

ON THE COEFFICIENTS OF GAMMA-STARLIKE FUNCTIONS

DEREK K. THOMAS

ABSTRACT. We give several sharp estimates for some initial coefficients problems for the so-called gamma starlike functions f , analytic and univalent in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and normalized so that $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$, and satisfying $\operatorname{Re} \left[\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^\gamma \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^{1-\gamma} \right] > 0$.

1. Introduction and definitions

Denote by \mathcal{A} the class of functions f analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ with Taylor series

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Let \mathcal{S} be the subclass of \mathcal{A} , consisting of univalent functions. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called starlike, if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike (with respect to the origin), and convex if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex. Let \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{C} denote the classes of starlike and convex functions in \mathcal{S} respectively. It is well-known that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to \mathcal{S}^* if, and only, if $\operatorname{Re} (zf'(z)/f(z)) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Similarly, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to \mathcal{C} if and only if $\operatorname{Re} (1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus it is easy to see that $f \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $zf' \in \mathcal{S}^*$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the class \mathcal{M}_α of α -convex functions defined by

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] > 0,$$

is well-known, and contains a great many interesting properties, the most basic being that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{S}^*$ [4, 7–9]. Thus \mathcal{M}_α is a natural subset of \mathcal{S} , with $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{C}$.

Received February 8, 2017; Revised July 24, 2017; Accepted October 31, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases. univalent, starlike, convex, gamma-starlike, coefficients.

In contrast, the corresponding class \mathcal{M}^γ of so-called gamma-starlike functions, defined for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^\gamma \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^{1-\gamma} \right] > 0,$$

has been less well studied. We note again that $\mathcal{M}^0 = \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}^1 = \mathcal{C}$. The presence of powers in (1.2) obviously creates difficulties, and is probably the reason why relatively little appears to be known about \mathcal{M}^γ . However, as in the case of \mathcal{M}_α , functions in \mathcal{M}^γ are also contained in \mathcal{S}^* [5], again providing a natural subset of \mathcal{S} .

It is the purpose of this paper to give a series of sharp inequalities involving the initial coefficients of functions in \mathcal{M}^γ , which complete and extend those given in [2], resulting in most of what is now known about these problems.

2. Preliminaries

We shall need the following lemmas concerning functions with positive real part, (see e.g. [1, 6]).

Denote by \mathcal{P} , the set of functions p analytic in \mathbb{D} with Taylor expansion $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$, and satisfying $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For some complex valued y with $|y| \leq 1$, and some complex valued ζ with $|\zeta| \leq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} 2p_2 &= p_1^2 + y(4 - p_1^2), \\ 4p_3 &= p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)p_1 y - p_1(4 - p_1^2)y^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |y|^2)\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *$|p_n| \leq 2$ for $n \geq 1$, and*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| p_2 - \frac{\mu}{2} p_1^2 \right| &\leq \max\{2, 2|\mu - 1|\} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2, & 0 \leq \mu \leq 2, \\ 2|\mu - 1|, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. *If $0 \leq B \leq 1$, and $B(2B - 1) \leq D \leq B$, then*

$$|p_3 - 2Bp_1p_2 + Dp_1^3| \leq 2.$$

Lemma 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned} |p_3 - (1 + \mu)p_1p_2 + \mu p_1^3| &\leq \max\{2, 2|2\mu - 1|\} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2, & 0 \leq \mu \leq 1, \\ 2|2\mu - 1|, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

3. The coefficients of $f(z)$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^\gamma$ for $\gamma \geq 0$, and be given by (1.1).*

Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2}{1 + \gamma},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3(1+3\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2(1+2\gamma)}, & \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}(7 + \sqrt{57}), \\ \frac{1}{1+2\gamma}, & \gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}(7 + \sqrt{57}), \end{cases}$$

$$|a_4| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2(18+113\gamma+292\gamma^2+7\gamma^3+2\gamma^4)}{9(1+\gamma)^3(1+2\gamma)(1+3\gamma)}, & 0 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_0, \\ \frac{2}{3(1+3\gamma)}, & \gamma \geq \gamma_0, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma_0 = 6.794\dots$ is the unique positive root of $15+98\gamma+265\gamma^2-14\gamma^3-4\gamma^4 = 0$.

All the inequalities are sharp.

Proof. From (1.2), write

$$\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{1-\gamma} = p(z),$$

where $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Then equating coefficients gives

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{p_1}{1+\gamma}, \\ a_3 &= \frac{(2+7\gamma-\gamma^2)p_1^2}{4(1+\gamma)^2(1+2\gamma)} + \frac{p_2}{2(1+2\gamma)}, \\ a_4 &= \frac{(6+23\gamma+154\gamma^2-47\gamma^3+8\gamma^4)p_1^3}{36(1+\gamma)^3(1+2\gamma)(1+3\gamma)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(3+19\gamma-4\gamma^2)p_1p_2}{6(1+\gamma)(1+2\gamma)(1+3\gamma)} + \frac{p_3}{3(1+3\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality for $|a_2|$ is trivial.

The first inequality for $|a_3|$, is obvious on noting that the coefficient of p_1^2 is positive when $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}(7 + \sqrt{57})$, and applying the inequalities $|p_1| \leq 2$ and $|p_2| \leq 2$. The second inequality follows by a simple application of Lemma 2.2.

For $|a_4|$, write

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{3(1+3\gamma)} \left(p_3 - \frac{(4\gamma^2 - 19\gamma - 3)p_1p_2}{2(1+\gamma)(1+2\gamma)} + \frac{(6+23\gamma+154\gamma^2-47\gamma^3+8\gamma^4)p_1^3}{12(1+\gamma)^3(1+2\gamma)} \right).$$

Then since the coefficients of p_1p_2 and p_1^3 are positive when $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{8}(19 + \sqrt{409})$, the first inequality for $|a_4|$ is valid on this interval on using the inequalities $|p_n| \leq 2$ for $n = 1, 2$ and 3 .

We now use Lemma 2.3 (in the case $B = D$), with $B = \frac{4\gamma^2-19\gamma-3}{4(1+\gamma)(1+2\gamma)}$.

First note that $0 \leq B \leq 1$, when $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{8}(19 + \sqrt{409})$, and so writing

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{3(1+3\gamma)} \left(p_3 - 2Bp_1p_2 + Bp_1^3 + (D-B)p_1^3 \right),$$

with $D = \frac{6+23\gamma+154\gamma^2-47\gamma^3+8\gamma^4}{12(1+\gamma)^3(1+2\gamma)}$, we see that $D - B \geq 0$ when $\frac{1}{8}(19 + \sqrt{409}) \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_0$.

Applying the inequality $|p_3 - 2Bp_1p_2 + Bp_1^3| \leq 2$ from Lemma 2.3, and again using the inequalities $|p_n| \leq 2$ for $n = 1, 2$ and 3 , gives the first inequality for $|a_4|$ on the interval $\frac{1}{8}(19 + \sqrt{409}) \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_0$.

Thus it remains to establish the inequality for $|a_4|$ on the interval $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$. We again use Lemma 2.3.

It is easy to see that both $0 \leq B \leq 1$ and $B(2B - 1) \leq D \leq B$ hold when $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$, and so applying Lemma 2.3 gives the second inequality for $|a_4|$ at once.

To see that the above inequalities are sharp, we note that equality is attained in the inequality for $|a_2|$, and the first inequalities for $|a_3|$ and $|a_4|$ when $f(z)$ in (3.1) is chosen so that

$$\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{1-\gamma} = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

The second inequality for $|a_3|$ is sharp when

$$\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{1-\gamma} = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2},$$

and the second inequality for $|a_4|$ is sharp when

$$\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{1-\gamma} = \frac{1+z^3}{1-z^3}. \quad \square$$

4. The coefficients of $\log(f(z)/z)$

The logarithmic coefficients δ_n of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ are defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n z^n,$$

and play a central role in the theory of univalent functions. On differentiating $\log \frac{f(z)}{z}$, it is a trivial consequence of the inequality $|p_n| \leq 2$, that for $n \geq 1$, $|\delta_n| \leq 1/n$ when $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$, and $|\delta_n| \leq 1/2n$ when $f \in \mathcal{C}$.

However when $f \in \mathcal{M}^\gamma$, the same procedure does not give a convenient expression in terms of $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)$, or $zf'(z)/f(z)$, unless $\gamma = 0$ or 1 . We show next that it is however possible to obtain sharp estimates for the modulus of the initial coefficients of $\log \frac{f(z)}{z}$ when $f \in \mathcal{M}^\gamma$.

We prove the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^\gamma$ for $\gamma \geq 0$, and the coefficients of $\log \frac{f(z)}{z}$ be given by (4.1).*

Then

$$|\delta_1| \leq \frac{1}{1+\gamma},$$

$$|\delta_2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1+5\gamma}{2(1+\gamma)^2(1+2\gamma)}, & 0 \leq \gamma \leq 3, \\ \frac{1}{2(1+2\gamma)}, & \gamma \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

$$|\delta_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3+11\gamma+121\gamma^2+7\gamma^3+2\gamma^4}{9(1+\gamma)^3(1+2\gamma)(1+3\gamma)}, & 0 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_1, \\ \frac{1}{3(1+3\gamma)}, & \gamma \geq \gamma_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma_1 = 3.3751\dots$ is the unique positive root of the equation $2 - 47\gamma + 7\gamma^2 + 2\gamma^3 = 0$.

All the inequalities are sharp.

Proof. First note that differentiating (4.1), and equating coefficients gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= \frac{1}{2}a_2, \\ \delta_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(a_3 - \frac{1}{2}a_2^2\right), \\ \delta_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(a_4 - a_2a_3 + \frac{1}{3}a_2^3\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 2.1, the inequality for $|\delta_1|$ is trivial.

For $|\delta_2|$ substituting for a_2 and a_3 we obtain

$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{4(1+2\gamma)}\left(p_2 - \frac{(-3+\gamma)\gamma p_1^2}{2(1+\gamma)^2}\right),$$

and applying Lemma 2.2 with $\mu = \frac{(-3+\gamma)\gamma}{(1+\gamma)^2}$, easily gives the inequalities for $|\delta_2|$.

For δ_3 , we again substitute from (3.1) to obtain

$$\delta_3 = \frac{\gamma(-17+23\gamma-10\gamma^2+4\gamma^3)p_1^3}{36(1+\gamma)^3(1+2\gamma)(1+3\gamma)} + \frac{\gamma(5-2\gamma)p_1p_2}{6(1+\gamma)(1+2\gamma)(1+3\gamma)} + \frac{p_3}{6(1+3\gamma)}.$$

First note that since the coefficients of p_1^3 , p_1p_2 and p_3 are all positive on $1 \leq \gamma \leq 5/2$, using the inequality $|p_n| \leq 2$ for $n = 1, 2, 3$, the first inequality for $|\delta_3|$ in Theorem 4.1 follows when $1 \leq \gamma \leq 5/2$.

Next write the above expression for δ_3 as

$$\delta_3 = \frac{1}{6(1+3\gamma)}(p_3 - 2Bp_1p_2 + Dp_1^3),$$

where

$$B = \frac{\gamma(2\gamma-5)}{(1+\gamma)(1+2\gamma)} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \frac{\gamma(-17+23\gamma-10\gamma^2+4\gamma^3)}{6(1+\gamma)^3(1+2\gamma)}.$$

We now use Lemma 2.3, so that $0 \leq B \leq 1$, when $\gamma \geq 5/2$, and $B(2B-1) \leq D \leq B$, when $\gamma \geq \gamma_1$, and so Lemma 2.3 gives the second bound for $|\delta_3|$ in Theorem 4.1 when $\gamma \geq \gamma_1$.

Next write

$$\delta_3 = \frac{1}{6(1+3\gamma)}(p_3 - 2Bp_1p_2 + Bp_1^3 + (D-B)p_1^3),$$

and note that $D - B \geq 0$ when $0.428\dots \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_1$.

We now use Lemma 2.3 with $B = D$, and recalling that since $0 \leq B \leq 1$, we also require that $\gamma \geq 5/2$, to obtain the first inequality for $|\delta_3|$ on the interval $5/2 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_1$.

Thus we are left to prove the first inequality for $|\delta_3|$ on the interval $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

We now use Lemma 2.1 to express the coefficients p_2 and p_3 in terms of p_1 to obtain, after simplification, normalizing the coefficient p_1 so that $p_1 = p$ where $0 \leq p \leq 2$, and finally using the triangle inequality,

$$|\delta_3| \leq \frac{(3 + 11\gamma + 121\gamma^2 + 7\gamma^3 + 2\gamma^4)p^3}{72(1 + \gamma)^3(1 + 2\gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)} + \frac{(1 + 8\gamma)p(4 - p^2)|y|}{12(1 + \gamma)(1 + 2\gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)} \\ + \frac{p(4 - p^2)|y|^2}{24(1 + 3\gamma)} + \frac{(4 - p^2)(1 - |y|^2)}{12(1 + 3\gamma)} := \phi(p, |y|).$$

We now use elementary calculus to find the maximum of the above expression.

It is easily verified that differentiating $\phi(p, |y|)$ with respect to p and then $|y|$ and equating to zero shows that the only admissible turning points when $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ are when $p = |y| = 0$, and when $p = 2$ and $|y| = \frac{(1 + \gamma + 103\gamma^2 - 7\gamma^3 - 2\gamma^4)}{4(1 + \gamma)^2(1 + 8\gamma)}$, which correspond to a maximum point and a saddle point respectively.

Thus when $p = |y| = 0$ we are led to the second required inequality for $|\delta_3|$, and when $p = 2$ and $|y| = \frac{(1 + \gamma + 103\gamma^2 - 7\gamma^3 - 2\gamma^4)}{4(1 + \gamma)^2(1 + 8\gamma)}$ to the first inequality.

Finally we consider the end points of $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$.

First note that for any value of γ , $\phi(0, |y|) = \frac{1 - |y|^2}{3(1 + 3\gamma)} \leq \frac{1}{3(1 + 3\gamma)}$, and

$$\phi(2, |y|) = \frac{(3 + 11\gamma + 121\gamma^2 + 7\gamma^3 + 2\gamma^4)}{9(1 + \gamma)^3(1 + 2\gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)}.$$

Next

$$\phi(p, 0) = \frac{(3 + 11\gamma + 121\gamma^2 + 7\gamma^3 + 2\gamma^4)p^3}{72(1 + \gamma)^3(1 + 2\gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)} + \frac{(4 - p^2)}{12(1 + 3\gamma)},$$

whose derivative increases with p when $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, again giving the first inequality for $|\delta_3|$.

Finally

$$\phi(p, 1) = \frac{(3 + 11\gamma + 121\gamma^2 + 7\gamma^3 + 2\gamma^4)p^3}{72(1 + \gamma)^3(1 + 2\gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)} + \frac{p(4 - p^2)}{24(1 + 3\gamma)} \\ + \frac{(1 + 8\gamma)p(4 - p^2)}{12(1 + \gamma)(1 + 2\gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)}.$$

The only critical point of this expression when $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ is when $p = 0$, and so checking the values at the end points gives the first inequality for $|\delta_3|$ once more.

The first inequality is sharp when $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 2$, and the second is sharp when $p_1 = 0$ and $p_3 = 2$. \square

5. The coefficients of the inverse function

Since $\mathcal{M}^\gamma \subset \mathcal{S}$, inverse functions f^{-1} exist defined in some disk $|\omega| < r_0(f)$.

Let

$$f^{-1}(\omega) = \omega + A_2\omega^2 + A_3\omega^3 + A_4\omega^4 + \dots.$$

Then since $f(f^{-1}(\omega)) = \omega$, equating coefficients gives

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A_2 &= -a_2, \\ A_3 &= 2a_2^2 - a_3, \\ A_4 &= -5a_2^3 + 5a_2a_3 - a_4. \end{aligned}$$

Incomplete estimates were given for these coefficients in [2]. We give the complete solution.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^\gamma$ for $\gamma \geq 0$, and f^{-1} be the inverse function of f . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} |A_2| &\leq \frac{2}{1+\gamma}, \\ |A_3| &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{5+7\gamma}{(1+\gamma)^2(1+2\gamma)}, & 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}(5 + \sqrt{41}), \\ \frac{1}{1+2\gamma}, & \gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}(5 + \sqrt{41}), \end{cases} \\ |A_4| &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{2(63+77\gamma+3\gamma^2+\gamma^3)}{9(1+\gamma)^3(1+3\gamma)}, & 0 \leq \gamma \leq 5, \\ \frac{2}{3(1+3\gamma)}, & \gamma \geq 5. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

All the inequalities are sharp.

Proof. The inequality for $|A_2|$ is trivial.

Using (3.1) and (5.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= \frac{p_2}{2(1+2\gamma)} - \frac{(6+9\gamma+\gamma^2)p_1^2}{4(1+\gamma)^2(1+2\gamma)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1+2\gamma)} \left(p_2 - \frac{(6+9\gamma+\gamma^2)p_1^2}{2(1+\gamma)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

A simple application of Lemma 2.2 with $\mu = \frac{6+9\gamma+\gamma^2}{(1+\gamma)^2}$, gives the inequalities for $|A_3|$.

Again from (3.1) and (5.1) we can write the expression for A_4 as

$$A_4 = \frac{1}{3(1+3\gamma)} \left(p_3 - 2Bp_1p_2 + Dp_1^3 \right),$$

where

$$B = \frac{6+\gamma}{2(1+\gamma)}, \quad \text{and} \quad D = \frac{48+73\gamma+21\gamma^2+2\gamma^3}{6(1+\gamma)^3}.$$

First note that $0 \leq B \leq 1$, when $\gamma \geq 4$, and $B(2B-1) \leq D \leq B$, when $\gamma \geq 5$, and so applying Lemma 2.3 gives the second inequality for $|A_4|$.

Next write

$$A_4 = \frac{1}{3(1+3\gamma)} \left(p_3 - 2Bp_1p_2 + Bp_1^3 + (D-B)p_1^3 \right).$$

Then since $D - B \geq 0$, when $0 \leq \gamma \leq 5$, and since $|p_3 - 2Bp_1p_2 + Bp_1^3| \leq 2$, (Lemma 2.3 with $D = B$), we obtain the first inequality for $|A_4|$ on the interval $4 \leq \gamma \leq 5$.

For the remaining interval $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$, we use Lemma 2.4.

Write

$$A_4 = \frac{1}{3(1+3\gamma)} \left(p_3 - (1+\mu)Bp_1p_2 + \mu p_1^3 + \frac{(18+13\gamma-9\gamma^2+2\gamma^3)}{6(1+\gamma)^3} p_1^3 \right),$$

with $\mu = 5/(1+\gamma)$.

Since μ lies outside $[0, 1]$, when $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$, and noting that $18 + 13\gamma - 9\gamma^2 + 2\gamma^3 \geq 0$, when $\gamma \geq 0$, applying Lemma 2.4 gives the first inequality for $|A_4|$ on this interval, which completes the proof of the theorem.

We note as before that equality is attained in the inequality for $|A_2|$, and the first inequalities for $|A_3|$ and $|A_4|$ when $p_1 = 2$, the second inequality for $|A_3|$ is sharp when $p_1 = p_2 = 2$, and the second inequality for $|A_4|$ is sharp when $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 2$. \square

6. The second Hankel determinant

The problem of finding sharp bounds for the second Hankel determinant $H_2(2) = |a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$ for subclasses of univalent functions has received much attention in recent years. Most authors have employed the technique developed in [3], which was used to find the sharp bounds for functions in \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{C} .

We now use the same method to give the sharp bounds for $H_2(2)$ when $f \in \mathcal{M}^\gamma$ when $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, noting that $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ correspond to \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{C} respectively [3].

Theorem 6.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^\gamma$ for $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, and be given by (1.1). Then*

$$H_2(2) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\gamma)(9+142\gamma+257\gamma^2+80\gamma^3+16\gamma^4)}{9(1+\gamma)^4(1+2\gamma)^2(1+3\gamma)} & \gamma \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{8} & \gamma = 1. \end{cases}$$

The inequalities are sharp.

Proof. First note that since $f \in \mathcal{M}^0 = \mathcal{S}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}^1 = \mathcal{C}$, the first inequality when $\gamma = 0$, and second inequality are proved in [3].

From (3.1) we have

$$H_2(2) = \frac{(-12 - 220\gamma - 361\gamma^2 - 45\gamma^3 + 25\gamma^4 + 37\gamma^5)}{144(1 + \gamma)^4(1 + 2\gamma)^2(1 + 3\gamma)} p_1^4 \\ + \frac{\gamma(11 + 8\gamma - 7\gamma^2)}{12(1 + \gamma)^2(1 + 2\gamma)^2(1 + 3\gamma)} p_1^2 p_2 - \frac{p_2^2}{4(1 + 2\gamma)^2} \\ + \frac{p_1 p_3}{3(1 + \gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)}.$$

We now use Lemma 2.1 to express p_2 and p_3 in term of p_1 , simplify the resulting expression, and normalizing the coefficient $p_1 = p$ so that $0 \leq p \leq 2$, to obtain, using the triangle inequality

$$H_2(2) \leq \frac{(1 - \gamma)(9 + 142\gamma + 257\gamma^2 + 80\gamma^3 + 16\gamma^4)}{144(1 + \gamma)^4(1 + 2\gamma)^2(1 + 3\gamma)} p^4 + \\ + \frac{(1 + 16\gamma + 19\gamma^2)p^2(4 - p^2)|y|}{24(1 + \gamma)^2(1 + 2\gamma)^2(1 + 3\gamma)} + \frac{p^2(4 - p^2)|y|^2}{12(1 + \gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)} \\ + \frac{(4 - p^2)^2|y|^2}{16(1 + 2\gamma)^2} + \frac{p(4 - p^2)(1 - |y|^2)}{6(1 + \gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)} := \Phi(p, |y|).$$

Thus we need to maximize $\Phi(p, |y|)$ over the rectangle $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$.

Differentiating $\Phi(p, |y|)$ with respect to p and then $|y|$ and equating to zero, shows that the only admissible critical point is when

$$p = 2, \quad y = \frac{(3 + 91\gamma + \gamma^2 - 327\gamma^3 - 160\gamma^4 - 40\gamma^5)}{3(1 + \gamma)^2(1 + 16\gamma + 19\gamma^2)},$$

which gives the required inequality for $H_2(2)$, provided $\gamma \neq 1$.

It remains therefore to check the values of $\Phi(p, |y|)$ at the end points of $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$, and simple calculus shows that at each of these point, the maximum value taken by $\Phi(p, |y|)$ gives the correct bound for $H_2(2)$.

Finally note that the inequalities are sharp when $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 2$. \square

References

- [1] R. M. Ali, *Coefficients of the inverse of strongly starlike functions*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) **26** (2003), no. 1, 63–71.
- [2] M. Darus and D. K. Thomas, *α -logarithmically convex functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1998), no. 10, 1049–1059.
- [3] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim, and M. Darus, *Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions*, Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse) **1** (2007), no. 13-16, 619–625.
- [4] P. K. Kulshrestha, *Coefficients for alpha-convex univalent functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 341–342.
- [5] Z. Lewandowski, S. Miller, and E. J. Złotkiewicz, *Gamma-starlike functions*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **28** (1974), 53–58 (1976).
- [6] R. J. Libera and E. J. Złotkiewicz, *Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1982), no. 2, 225–230.
- [7] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, and M. O. Reade, *All α -convex functions are starlike*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **17** (1972), 1395–1397.

- [8] ———, *All α -convex functions are univalent and starlike*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **37** (1973), 553–554.
- [9] P. G. Todorov, *Explicit formulas for the coefficients of α -convex functions, $\alpha \geq 0$* , Canad. J. Math. **39** (1987), no. 4, 769–783.

DEREK K. THOMAS
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SWANSEA UNIVERSITY
SINGLETON PARK, SWANSEA, SA2 8PP, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address: `d.k.thomas@swansea.ac.uk`