

Genome scan linkage analysis identifies a major quantitative trait loci for fatty acid composition in *longissimus dorsi* muscle in an F₂ intercross between Landrace and Korean native pigs

Hee-Bok Park^{1,a}, Sang-Hyun Han^{2,a}, Chae-Kyoung Yoo³, Jae-Bong Lee⁴, Ji-Hyang Kim¹, Kwang-Soo Baek¹, Jun-Kyu Son¹, Sang-Min Shin¹, Hyun-Tae Lim^{3,5,*}, and In-Cheol Cho^{1,*}

* Corresponding Authors: Hyun-Tae Lim
Tel: +82-55-772-1945, Fax: +82-55-772-1949,
E-mail: s_hitim@gnu.ac.kr
In-Cheol Cho
Tel: +82-64-754-5710, Fax: +82-64-754-5713,
E-mail: choic4753@korea.kr

¹Subtropical Livestock Research Institute, National Institute of Animal Science, Rural Development Administration, Jeju 63242, Korea

²Educational Science Research Institute, Jeju National University, Jeju 63243, Korea

³Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea

⁴Korea Zoonosis Research Institute, Chonbuk National University, Iksan 54531, Korea

⁵Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea

^a These authors contributed equally to this work.
Submitted Jul 22, 2016; Revised Oct 25, 2016;
Accepted Dec 29, 2016

Objective: This study was conducted to locate quantitative trait loci (QTL) influencing fatty acid (FA) composition in a large F₂ intercross between Landrace and Korean native pigs.

Methods: Eighteen FA composition traits were measured in more than 960 F₂ progeny. All experimental animals were genotyped with 165 microsatellite markers located throughout the pig autosomes.

Results: We detected 112 QTLs for the FA composition; Forty seven QTLs reached the genome-wide significant threshold. In particular, we identified a cluster of highly significant QTLs for FA composition on SSC12. QTL for polyunsaturated fatty acid on pig chromosome 12 (F -value = 97.2 under additive and dominance model, nominal p -value 3.6×10^{-39}) accounted for 16.9% of phenotypic variance. In addition, four more QTLs for C18:1, C18:2, C20:4, and mono-unsaturated fatty acids on the similar position explained more than 10% of phenotypic variance.

Conclusion: Our findings of a major QTL for FA composition presented here could provide helpful information to locate causative variants to improve meat quality traits in pigs.

Keywords: Fatty Acid; Quantitative Trait Locus; Genome-wide Linkage Analysis; Landrace; Korean Native Pigs

INTRODUCTION

Fatty acids (FAs) composition plays a crucial role in pork quality. For example, high linoleic acid (C18:2) contents in meat, which is deposited in muscle phospholipid at a high level, is associated with low juiciness and lower consumer acceptance [1]. In addition, FA composition regulates the oxidative stability of pork, which in turn influences muscle color and meat flavor [2]. Ingested FAs could enhance the susceptibility to cardiovascular disease in humans. Thus, pork may be considered as unhealthy food. However, several of FAs such as oleic, linoleic, and linolenic FAs are associated with a hypocholesterolemic condition associated with a lower risk of suffering cardiovascular disease [3,4]. Therefore, FA composition is relevant to human health.

Two types of native pigs exist in Korea: i) the Jeju native pigs raised on the Jeju Island, and ii) native pigs raised on the Korean Peninsula. The Jeju native pig has unique genetic characteristics that differ from those of the native pig in the Korean Peninsula since it has been isolated from the main Korean Peninsula for more than 1,000 years (hereafter we will refer to Jeju native pig as 'KNP' in this paper). The KNP has a uniformly black coat color and its overall growth performance is low, as for most indigenous breeds. However, it has excellent meat quality such as a white colored fat, solid fat structure, good marbling, and red meat color [5]. Although Cho et al [6] recently reported several quantitative trait loci (QTLs) influencing meat quality related traits,

studies on the genetic factors that influence the FA profile of KNP are still limited. Hence, this study presents the identification of novel and previously reported QTL influencing intramuscular FA composition in *longissimus dorsi* muscle in a large F₂ intercross between Landrace and KNP pigs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental animals

A three-generation resource population was generated and managed as described by Cho et al [7]. In brief, 19 purebred KNP were crossed to 17 purebred Landrace. A total of 91 F₁ progeny and 1,105 F₂ progeny (568 males and 537 females) from 79 full-sib families were generated. Whole experimental procedures were performed according to national and institutional guidelines and approved by the Ethical Committee of the National Institute of Animal Science.

Genotypes and phenotypes

All experimental animals were genotyped with 165 microsatellite markers located throughout the pig autosomes and linkage map construction was also according to Cho et al [7]. Eighteen of FA compositions were measured in F₂ progeny. A full description of phenotypic analysis can be found in our previous publication [8].

Statistical and quantitative trait loci analyses

We used a web-based GridQTL program to conduct QTL analysis (<http://www.gridqtl.org.uk/>). An interval mapping model based on least squares regression was used for QTL analysis, including the cofactors of sex, batch, and carcass weight. The *F*-ratios were computed at 1-cM intervals across the 18 autosomes. At the peak QTL location, the additive and dominance coefficients of each F₂ pig were extracted to obtain significance of each variable. After evaluating the nominal significance of the additive and dominance components, only the significant additive component was included for subsequent analysis. If only the dominance effect was significant, the dominance variable was included together with the additive variable, regardless of the significance of the additive coefficient. To address the multiple testing issue in QTL analysis, genome-wide empirical significant thresholds of the test statistic were obtained by 1,000 permutations of data [9]. Genome-wide thresholds for highly significant ($\alpha = 0.01$) and significant linkage ($\alpha = 0.05$) were employed. Suggestive linkage was employed using a 5% chromosome-wide threshold. The 1.5-logarithm of odds (LOD) drop method was used to estimate support intervals for identified QTL at the suggestive and significant levels of significance [10]. The verifications of novel QTL were done by comparing the flanking markers of previously reported QTL in the pig QTLdb (<http://www.animalgenome.org/QTLdb/pig>) with those of newly detected QTL in this study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Basic statistics on the phenotypic measurements of the FA traits have been described in our previous report [8]. Oleic acids (C18:1, 40.9%) were the most abundant, followed by palmitic acids (C16:0, 25.0%), and stearic acids (C18:0, 13.7%) in *longissimus dorsi* muscle. The results of QTL analysis are summarized in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1. A total of 112 QTLs were revealed across all pig autosomes. Forty seven QTLs reached the genome-wide significant threshold. In particular, we identified a cluster of highly significant QTLs for FA compositions on pig chromosome (SSC) 12 (Figure 1). Among these, the QTL for total polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) was identified with an *F*-value of 97.2 (nominal *p*-value 3.6×10^{-39}) and highest proportion of phenotypic variance was explained by this QTL (16.9%). This QTL hotspot on SSC 12 harbored additional extremely significant QTLs which explained more than 10% of phenotypic variances: the QTL for C18:2 was found with an *F*-value of 90.4 (nominal *p*-value 1.1×10^{-36}) and accounted for 15.9% of phenotypic variance, while the QTL for C20:4 was found with an *F*-value of 159.7 (nominal *p*-value 5.7×10^{-34}) and explained 14.3% of phenotypic variance. Two more QTLs explained more than 10% of phenotypic variance: the QTL for total monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA) was found with an *F*-value of 138.1 and explained 12.6% of phenotypic variance whereas the QTL for C18:1 was found with an *F*-value of 78.3 and explained 14.1% of phenotypic variance. At the similar position, eight QTLs for the percentage of C10:0, C12:0, C16:0, C17:0, C17:1, C18:0, C18:3, C20:0, and C20:1 were identified with highly significant threshold. We also mapped highly QTLs affecting total saturated fatty acid, and total unsaturated fatty acid on the similar region on SSC12. These QTLs accounted for up to 6.3% of phenotypic variance. With the exception of QTL for C20:1, detected significant QTLs on SSC12 were identified as novel. The clustering of highly significant FA composition QTL indicates that this chromosomal region would be expected to contain causative genes that impact on the fundamental biology of FA composition. Interestingly, this QTL region was co-localized with QTLs influencing meat quality traits (e.g., crude fat, meat color, etc.) with highly significance levels in our previous study [6].

Despite the large confidence interval for the detected QTLs, we would like to point out some apparent positional candidate genes. The QTL for C17:1, C18:1, C18:2, C20:4, MUFA, and PUFA maps to a region containing the fatty acid binding protein 3 (*FABP3*) gene on SSC6 [11].

The QTL regions on SSC8 (for 16:0 and C20:1) and 16 (for C12:0, C14:0, C17:1, C20:0, C20:0, C20:4) harbor the ELOVL fatty acid elongase 6 (*ELOVL6*) gene and the ELOVL fatty acid elongase 7 (*ELOVL7*) gene, respectively [12,13]. In the QTL region on SSC14, we found the stearyl-CoA desaturase (*SCD*) gene. We previously reported that the *SCD* gene has a substantial effect on FA composition in this study population [8]. Additionally, acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, very long chain, which is involved in

Table 1. Summary of identified significant QTLs for fatty acid composition

SSC	Trait	Position	F-ratio ¹⁾	Inheritance of mode ²⁾	%Var ³⁾	Support interval ⁴⁾	Reference ⁵⁾
1	C18:0	123	16.2*	A	1.7	SW962-SW1301	Ramayo-Caldas et al [15]
	C18:1	0	12.9*	A	1.3	SW1514-SW1515	
	SFA	123	9.3*	AD	1.9	SW803-SW1957	
	UFA	123	10.2**	AD	2.1	SW803-SW1957	
2	C16:0	22	13.7*	A	1.4	SW2623-SW776	Ramayo-Caldas et al [15]
3	C20:1	1	16.0*	A	1.6	APR22-SE47329	
4	C10:0	94	13.8*	A	1.4	SW1364-MP77	
6	C20:4	79	13.6*	A	1.4	APR8-S0059	
7	C17:0	63	21.7**	A	2.2	SW1369-SW147	Sanchez et al [16]; Guo et al [17] Sanchez et al [16]; Guo et al [17] Guo et al [17]
	C18:1	64	20.3**	A	2.1	207G8-4-SW252	
	C18:2	63	13.4*	A	1.4	SW1369-SW2108	
	MUFA	64	17.6**	A	1.8	207G8-4-SW632	
8	C14:0	77	21.9**	A	2.2	SW933-S0086	Estellé et al [18]; Ramayo-Caldas et al [15]; Zhang et al [19] Estellé et al [18]; Ramayo-Caldas et al [15]; Zhang et al [19] Guo et al [17]; Uemoto et al [20]
	C16:0	117	32.3**	A	3.3	S0069-SW790	
	C16:1	110	64.4**	A	6.3	S0069-SW790	
	C18:0	96	20.5**	A	2.1	SW444-S0144	
	C18:3	0	14.6*	A	1.5	SW2410-SW1345	
	C20:0	141	13.7*	A	1.4	S0144-KS188	
	C20:1	119	16.2*	A	1.7	S0086-SW790	
9	C16:0	145	13.2**	AD	2.7	SW2093-SW749	Nii et al [21]; Uemoto et al [20]
	C18:0	39	17.9**	A	1.9	SW911-SW1434	
	C20:0	145	13.1**	AD	2.7	SW2093-SW749	
	SFA	145	14.2**	AD	2.9	SW2093-SW749	
	UFA	145	13.9**	AD	2.8	SW2093-SW749	
12	C10:0	102	64.8**	A	6.3	S0106-SWR1021	Muñoz et al [22] Zhang et al [19] Sanchez et al [16]; Zhang et al [19] Sanchez et al [16]; Uemoto et al [20]
	C12:0	109	21.7**	A	2.2	SW1962-SWR1021	
	C16:0	111	49.7**	A	5.0	S0106-SWR1021	
	C17:0	110	57.9**	A	5.7	S0106-SWR1021	
	C17:1	112	32.6**	A	3.3	S0106-SWR1021	
	C18:0	99	18.4**	A	1.9	SW1962-SWR1021	
	C18:1	101	78.3**	AD	14.1	S0106-SWR1021	
	C18:2	101	90.4**	AD	15.9	S0106-SWR1021	
	C18:3	100	24.0**	AD	4.8	SW1962-SWR1021	
	C20:0	108	41.5**	A	4.2	S0106-SWR1021	
	C20:1	71	44.6**	A	4.4	SW957-SW1962	
	C20:4	101	159.7**	A	14.3	S0106-SWR1021	
	UFA	110	33.5**	A	3.4	SW1962-SWR1021	
	MUFA	101	138.0**	A	12.6	S0106-SWR1021	
PUFA	101	97.2**	AD	16.9	S0106-SWR1021		
14	C16:1	69	50.2**	A	5.0	SW2519-S0116	Sanchez et al [16]; Zhang et al [19] Sanchez et al [16]; Uemoto et al [20]
	C18:0	70	39.8**	A	4.1	SW2519-S0116	
	C20:1	55	13.0	A	1.3	SW1975-SW886	
	SFA	73	14.4*	A	1.5	SW2519-SW2515	
	UFA	72	16.0*	A	1.7	SW2519-SW2515	
16	C14:0	42	8.5*	AD	1.7	SW419-SW2517	Uemoto et al [23]; Quintanilla et al [24] Guo et al [17]; Zhang et al [19]
	C20:0	49	23.6**	A	2.4	SW419-SW2517	

QTL, quantitative trait loci; SFA, saturated fatty acid; UFA, unsaturated fatty acid; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; LOD, logarithm of odds.

¹⁾ Test statistic and level of significance: ** genome-wide 1%; * genome-wide 5%.

²⁾ A represents additive effect; AD represents additive and dominance effects.

³⁾ %Var is the reduction in residual variance of the F₂ population obtained by inclusion of a QTL at the given position.

⁴⁾ SI represents support interval of identified QTL estimated by the 1.5-LOD drop method.

⁵⁾ Papers reporting QTL at similar positions in Pig QTLdb compared with QTL from this study.

a fatty acid β -oxidation pathway, was found in the QTL interval on SSC12 [14].

In conclusion, the findings enhance our understanding of the

genetic structure of FA composition in *longissimus dorsi* muscle in pig and contribute to further high-resolution QTL analyses to delineate genes affecting muscle FA profile.




Figure 1. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) profiles for fatty acid composition traits on SSC12. The y-axis represents the *F*-value testing the hypothesis of a single QTL under additive model on a given position on the chromosome. The marker map with genetic distance between microsatellite (MS) markers in Kosambi cM is given on the x-axis. The horizontal line indicates the 5% genome-wide significant threshold.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We certify that there is no conflict of interest with any financial organization regarding the material discussed in the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by grants from Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development (Project No. PJ01010502 & PJ01262701) and Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of National Institute of Animal Science (2016), Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea.

REFERENCES

- Lawrence TLJ, Fowler VR. Growth of farm animals. 2nd ed. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing; 2002. p. 1-347.
- Wood JD, Enser M, Fisher AV, et al. Fat deposition, fatty acid composition and meat quality: A review. *Meat Sci* 2008;78:343-58.
- Kurushima H, Hayashi K, Toyota Y, Kambe M, Kajiyama G. Comparison of hypocholesterolemic effects induced by dietary linoleic acid and oleic acid in hamsters. *Atherosclerosis* 1995;114:213-21.
- Saha SS, Chakraborty A, Ghosh S, Ghosh M. Comparative study of hypocholesterolemic and hypolipidemic effects of conjugated linolenic acid isomers against induced biochemical perturbations and aberration in erythrocyte membrane fluidity. *Eur J Nutr* 2012;51:483-95.
- Park JC, Kim YH, Jung HJ, et al. Comparison of meat quality and physicochemical characteristics of pork between Korean native black pigs, (KNBP) and Landrace by market weight. *J Anim Sci Technol* 2005;47:91-8.
- Cho IC, Yoo CK, Lee JB, et al. Genome-wide QTL analysis of meat quality-related traits in a large F_2 intercross between Landrace and Korean native pigs. *Genet Sel Evol* 2015;47:7.
- Cho IC, Park HB, Yoo CK, et al. QTL analysis of white blood cell, platelet and red blood cell-related traits in an F_2 intercross between Landrace and Korean native pigs. *Anim Genet* 2011;42:621-6.
- Maharani D, Park HB, Lee JB, et al. Association of the gene encoding stearyl-CoA desaturase (*SCD*) with fatty acid composition in an intercross population between Landrace and Korean native pigs. *Mol Biol Rep* 2013;40:73-80.
- Churchill GA, Doerge RW. Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. *Genetics* 1994;138:963-71.
- Dupuis J, Siegmund D. Statistical methods for mapping quantitative trait loci from a dense set of markers. *Genetics* 1999;151:373-86.
- Zimmerman AW, Veerkamp JH. New insights into the structure and function of fatty acid-binding proteins. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2002;59:1096-116.
- Naganuma T, Sato Y, Sassa T, Ohno Y, Kihara A. Biochemical characterization of the very long-chain fatty acid elongase *ELOVL7*. *FEBS Lett* 2011;585:3337-41.
- Corominas J, Ramayo-Caldas Y, Puig-Oliveras A, et al. Polymorphism in the *ELOVL6* gene is associated with a major QTL effect on fatty acid composition in pigs. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e53687.
- Gregersen N, Andresen BS, Corydon MJ, et al. Mutation analysis in mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation defects: exemplified by acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiencies, with special focus on genotype-phenotype relationship. *Hum Mutat* 2001;18:169-89.
- Ramayo-Caldas Y, Mercadé A, Castelló A, et al. Genome-wide association study for intramuscular fatty acid composition in an Iberian × Landrace cross. *J Anim Sci* 2012;90:2883-93.

16. Sanchez MP, Iannuccelli N, Basso B, et al. Identification of QTL with effects on intramuscular fat content and fatty acid composition in a Duroc × Large White cross. *BMC Genet* 2007;8:55.
17. Guo T, Ren J, Yang K, et al. Quantitative trait loci for fatty acid composition in *longissimus dorsi* and abdominal fat: results from a White Duroc × Erhualian intercross F₂ population. *Anim Genet* 2009;40:185-91.
18. Estellé J, Fernández AI, Pérez-Enciso M, et al. A non-synonymous mutation in a conserved site of the *MTTP* gene is strongly associated with protein activity and fatty acid profile in pigs. *Anim Genet* 2009;40:813-20.
19. Zhang W, Zhang J, Cui L, et al. Genetic architecture of fatty acid composition in the *longissimus dorsi* muscle revealed by genome-wide association studies on diverse pig populations. *Genet Sel Evol* 2016;48:5.
20. Uemoto Y, Soma Y, Sato S, et al. Genome-wide mapping for fatty acid composition and melting point of fat in a purebred Duroc pig population. *Anim Genet* 2012;43:27-34.
21. Nii M, Hayashi T, Tani F, et al. Quantitative trait loci mapping for fatty acid composition traits in perirenal and back fat using a Japanese wild boar × Large White intercross. *Anim Genet* 2006;37:342-47.
22. Muñoz G, Alves E, Fernández A, et al. QTL detection on porcine chromosome 12 for fatty-acid composition and association analyses of the fatty acid synthase, gastric inhibitory polypeptide and acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha genes. *Anim Genet* 2007;38:639-46.
23. Uemoto Y, Sato S, Ohnishi C, et al. The effects of single and epistatic quantitative trait loci for fatty acid composition in a Meishan × Duroc crossbred population. *J Anim Sci* 2009;87:3470-6.
24. Quintanilla R, Pena RN, Gallardo D, et al. Porcine intramuscular fat content and composition are regulated by QTLs with muscle-specific effects. *J Anim Sci* 2011;89:2963-71.