JOURNAL OF THE CHUNGCHEONG MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume **30**, No. 1, February 2017 http://dx.doi.org/10.14403/jcms.2017.30.1.61

PERSISTENT ACTIONS ON COMPACT METRIC SPACES

JIWEON AHN*, KEONHEE LEE**, AND SEUNGHEE LEE***

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notion of persistent actions of finitely generated groups on compact metric spaces and give a necessary condition for a persistent dynamical system to be topologically stable.

1. Introduction

R. Bowen [1] introduced the concept of the pseudo-orbit-tracingproperty and essentially showed that expansive homeomorphisms with this property are topologically stable. A. Morimoto [5] has proved that the topological stability implies the pseudo-orbit-tracing-property. K. Yano [6] showed that expansiveness condition is necessary in Bowen's result. Moreover J. Lewowicz [4] introduced the concept of persistence of a dynamical system which is weaker than that of topological stability.

Very recently, N. Chung and K. Lee [3] introduced the notion of topological stability for actions of finitely generated groups on compact metric spaces and proved an expansive action having the pseudo-orbittracing property is topologically stable. In this paper, we introduce the notion of persistent actions of finitely generated groups on compact metric spaces and give a necessary condition to be topologically stable.

Let X donote a compact metric space with a metric d. Let Homeo(X) denote the space of all homeomorphisms of X to itself topologied by the C^{0} -metric

$$d_0(f,g) = \sup\{d(f(x),g(x)) : x \in X\}.$$

Received October 25, 2016; Accepted January 24, 2017.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 37C50, 37C75, 58E40.

Key words and phrases: topological stability, persistent, expansive, group action. Correspondence should be addressed to Seunghee Lee, shlee@nims.re.kr.

The second author was supported by research fund of Chungnam National University.

To explain the main theorem of our paper, we recall some definitions for group actions which are introduced very recently in [3].

Let G be a finitely generated group and Act(G, X) denote the set of all continuous actions of G on X. Let A be a finitely generating set of G. We define a metric d_A on Act(G, X) by

$$d_A(T,S) = \sup\{d(T_ax, S_ax) : x \in X, a \in A\}$$

for every $T, S \in Act(G, X)$.

DEFINITION 1.1. [3] An action $T \in Act(G, X)$ is said to be *A*topologically stable if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if *S* is another continuous action of *G* on *X* with $d_A(T, S) < \delta$ then there exists a continuous map $f: X \to X$ with

$$T_g f = f S_g \text{ for every } g \in G, \text{ and} \\ d(f, 1_X) \le \varepsilon,$$

where 1_X is the identity map on X. The map f is called the *semiconjugacy* from S to T with respect to A.

We can see that topological stability does not depend on the choice of a symmetric finitely generating set. And we say that T is *topologically stable* if it is A-topologically stable for some symmetric finitely generating set A of G.

We say that an action $T \in Act(G, X)$ is *expansive* if there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that for every $x \neq y$, we have

$$\sup_{g \in G} d(T_g x, T_g y) > \eta.$$

Such number $\eta > 0$ is called an expansive constant of T.

Now we will introduce the definition of persistent actions of finitely generated groups by using symmetric finitely generating sets of the acting groups.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let A be a symmetric finitely generating set of G, and let $T \in Act(G, X)$. We say that T is α -persistent(or β -persistent) with respect to A if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $d_A(T, S) < \delta$ and $x \in X$, then there exists $y \in X$ satisfying

$$d(T_g y, S_g x) < \varepsilon \quad (\text{or} \quad d(T_g x, S_g y) < \varepsilon)$$

for all $g \in G$.

We can check that a persistent action does not depend on the choice of a symmetric finitely generating set A of G as following lemma.

62

LEMMA 1.3. Let $T \in Act(G, X)$. Let A and B be two symmetric finitely generating sets of G. If T is α -persistent with respect to A, then it is also α -persistent with respect to B.

Proof. Let d be a compatible metric on X. By the assumption, T is α -persistent with respect to A, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $d_A(T,S) < \delta$ and $x \in X$, then there exists $y \in X$ satisfying $d(T_g y, S_g x) < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$. To prove this lemma, it is enough to claim that there is $\delta' > 0$ such that every $S \in Act(G, X)$ with $d_B(T, S) < \delta'$ satisfies $d_A(T, S) < \delta$.

Put $k := \max_{a \in A} l_B(a)$, where l_B is the word length metric on Ginduced by B. Choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $k\delta_1 < \delta$. Since X is compact, A and B are finite and T is a continuous action, there exists $\delta' > 0$ such that $d(T_{g'}x, T_{g'}y) < \delta_1$ for any x and y with $d(x, y) < \delta'$ and $g' \in G$ with $l_B(g') \leq k$. For every $a \in A$, we write a as $b_1 \cdots b_{l(a)}$, where $l(a) = l_B(a) \leq k, b_i \in B$, and $i = 1, \cdots, l(a)$. Then for every $S \in Act(G, X)$ with $d_B(T, S) < \delta'$, we get the following conclusion;

$$\begin{aligned} d_A(T,S) &= d(T_a x, S_a x) \\ &= d(T_{b_1 \cdots b_{l(a)}} x, S_{b_1 \cdots b_{l(a)}} x) \\ &\leq d(T_{b_1 \cdots b_{l(a)-1}} T_{b_{l(a)}} x, T_{b_1 \cdots b_{l(a)-1}} S_{b_{l(a)}} x) \\ &+ d(T_{b_1 \cdots b_{l(a)-2}} T_{b_{l(a)-1}} S_{b_{l(a)}} x, T_{b_1 \cdots b_{l(a)-1}} S_{b_{l(a)}-1} S_{b_{l(a)}} x) \\ &+ \cdots + d(T_{b_1} T_{b_2} S_{b_3 \cdots b_{l(a)}} x, T_{b_1} S_{b_2} S_{b_3 \cdots b_{l(a)-1}} x) \\ &+ d(T_{b_1} S_{b_2 \cdots b_{l(a)}} x, S_{b_1 \cdots b_{l(a)}} x) \\ &\leq k \delta' < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

We say that T is α -persistent if it is α -persistent with respect to some symmetric finitely generating set A of G. Throughout this paper, a persistent action means both α and β -persistent.

LEMMA 1.4. A topologically stable dynamical system is persistent for group action.

Proof. It is straightforward.

The following is our main theorem which gives a necessary condition for a persistent action to be topologically stable.

Theorem A. A persistent action is topologically stable if it is expansive.

2. Proof of Theorem A

Let G and X be as before. Let $T, S \in Act(G, X)$. If $d_A(T, S) < \delta$, then each S-orbit $\{S_gx\}$ of $x \in X$ is nearly a T-orbit in the sense that $d(T_aS_gx, S_{ag}x) < \delta$ for every $a \in A, g \in G$. To prove Theorem A, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. Assume that an expansive action T of a finitely generated group G on a compact metric space (X,d) is α -persistent with respect to some finitely generating set A of G. Let $\varepsilon < \eta/2$ and δ correponds to ε as in Definition 1.2, where η is an expansive constant of the action T. Then for every S-orbit $\{S_g x\}_{g \in G}$ of $x \in X$ with $d(T, S) < \delta$ there exists a unique point in X satisfying α -persistentness.

Proof. Let $\{S_gx\}_{g\in G}$ be a S-orbit of x with $d(T, S) < \delta$ and let y and z be two points which is $\{T_gy\}_{g\in G}$ and $\{T_gz\}_{g\in G}$ are two orbits such that $d(T_gy, S_gx) < \varepsilon$ and $d(T_gz, S_gx) < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$. Then we can certify

$$d(T_g y, T_g z) \le d(T_g y, S_g x) + d(S_g x, T_g z) < 2\varepsilon < \eta$$

for every $g \in G$. This fact means y = z, since T is expansive.

LEMMA 2.2. [3] Let T be an expansive action of G on a compact metric space (X, d) and let η be an expansive constant of the action. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a non-empty finite subet F of G such that whenever $\sup_{q \in F} d(T_q x, T_q y) \leq \eta$, one has $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$.

Proof. See Lemma 1.18 in [3].

Proof of Theorem A. Let $\eta > 0$ be an expansive constant of T and $\varepsilon < \eta/3$. Let A be a finite generating set of G. Choose δ corresponding to ε as in Definition 1.2. Let S be another continuous action of G on (X, d) with $d_A(T, S) < \delta$. Then by the persistentness, there exists $y \in X$ such that

(*)
$$d(T_g y, S_g x) < \varepsilon$$
 and $d(T_g x, S_g y) < \varepsilon$

for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$.

Define a map $f: X \to X$ by f(x) = y. By Lemma 2.1, f is well-defined. In particular, we have $d(f(x), x) < \varepsilon$ for every $x \in X$, and hence $d(f, Id_X) \leq \varepsilon$.

Now we will prove that f is continuous. Let $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. By Lemma 2.2, there is a non-empty finite subset F of G such that whenever $\sup_{g \in F} d(T_g x, T_g y) \leq \eta$ one has $d(x, y) < \varepsilon_1$. Choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

64

for every $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta_1$, one has $d(S_g x, S_g y) < \eta/3$ for any $g \in F$. Then, for every $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta_1$ and for every $g \in F$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_g f(x), T_g f(y)) &= d(f S_g(x), f S_g(y)) \\ &\leq d(f S_g(x), S_g(x)) + d(S_g(x), S_g(y)) + d(S_g(y), f S_g(y)) \\ &< \varepsilon + \eta/3 + \varepsilon < \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon_1$ for every $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta_1$ and hence f is continuous.

Next we will show that $T_g f(x) = fS_g(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and $g \in G$. Then

$$d(T_{g'}f(S_gx), S_{g'g}x) = d(T_{g'}f(S_gx), S_{g'}S_gx) < \varepsilon$$

for every $g' \in G$. One the other hand, applying (*), we obtain

$$d(T_{g'}T_gf(x), S_{g'g}x) = d(T_{g'g}f(x), S_{g'g}x) < \varepsilon.$$

Then by Lemma 2.1, we get $T_g f(x) = f S_g(x)$. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

Let $T, S \in Act(G, X)$. We say that T is topologically conjugate to S if there exists $h \in Homeo(X)$ satisfying hS = Th, and the homeomorphism h is called a *topological conjugacy* between T and S. We can see that a persistence action is invariant under a topological conjugacy.

THEOREM 2.3. A dynamical system which is topologically conjugate to a persistent action is persistent.

Proof. Suppose that a persistent action $T \in Act(G, X)$ is topologically conjugate to a dynamical system S. Then we have a topological conjugacy $f \in Homeo(X)$ between T and S. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, and choose $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ such that if $d(a,b) < \varepsilon'$ then $d(f^{-1}(a), f^{-1}(b)) < \varepsilon$ for $a, b \in X$.

Since T is persistent, there is $\delta' > 0$ such that if $d_A(T, T') < \delta'$ for some symmetric finitely generating set A of G then for any $x \in X$, there exists $y \in X$ satisfying

$$d(T_g(y), T'_g(x)) < \varepsilon'$$

for all $g \in G$.

We can choose $0 < \delta < \delta'$ such that if $d(a, b) < \delta$, then

$$d(f(a), f(b)) < \delta'.$$

Let $S_0 \in Act(G, X)$ be such that $d_A(S, S_0) < \delta$, and put $T_0 = f \circ S_0 \circ f^{-1}$. Since

$$d(f(S(x)), f(S_0(x))) = d(T(f(x)), T_0(f(x))) < \delta'$$

for any $x \in X$, we have $d_A(T, T_0) < \delta'$. Since T is persistent, there is $f(y) \in X$ such that

$$d(T_{g}(f(y)), T'_{q}(f(x))) = d(f(S_{g}(y)), f(S'_{q}(x))) < \varepsilon'$$

for all $g \in G$. Therefore we have $d(S_g(y), S'_g(x)) < \varepsilon'$ for all $g \in G$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] R. Bowen, ω -limit sets for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, J. Diff. Eqn. 18 (1975), 333-339.
- [2] S. Choi, C. Chu, and K. Lee, *Recurrence in persistent dynamical systems*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 43 (1991), 509-517.
- [3] N. Chung and K. Lee, *Topological stability and pseudo orbit tracing property of group actions*, preprint.
- [4] J. Lewowicz, Persistence in expansive systems, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 3 (1983), 567-578.
- [5] A. Morimoto, Stochastically Stable Diffeomorphisms and Takens Conjecture, Suri-ken Kokyuroku (1977), 8-24.
- [6] K. Yano, Topologically stable homeomorphisms of the circle, Nagoya Math. J. 79 (1980), 145-149.

*

Department of Mathematics Chungnam National University Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: jwahn@cnu.ac.kr

**

Department of Mathematics Chungnam National University Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: khlee@cnu.ac.kr

Development of Mathematical Data-Analytics and Its Applications National Institute for Mathematical Sciences Daejeon 34047, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: shlee@cnu.ac.kr

66