J. Appl. Math. & Informatics Vol. **35**(2017), No. 5 - 6, pp. 529 - 542 https://doi.org/10.14317/jami.2017.529

UNIQUENESS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS CONCERNING DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS WITH REGARD TO MULTIPLICITY SHARING A SMALL FUNCTION

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE* AND SANGEETHA ANAND

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using the notion of weakly weighted sharing and relaxed weighted sharing, we investigate the uniqueness problems of certain differential polynomials sharing a small function. The results obtained in this paper extend the theorem obtained by Jianren Long [9].

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 30D35. *Key words and phrases* : Uniqueness, meromorphic functions, differential polynomials, multiplicity, weighted sharing.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we use the standard notations of Nevanlinna value distribution theory (see [4, 13, 14]). Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . If for some $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, f - a and g - ahave the same set of zeros with the same multiplicities, we say that f and g share the value a CM (counting multiplicities). If we do not consider multiplicities, then f and g are said to share the value a IM (ignoring multiplicities).

Let k be a positive integer or infinity. Set $E(a, f) = \{z : f(z) - a = 0\}$, where a zero with multiplicity k is counted k times. If the zeros are counted only once, then we denote the set by $\overline{E}(a, f)$. If E(a, f) = E(a, g), then we say that f and g share the value a CM; If $\overline{E}(a, f) = \overline{E}(a, g)$, then we say that f and g share the value a IM. We denote by $E_{k}(a, f)$ the set of all a-points of f with multiplicities not exceeding k, where an a-point is counted according to its multiplicity. Also, we denote by $\overline{E}_{k}(a, f)$ the set of distinct a-points of f with multiplicities not exceeding k.

Received February 14, 2017. Revised June 10, 2017. Accepted June 21, 2017. $\ ^* Corresponding author.$

 $[\]bigodot$ 2017 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

In 1997, Yang and Hua [12] obtained the following uniqueness theorem.

Theorem A. Let f and g be two non-constant entire (meromorphic) functions, and let $n \ge 6$ ($n \ge 11$) be a positive integer. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$, or f = tg for a constant t such that $t^{n+1} = 1$.

In 2008, Zhang et al. [16] considered some general differential polynomials and obtained the following result.

Theorem B. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and $h(\neq 0, \infty)$ be a small function with respect to f and g. Let n, k and m be three positive integers with n > 3k+m+8 and $P(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + ... + a_1 z + a_0$, where $a_0 \neq 0, a_1, ..., a_{m-1}, a_m \neq 0$ are complex constants. If $(f^n P(f))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n P(g))^{(k)}$ share h(z) CM, then one of the following three cases hold:

(i) f = tg for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where d = GCD(n+m,...,n+m-i,...,n), $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some i = 0, 1, ..., m;

(ii) f and g satisfying the algebraic function equation R(f,g) = 0, where $R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^n(a_m w_1^m + a_{m-1} w_1^{m-1} + ... + a_0) - w_2^n(a_m w_2^m + a_{m-1} w_2^{m-1} + ... + a_0);$ (iii) $(f^n P(f))^{(k)}(g^n P(g))^{(k)} = h^2.$

In 2013, Bhoosnurmath and Kabbur [3] extended Theorem B and proved the following uniqueness theorem by using the concept of multiplicity.

Theorem C. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let n and m be positive integers with $(n-m-1)s \ge max\{10, 2m+3\}$ and let P(z) be defined as in Theorem B. If $f^n P(f)f'$ and $g^n P(g)g'$ share 1 CM, then either f = tg for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = GCD(n + m + 1, ..., n + m + 1 - i, ..., n + 1), a_{m-i} \ne 0$ for some i = 0, 1, ..., m or f and g satisfy the algebraic function equation R(f,g) = 0, where $R(x,y) = x^{n+1}(\frac{a_m}{n+m+1}x^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{n+m}x^{m-1} + ... + \frac{a_0}{n+1}) - y^{n+1}(\frac{a_m}{n+m+1}y^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{n+m}y^{m-1} + ... + \frac{a_0}{n+1}).$

Recently, J. R. Long [9] generalised Theorem C by proving the following result.

Theorem D. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let n and m be two positive integers with $n - m > max\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{(n+2)(k+4)}{ns}\}, \Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$ and let P(z) be defined as in Theorem B. If $(f^n P(f))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n P(g))^{(k)}$ share h(z) CM, where $h(z)(\neq 0, \infty)$ is a small function of f and g, then one of the following three cases hold: (i) $(f^n P(f))^{(k)}(g^n P(g))^{(k)} = h^2$;

(ii) f = tg for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where d = GCD(n + m, ..., n + m - m)

 $(i, ..., n), a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some i = 0, 1, ..., m;

(iii) f and g satisfy the algebraic equation R(f,g) = 0, where $R(f,g) = f^n P(f) - g^n P(g)$.

The possibility $(f^n P(f))^{(k)} (g^n P(g))^{(k)} = h^2$ does not occur for k = 1.

To state our main results of this article, we need the following definitions.

Definition 1.1 ([6]). Let $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. We denote by $N(r, a; f \mid = 1)$ the counting function of simple *a*-points of *f*. For a positive integer *k* we denote by $N(r, a; f \mid \leq k)$ the counting function of those *a*-points of *f* (counted with proper multiplicities) whose multiplicities are not greater than *k*. By $\overline{N}(r, a; f \mid \leq k)$ we denote the corresponding reduced counting function. Analogously, we can define $N(r, a; f \mid \geq k)$ and $\overline{N}(r, a; f \mid \geq k)$.

Definition 1.2 ([5]). Let k be a positive integer or infinity. We denote by $N_k(r, a; f)$ the counting function of a-points of f, where an a-point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \le k$ and k times if m > k. Then

$$N_k(r,a;f) = \overline{N}(r,a;f) + \overline{N}(r,a;f \ge 2) + \dots + \overline{N}(r,a;f \ge k).$$

Clearly $N_1(r, a; f) = \overline{N}(r, a; f)$.

Definition 1.3 ([10]). We denote by $N_E(r, a; f, g)$ ($\overline{N}_E(r, a; f, g)$) the counting function (reduced counting function) of all common zeros of f - a and g - a with the same multiplicities and by $N_0(r, a; f, g)$ ($\overline{N}_0(r, a; f, g)$) the counting function (reduced counting function) of all common zeros of f - a and g - a ignoring multiplicities. If

$$\overline{N}(r,a;f) + \overline{N}(r,a;g) - 2\overline{N}_E(r,a;f,g) = S(r,f) + S(r,g),$$

then we say that f and g share the value a "CM". If

$$\overline{N}(r,a;f) + \overline{N}(r,a;g) - 2\overline{N}_0(r,a;f,g) = S(r,f) + S(r,g),$$

then we say that f and g share the value a "IM".

Definition 1.4 ([7]). Let f and g share the value a "IM" and k be a positive integer or infinity. $\overline{N}_{k)}^{E}(r, a; f, g)$ denotes the reduced counting function of those a-points of f whose multiplicities are equal to the corresponding a-points of g, and both of their multiplicities are not greater than k. $\overline{N}_{(k)}^{0}(r, a; f, g)$ denotes the reduced counting function of those a-points of f which are a-points of g, and both of their multiplicities are not less than k.

Definition 1.5 ([7]). For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, if k is a positive integer or infinity and

$$\begin{split} \overline{N}(r,a;f \mid \leq k) &- \overline{N}_{k)}^{E}(r,a;f,g) = S(r,f), \\ \overline{N}(r,a;g \mid \leq k) &- \overline{N}_{k)}^{E}(r,a;f,g) = S(r,g), \\ \overline{N}(r,a;f \mid \geq k+1) &- \overline{N}_{(k+1)}^{0}(r,a;f,g) = S(r,f), \end{split}$$

$$\overline{N}(r,a;g|\ge k+1) - \overline{N}^0_{(k+1)}(r,a;f,g) = S(r,g),$$

or if k = 0 and

 $\overline{N}(r,a;f) - \overline{N}_0(r,a;f,g) = S(r,f), \quad \overline{N}(r,a;g) - \overline{N}_0(r,a;f,g) = S(r,g),$ then we say that f and g share the value a weakly with weight k and we write f and g share "(a,k)".

Definition 1.6 ([2]). We denote by $\overline{N}(r, a; f \models p; g \models q)$ the reduced counting function of common *a*-points of *f* and *g* with multiplicities *p* and *q* respectively.

Definition 1.7 ([2]). Let f, g share a "IM". Also let k be a positive integer or infinity and $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. If for $p \neq q$,

$$\sum_{p,q \le k} \overline{N}(r,a;f \mid = p;g \mid = q) = S(r).$$

then we say that f and g share the value a with weight k in a relaxed manner. Here we write f and g share $(a, k)^*$ to mean that f and g share a with weight k in a relaxed manner.

2. Lemmas

In this section, we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel. We denote by H the following function:

$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}\right),$$
 (1)

where F and G are non-constant meromorphic functions defined in the complex plane \mathbb{C} .

Lemma 2.1 (see [15]). Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and p, k be positive integers, then

$$N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \le T(r, f^{(k)}) - T(r, f) + N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f),$$
(2)

$$N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \le k\overline{N}(r, f) + N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f).$$
(3)

Lemma 2.2 (see [11]). Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function, let $P_n(f) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j f^j$ be a polynomial in f, where $a_n \neq 0, a_{n-1}, ..., a_1, a_0 \neq 0$ are complex constants satisfying $T(r, a_j) = S(r, f)$, then

$$T(r, P_n) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [9]). Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$ for all integer $n \ge 3$, then $f^n(af + b) = g^n(ag+b)$ implies f = g, where a and b are two finite non-zero complex constants. **Lemma 2.4.** Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer and let n, k and m be three positive integers. Let $F = (f^n P(f))^{(k)}$ and $G = (g^n P(g))^{(k)}$, where P(z) be defined as in Theorem B. If there exists two non-zero constants b_1 and b_2 such that $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-b_1})$ and $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-b_2})$, then

 $n \leq \frac{3k+3}{s} + m \text{ when } m \leq k+1 \text{ and } n \geq \frac{5k+5}{s} - m \text{ when } m > k+1.$

Proof. By the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna theory, we have

$$T(r,F) \leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-b_2}\right) + S(r,F)$$
$$\leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r,F). \tag{4}$$

Combining (2), (3), (4) and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \leq T(r,F) - \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^nP(f)}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}(r,f) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^nP(f)}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^nP(f)}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{g^nP(g)}\right) + \overline{N}(r,f)$$

$$+ k\overline{N}(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(5)

When $m \leq k + 1$, then from (5), we have

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \le \left(\frac{k+1}{s} + m\right)T(r,f) + \left(\frac{k+1}{s} + m\right)T(r,g) + \frac{1}{s}T(r,f) + \frac{k}{s}T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \le \left(\frac{k+2}{s} + m\right)T(r,f) + \left(\frac{2k+1}{s} + m\right)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(6)

Similarly,

$$(n+m) T(r,g) \le \left(\frac{k+2}{s} + m\right) T(r,g) + \left(\frac{2k+1}{s} + m\right) T(r,f) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(7)

Combining (6) and (7), we get

$$(n+m)(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) \le \left(\frac{3k+3}{s} + 2m\right)(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) + S(r,f) + S(r,g),$$

which gives $n \leq \frac{3k+3}{s} + m$. When m > k+1, then from (5), we have

$$(n+m) T(r,f) \leq \left(\frac{2(k+1)}{s}\right) T(r,f) + \left(\frac{2(k+1)}{s}\right) T(r,g) + \frac{1}{s} T(r,f) + \frac{k}{s} T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \leq \left(\frac{2k+3}{s}\right) T(r,f) + \left(\frac{3k+2}{s}\right) T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(8)

Similarly,

$$(n+m)T(r,g) \le \left(\frac{2k+3}{s}\right)T(r,g) + \left(\frac{3k+2}{s}\right)T(r,f) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

$$(9)$$

Combining (8) and (9), we get

$$(n+m)(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) \le \left(\frac{5k+5}{s}\right)(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) + S(r,f) + S(r,g),$$

which gives $n < \frac{5k+5}{s} - m$. This proves the lemma.

which gives $n \leq \frac{5\kappa + 5}{s} - m$. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 2.5 (see [9]). Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let P(z) be defined as in Theorem B and n, m and k be three positive integers and $\alpha(z) (\neq 0, \infty)$ be a small function of f and g, then $(f^n P(f))^{(k)} (g^n P(g))^{(k)} \neq \alpha^2$ holds for k = 1 and $(n + m - 2)p > 2m(1 + \frac{1}{s})$, where p is the number of distinct roots of P(z) = 0.

Lemma 2.6 (see [2]). Let F and G be non-constant meromorphic functions that share "(1,2)" and $H \not\equiv 0$, then

$$T(r,F) \le N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r,F) + N_2(r,G) - \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \overline{N}_{(p}\left(r,\frac{G}{G'}\right) + S(r,F) + S(r,G),$$

and the same inequality hold for T(r, G).

Lemma 2.7 (see [2]). Let F and G be non-constant meromorphic functions that share $(1,2)^*$ and $H \not\equiv 0$, then

$$T(r,F) \leq N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r,F) + N_2(r,G) + \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) - m\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r,F) + S(r,G),$$

and the same inequality hold for T(r, G).

Lemma 2.8 (see [1]). Let F and G be non-constant meromorphic functions. If $\overline{E}_{4}(1,F) = \overline{E}_{4}(1,G), \ \overline{E}_{2}(1,F) = \overline{E}_{2}(1,G) \text{ and } H \neq 0, \text{ then}$

$$T(r,F) + T(r,G) \le 2\left\{N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r,F) + N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r,G)\right\} + S(r,F) + S(r,G).$$

Now the following question is inevitable, which is the motivation of the paper: Is it possible to relax the nature of sharing the small function in Theorem D? Considering this question, we prove the following results.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer and $\alpha(z)(\neq 0)$ be a small function of f and g. Let P(z) be defined as in Theorem B and $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Let n, m and k be three positive integers satisfying $n - m > max\left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{3k + 8}{s}\right\}$ when $m \leq k + 1$ and n + m > $max\left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{5k + 12}{s}\right\}$ when m > k + 1. If $(f^n P(f))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n P(g))^{(k)}$ share " $(\alpha(z), 2)$ ", then one of the following three cases hold:

(i)
$$(f^n P(f))^{(k)} (g^n P(g))^{(k)} = \alpha^2 \text{ for } k \neq 1;$$

(ii) f = tg for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where d = GCD(n+m, ..., n+m-i, ..., n), $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some i = 0, 1, ..., m;

(iii) f and g satisfy the algebraic equation R(f,g) = 0, where $R(f,g) = f^n P(f) - g^n P(g)$.

Proof. Let $F = \frac{(f^n P(f))^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}$ and $G = \frac{(g^n P(g))^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}$. Then F and G are transcendental meromorphic functions that share "(1, 2)" except the zeros and poles of $\alpha(z)$.

Suppose that $H \not\equiv 0$.

Using (2) and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$N_{2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) \leq N_{2}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f^{n}P(f))^{(k)}}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq T(r,F) - (n+m)T(r,f) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{n}P(f)}\right) + S(r,f).$$
(10)

Using (3), we deduce that

$$N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) \le k\overline{N}\left(r,\left(f^n P(f)\right)^{(k)}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq k\overline{N}(r,f) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) + S(r,f).$$
(11)

From (10), we have

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \le T(r,F) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) - N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r,f).$$
 (12)

By using (12) and Lemma 2.6, we get

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \le N_2(r,F) + N_2(r,G) + N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(13)

We suppose that $m \leq k + 1$. Then from (13), we get

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \le \left(\frac{k+4}{s} + m\right)T(r,f) + \left(\frac{2k+4}{s} + m\right)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(14)

Similarly,

$$(n+m)T(r,g) \le \left(\frac{k+4}{s} + m\right)T(r,g) + \left(\frac{2k+4}{s} + m\right)T(r,f) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(15)

From (14) and (15) together, we get

$$\left(n - m - \frac{3k + 8}{s}\right) (T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \le S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

a contradiction to our assumption that $n - m > max \left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{3k + 8}{s}\right\}$. Next we assume that m > k + 1. Then from (13), we get

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \le \left(\frac{2k+6}{s}\right)T(r,f) + \left(\frac{3k+6}{s}\right)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(16)

Similarly,

$$(n+m)T(r,g) \le \left(\frac{2k+6}{s}\right)T(r,g) + \left(\frac{3k+6}{s}\right)T(r,f) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

$$(17)$$

From (16) and (17) together, we get

$$\left(n + m - \frac{5k + 12}{s}\right)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \le S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

a contradiction to our assumption that $n + m > max \left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{5k + 12}{s}\right\}$. Therefore, we must have H = 0. Then

$$\left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}\right) = 0.$$

Integrating both sides twice, we get

$$\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{a}{G-1} + b,$$
(18)

where $a \neq 0$ and b are constants. From (18), it is clear that F and G share 1 CM and hence they share "(1,2)". Therefore, $n-m > max\left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{3k+8}{s}\right\}$ when $m \leq k+1$ and $n+m > max\left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{5k+12}{s}\right\}$ when m > k+1. We now discuss the following cases separately.

Case 1. Let $b \neq 0$, and a = b. Then from (18), we get

$$\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{bG}{G-1}.$$
 (19)

If b = -1, then from (19), we obtain FG = 1. Then $(f^n P(f))^{(k)} (g^n P(g))^{(k)} = \alpha^2$. This is a contradiction when k = 1 by Lemma 2.5. If $b \neq -1$, from (19), we have $\frac{1}{F} = \frac{bG}{(1+b)G-1}$, hence $\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1/(1+b)}\right) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F})$.

Using (2), (3), Lemma 2.2 and the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, we deduce that

$$T(r,G) \leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1/(1+b)}\right) + \overline{N}(r,G) + S(r,G)$$
$$\leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}(r,G) + S(r,G).$$

Hence,

$$(n+m)T(r,g) \leq \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r,G) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{g^n P(g)}\right) + S(r,g)$$
$$\leq k\overline{N}(r,f) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) + \overline{N}(r,g) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{g^n P(g)}\right)$$
$$+ S(r,g). \tag{20}$$

If $m \leq k+1$, then from (20), we get

$$(n+m)T(r,g) \le \left(\frac{2k+1}{s} + m\right)T(r,f) + \left(\frac{k+2}{s} + m\right)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(21)

Similarly,

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \le \left(\frac{2k+1}{s} + m\right)T(r,g) + \left(\frac{k+2}{s} + m\right)T(r,f) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(22)

From (21) and (22) together, we get

$$\left(n - m - \frac{3k + 3}{s}\right) \left(T(r, f) + T(r, g)\right) \le S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

a contradiction since $n - m > \frac{3k + 8}{s}$. Similarly, if m > k + 1, then from (20), we get

minarly, if m > k + 1, then from (20), we get

$$\left(n+m-\frac{5k+5}{s}\right)(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) \le S(r,f)+S(r,g),$$

a contradiction since $n + m > \frac{5k + 12}{s}$.

Case 2. Let $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq b$. Then from (18), we have $F = \frac{(b+1)G - (b-a+1)}{bG + (a-b)}$ and hence

$$\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-\frac{b-a+1}{b+1}}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right).$$

Proceeding in a manner similar to case 1, we get a contradiction.

Case 3. Let b = 0 and $a \neq 0$. Then from (18), we have $F = \frac{G+a-1}{a}$ and G = aF - (a-1). If $a \neq 1$, it follows that

$$\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-\frac{a-1}{a}}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) \text{ and } \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-(1-a)}\right) = \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}).$$

By applying Lemma 2.4, we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore a = 1 and hence F = G.

Hence, $(f^n P(f))^{(k)} = (g^n P(g))^{(k)}$. By integration, we get $(f^n P(f))^{(k-1)} = (g^n P(g))^{(k-1)} + c_{k-1}$, where c_{k-1} is a constant. If $c_{k-1} \neq 0$, by Lemma 2.4, it follows that $n-m \leq \frac{3k}{s} < \frac{3k+3}{s}$ when $m \leq k+1$ and $n+m \leq \frac{5k}{s} < \frac{5k+5}{s}$ when m > k+1, a contradiction to the hypothesis.

Hence, $c_{k-1} = 0$.

Repeating the same process k-1 times, we get

$$f^n P(f) = g^n P(g). \tag{23}$$

If m = 1 in (23), then we get f = g by using Lemma 2.3.

Suppose that $m \ge 2$ and $b = \frac{f}{g}$.

If b is a constant, then substituting f = bh in (23), we get

$$a_m g^{n+m}(b^{n+m}-1) + a_{m-1} g^{n+m-1}(b^{n+m-1}-1) + \dots + a_0 g^n(b^n-1) = 0,$$

which implies $b^d = 1$, where d = GCD(n + m, ..., n + m - i, ..., n). Hence, f = tg for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, d = GCD(n + m, ..., n + m - i, ..., n), i = 0, 1, ..., m.

If b is not constant, then from (23), we find that f and g satisfy the algebraic equation R(f,g) = 0, where $R(f,g) = f^n P(f) - g^n P(g)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.1. When m = 0, s = 1 and k = 1 in Theorem 3.1, we get Theorem A.

Remark 3.2. When s = 1 in Theorem 3.1, we get Theorem B.

Theorem 3.2. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer and $\alpha(z) (\not\equiv 0)$ be a small function of f and g. Let P(z) be defined as in Theorem B and $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Let n, m and k be three positive integers satisfying $n - 2m > max \left\{ 2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{5k + 10}{s} \right\}$ when $m \le k + 1$ and n + m > $max \left\{ 2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{8k + 15}{s} \right\}$ when m > k + 1. If $(f^n P(f))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n P(g))^{(k)}$ share $(\alpha(z), 2)^*$, then conclusions of Theorem 3.1 hold.

Proof. Let F and G be defined as in Theorem 3.1. Then F and G are transcendental meromorphic functions that share $(1,2)^*$ except the zeros and poles of $\alpha(z)$.

We suppose that $H \not\equiv 0$.

Using (3) and Lemma 2.7 in (10), we get

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \le N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r,F) + N_2(r,G) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r,F) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(24)

Suppose that $m \leq k+1$, then from (24), we get

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \le N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{g^n P(g)}\right) + k\overline{N}(r,g) + 2\overline{N}(r,f) + 2\overline{N}(r,g)$$

$$+ N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}(r, f)$$

+ $N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)$
$$\leq \left(\frac{3k+6}{s} + 2m\right)T(r, f) + \left(\frac{2k+4}{s} + m\right)T(r, g)$$

+ $S(r, f) + S(r, g).$

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} (n+m)T(r,g) &\leq \left(\frac{3k+6}{s}+2m\right)T(r,g) + \left(\frac{2k+4}{s}+m\right)T(r,f) \\ &+ S(r,f) + S(r,g). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\left(n - 2m - \frac{5k + 10}{s}\right) (T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \le S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

a contradiction to our assumption that $n - 2m > max \left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{5k + 10}{s}\right\}$. Similarly, if m > k + 1, then from (24), we get

$$\left(n + m - \frac{8k + 15}{s}\right)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \le S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

a contradiction to the fact that $n + m > max \left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{8k + 15}{s}\right\}$. Thus, $H \equiv 0$ and rest of the theorem follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer and $\alpha(z) \neq 0$ be a small function of f and g. Let P(z) be defined as in Theo-rem B and $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Let n, m and k be three positive integers satisfying $n-m > max\left\{2+\frac{2m}{s}, \frac{3k+8}{s}\right\}$ when $m \leq k+1$ and n+1 $m > max \left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{5k+12}{s}\right\}$ when m > k+1. If $\overline{E}_{4}(\alpha(z), (f^n P(f))^{(k)}) = 0$ $\overline{E}_{4)}(\alpha(z), (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}) \text{ and } \overline{E}_{2)}(\alpha(z), (f^{n}P(f))^{(k)}) = \overline{E}_{2)}(\alpha(z), (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}), \text{ then } (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}) = \overline{E}_{2}(\alpha(z), (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}), \text{ then } (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}) = \overline{E}_{2}(\alpha(z), (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}) = \overline{E}_{2}(\alpha(z), (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}), \text{ then } (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}) = \overline{E}_{2}(\alpha(z), (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}) = \overline{E}_{2}(\alpha(z), (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}), \text{ then } (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)} = \overline{E}_{2}(\alpha(z), (g^{n}P(g))^{(k)}) = \overline{E}_{2$ the conclusions of Theorem 3.1 hold.

Proof. Let F and G be defined as in Theorem 3.1. Then F and G are transcendental meromorphic functions such that $\overline{E}_{4}(1,F) = \overline{E}_{4}(1,G)$ and $\overline{E}_{2}(1,F) =$ $\overline{E}_{2}(1,G)$ except for the zeros and poles of $\alpha(z)$. Let $H \neq 0$.

Then by using (3), (10) and Lemma 2.8, we get

$$(n+m)(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) \leq 2N_2(r,F) + 2N_2(r,G) + N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{g^n P(g)}\right) + S(r,F) + S(r,G).$$
(25)

Suppose that $m \leq k+1$, then from (25), we get

$$(n+m)(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) \le \left(\frac{3k+8}{s} + 2m\right)(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(26)

Hence,

$$\left(n - m - \frac{3k + 8}{s}\right) (T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \le S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

a contradiction to our assumption that $n - m > max \left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{3k+8}{s}\right\}$. Similarly, if m > k + 1, then from (25), we get

$$\left(n+m-\frac{5k+12}{s}\right)(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) \le S(r,f)+S(r,g),$$

a contradiction to the fact that $n + m > max \left\{2 + \frac{2m}{s}, \frac{5k + 12}{s}\right\}$. Thus, $H \equiv 0$ and rest of the theorem follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Thus, $H \equiv 0$ and rest of the theorem follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

References

- 1. A. Banerjee, On uniqueness of meromorphic functions when two differential monomials share one value, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 44 (2007), no. 4, 607–622.
- A. Banerjee and S. Mukherjee, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning differential monomials sharing the same value, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.) 50 (2007), no. 3, 191-206.
- 3. S. S. Bhoosnurmath and S. R. Kabbur, Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions with regard to multiplicity, Tamkang J. Math. 44 (2013), no. 1, 11-22.
- 4. W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- I. Lahiri, Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 46 (2001), no. 3, 241-253.
- I. Lahiri, Value distribution of certain differential polynomials, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 28 (2001), no. 2, 83-91.
- S. Lin and W. Lin, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning weakly weightedsharing, Kodai Math. J. 29 (2006), no. 2, 269-280.
- X. Lin and W. Lin, Uniqueness of entire functions sharing one value, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. **31** (2011), no. 3, 1062-1076.

- J. Long, Nonlinear differential polynomials of meromorphic functions with regard to multiplicity sharing a small function, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 22 (2017), no. 7, 1220-10230.
- P. Sahoo and H. Karmakar, Results on uniqueness of entire functions whose certain difference polynomials share a small function, Anal. Math. 41 (2015) no. 4, 257-272.
- C. C. Yang, On deficiencies of differential polynomials. II, Math. Z. 125 (1972), 107-112.
 C.-C. Yang and X. Hua, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions, Ann.
 - Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 22 (1997), no. 2, 395-406.
- C.-C. Yang and H.-X. Yi, Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, Mathematics and its Applications, 557, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.
- L. Yang, Value distribution theory, translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese original, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- J.-L. Zhang and L.-Z. Yang, Some results related to a conjecture of R. Brück, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 8 (2007), no. 1, Article 18, 11 pp.
- X.-Y. Zhang, J.-F. Chen and W.-C. Lin, Entire or meromorphic functions sharing one value, Comput. Math. Appl. 56 (2008), no. 7, 1876-1883.

Harina P. Waghamore

Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-56.

e-mail:harinapw@gmail.com

Sangeetha Anand

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-56.

e-mail:sangeetha.ads130gmail.com