

**CORRIGENDUM TO “ON PARTITION CONGRUENCES FOR
OVERCUBIC PARTITION PAIRS” [COMMUN. KOREAN
MATH. SOC. 27 (2012), NO. 3, 477–482]**

BYUNGCHAN KIM

ABSTRACT. An error in the proof of Theorem 1 of “On partition congruences for overcubic partition pairs” [Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 27 (2012), no. 3, 477–482] is corrected.

Here we correct an error in the proof of [1, Theorem 1]. Let $R(n, Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k))$ be the number of representations of n by the polynomial $Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$, where x_1, \dots, x_k are positive integers. During the proof of [1, Theorem 1], the author claimed that

$$R(8n + 7, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_3^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

which should be corrected to

$$R(8n + 7, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_3^2) = 2R\left(n, \binom{x_1}{2} + 2\binom{x_2}{2} + 4\binom{x_3}{2}\right).$$

As a consequence, [1, Theorem 1] should be corrected as follows.

Theorem 1. For all nonnegative integers n ,

$$\overline{cp}(8n + 7) \equiv 0 \pmod{32}.$$

The author claimed the congruence in Theorem 1 held for the modulus 64 instead of 32. For the modulus 64, we find that

$$\overline{cp}(8n + 7) \equiv 32R\left(n, \binom{x_1}{2} + 2\binom{x_2}{2} + 4\binom{x_3}{2}\right) \pmod{64}.$$

Consequently, the modulus in [1, Corollary 3] should be 96 instead of 192.

Corollary 2. For all nonnegative integer n ,

$$\overline{cp}(72n + 39) \equiv 0 \pmod{96}.$$

Received May 14, 2017; Accepted June 14, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11P83.

Key words and phrases. partition, cubic partition, overcubic partition pair.

References

- [1] B. Kim, *On partition congruences for overcubic partition pairs*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **27** (2012), no. 3, 477–482.

BYUNGCHAN KIM
SCHOOL OF LIBERAL ARTS
SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
SEOUL 01811, KOREA
E-mail address: `bkim4@seoultech.ac.kr`