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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish lower estimates for the modulus of the nontangential derivative of the holomorphic function $f(z)$ at the boundary of the unit disc. Also, we shall give an estimate below $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|$ according to the first nonzero Taylor coefficient of about two zeros, namely $z=0$ and $z_{0} \neq 0$.


## 1. Introduction

Let us consider a function $f(z)$ holomorphic in the unit disc $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$ with $f(E) \subset E$ and $f(0)=0$. The Schwarz lemma asserts that

$$
|f(z)| \leq|z|
$$

for every $z \in E$ and

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 1
$$

Moreover, if the equality $|f(z)|=|z|$ holds for any $z \neq 0$, or $\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|=1$ then $f$ is a rotation, that is, $f(z)=z e^{i \theta}, \theta$ real [6]. More generally, the Schwarz lemma can be applied to a function with the information $f\left(z_{0}\right)=\eta$ for some $z_{0}, \eta$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|<1$, $|\eta|<1$ instead of $f(0)=0$ and it is called the Schwarz-Pick lemma [6].

Let $f$ be a holomorphic function of $E$ into $E$ with $f\left(z_{0}\right)=\eta$ for some $z_{0}, \eta$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|<1,|\eta|<1$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{1-\overline{f\left(z_{0}\right)} f(z)}\right| \leq\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{1-\overline{z_{0} z}}\right|, z \neq z_{0}
$$

and

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1-|f(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

[^0]Equality holds at some point $z$ if and only if $f(z)$ is a Möbius transformation.
For historical background about the Schwarz lemma and its applications on the boundary of the unit disc, we refer to (see [2], [19]).

The basic tool in proving our results is the following lemma due to Jack [2].
Lemma 1.1 (Jack's lemma). Let the function $f(z)$ defined by

$$
f(z)=c_{p} z^{p}+c_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\ldots
$$

be holomorphic in $E$ with $f(0)=0$. If $|f(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r$ at a point $z_{0} \in E$, then there exists a real number $k \geq p$ such that

$$
\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}=k
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ denote the class of functions

$$
f(z)=z+c_{p+1} z^{p+1}+c_{p+2} z^{p+2}+\ldots
$$

that are holomorphic in the unit disc $E$. Also, $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ consisting of all functions $f(z)$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-\gamma\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right)\right|<(1-\alpha)|p-\gamma| \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some real $0 \leq \alpha<1$ and some complex $\gamma$ with $\Re(\gamma)<p$.
Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ and define $\varphi(z)$ in $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-1}{1-\alpha} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\varphi(z)$ is holomorphic function in the unit disc $E$ and $\varphi(0)=0$. That is;

$$
\varphi(z)=\frac{(p+1) c_{p+1}}{1-\alpha} z^{p}+\frac{(p+2) c_{p+2}}{1-\alpha} z^{p+1}+\ldots
$$

We want to prove $|\varphi(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1$. Differentiating (1.2) and simplifiying, we obtain

$$
z f^{\prime \prime}(z)=(1-\alpha) z \varphi^{\prime}(z)
$$

and, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-\gamma\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right)\right| & =\left|(1-\alpha) z \varphi^{\prime}(z)-\gamma(1-\alpha) \varphi(z)\right| \\
& =(1-\alpha)|\varphi(z)|\left|\frac{z \varphi^{\prime}(z)}{\varphi(z)}-\gamma\right| \\
& <(1-\alpha)|p-\gamma|
\end{aligned}
$$

If there exists a point $z_{0} \in E$ such that

$$
\max _{|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|}|\varphi(z)|=\left|\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1,
$$

then Jack's lemma gives us that $\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}$ and $z_{0} \varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k \varphi\left(z_{0}\right)(k \geq p)$.
Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-\gamma\left(f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-1\right)\right| & =(1-\alpha)\left|\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\left|\frac{z_{0} \varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)}-\gamma\right| \\
& =(1-\alpha)|k-\gamma| \\
& \geq(1-\alpha)|p-\gamma| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradict (1.1). So, there is no point $z_{0} \in E$ such that $\left|\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$. This means that $|\varphi(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1$. Thus, from the Schwarz lemma, we obtain

$$
\left|c_{p+1}\right| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} .
$$

Moreover, the equality $\left|c_{p+1}\right|=\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p}$ holds if and only if

$$
f(z)=z+\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} z^{p+1} e^{i \theta}
$$

where $\theta$ is a real number.
The proof has been completed. Let us now give the statement of the lemma.
Lemma 1.2. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{p+1}\right| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (1.3) holds if and only if

$$
f(z)=z+\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} z^{p+1} e^{i \theta}
$$

where $\theta$ is a real number.
The following boundary version of the Schwarz lemma was proved in 1938 by Unkelbach in [20] and then rediscovered and partially improved by Osserman in 2000 [16].

Lemma 1.3. Let $f(z)=c_{p} z^{p}+c_{p+1} z^{p+1}+c_{p+2} z^{p+2}+\ldots p \geq 1, p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a holomorphic function self-mapping of $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$, that is $|f(z)|<1$ for all $z \in E$. Assume that there is $a b \in \partial E$ so that $f$ extend continuously to $b,|f(b)|=1$ and $f^{\prime}(b)$ exists. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| \geq p+\frac{1-\left|c_{p}\right|}{1+\left|c_{p}\right|} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (1.4) holds if and only if $f$ is of the form

$$
f(z)=-z^{p} \frac{a-z}{1-a z}, \forall z \in E
$$

for some constant $a \in(-1,0]$.
Corollary 1.4. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| \geq p \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality only if $f$ is of the form

$$
f(z)=z^{p} e^{i \theta}
$$

where $\theta$ is a real number.
The following Lemma 1.5 and Corollary 1.6, known as the Julia-Wolff lemma, is needed in the sequel [18].

Lemma 1.5 (Julia-Wolff lemma). Let $f$ be a holomorphic function in $E, f(0)=0$ and $f(E) \subset E$. If, in addition, the function $f$ has an angular limit $f(b)$ at $b \in \partial E$, $|f(b)|=1$, then the angular derivative $f^{\prime}(b)$ exists and $1 \leq\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| \leq \infty$.

Corollary 1.6. The holomorphic function $f$ has a finite angular derivative $f^{\prime}(b)$ if and only if $f^{\prime}$ has the finite angular limit $f^{\prime}(b)$ at $b \in \partial E$.

Inequality (1.4) and its generalizations have important applications in geometric theory of functions (see, e.g., [6], [18]). Therefore, the interest to such type results is not vanished recently (see, e.g., [1], [2], [4], [5], [10], [11], [16], [17], [19] and references therein).

Vladimir N. Dubinin has continued this line and has made a refinement on the boundary Schwar lemma under the assumption that $f(z)=c_{p} z^{p}+c_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\ldots$, with a zero set $\left\{z_{k}\right\}$ (see [4]).
S. G. Krantz and D. M. Burns [9] and D. Chelst [3] studied the uniqueness part of the Schwarz lemma. In M. Mateljević's papers, for more general results and related estimates, see also ([12], [13], [14] and [15]).

Also, M. Jeong [8] showed some inequalities at a boundary point for different form of holomorphic functions and found the condition for equality and in [7] a holomorphic self map defined on the closed unit disc with fixed points only on the boundary of the unit disc.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, for holomorphic function $f(z)=z+c_{p+1} z^{p+1}+c_{p+2} z^{p+2}+\ldots$ belong to the class of $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$, it has been estimated from below the modulus of the non-tangential derivative of the function on the boundary point of the unit disc.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$. Assume that, for some $b \in \partial E, f^{\prime}$ has a nontangential limit $f^{\prime}(b)$ at $b$ and $f^{\prime}(b)=\alpha$. Then $f$ has the second non-tangential derivative at $b \in \partial E$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right| \geq p(1-\alpha) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (2.1) occurs for the function

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} z^{p+1}
$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$
\varphi(z)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-1}{1-\alpha}
$$

$\varphi(z)$ is a holomorphic function in the unit disc $E$ and $\varphi(0)=0$. From the Jack's lemma and since $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$, we obtain $|\varphi(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1$. It can be easily shown a non-tangential derivative of $\varphi$ at $b \in \partial E$ (see [18]). Thus, the second nontangential derivative of $f(z)$ at $b \in \partial E$ is obtained. Also, we have $|\varphi(b)|=1$ for $b \in \partial E$.

From (1.5), we obtain

$$
p \leq\left|\varphi^{\prime}(b)\right|=\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|}{1-\alpha}
$$

and

$$
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right| \geq p(1-\alpha)
$$

Now, we shall show that the inequality (2.1) is sharp. Let

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} z^{p+1}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{\prime}(z)=1-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p}(1+p) z^{p}=1-(1-\alpha) z^{p} \\
f^{\prime \prime}(z)=-(1-\alpha) p z^{p-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(1)\right|=(1-\alpha) p
$$

Theorem 2.2. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right| \geq(1-\alpha)\left(p+\frac{1-\alpha-(p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right|}{1-\alpha+(p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right|}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (2.2) is sharp with equality for the function

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} z^{p+1}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(z)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Using the inequality (1.4) for the function $\varphi(z)$, we obtain

$$
p+\frac{1-\left|d_{p}\right|}{1+\left|d_{p}\right|} \leq\left|\varphi^{\prime}(b)\right| \leq \frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|}{1-\alpha}
$$

where $\left|d_{p}\right|=\frac{\left|\varphi^{(p)}(0)\right|}{p!}=\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|$. Thus, we take

$$
p+\frac{1-\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|}{1+\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|} \leq \frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|}{1-\alpha}
$$

and

$$
p+\frac{1-\alpha-(1+p)\left|c_{p+1}\right|}{1-\alpha+(1+p)\left|c_{p+1}\right|} \leq \frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|}{1-\alpha}
$$

So, we obtain the inequality (2.2).
Now, we shall show that the inequality (2.2) is sharp. Let

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} z^{p+1}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{\prime}(z)=1-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p}(1+p) z^{p}=1-(1-\alpha) z^{p} \\
f^{\prime \prime}(z)=-(1-\alpha) p z^{p-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(1)\right|=(1-\alpha) p
$$

Since $\left|c_{p+1}\right|=\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p},(2.2)$ is satisfied with equality. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\alpha)\left(p+\frac{1-\alpha-(p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right|}{1-\alpha+(p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right|}\right) & =(1-\alpha)\left(p+\frac{1-\alpha-(p+1) \frac{1-\alpha}{1+p}}{1-\alpha+(p+1) \frac{1-\alpha}{1+p}}\right) \\
& =(1-\alpha) p
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ and $p \geq 2$. Assume that, for some $b \in \partial E$, $f^{\prime}$ has $a$ non-tangential limit $f^{\prime}(b)$ at $b$ and $f^{\prime}(b)=\alpha$. Then $f$ has the second non-tangential derivative at $b \in \partial E$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right| \geq(1-\alpha)\left(p+\frac{2\left(1-\alpha-(1+p)\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)^{2}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}-\left((p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)(p+2)\left|c_{p+2}\right|}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (2.3) is sharp with equality for the function

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} z^{p+1} .
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(z)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. By the maximum principle for each $z \in E$, we have $|\varphi(z)| \leq\left|z^{p}\right|$. So,

$$
\omega(z)=\frac{\varphi(z)}{z^{p}}
$$

is a holomorphic function in $E$ and $|\omega(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1$.
In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\omega(0)|=\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right| \leq 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|\omega^{\prime}(0)\right|=\frac{p+2}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+2}\right| .
$$

Moreover, it can be seen that

$$
\frac{b \varphi^{\prime}(b)}{\varphi(b)}=\left|\varphi^{\prime}(b)\right| \geq\left|\left(b^{p}\right)^{\prime}\right|=\frac{b\left(b^{p}\right)^{\prime}}{b^{p}}
$$

The function

$$
\Upsilon(z)=\frac{\omega(z)-\omega(0)}{1-\overline{\omega(0)} \omega(z)}
$$

is a holomorphic in the unit disc $E,|\Upsilon(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1, \Upsilon(0)=0$ and $|\Upsilon(b)|=1$ for $b \in \partial E$. It can be easily shown that the function $\Upsilon$ has a non-tangential derivative at $b \in \partial E$ (see [18]). Therefore, the second non-tangential derivative of $f$ at $b \in \partial E$ is obtained.

From (1.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{1+\left|\Upsilon^{\prime}(0)\right|} & \leq\left|\Upsilon^{\prime}(b)\right|=\frac{1-|\omega(0)|^{2}}{|1-\overline{\omega(0)} \omega(b)|^{2}}\left|\omega^{\prime}(b)\right| \leq \frac{1+|\omega(0)|}{1-|\omega(0)|}\left|\omega^{\prime}(b)\right| \\
& =\frac{1+|\omega(0)|}{1-|\omega(0)|}\left\{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(b)\right|-p\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Upsilon^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1-|\omega(0)|^{2}}{(1-\overline{\omega(0)} \omega(z))^{2}} \omega^{\prime}(z), \\
\left|\Upsilon^{\prime}(0)\right|=\frac{\left|\omega^{\prime}(0)\right|}{1-|\omega(0)|^{2}}=\frac{(1-\alpha)(p+2)\left|c_{p+2}\right|}{(1-\alpha)^{2}-\left((p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)^{2}},
\end{gathered}
$$

we take

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{1+\frac{(1-\alpha)(p+2)\left|c_{p+2}\right|}{(1-\alpha)^{2}-\left((p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)^{2}}} & \leq \frac{1+\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|}{1-\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|}\left\{\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|}{1-\alpha}-p\right\} \\
& =\frac{1-\alpha+(1+p)\left|c_{p+1}\right|}{1-\alpha-(1+p)\left|c_{p+1}\right|}\left\{\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|}{1-\alpha}-p\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
p+\frac{2}{1+\frac{(1-\alpha)(p+2)\left|c_{p+2}\right|}{(1-\alpha)^{2}-\left((p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)^{2}}} \frac{1-\alpha-(1+p)\left|c_{p+1}\right|}{1-\alpha+(1+p)\left|c_{p+1}\right|} \leq \frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|}{1-\alpha} .
$$

So, we obtain the inequality (2.3).
To show that the inequality (2.3) is sharp, take the holomorphic function

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} z^{p+1} .
$$

Then

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(z)=-(1-\alpha) p z^{p-1}
$$

and

$$
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(1)\right|=(1-\alpha) p .
$$

Since $\left|c_{p+1}\right|=\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p},(2.3)$ is satisfied with equality.
If $f(z)-z$ has no critical points different from $z=0$ in Theorem 2.3, the inequality (2.3) can be further strengthened. This is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ and $f(z)-z$ has no critical points in $E$ except $z=0, c_{p+1}>0$, and $p \geq 2$. Assume that, for some $b \in \partial E$, $f^{\prime}$ has a non-tangential limit $f^{\prime}(b)$ at $b$ and $f^{\prime}(b)=\alpha$. Then $f$ has the second non-tangential derivative at $b \in \partial E$ and we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right| \geq(1-\alpha)\left(p-\frac{2(p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right| \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)}{2(p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right| \ln \left(\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)-(p+2)\left|c_{p+2}\right|}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{p+2}\right| \leq \frac{2}{p+2}\left|(p+1) c_{p+1} \ln \left(\frac{(p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right|}{1+\alpha}\right)\right| . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the equality in (2.5) occurs for the function $f(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+p} z^{p+1}$ and the equality in (2.6) occurs for the function

$$
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+\alpha t^{p} e^{Q}}{1-t^{p} e^{Q}} d t
$$

where $0<c_{p+1}<1, \ln \left(\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)<0$ and $Q=\frac{1+t}{1-t} \ln \left(\frac{p+1}{1-\alpha} c_{p+1}\right)$.
Proof. Let $c_{p+1}>0$ in the expression of the function $f(z)$. Having in mind the inequality (2.4) and the function $f(z)-z$ has no critical points in $E$ except $E-\{0\}$, we denote by $\ln \omega(z)$ the holomorphic branch of the logarithm normed by the condition

$$
\ln \omega(0)=\ln \left(\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)<0
$$

The auxiliary function

$$
\Phi(z)=\frac{\ln \omega(z)-\ln \omega(0)}{\ln \omega(z)+\ln \omega(0)}
$$

is a holomorphic in the unit disc $E,|\Phi(z)|<1, \Phi(0)=0$ and $|\Phi(b)|=1$ for $b \in \partial E$. It can be easily shown a non-tangential derivative of $\Phi$ at $b \in \partial E$ (see [18]). Therefore, the second non-tangential derivative of $f$ at $b \in \partial E$ is obtained.

From (1.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{1+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(0)\right|} & \leq\left|\Phi^{\prime}(b)\right|=\frac{|2 \ln \omega(0)|}{|\ln \omega(b)+\ln \omega(0)|^{2}}\left|\frac{\omega^{\prime}(b)}{\omega(b)}\right| \\
& =\frac{-2 \ln \omega(0)}{\ln ^{2} \omega(0)+\arg ^{2} \omega(b)}\left\{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(b)\right|-p\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $\arg ^{2} \omega(b)$ by zero, then

$$
\frac{1}{1-\frac{(p+2)\left|c_{p+2}\right|}{2(p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right| \ln \left(\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)}} \leq \frac{-1}{\ln \left(\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)}\left\{\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|}{1-\alpha}-p\right\} .
$$

Thus, we obtain the inequality (1.15) with an obvious equality case.
Likewise, $\Phi(z)$ function satisfies the assumptions of the Schwarz lemma, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \geq\left|\Phi^{\prime}(0)\right|=\frac{|2 \ln \omega(0)|}{|\ln \omega(0)+\ln \omega(0)|^{2}}\left|\frac{\omega^{\prime}(0)}{\omega(0)}\right| \\
& =\frac{-1}{2 \ln \left(\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)} \frac{\frac{p+2}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+2}\right|}{\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
1 \geq \frac{-1}{2 \ln \left(\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)} \frac{(p+2)\left|c_{p+2}\right|}{(p+1)\left|c_{p+1}\right|} .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\left|c_{p+2}\right| \leq \frac{2}{p+2}\left|(p+1) c_{p+1} \ln \left(\frac{p+1}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)\right| .
$$

We shall show that the inequality (2.6) is sharp. Let

$$
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+\alpha t^{p} e^{Q}}{1-t^{p} e^{Q}} d t
$$

Thus, we get

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1+\alpha z^{p} e^{Q}}{1-z^{p} e^{Q}}
$$

and

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=1+z^{p} \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{z}),
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{z})=(1+\alpha) \frac{e^{\frac{1+z}{1-z} \ln \left(\frac{p+1}{1-\alpha} c_{p+1}\right)}}{1-z^{p} e^{\frac{1+z}{1-z} \ln \left(\frac{p+1}{1-\alpha} c_{p+1}\right)}} .
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{k}^{\prime}(0)=(p+2) c_{p+2} .
$$

Under the simple calculations, we obtain

$$
(p+2) c_{p+2}=2 \ln \left(\frac{p+1}{1-\alpha} c_{p+1}\right)(p+1) c_{p+1}
$$

and

$$
\left|c_{p+2}\right|=\frac{2}{p+2}\left|(p+1) c_{p+1} \ln \left(\frac{p+1}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)\right| .
$$

The following inequality (2.7) is weaker, but is simpler than (2.5) and does not contain the coeffient $c_{p+2}$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ and $f(z)-z$ has no critical points in $E$ except $z=0, c_{p+1}>0$, and $p \geq 2$. Assume that, for some $b \in \partial E, f^{\prime}$ has a non-tangential limit $f^{\prime}(b)$ at $b$ and $f^{\prime}(b)=\alpha$. Then $f$ has the second non-tangential derivative at $b \in \partial E$ and we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right| \geq(1-\alpha)\left(p-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{(p+1)}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (2.7) is sharp and the equality is achieved if and only if $f(z)$ is the function of the form

$$
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+\alpha t^{p} e^{Q}}{1-t^{p} e^{Q}} d t
$$

where $0<c_{p+1}<1, \ln \left(\frac{(p+1) c_{p+1}}{1-\alpha}\right)<0, Q=\frac{1+t e^{i \theta}}{1-t e^{i \theta}} \ln \left(\frac{(p+1) c_{p+1}}{1-\alpha}\right)$ and $\theta$ is a real number.

Proof. Let $c_{p+1}>0$. Using the inequality (1.5) for the function $\Phi(z)$, we obtain

$$
1 \leq\left|\Phi^{\prime}(b)\right|=\frac{|2 \ln \omega(0)|}{|\ln \omega(b)+\ln \omega(0)|^{2}}\left|\frac{\omega^{\prime}(b)}{\omega(b)}\right|=\frac{-2 \ln \omega(0)}{\ln ^{2} \omega(0)+\arg ^{2} \omega(b)}\left\{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(b)\right|-p\right\}
$$

Replacing $\arg ^{2} \varphi(b)$ by zero, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq\left|\Phi^{\prime}(b)\right| \leq \frac{-2}{\ln \left(\frac{1+p}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)}\left\{\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|}{1-\alpha}-p\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain the inequality (2.8).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If }\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|=(1-\alpha)\left(p-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{(p+1)}{1-\alpha}\left|c_{p+1}\right|\right)\right) \text { from }(2.8) \text { and }\left|\Phi^{\prime}(b)\right|=1 \text {, we obtain } \\
& \qquad f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+\alpha t^{p} e^{\frac{1+t e^{i \theta}}{1-t e^{i \theta}} \ln \left(\frac{(p+1) c_{p+1}}{1-\alpha}\right)}}{1-t^{p} e^{\frac{1+t e^{i \theta}}{1-t e^{i \theta}} \ln \left(\frac{(p+1) c_{p+1}}{1-\alpha}\right)}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following Theorem, we shall give an estimate below $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right|$ according to the first nonzero Taylor coefficient of about two zeros, namely $z=0$ and $z_{0} \neq 0$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $f(z)=z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+\ldots \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$ for $0<$ $\left|z_{0}\right|<1$. Assume that, for some $b \in \partial E$, $f^{\prime}$ has a non-tangential limit $f^{\prime}(b)$ at $b$ and $f^{\prime}(b)=\alpha$. Then $f$ has the second non-tangential derivative at $b \in \partial E$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(b)\right| \geq(1-\alpha)\left(1+\frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-z_{0}\right|^{2}}+\frac{(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|-\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|+\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|} \times\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
\left.\left[1+\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|-(1-\alpha)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|-(1-\alpha)\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{(1-\alpha)^{2}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|+(1-\alpha)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|+(1-\alpha)\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|} \frac{1-\left.z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-z_{0}\right|^{2}}\right]\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

The inequality (2.9) is sharp with equality for each possible values $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|=$ $(1-\alpha) c$ and $\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=(1-\alpha) d\left(0 \leq c \leq(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|, 0 \leq d \leq(1-\alpha) \frac{\left|z_{0}\right|}{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}\right)$.
Proof. Let

$$
p(z)=\frac{z-z_{0}}{1-\overline{z_{0}} z}
$$

Let $k: E \rightarrow E$ be a holomorphic function and a point $z_{0} \in E$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|k(z)| \leq \frac{\left|k\left(z_{0}\right)\right|+|p(z)|}{1+\left|k\left(z_{0}\right)\right||p(z)|} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $w: E \rightarrow E$ is holomorphic function and $0<\left|z_{0}\right|<1$, letting

$$
k(z)=\frac{w(z)-w(0)}{z(1-\overline{w(0)} w(z))}
$$

in (2.10), we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{w(z)-w(0)}{(1-\overline{w(0)} w(z))}\right| \leq|z| \frac{\left|\frac{w\left(z_{0}\right)-w(0)}{z_{0}\left(1-\overline{w(0)} w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}\right|+|p(z)|}{1+\left|\frac{w\left(z_{0}\right)-w(0)}{z_{0}\left(1-\overline{w(0)} w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}\right||p(z)|}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|w(z)| \leq \frac{|w(0)|+|z| \frac{|C|+|p(z)|}{1+|C||p(z)|}}{1+|w(0)||z| \frac{|C|+|p(z)|}{1+|C||p(z)|}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C=\frac{w\left(z_{0}\right)-w(0)}{z_{0}\left(1-\overline{w(0)} w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}
$$

Without loss of generality, we will assume that $b=1$. If we take

$$
w(z)=\frac{\varphi(z)}{z \frac{z-z_{0}}{1-z_{0} z}},
$$

then

$$
w(0)=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{-z_{0}}, w\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}
$$

and

$$
C=\frac{\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}+\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}}{z_{0}\left(1+\overline{\overline{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}} z_{0} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right)}
$$

where $|C| \leq 1$. Let $|w(0)|=\beta$ and

$$
D=\frac{\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right|+\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}\right|}{\left|z_{0}\right|\left(1+\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}\right|\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right|\right)}
$$

From (2.11), we take

$$
|\varphi(z)| \leq|z||p(z)| \frac{\beta+|z| \frac{D+|p(z)|}{1+D|p(z)|}}{1+\beta|z| \frac{D+|p(z)|}{1+D|p(z)|}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1-|\varphi(z)|}{1-|z|} \geq \frac{1+\beta|z| \frac{D+|p(z)|}{1+D|p(z)|}-\beta|z||p(z)|-|z|^{2}|p(z)| \frac{D+|p(z)|}{1+D|p(z)|}}{(1-|z|)\left(1+\beta|z| \frac{D+|p(z)|}{1+D|p(z)|}\right)}=\psi .
$$

Let $\vartheta(z)=1+\beta|z| \frac{D+|p(z)|}{1+D|p(z)|}$ and $q(z)=1+D|p(z)|$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\frac{1-|z|^{2}|p(z)|^{2}}{(1-|z|) \vartheta(z) q(z)}+D|p(z)| \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{(1-|z|) \vartheta(z) q(z)}+D \beta|z| \frac{1-|p(z)|^{2}}{(1-|z|) \vartheta(z) q(z)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 1} \vartheta(z)=1+\beta, \lim _{z \rightarrow 1} q(z)=1+D
$$

and

$$
1-|p(z)|^{2}=1-\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{1-\overline{z_{0}} z}\right|^{2}=\frac{\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\overline{z_{0}} z\right|^{2}}
$$

passing to the non-tangential limit in (2.12) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(1)\right| & \geq \frac{2}{(1+\beta)(1+D)}\left(1+\frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-z_{0}\right|^{2}}+D+\beta D \frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-z_{0}\right|^{2}}\right) \\
& =1+\frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-z_{0}\right|^{2}}+\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\left(1+\frac{1-D}{1+D} \frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-z_{0}\right|^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} & =\frac{1-|w(0)|}{1+|w(0)|}=\frac{1-\frac{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|}{\left|z_{0}\right|}}{1+\frac{\left|\rho^{\prime}(0)\right|}{\left|z_{0}\right|}}=\frac{\left|z_{0}\right|-\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|}{\left|z_{0}\right|+\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|}=\frac{\left|z_{0}\right|-\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{1-\alpha}}{\left|z_{0}\right|+\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{1-\alpha}} \\
& =\frac{(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|-\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|+\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1-D}{1+D}=\frac{1-\frac{\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right|+\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}\right|}{\left|z_{0}\right|\left(1+\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}\right|\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right|\right)}}{1+\frac{\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right|+\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}\right|}{\left|z_{0}\right|\left(1+\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}\right|\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right|\right)}} \\
& =\frac{\left|z_{0}\right|\left(1+\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}\right|\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right|\right)-\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right|-\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}\right|}{\left|z_{0}\right|\left(1+\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}\right|\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right|\right)+\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}\right|+\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{z_{0}}\right|} \\
& =\frac{\left|z_{0}\right|\left(1+\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|} \frac{\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}{1-\alpha}\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|z_{0}\right|}\right)-\frac{\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}{1-\alpha}\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|z_{0}\right|}-\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|}}{\left|z_{0}\right|\left(1+\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|} \frac{\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}{1-\alpha}\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|z_{0}\right|}\right)+\frac{\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}{1-\alpha}\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|z_{0}\right|}+\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|}} \\
& =\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}{(1-\alpha)^{2}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|} \times \\
& \frac{-(1-\alpha)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|-(1-\alpha)\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{+(1-\alpha)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|+(1-\alpha)\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(1)\right| & \geq 1+\frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-z_{0}\right|^{2}}+\frac{(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|-\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|+\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|} \times \\
& {\left[1+\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|-(1-\alpha)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|-(1-\alpha)\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{(1-\alpha)^{2}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|+(1-\alpha)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|+(1-\alpha)\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|} \frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-z_{0}\right|^{2}}\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1.2), we have

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(z)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{1-\alpha}
$$

and

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(1)\right|=\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(1)\right|}{1-\alpha}
$$

Thus, we obtain the inequality (2.9).
Now, we shall show that the inequality (2.9) is sharp.
Since $w(z)=\frac{\varphi(z)}{z \frac{z-z_{0}}{1-\overline{z_{0} z}}}$ is holomorphic function in the unit disc and $|w(z)| \leq 1$ for $|z|<1$, we obtain

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\left|z_{0}\right|}{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}
$$

We take $z_{0} \in(-1,0)$ and arbitrary two numbers $c$ and $d$, such that $0 \leq c \leq$ $(1-\alpha)\left|z_{0}\right|, 0 \leq d \leq(1-\alpha) \frac{\left|z_{0}\right|}{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}$. Let

$$
K=\frac{\frac{d\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{z_{0}}+\frac{c}{z_{0}}}{z_{0}\left(1+c d \frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{z_{0}^{2}}\right)}=\frac{1}{z_{0}^{2}} \frac{d\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)+c}{1+c d \frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{z_{0}^{2}}} .
$$

The composite function

$$
v(z)=-z \frac{z-z_{0}}{1-\overline{z_{0}} z} \frac{-\frac{c}{z_{0}}+z \frac{K+\frac{z-z_{0}}{1-z_{0} z}}{1+K \frac{z-z_{0}}{1-z_{0} z}}}{1-\frac{c}{z_{0}} z \frac{K+\frac{z-z_{0}}{1-\bar{z}^{z}}}{1+K \frac{z-z_{0}}{1-z_{0}}}}
$$

is holomorphic in $E$ and $|v(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-1}{1-\alpha}=-z \frac{z-z_{0}}{1-\overline{z_{0}} z} \frac{-\frac{c}{z_{0}}+z \frac{K+\frac{z-z_{0}}{1-z_{0}}}{1+K \frac{z-z_{0}}{1-\bar{z}^{z}}}}{1-\frac{c}{z_{0}} z \frac{K+\frac{z-z_{0}}{1+\frac{z}{0} z}}{1+\frac{z-z_{0}}{1-z_{0} z}}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we take $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|=(1-\alpha) c$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}{1-\alpha}=-\frac{z_{0}}{1-z_{0}^{2}} \frac{-\frac{c}{z_{0}}+K z_{0}}{1-\frac{c}{z_{0}} z_{0} K}=\frac{z_{0}}{1-z_{0}^{2}} \frac{-\frac{c}{z_{0}}+\frac{1}{z_{0}^{2}} \frac{d\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)+c}{1+c d \frac{c}{1-z_{0} 2^{2}}} z_{0}}{1-\frac{c}{z_{0}} z_{0} \frac{1}{z_{0}^{2}} \frac{d\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)+c}{1+c d \frac{1-\left.z_{0}\right|^{2}}{z_{0}^{2}}}} \\
& \left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=(1-\alpha) d .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.13), with the simple calculations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(1)\right|}{1-\alpha}= & 1+\frac{1-z_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-z_{0}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\left(1+\frac{1-z_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-z_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{1-K^{2}}{(1+K)^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{c}{z_{0}}\right)+\frac{c}{z_{0}}\left(1+\frac{1-z_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-z_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{1-K^{2}}{(1+K)^{2}}\right)\left(-\frac{c}{z_{0}}+1\right)}{\left(-\frac{c}{z_{0}}+1\right)^{2}} \\
= & 1+\frac{1-z_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-z_{0}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1+\frac{c}{z_{0}}}{1-\frac{c}{z_{0}}}\left(1+\frac{1-z_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-z_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{1-K}{1+K}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & 1+\frac{1-z_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-z_{0}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{c+z_{0}}{-c+z_{0}}\left(1+\frac{1-z_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-z_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{z_{0}^{2}+c d\left(1-z_{0}^{2}\right)-d\left(1-z_{0}^{2}\right)-c}{z_{0}^{2}+c d\left(1-z_{0}^{2}\right)+d\left(1-z_{0}^{2}\right)+c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(1)\right|}{1-\alpha}=1+\frac{1-z_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-z_{0}\right)^{2}}+\frac{c+z_{0}}{-c+z_{0}}\left(1+\frac{1-z_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-z_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{z_{0}^{2}+c d\left(1-z_{0}^{2}\right)-d\left(1-z_{0}^{2}\right)-c}{z_{0}^{2}+c d\left(1-z_{0}^{2}\right)+d\left(1-z_{0}^{2}\right)+c}\right) .
$$

Since $z_{0} \in(-1,0)$, the last equality show that (2.9) is sharp.
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