## **Nonspecific Bronchoprovocation Test**



## Myoung Kyu Lee, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Hyoung Kyu Yoon, M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Sei Won Kim, M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Tae-Hyung Kim, M.D.<sup>3</sup>, Seoung Ju Park, M.D.<sup>4</sup> and Young Min Lee, M.D.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, <sup>2</sup>Division of Pulmonology, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, <sup>3</sup>Division of Pulmonology, Department of Internal Medicine, Hanyang University Guri Hospital, Hanyang University College of Medicine, Guri, <sup>4</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School and Research Institute of Clinical Medicine, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, <sup>5</sup>Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, Korea

Bronchial asthma is a disease characterized by the condition of airway hyper-responsiveness, which serves to produce narrowing of the airway secondary to airway inflammation and/or various spasm-inducing stimulus. Nonspecific bronchoprovocation testing is an important method implemented for the purpose of diagnosing asthma; this test measures the actual degree of airway hyper-responsiveness and utilizes direct and indirect bronchoprovocation testing. Direct bronchoprovocation testing using methacholine or histamine may have superior sensitivity as these substances directly stimulate the airway smooth muscle cells. On the other hand, this method also engenders the specific disadvantage of relatively low specificity. Indirect bronchoprovocation testing using mannitol, exercise, hypertonic saline, adenosine and hyperventilation serves to produce reactions in the airway smooth muscle cells by liberating mediators with stimulation of airway inflammatory cells. Therefore, this method has the advantage of high specificity and also demonstrates relatively low sensitivity. Direct and indirect testing both call for very precise descriptions of very specific measurement conditions. In addition, it has become evident that challenge testing utilizing each of the various bronchoconstrictor stimuli requires distinct and specific protocols. It is therefore important that the clinician understand the mechanism of action in the testing, whether direct stimuli (methacholine) or indirect stimuli (mannitol, exercise) is implemented, when the testing is performed and the results interpreted.

Keywords: Airway Hyper-responsiveness; Bronchial Asthma; Bronchial Provocation Tests

#### Address for correspondence: Hyoung Kyu Yoon, M.D.

Division of Pulmonology, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 222 Banpo-daero, Seocho-gu, Seoul 06591, Korea **Phone:** 82-33-741-1234 (ext. 0926), **Fax:** 82-33-741-0928 **E-mail:** ledwhite@yonsei.ac.kr **Received:** Mar. 29, 2017 **Revised:** May. 8, 2017 **Accepted:** Jun. 8, 2017 **Published online:** Sep. 1, 2017

© It is identical to the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).



Copyright © 2017

The Korean Academy of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Nonspecific bronchoprovocation test is an important test for diagnosing asthma by measuring airway hyperresponsiveness<sup>1-3</sup>, consists of direct bronchoprovocation test with high sensitivity and low specificity, and indirect bronchoprovocation test with low sensitivity and high specificity, respectively<sup>1</sup>.

#### 1. Asthma and bronchoprovocation test

Bronchial asthma is a disease characterized by airway hyperresponsiveness that airway narrows by airway inflammation and various inducing stimulus<sup>1,4</sup>. Since the airway hyperresponsiveness is caused by inflammation of airway, the degree is proportional to severity of asthma, and reduced by the treatment<sup>1,4,6</sup>. Therefore, measuring the airway hyper-

responsiveness is important in diagnosing the asthma, assessing the severity, and determining the response to treatment. Methods for measuring the airway hyperresponsiveness can be largely divided into the method for observing an improvement of airflow limitation by bronchodilator, and the bronchoprovocation test in which airflow limitation is induced by pharmacologic or non-pharmacologic stimuli<sup>7-9</sup>.

# 2. Indications and contraindications of bronchoprovocation test

Indications and contraindications of the bronchoprovocation test are represented (Table 1)<sup>1,2</sup>.

#### 3. Types of nonspecific bronchoprovocation test

Nonspecific bronchoprovocation test is performed using a number of inducing stimuli, and there are advantages and disadvantages. Direct bronchoprovocation test using methacholine or histamine is very sensitive since it directly stimulates airway smooth muscle cells, but it also has a disadvantage of low specificity. However, because the indirect bronchoprovocation test using mannitol, exercise, hypertonic saline, adenosine, and hyperventilation cause reactions in airway smooth muscle cells by liberating mediators with stimulation of air-

## Table 1. Indications and contraindications of bronchoprovocation test

Indications	
Diagnosis of asthma (airway hyperresponsiveness)	
Airway hyperresponsiveness severity assessment	
Determining relative risk of asthma	
Response assessment of asthma treatment	
Absolute contraindications	
Severe airflow limitation (FEV $_{\rm 1}$ <50% of predicted normal value or <1.0 L)	
Myocardial infarction or ischemic stroke during the past 3 months	
Diagnosed aneurysm	
Relative contraindications	
Moderate airflow limitation (FEV $_{\rm l}$ <60% of predicted normal value)	
Acute exacerbation of asthma	
Recent upper respiratory tract infection within 2 weeks	
Uncontrolled hypertension	
Pregnancy or breast-feeding	
Epilepsy requiring medications	
If spirometry measurement cannot be performed in acceptable level	

FEV<sub>1</sub>: forced expiratory volume at 1 second.

way inflammatory cells other than the airway smooth muscle cells, airway epithelial cells and nerves; although it shows low sensitivity but has an advantage of high specificity<sup>7-10</sup>. The direct and indirect stimuli are represented (Table 2)<sup>7,9,11</sup>.

#### 1) Direct bronchoprovocation test

Direct bronchoprovocation test is particularly useful to rule out the diagnosis of asthma due to high sensitivity. In contrast, because of the disadvantage of low specificity, it is hard to evaluate the clinical significance even if the test result is positive. Methacholine, as a muscarinic agonist, has a high diagnostic value to the patient with high probability of asthma on pre-test<sup>7,8,10</sup>.

#### 2) Indirect bronchoprovocation test

Indirect bronchoprovocation test is suitable to assess the response to treatment, because it has high specificity and low sensitivity. Asthma patient can be negative in well-controlled state, so that it is unsuitable to rule out asthma<sup>9,10</sup>.

## **Methacholine Provocation Test**

#### 1. Introduction

Methacholine provocation test is one method for measuring the airway hyperresponsiveness, and airway hyperresponsiveness is one of the features that can help in the diagnosis of asthma. Even though it can vary depending on the time, typically increases in exacerbation but deceases during the treatment with anti-inflammatory drugs<sup>2,12</sup>.

Methacholine provocation test, as traditional methods that is frequently considered on high likelihood of asthma, is more useful to rule out the diagnosis of asthma than to diagnose the asthma. This is because the negative predictive power is higher than the positive predictive power<sup>2,12</sup>.

#### 2. Indications

Methacholine provocation test is a useful tool to assess the occupational asthma. In addition, it can also be used to determine the relative risk of asthma, even though a clinical significance is not yet established clearly, it can used to assess the response to treatment of asthma<sup>2</sup>.

In patients with moderate possibility of asthma, results of the methacholine provocation test cannot be predicted accurately, even if by the asthma specialists. Methacholine provocation test has very excellent sensitivity for asthma, but positive predictive power is relatively poor<sup>2</sup>.

Most people with asthma symptoms have the bronchial hyperresponsiveness. However, bronchial hyperresponsiveness may be observed in other diseases, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), congestive heart failure, cystic fibrosis, bronchitis or allergic rhinitis<sup>2</sup>.

Because clinical improvement of asthma is associated with improvement in airway hyperresponsiveness, clinical asthma research often used the change of airway hyperresponsiveness as an objective result index. However, the use of the methacholine provocation test in the clinical evaluation of asthmatic patients will have to wait for additional research on the usefulness of the test<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3. Contraindications

Contraindications of the methacholine provocation test is the same as the contraindications of general bronchoprovocation tests mentioned in the "Introduction," and cases of current using of cholinesterase inhibitors (in case of myasthenia gravis) specifically are included in the contraindications. In other words, all the situations that may decrease a quality of test or increase risk and discomfort of patients are included; therefore, these points should be identified through interviews or questionnaires before the test. If the contraindication is confirmed, it is necessary to consult with a medical doctor or a laboratory director before conducting the test<sup>2,3</sup>.

Rapid reduction in forced expiratory volume at 1 second

 $(\text{FEV}_1)$  can occur sometimes during the methacholine provocation test, this risk occurs frequently in people who have low baseline lung function. But because even worse lung function has the low risk of serious side effects, low lung function is a relative contraindication of the methacholine provocation test. There is controversy about the level of lung function that is contraindications of the methacholine provocation test. Typically, the basal FEV<sub>1</sub> <1.5 L or predicted normal value <60% in adults are used as a relative contraindication, The National Asthma Expert Panel Report 2nd edition has recommended FEV<sub>1</sub> <65% as a relative contraindication<sup>23</sup>.

#### 1) Airway obstruction

Because airway responsiveness shows a strong correlation with the degree of basal airway obstruction in COPD, positive methacholine provocation test can be difficult to interpret. If there are the clinical aspects consistent with asthma, airway obstruction at baseline spirometry test and significant bronchodilator response (FEV<sub>1</sub> or forced vital capacity >12% and increase >0.2 L), these can be confirmed as asthma and the methacholine provocation test is not necessary<sup>2,3</sup>.

	Stimulus
Direct stimuli	Cholinergic agonist (methacholine, etc.)
	Histamine
	Prostaglandin $D_2$
	Leukotriene $C_4/D_4/E_4$
Indirect stimuli	Physical stimuli
	Exercise
	Anisotonic spray (hypertonic or hypotonic distilled water, mannitol)
	Hyperventilation under dry atmosphere
	Drug stimuli
	Adenosine
	Tachykinins
	Bradykinin
	Metabisulphite/SO <sub>2</sub>
	Propranolol
	Endotoxin (LPS)
	Platelet activating factor
	Ozone
	Specific drugs
	Aspirin, NSAIDs
	Allergen

SO2: sulphur dioxide; LPS: lipopolysaccharides; NSAIDs: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Factor	Minimum time intervals from the last dose to test
Drugs	
Short-acting inhaled $\beta 2$ agonists (isoproterenol, metaproterenol, albuterol, terbutaline)	8 hr
Short-acting inhaled anticholinergics (ipratropium)	24 hr
Long-acting inhaled bronchodilators (salmeterol, formoterol, tiotropium)	48 hr (more than 1 wk in case of tiotropium)
Oral bronchodilators	
Medium-acting theophylline	24 hr
Long-acting theophylline	48 hr
Oral β2 agonists	12 hr
Long-acting oral β2 agonists	24 hr
Cromolyn sodium	8 hr
Nedocromil	48 hr
Hydroxyzine, cetirizine	3 days
Leukotriene modifiers	24 hr
Corticosteroids (oral or inhaled)	Duration of action is unknown. It should be suspended for weeks to eliminate anti-inflammatory effect.
Foods	
Coffee, tea, cola, chocolate	On the day of test

#### 2) The quality of spirometry tests

The methacholine provocation test of acceptable level depends on the patient's ability to perform an appropriate spirometry test. Patients unable to perform the appropriate spirometry test on baseline step should reschedule a test or consider other diagnostic methods<sup>2.3</sup>.

#### 3) Cardiovascular disease

History of cardiovascular disease may also be a contraindication. Additional cardiovascular stress by the induced airway constriction may exacerbate the cardiovascular problem in the patients with history of uncontrolled hypertension or recent heart attack and stroke. If the airway constriction is induced, it becomes the cause of ventilation-perfusion imbalance that can result in arterial hypoxia and changes in blood pressure, cardiac output and heart rate.

#### 4) Pregnancy and breast-feeding

Methacholine is a pregnancy category C drug. It is not yet known whether methacholine is excreted in breast milk<sup>2,3</sup>.

Drugs or conditions represented in Table 3 should be avoided before methacholine provocation test<sup>2</sup>. Because these factors decrease airway reactivity, it should be stopped by each time interval before the test. Table 4 is represented the factors that may increase the airway reactivity<sup>2</sup>.

#### Table 4. Factors that increase bronchial responsiveness

Factor	Duration of action
Exposure to environmental antigens	1–3 wk
Exposed to occupational causes	Several months
Respiratory infections	3-6 wk
Air pollution	1 wk
Smoking	Not sure
Chemical stimuli	Several days to several months

#### 4. Interpretations

 $FEV_1$  most commonly used as bronchoprovocation test results, is easy to test and shows excellent reproducibility. When the dose-response curve is obtained with inhaled drug concentration and  $FEV_1$ , the inhaled drug concentration is represented on X-axis as log scales and the reduction ratio of  $FEV_1$  is represented on Y-axis as numbers, respectively. Methacholine concentration at the point that  $FEV_1$  is decreased to 20% of baseline in the dose-response curve is called  $PC_{20}$  (provocation concentration causing a 20% fall in  $FEV_1$ ), and it is regarded as an index of the bronchial hyperresponsiveness<sup>2</sup>.

If  $PC_{20}$  is less than 1.0 mg/mL, specificity and positive predictive value almost close to 100%, so that the diagnosis of asthma can be confirmed. If  $PC_{20}$  is less than 8–16 mg/mL, it is considered to have airway hyperresponsiveness. If  $PC_{20}$  is not

less than 10 mg/mL, it is very likely that there is no apparent asthma  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 2,13,14}$  .

Since the effect is shown by the accumulation of the dose from the start of inhalation in the methacholine provocation test, it is also represented by using a accumulated dose instead of the density, and the accumulated dose of methacholine to the point that the bronchoconstriction is induced may appear as  $PD_{20}$  (provocation dose causing a 20% decline in FEV<sub>1</sub>)<sup>2,15</sup>.

## **Mannitol Provocation Test**

Mannitol provocation test is a type of indirect provocation test, which has high specificity and the advantages of being simple and safe, but low sensitivity. If  $FEV_1$  is decreased by 15% or more compared to baseline with 635 mg or less of mannitol, it is determined that airway hyperresponsiveness to the mannitol is shown<sup>3,16,17</sup>.

#### 1. Introduction

Mannitol provocation test, which is a type of indirect bronchoprovocation tests, is to access the reaction acting on airway smooth muscles cells by liberating mediators with stimulation of inflammatory cells<sup>9,16,17</sup>.

It has higher specificity than the methacholine provocation test on the diagnosis of asthma, and it is closely related to eosinophilia or increased exhaled nitric oxide (eNO) levels, which reflect the inflammatory status of airway<sup>7,17,18</sup>. Therefore, if the mannitol provocation test is positive in a patient with symptoms that asthma can be suspected, it means that there is asthma accompanied by progressive airway inflammation, and it may determine that the anti-inflammatory treatment is necessary.

Mannitol provocation test has the advantages of high specificity and being simple and safe, while there are disadvantages of relatively low sensitivity that only about 60% of asthma patients can be found<sup>3,16,19</sup>. Therefore, negative mannitol provocation test cannot rule out the asthma.

Although methacholine, histamine, etc. induce bronchoconstriction by directly stimulating the bronchial smooth muscle receptors, indirect stimuli such as hypertonic saline, exercise, adenosine, mannitol stimulate mast cells etc. to secrete inflammatory mediators, then these mediators cause a contraction of bronchial smooth muscles by acting as strong bronchoconstrictors, which result in a reduction of the inner diameter of bronchus<sup>9,16,17</sup>. Mannitol is not absorbed into the body as sugar alcohols ( $C_6H_6(OH)_6$ ), thus it remains in the airway surface when inhaled into the airway and increases the osmotic pressure. Water in the cells is moved out of the cells by the osmotic pressure difference, which results in cellular contraction<sup>3,16</sup>.

#### 2. Interpretations

If  $FEV_1$  is decreased by 15% or more compared to baseline with 635 mg or less of accumulated mannitol dose in the repeated tests, it is determined that airway hyperresponsiveness to the mannitol is positive<sup>3,16,17</sup>.

 $PD_{15}$  is defined as the mannitol dose (mg) which is inhaled until the time when  $FEV_1$  is reduced by 15% of baseline<sup>3,17,20</sup>.

Response dose ratio (RDR) is defined as  $FEV_1\%$ /mannitol dose (mg) of the time when  $FEV_1$  is decreased by 15% of baseline. If  $FEV_1$  is reduced by 15% compared to the baseline after using mannitol 635 mg, it is denoted by PD<sub>15</sub> 635 mg, RDR 0.024%/mg (15%/635 mg)<sup>1620</sup>.

Because the mannitol provocation test means asthma caused by eosinophilic airway inflammation and shows moderate sensitivity (60%), well-controlled asthma, mild asthma, non-eosinophilic asthma can show negative results<sup>16,19</sup>. In addition, if the test time exceeds 35 minutes or the excessive movement is performed before the test, test result may be false negative and it is known that smoking and chronic cough increase the response to the mannitol<sup>9,16</sup>. If the mannitol provocation test is performed in the normal group, FEV<sub>1</sub> reduction usually does not exceed  $10\%^{9,16,21}$ .

Although about 83% of examinee experiences cough during the test, severe cough enough to stop the test only occurs in  $1.3\%^{3.16,20,22}$ . The other side effects may appear such as head-ache (6.1%), sore throat  $(2.6\%)^{3.16,20}$ .

## **Exercise Provocation Test**

Exercise provocation test is an indirect provocation test to determine the occurrence of nonspecific airway hyperresponsiveness by airway cooling and drying<sup>1,2</sup>. Contraindications and prior stopped drugs of the exercise provocation test are the same as the bronchoprovocation test<sup>1,2</sup>. Exercise provocation test is performed using a treadmill or bicycle ergometer, in accordance with the appropriate protocol in safe facilities and personnel conditions. If decrease by 15% and more of baseline FEV<sub>1</sub> is induced, it is determined as positive<sup>1,3</sup>.

#### 1. Introduction

Exercise induces airway constriction in the majority of patients with asthma, exercise-induced airway contractions is called as exercise-induced asthma or exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB)<sup>1-3</sup>.

There are ventilation by exercise and moisture content and temperature of the inhaled air as the main factors that determine the severity of the EIB, and if the large amount of air loses the moisture in a short time due to the exercise, the airway contraction occurs by osmotic effects caused by temperature changes (cooling and re-heating) and dehydration<sup>1-3,23</sup>.



Airway cooling and drying are considered to stimulate the liberating of inflammatory mediators such as histamine and leukotriene, eventually the exercise itself is also an important provocation test to evaluate the effect of the anti-inflammatory agents and other asthma prescription<sup>1-3,24,25</sup>.

#### 2. Indications

It is used as a provocation test for the diagnosis of EIB in the asthma patient with a history of shortness of breath during or after exercise<sup>1,2</sup>.

The EIB test is required if working ability is impaired due to suspected asthma symptoms associated with exercise in certain occupational groups (occupations to deal with emergency situations such as armed forces, police, firefighting)<sup>2,26,27</sup>.

It is used to determine the effectiveness and optimum dose of drugs that are prescribed to prevent the EIB, and to evaluate acute (such as cromolyn sodium and nedocromil sodium) or chronic (steroid and leukotriene antagonists) anti-inflammatory effects of the treatment<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3. Contraindications

Contraindications are the same as the general bronchoprovocation tests mentioned in the "Introduction"<sup>1,2</sup>. In addition, the test should not be performed in cases of the unstable ischemic heart disease or malignant arrhythmia<sup>1-3</sup>.

In case of the orthopedic problems, an appropriate degree of exercise to induce airway contraction is likely not to be performed. 12-Lead electrocardiogram recorded during the last year should be checked in patients aged 60 or more<sup>2</sup>.

#### 4. Interpretations

 $\text{FEV}_1$  is the primary variables for reactive evaluations, and spirometry test to measure these should be proceeded in the sitting position continuously before and after exercise<sup>1-3</sup>.

Appropriately measured values should be obtained for each test times at least 2 times or 3 times if possible, and difference between the highest and second highest  $FEV_1$  should be within 0.2 L. The highest value of the valid  $FEV_1$  is selected as a representative value of each test time<sup>2</sup>.

Expiratory time should be limited to 2–3 seconds; it is very important to lead very aggressively the patients to breathe in as deeply as possible in all cases<sup>2</sup>.

EIB is determined by converting the measured  $\text{FEV}_1$  at each time after exercise to % of baseline  $\text{FEV}_1$  before exercise, and the case that is reduced to 90% of the baseline  $\text{FEV}_1$  is regarded as the general abnormal response<sup>1,2</sup>. The decrease of  $\text{FEV}_1$  by 15% and more is considered a more diagnostic value to the EIB, especially the exercise provocation test is performed outdoors<sup>2,28</sup>.

## Conclusion

Nonspecific bronchoprovocation test is an important test for diagnosing asthma by measuring the airway hyperresponsiveness, and includes direct (methacholine) and indirect (mannitol, exercise) bronchoprovocation test. The tests need descriptions of specific measurement conditions, such as the selection of subjects, the choice of the stimulus, the method of measurement of bronchoconstriction, and technical or medical precautions. In addition, it has become evident that challenge tests with each of the various bronchoconstrictors stimuli require distinct protocols. Therefore, it is important to understand the most commonly used bronchoprovocation tests with direct stimuli and indirect stimuli when we perform the tests and interpret the results.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

### References

- 1. Sterk PJ, Fabbri LM, Quanjer PH, Cockcroft DW, O'Byrne PM, Anderson SD, et al. Airway responsiveness: standardized challenge testing with pharmacological, physical and sensitizing stimuli in adults. Report Working Party Standardization of Lung Function Tests, European Community for Steel and Coal. Official Statement of the European Respiratory Society. Eur Respir J Suppl 1993;16:53-83.
- Crapo RO, Casaburi R, Coates AL, Enright PL, Hankinson JL, Irvin CG, et al. Guidelines for methacholine and exercise challenge testing-1999. This official statement of the American Thoracic Society was adopted by the ATS Board of Directors, July 1999. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;161:309-29.
- 3. Perpina Tordera M, Garcia Rio F, Alvarez Gutierrez FJ, Cisneros Serrano C, Compte Torrero L, Entrenas Costa LM, et al. Guidelines for the study of nonspecific bronchial hyperresponsiveness in asthma. Spanish Society of Pulmonology and Thoracic Surgery (SEPAR). Arch Bronconeumol 2013;49:432-46.
- Bochner BS, Undem BJ, Lichtenstein LM. Immunological aspects of allergic asthma. Annu Rev Immunol 1994;12:295-335.
- Woolcock AJ, Salome CM, Yan K. The shape of the dose-response curve to histamine in asthmatic and normal subjects. Am Rev Respir Dis 1984;130:71-5.
- 6. Grootendorst DC, Rabe KF. Mechanisms of bronchial hyperreactivity in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2004;1:77-87.
- 7. Joos GF. Bronchial hyperresponsiveness: too complex to be

useful? Curr Opin Pharmacol 2003;3:233-8.

- 8. Busse WW. The relationship of airway hyperresponsiveness and airway inflammation: airway hyperresponsiveness in asthma: its measurement and clinical significance. Chest 2010;138(2 Suppl):4S-10S.
- 9. Joos GF, O'Connor B, Anderson SD, Chung F, Cockcroft DW, Dahlen B, et al. Indirect airway challenges. Eur Respir J 2003;21:1050-68.
- 10. Cockcroft D, Davis B. Direct and indirect challenges in the clinical assessment of asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2009;103:363-9.
- 11. O'Byrne PM, Inman MD. Airway hyperresponsiveness. Chest 2003;123(3 Suppl):411S-6S.
- 12. Hargreave FE, Ryan G, Thomson NC, O'Byrne PM, Latimer K, Juniper EF, et al. Bronchial responsiveness to histamine or methacholine in asthma: measurement and clinical significance. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1981;68:347-55.
- 13. Gilbert R, Auchincloss JH Jr. Post-test probability of asthma following methacholine challenge. Chest 1990;97:562-5.
- 14. Cockcroft DW, Murdock KY, Berscheid BA, Gore BP. Sensitivity and specificity of histamine  $PC_{20}$  determination in a random selection of young college students. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1992;89(1 Pt 1):23-30.
- 15. Cockcroft DW. Methacholine challenge:  $PD_{20}$  versus  $PC_{20}$ . Ann Am Thorac Soc 2015;12:291-2.
- 16. Sverrild A, Porsbjerg C, Backer V. The use of inhaled mannitol in the diagnosis and management of asthma. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2012;13:115-23.
- 17. Anderson SD. Indirect challenge tests: airway hyperresponsiveness in asthma: its measurement and clinical significance. Chest 2010;138(2 Suppl):25S-30S.
- Franklin PJ, Stick SM, Le Souef PN, Ayres JG, Turner SW. Measuring exhaled nitric oxide levels in adults: the importance of atopy and airway responsiveness. Chest 2004;126:1540-5.
- 19. Koskela HO, Hyvarinen L, Brannan JD, Chan HK, Anderson

SD. Responsiveness to three bronchial provocation tests in patients with asthma. Chest 2003;124:2171-7.

- 20. Brannan JD, Anderson SD, Perry CP, Freed-Martens R, Lassig AR, Charlton B, et al. The safety and efficacy of inhaled dry powder mannitol as a bronchial provocation test for airway hyperresponsiveness: a phase 3 comparison study with hypertonic (4.5%) saline. Respir Res 2005;6:144.
- 21. Leuppi JD, Brannan JD, Anderson SD. Bronchial provocation tests: the rationale for using inhaled mannitol as a test for airway hyperresponsiveness. Swiss Med Wkly 2002;132:151-8.
- 22. Koskela HO, Hyvarinen L, Brannan JD, Chan HK, Anderson SD. Coughing during mannitol challenge is associated with asthma. Chest 2004;125:1985-92.
- 23. Deal EC Jr, McFadden ER Jr, Ingram RH Jr, Strauss RH, Jaeger JJ. Role of respiratory heat exchange in production of exerciseinduced asthma. J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol 1979;46:467-75.
- 24. Finnerty JP, Holgate ST. Evidence for the roles of histamine and prostaglandins as mediators in exercise-induced asthma: the inhibitory effect of terfenadine and flurbiprofen alone and in combination. Eur Respir J 1990;3:540-7.
- 25. Randolph C. Exercise-induced asthma: update on pathophysiology, clinical diagnosis, and treatment. Curr Probl Pediatr 1997;27:53-77.
- 26. Nish WA, Schwietz LA. Underdiagnosis of asthma in young adults presenting for USAF basic training. Ann Allergy 1992;69:239-42.
- 27. Sinclair DG, Sims MM, Hoad NA, Winfield CR. Exerciseinduced airway narrowing in army recruits with a history of childhood asthma. Eur Respir J 1995;8:1314-7.
- 28. Haby MM, Anderson SD, Peat JK, Mellis CM, Toelle BG, Woolcock AJ. An exercise challenge protocol for epidemiological studies of asthma in children: comparison with histamine challenge. Eur Respir J 1994;7:43-9.