B. J., Tamura, S., Buchdunger, E., Ohno, S., Segal, G. M., Fanning, S., Zimmermann, J. and Lydon, N. B. (1996) Effects of a selective inhibitor of the Abl tyrosine kinase on the growth of Bcr-Abl positive cells. *Nat. Med.* **2**, 561-566.
- El-Mir, M. Y., Nogueira, V., Fontaine, E., Averet, N., Rigoulet, M. and Leverve, X. (2000) Dimethylbiguanide inhibits cell respiration via an indirect effect targeted on the respiratory chain complex I. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 223-228.
- Engelman, J. A., Luo, J. and Cantley, L. C. (2006) The evolution of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases as regulators of growth and metabolism. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **7**, 606-619.
- Gabor Miklos, G. L. (2005) The human cancer genome project—one more misstep in the war on cancer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **23**, 535-537.
- Galluzzi, L., Kepp, O., Vander Heiden, M. G. and Kroemer, G. (2013) Metabolic targets for cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **12**, 829-846.
- Greaves, M. and Maley, C. C. (2012) Clonal evolution in cancer. *Nature* **481**, 306-313.
- Harada, K., Miyake, H., Kumano, M. and Fujisawa, M. (2013) Acquired resistance to temsirolimus in human renal cell carcinoma cells is mediated by the constitutive activation of signal transduction pathways through mTORC2. *Br. J. Cancer* **109**, 2389-2395.
- Hawley, S. A., Fullerton, M. D., Ross, F. A., Schertzer, J. D., Chevtzoff, C., Walker, K. J., Pegg, M. W., Zibrova, D., Green, K. A., Mustard, K. J., Kemp, B. E., Sakamoto, K., Steinberg, G. R. and Hardie, D. G. (2012) The ancient drug salicylate directly activates AMP-activated protein kinase. *Science* **336**, 918-922.
- Heidelberger, C., Chaudhuri, N. K., Danneberg, P., Mooren, D., Griesbach, L., Duschinsky, R., Schnitzer, R. J., Plevin, E. and Scheiner, J. (1957) Fluorinated pyrimidines, a new class of tumour-inhibitory compounds. *Nature* **179**, 663-666.
- Janku, F., McConkey, D. J., Hong, D. S. and Kurzrock, R. (2011) Autophagy as a target for anticancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **8**, 528-539.
- Jung, B.-J., Yoo, H.-S., Shin, S., Park, H.-J. and Jeon, S.-M. (2018) Dysregulation of NRF2 in cancer: from molecular mechanisms to therapeutic opportunities. *Biomol. Ther. (Seoul)* **26**, 57-68.
- Kim, J.-A. and Yeom, Y. I. (2018) Metabolic signaling to epigenetic alterations in cancer. *Biomol. Ther. (Seoul)* **26**, 69-80.
- Kim, S. Y. (2015a) Cancer metabolism: strategic diversion from targeting cancer drivers to targeting cancer suppliers. *Biomol. Ther. (Seoul)* **23**, 99-109.
- Kim, S. Y. (2015b) Cancer metabolism: targeting cancer universality. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **38**, 299-301.
- Kim, S.-Y. (2018) Cancer energy metabolism: shutting power off can-

- cer factory. *Biomol. Ther. (Seoul)* **26**, 39-44.
- Michelakis, E. D., Webster, L. and Mackey, J. R. (2008) Dichloroacetate (DCA) as a potential metabolic-targeting therapy for cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* **99**, 989-994.
- Min, H.-Y. and Lee, H.-Y. (2018) Oncogene-driven metabolic alterations in cancer. *Biomol. Ther. (Seoul)* **26**, 45-56.
- Momcilovic, M. and Shackelford, D. B. (2018) Imaging cancer metabolism. *Biomol. Ther. (Seoul)* **26**, 81-92.
- Neugent, M. L., Goodwin, J., Sankaranarayanan, I., Yetkin, C. E., Hsieh, M. H. and Kim, J.-w. (2018) A new perspective on the heterogeneity of cancer glycolysis. *Biomol. Ther. (Seoul)* **26**, 10-18.
- Sabatini, D. M. (2006) mTOR and cancer: insights into a complex relationship. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **6**, 729-734.
- Samudio, I., Harmancey, R., Fiegl, M., Kantarjian, H., Konopleva, M., Korchin, B., Kaluarachchi, K., Bornmann, W., Duvvuri, S., Taegtmeier, H. and Andreeff, M. (2010) Pharmacologic inhibition of fatty acid oxidation sensitizes human leukemia cells to apoptosis induction. *J. Clin. Invest.* **120**, 142-156.
- Sonveaux, P., Vegran, F., Schroeder, T., Wergin, M. C., Verrax, J., Rabbani, Z. N., De Saedeleer, C. J., Kennedy, K. M., Diepart, C., Jordan, B. F., Kelley, M. J., Gallez, B., Wahl, M. L., Feron, O. and Dewhirst, M. W. (2008) Targeting lactate-fueled respiration selectively kills hypoxic tumor cells in mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* **118**, 3930-3942.
- Vogelstein, B. and Kinzler, K. W. (1993) The multistep nature of cancer. *Trends Genet.* **9**, 138-141.
- Weinberg, R. A. (1989) Oncogenes, antioncogenes, and the molecular bases of multistep carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res.* **49**, 3713-3721.