

Note on Almost Generalized Pseudo-Ricci Symmetric Manifolds

KANAK KANTI BAISHYA

Department of Mathematics, Kurseong College, Dowhill Road, Kurseong, Darjeeling-734 203, West Bengal, India

e-mail: kanakkanti.kc@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. The purpose of the present paper is to study an almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold. The existence of such manifold is ensured by an example. Furthermore, having found, faulty example in [13], the present paper also attempts to construct a non-trivial example of an almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold.

1. Introduction

In the spirit of Chaki and Kawaguchi [9], a non-flat n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) ($n > 3$) is defined to be an almost pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold, if its Ricci tensor S of type $(0, 2)$ is not identically zero and satisfies the equation

$$(1.1) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, U) = [A(X) + B(X)]S(Y, U) + A(Y)S(X, U) + A(U)S(X, Y)$$

where A and B are two non-zero 1-forms defined by $A(X) = g(X, \theta)$ and $B(X) = g(X, \varrho) \forall X$, ∇ being the operator of the covariant differentiation. The local expression of the above equation is

$$(1.2) \quad R_{ik,l} = (A_l + B_l)R_{ik} + A_k R_{il} + A_i R_{lk},$$

where A_l and B_l are two non-zero co-vectors and comma followed by indices denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g . An n -dimensional manifold of this kind is abbreviated by $A(PRS)_n$.

Keeping in tune with Dubey[11], the author in [6] has introduced the notion of an *almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold* which is abbreviated by $A(GPRS)_n$ -manifold and defined as follows

Received October 18, 2016; accepted April 10, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C25.

Key words and phrases: almost generalized pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds, Z-tensor.

This work was supported by UGC, ERO-Kolkata, India.

A non-flat n -dimensional Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$, is termed as an almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold, if its Ricci tensor S of type $(0, 2)$ is not identically zero and admits the identity

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X S)(Y, U) &= [A(X) + B(X)]S(Y, U) + A(Y)S(X, U) + A(U)S(X, Y) \\ &+ [C(X) + D(X)]g(Y, U) + C(Y)g(X, U) + C(U)g(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

where A, B, C and D are non-zero 1-forms defined by $A(X) = g(X, \theta)$, $B(X) = g(X, \varrho)$, $C(X) = g(X, \pi)$ and $D(X) = g(X, \delta) \forall X$. The beauty of such $A(GPRS)_n$ -space is that it has the flavour of

- (1) Ricci symmetric space in the sense of Cartan (for $A = B = C = D = 0$),
- (2) Ricci recurrent space by E. M. Patterson [4] (for $B \neq 0$ and $A = C = D = 0$),
- (3) generalized Ricci recurrent space by De, Guha and Kamilya [14] (for $B \neq 0$, $D \neq 0$ and $A = C = 0$),
- (4) pseudo-Ricci symmetric space by Chaki [8] (for $A = B \neq 0$ and $C = D = 0$),
- (5) generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric space, by Baishya [5] (for $A = B \neq 0$ and $C = D \neq 0$) and
- (6) almost pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold by Chaki and Kawaguchi [9] (for $A = B \neq 0$ and $C = D = 0$).

We structured the present paper as follows: Section 2 is dealt with some basic properties of an almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold. In section 3, we have constructed a non-trivial example of an almost pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold which is not an almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold. Finally, it is investigated that there exists a Riemannian manifold (\mathbb{R}^4, g) which is an almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric for some choice of the 1-forms.

2. $A(GPRS)_n$ -manifold

In this section, we assume a non-flat n -dimensional Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ to be an almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold. Next, we consider that the 1-forms A and B are co-directional with that of C & D respectively, that is $C(X) = \phi A(X)$ & $D(X) = \phi B(X) \forall X$, where ϕ being a non-zero constant function, then the relation (1.3) turns into

$$(\nabla_X Z)(Y, U) = [A(X) + B(X)]Z(Y, U) + A(Y)Z(X, U) + A(U)Z(X, U)$$

where $Z(X, Y) = S(X, Y) + \phi g(X, Y)$ is well known Z -tensor introduced in [2, 3]. This leads to the following

Theorem 2.1. *Every $A(GPRS)_n$ -manifold is an almost pseudo Z -symmetric manifold provided that the 1-forms A & B are co-directional with that of C & D respectively.*

Definition 2.1. A non-flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ is said to be a *quasi-Einstein manifold* [10] if its Ricci tensor S of type $(0, 2)$ is not identically zero and satisfies the condition

$$S(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y) + \mu \psi(X)\psi(Y),$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and ψ is a non-zero 1-form such that $g(X, U) = \psi(X)$, for all vector fields X .

Now, contracting Y over U in (1.1) we obtain

$$(2.1) \quad dr(X) = r[A(X) + B(X)] + 2\bar{A}(X) + (n + 2)C(X) + nD(X)$$

where $\bar{A}(X) = S(X, \theta)$. Again, from (1.1), one can easily bring out

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X S)(Y, U) - (\nabla_U S)(X, Y) &= B(X)S(Y, U) - B(U)S(X, Y) \\ &+ D(X)g(Y, U) - D(U)g(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

after further contraction which leaves

$$(2.3) \quad dr(X) = 2rB(X) - 2\bar{B}(X) + 2(n - 1)D(X),$$

where $\bar{B}(X) = S(X, \varrho)$.

It is known ([7], p, 41) that a conformally flat (M^n, g) possesses the relation

$$(2.4) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, U) - (\nabla_U S)(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2(n - 1)}[g(Y, U)dr(X) - g(X, Y)dr(U)].$$

By virtue of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we find

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &(n - 1)[B(X)S(Y, U) - B(U)S(X, Y)] \\ &= [rB(X) - \bar{B}(X)]g(Y, U) - [rB(U) - \bar{B}(U)]g(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$(2.6) \quad B(X)\bar{B}(U) = B(U)\bar{B}(X)$$

for $Y = \varrho$. Assuming the Ricci tensor of the manifold as codazzi type (in the sense of [12]) and then making use of (2.3), we obtain from (2.6) that

$$(2.7) \quad B(X)D(U) = B(U)D(X) \quad \forall X \text{ and } U.$$

This motivate us to state

Proposition 2.1. *In a conformally flat $A(GPRS)_4$ -manifold with codazzi type of Ricci tensor, the 1-forms B and D are co-directional.*

Again, for constant scalar curvature tensor (or codazzi type of Ricci tensor) by virtue of (2.3), (2.5), (2.7), we can easily find out

$$(2.8) \quad S(Y, U) = -\frac{D(\varrho)}{B(\varrho)}g(Y, U) + \frac{1}{B(\varrho)}[rB(Y) + nD(Y)]B(U),$$

where $\frac{D(U)}{B(U)} = k, \forall U$. If the 1-forms B and D are co-directional, then (2.8) takes the following form

$$(2.9) \quad S(Y, U) = \alpha g(Y, U) + \beta B(Y)B(U).$$

This leads to the followings

Corollary 2.1. *A conformally flat $A(GPRS)_n$ -manifold with codazzi type of Ricci tensor, is a quasi-Einstein manifold.*

Corollary 2.2. *A conformally flat almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold with constant scalar curvature is a space of quasi constant curvature [1].*

3. Existence of $A(PRS)_n$ -manifold

In the example given in ([13], Example 5, p. 515–516) authors have found the value of the covariant derivatives corresponding to the vanishing component of the Ricci tensor R_{14} , R_{24} & R_{34} (namely, $R_{14,1}$, $R_{24,2}$ & $R_{34,3}$) to be zero. But those value are calculated to be $R_{14,1} = R_{24,2} = R_{34,3} = \frac{8}{9(x^4)^{5/3}}$ which are non-zero. Consequently for their [13] choice of the 1-forms

$$A_i(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{3}{x^4}, & \text{for } i = 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad B_i(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{x^4}, & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

the relations

$$\begin{aligned} R_{14,1} &= (A_1 + B_1)R_{14} + A_1R_{14} + A_4R_{11}, \\ R_{24,2} &= (A_2 + B_2)R_{24} + A_2R_{24} + A_4R_{22}, \\ R_{34,3} &= (A_3 + B_3)R_{34} + A_3R_{34} + A_4R_{33}, \end{aligned}$$

do not stand. Hence, (\mathbb{R}^4, g) under-considered metric ([13], page 516) can not be an almost pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold.

Example 3.1. Let (\mathbb{R}^4, g) be a 4-dimensional Riemannian space endowed with the Riemannian metric g given by

$$(3.1) \quad ds^2 = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j = e^{-x^1}[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + 2 dx^3 dx^4],$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

The non-zero components of Riemannian curvature tensor, Ricci tensors and scalar curvature (up to symmetry and skew-symmer) are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2324} &= -\frac{1}{4}e^{-x^1} = -R_{3434}, \\ R_{22} &= -\frac{1}{2} = R_{34}, \\ r &= -\frac{3}{2}e^{x^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Covariant derivatives of Ricci tensors is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12,2} = R_{13,4} = R_{14,3} &= -\frac{1}{4}, \\ R_{22,1} = R_{34,1} &= -\frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the following choice of the 1-forms

$$A_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad B_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

one can easily verify the followings

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{12} + A_1 R_{k2} + A_2 R_{1k}, \\ R_{13,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{13} + A_1 R_{k3} + A_3 R_{1k}, \\ R_{14,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{14} + A_1 R_{k4} + A_4 R_{1k}, \\ R_{23,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{23} + A_2 R_{k3} + A_3 R_{2k}, \\ R_{24,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{24} + A_2 R_{k4} + A_4 R_{2k}, \\ R_{34,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{34} + A_3 R_{k4} + A_4 R_{3k}, \\ R_{11,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{11} + A_1 R_{k1} + A_1 R_{1k}, \\ R_{22,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{22} + A_2 R_{k2} + A_2 R_{2k}, \\ R_{33,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{33} + A_3 R_{k3} + A_3 R_{3k}, \\ R_{44,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{44} + A_4 R_{k4} + A_4 R_{4k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. In consequence of the above, one can say that

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a manifold (\mathbb{R}^4, g) which is an almost pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold with the above mentioned choice of the 1-forms.*

It is obvious that the manifold bearing the metric given by (3.1) can not be Ricci symmetric, Ricci recurrent, generalized Ricci recurrent as well as almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold.

4. Existence of $A(GPRS)_n$ -manifold

Example 4.1. Let (\mathbb{R}^4, g) be a 4-dimensional Riemannian space endowed with the Riemann metric g given by

$$(4.1) \quad ds^2 = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j = (x^4)^{4/3}[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2] + (dx^4)^2,$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The non-zero components of Ricci tensors are

$$R_{11} = R_{22} = R_{33} = -\frac{2}{3(x^4)^{2/3}}, \quad R_{44} = \frac{2}{3(x^4)^2}.$$

Covariant derivative $R_{ik,l}$ of Ricci tensors is expressed by

$$R_{11,4} = \frac{4}{3(x^4)^{5/3}} = R_{22,4} = R_{33,4}, \quad R_{44,4} = -\frac{4}{3(x^4)^3}$$

$$R_{14,1} = R_{24,2} = R_{34,3} = \frac{8}{9(x^4)^{5/3}}.$$

For following choice of the 1-forms

$$A_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^4}, & \text{for } i = 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad B_i = \begin{cases} -\frac{19}{3x^4}, & \text{for } i = 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$C_i = \begin{cases} \frac{14}{9(x^4)^3}, & \text{for } i = 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad D_i = \begin{cases} -\frac{34}{9(x^4)^3}, & \text{for } i = 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

One can verify the followings

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{12} + A_1 R_{k2} + A_2 R_{1k} + (C_k + D_k) g_{12} + C_1 g_{k2} + C_2 g_{1k}, \\ R_{13,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{13} + A_1 R_{k3} + A_3 R_{1k} + (C_k + D_k) g_{13} + C_1 g_{k3} + C_3 g_{1k}, \\ R_{14,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{14} + A_1 R_{k4} + A_4 R_{1k} + (C_k + D_k) g_{14} + C_1 g_{k4} + C_4 g_{1k}, \\ R_{23,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{23} + A_2 R_{k3} + A_3 R_{2k} + (C_k + D_k) g_{23} + C_2 g_{k3} + C_3 g_{2k}, \\ R_{24,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{24} + A_2 R_{k4} + A_4 R_{2k} + (C_k + D_k) g_{24} + C_2 g_{k4} + C_4 g_{2k}, \\ R_{34,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{34} + A_3 R_{k4} + A_4 R_{3k} + (C_k + D_k) g_{34} + C_3 g_{k4} + C_4 g_{3k}, \\ R_{11,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{11} + A_1 R_{k1} + A_1 R_{1k} + (C_k + D_k) g_{11} + C_1 g_{k1} + C_1 g_{1k}, \\ R_{22,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{22} + A_2 R_{k2} + A_2 R_{2k} + (C_k + D_k) g_{22} + C_2 g_{k2} + C_2 g_{2k}, \\ R_{33,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{33} + A_3 R_{k3} + A_3 R_{3k} + (C_k + D_k) g_{33} + C_3 g_{k3} + C_3 g_{3k}, \\ R_{44,k} &= (A_k + B_k) R_{44} + A_4 R_{k4} + A_4 R_{4k} + (C_k + D_k) g_{44} + C_4 g_{k4} + C_4 g_{4k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. In consequence of the above, one can say that

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a manifold (\mathbb{R}^4, g) which is an almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric for the above mentioned choice of the 1-forms.*

It is obvious that the manifold bearing the metric given by (4.1) can not be Ricci symmetric, Ricci recurrent, generalized Ricci recurrent as well as pseudo-Ricci symmetric.

References

- [1] B. Y. Chen and K. Yano, *Hypersurfaces of a conformally flat space*, Tensor (N.S.), **26**(1972), 318–322.
- [2] C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, *Weakly Z-symmetric manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar., **135**(2012), 80–96.
- [3] C. A. Mantica and Y. J. Suh, *Pseudo Z symmetric Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature tensors*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., **9**(2012), 1250004(21 pages).
- [4] E. M. Patterson, *Some theorems on Ricci-recurrent spaces*, J. London. Math. Soc. **27**(1952), 287–295.
- [5] K. K. Baishya, *On generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold*, submitted.
- [6] K. K. Baishya, *A note on almost generalized pseudo-Ricci symmetric spacetime*, submitted.
- [7] K. Yano and M. Kon, *Structures on manifolds*, Ser. Pure Math. **3**, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1984.
- [8] M. C. Chaki, *On pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds*, Bulgar. J. Phys., **15**(1988), 526–531.
- [9] M. C. Chaki and T. Kawaguchi, *On almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds*. Tensor (N.S.), **68**(1)(2007), 10–14.
- [10] R. Deszcz, M. Glogowska, M. Hotlos and Z. Senturk, *On certain quasi-Einstein semisymmetric hypersurfaces*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eotvos Sect. Math., **41**(1998), 151–164.
- [11] R. S. D. Dubey, *Generalized recurrent spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **10**(1979), 1508–1513.
- [12] S. Mukhopadhyay and B. Barua, *On a type of non-flat Riemannian Manifold*, Tensor (N.S.), **56**(1995), 227–231.
- [13] U. C. De and A. K. Gazi, *On Conformally flat almost pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifolds*, Kyungpook Math. J. **49**(2009), 507–520.
- [14] U. C. De, N. Guha and D. Kamilya, *On generalized Ricci recurrent manifolds*, Tensor(N. S.), **56**(1995), 312–317.