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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with non-zero identity and $M$ be a unitary $R$-module. Let $S(M)$ be the set of all submodules of $M$ and $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. We say that a proper submodule $P$ of $M$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule if $r \in R$ and $x \in M$ with $r^{2} x \in P \backslash \phi(P)$ implies that $r x \in P$. In this paper, we investigate some properties of this class of sub-modules. Also, some characterizations of $\phi$-semiprime submodules are given.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper $R$ is a commutative ring with non-zero identity and $M$ is a unitary $R$-module. We will denote the set of submodules of $M$ by $S(M)$. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ and $N$ be a submodule of $M$. Then $\sqrt{I}$ denotes the radical of $I$ and $(N: M)=\{r \in R \mid r M \subseteq N\}$, which is clearly an ideal of $R$.

Various generalizations of prime (resp., primary) ideals are studied in [2-$6,9,11-13,21]$. the class of prime submodules as a generalization of the class of prime ideals has been studied by many authors. For example see $[1,14,17]$. Then many generalizations of prime submodules were studied such as weakly prime (resp., primary) submodules in [10, 18], almost prime (resp., primary) submodules in [16], 2-absorbing submodules in [22], classical prime (resp., primary) submodules in $[7,8]$ and semiprime submodules in [19].

In this paper we extend the concept of semiprime submodules. Let $\phi$ : $S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. A proper submodule $P$ of $M$ is called $\phi$ semiprime if whenever $r \in R$ and $x \in M$ with $r^{2} x \in P \backslash \phi(P)$ implies $r x \in P$. Since $P \backslash \phi(P)=P \backslash(P \cap \phi(P))$, without loss of generality throughout the paper we will assume that $\phi(P) \subseteq P$. For two functions $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ we write $\psi_{1} \leq \psi_{2}$ if $\psi_{1}(N) \subseteq \psi_{2}(N)$ for each $N \in S(M)$.

In the rest of the paper we use the functions $\phi_{\emptyset}(N)=\emptyset$ for semiprime submodules, $\phi_{0}(N)=0$ for weakly semiprime submodules, $\phi_{1}(N)=N$ for any submodule, $\phi_{2}(N)=(N: M) N$ for almost semiprime submodules, $\phi_{n}(N)=$ $(N: M)^{n-1} N,(n \geq 3)$ for $n$-almost semiprime submodules and $\phi_{\omega}(N)=$

[^0]$\cap_{i=1}^{\infty}(N: M)^{i} N$ for $\omega$-semiprime submodules. Observe that $\phi_{\emptyset} \leq \phi_{0} \leq \phi_{\omega} \leq$ $\cdots \leq \phi_{n+1} \leq \phi_{n} \leq \cdots \leq \phi_{2} \leq \phi_{1}$.

Among many results concerning the properties of $\phi$-semiprime submodules some characterizations of these submodules will be investigated in Theorems 2.5 and 2.12. In Theorem 2.22, it is proved that if $F$ is a flat $R$-module and $P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$ such that $F \otimes P \neq F \otimes M$, then $F \otimes P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $F \otimes M$. Also we show that if $F$ is a faithfully flat $R$-module and $N$ is a submodule of $M$, then $N$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$ if and only if $F \otimes N$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $F \otimes M$.

## 2. $\phi$-semiprime submodules

### 2.1. Some properties of $\phi$-semiprime submodules

Every semiprime submodule is $\phi$-semiprime. But the converse is not true in general. For example, consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M=\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ and the submodule $N=8 \mathbb{Z}$. Also let $\phi=\phi_{2}$. Since $\phi_{2}(N)=(N: M) N=N$, so $N$ is a $\phi-$ semiprime submodule of $M$. But $N$ is not semiprime. Because $2^{2} \overline{2} \in N$ but $2 \overline{2} \notin N$.

Next we assert that under some conditions $\phi$-semiprime submodules are semiprime.

Theorem 2.1. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $M$ be an $R$-module. Let $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function and $P$ be a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$. If $(x+(P: M))^{2} P \nsubseteq \phi(P)$ for all $x \in R \backslash(P: M)$, then $P$ is a semiprime submodule of $M$.

Proof. Let $r^{2} m \in P$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. If $r^{2} m \notin \phi(P)$, then $r m \in P$ since $P$ is $\phi$-semiprime. So assume that $r^{2} m \in \phi(P)$. First, suppose that $r^{2} P \nsubseteq \phi(P)$. So there exists $n_{0} \in P$ such that $r^{2} n_{0} \notin \phi(P)$. Then $r^{2}\left(m+n_{0}\right) \in$ $P \backslash \phi(P)$. Thus $r\left(m+n_{0}\right) \in P$ and so $r m \in P$. Hence we can assume that $r^{2} P \subseteq \phi(P)$.

Next, suppose that $\left(r+r_{0}\right)^{2} m \notin \phi(P)$ for some $r_{0} \in(P: M)$. Therefore, $\left(r+r_{0}\right)^{2} m \in P \backslash \phi(P)$ and so $\left(r+r_{0}\right) m \in P$. Hence $r m \in P$. So we can assume that $(r+(P: M))^{2} m \subseteq \phi(P)$. Since $(r+(P: M))^{2} P \nsubseteq \phi(P)$ there exists $k \in(P: M)$ and $n \in P$ with $(r+k)^{2} n \notin \phi(P)$. Then $(r+k)^{2}(n+m) \in P \backslash \phi(P)$. So $(r+k)(n+m) \in P$. Hence $r m \in P$. Therefore $P$ is a semiprime submodule of $M$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with characteristic 2 and $M$ be an $R$-module. Let $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function and $P$ be a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$. If $r_{0}^{2} P \nsubseteq \phi(P)$ for some $r_{0} \in(P: M)$, then $P$ is a semiprime submodule of $M$.

Proof. Let $r^{2} m \in P$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can assume that $r^{2} P \subseteq \phi(P)$. If $r^{2} m \notin \phi(P)$, then $r^{2} m \in P \backslash \phi(P)$ and so $r m \in P$. Because $P$ is $\phi$-semiprime.

Next, suppose that $r_{0}^{2} m \notin \phi(P)$. Therefore, $\left(r+r_{0}\right)^{2} m=\left(r^{2}+r_{0}^{2}\right) m \in$ $P \backslash \phi(P)$ and so $\left(r+r_{0}\right) m \in P$. Hence $r m \in P$. So we can assume that $r_{0}^{2} m \in \phi(P)$. Since $r_{0}^{2} P \nsubseteq \phi(P)$, there exists $n \in P$ with $r_{0}^{2} n \notin \phi(P)$. Then $\left(r+r_{0}\right)^{2}(m+n)=\left(r^{2}+r_{0}^{2}\right)(m+n) \in p \backslash \phi(P)$. Hence $\left(r+r_{0}\right)(m+n) \in P$ and so $r m \in P$. Therefore, $P$ is a semiprime submodule of $M$.

Corollary 2.3. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $M$ be an $R$-module. Let $P$ be a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$ which is not semiprime. Then $r^{2} P=(0)$ for some $r \in R \backslash(P: M)$.

Proof. In Theorem 2.1, set $\phi=\phi_{0}$.
Corollary 2.4. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with characteristic 2 and $M$ be an $R$-module. Let $P$ be a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$ that is not semiprime. Then $r^{2} P=(0)$ for all $r \in(P: M)$.

Proof. In Theorem 2.2, set $\phi=\phi_{0}$.
In Theorem 2.5, we give several characterizations of $\phi$-semiprime submodules.

Theorem 2.5. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module, $P$ be a proper submodule of $M$ and $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1) $P$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$.
2) $\left(P:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right)=\left(\phi(P):_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(P:_{M}(r)\right)$ for all $r \in R$.
3) $\left(P:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right)=\left(\phi(P):_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right)$ or $\left(P:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right)=\left(P:_{M}(r)\right)$ for all $r \in R$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ Let $x \in\left(P:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right)$. Then $r^{2} x \in P$. If $r^{2} x \notin \phi(P)$, then $r x \in P$, because $P$ is $\phi$-semiprime and so $x \in\left(P:_{M}(r)\right)$. Now, let $r^{2} x \in \phi(P)$. Then $x \in\left(\phi(P):_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right)$. Hence $\left(P:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\phi(P):_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(P:_{M}(r)\right)$. Since $\phi(P) \subseteq P$ we have $\left(\phi(P):_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(P:_{M}(r)\right) \subseteq\left(P:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right)$. Therefore, $\left(P:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right)=\left(\phi(P):_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(P:_{M}(r)\right)$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ It is straightforward.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $r^{2} x \in P \backslash \phi(P)$, where $r \in R$ and $x \in M$. Hence $x \in\left(P:_{M}\right.$ $\left.\left(r^{2}\right)\right)$ and $x \notin\left(\phi(P):_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right)$. So $x \in\left(P:_{M}(r)\right)$, by assumption. Thus $r x \in P$ and $P$ is $\phi$-semiprime.

Theorem 2.6. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module, $P$ be a proper submodule of $M$ and $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. If $P$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$, then $\sqrt{(P: x)}=\sqrt{(\phi(P): x)}$ or $\sqrt{(P: x)}=$ ( $p: x)$ for all $x \in M \backslash P$.

Proof. Let $x \in M \backslash P$ and $a \in \sqrt{(P: x)} \backslash \sqrt{(\phi(P): x)}$. Thus $a^{s} x \in P \backslash \phi(P)$ for some $s \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence $a \in(P: x)$. Because $P$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule
of $M$. So $\sqrt{(P: x)} \subseteq \sqrt{(\phi(P): x)} \cup(P: x)$. Since $\phi(P) \subseteq P$ we have $\sqrt{(\phi(P): x)} \cup(P: x) \subseteq \sqrt{(P: x)}$ and so $\sqrt{(P: x)}=\sqrt{(\phi(P): x)} \cup(P: x)$. Therefore, $\sqrt{(P: x)}=\sqrt{(\phi(P): x)}$ or $\sqrt{(P: x)}=(p: x)$.

## 2.2. $m$-almost semiprime submodules

Corollary 2.7. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module and $P$ be a proper submodule of $M$. Then $P$ is an $m$-almost semiprime submodule of $M$ if and only if for any submodule $N$ of $M$ and $a \in R$ with $\left(a^{2}\right) N \subseteq P$ and $\left(a^{2}\right) N \nsubseteq(P: M)^{m-1} P$, one has $(a) N \subseteq P$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module and $0 \neq R x$ be a proper submodule of $M$ such that $(0: x)=0$ and $\sqrt{(R x: M)}=(R x: M)$. If $R x$ is not a semiprime submodule of $M$, then $R x$ is not an m-almost semiprime submodule of $M,(m \geq 2)$.

Proof. Since $R x$ is not a semiprime submodule of $M$, there exist $a \in R$ and $y \in$ $M$ such that $a^{2} y \in R x$ and $a y \notin R x$. If $a^{2} y \notin(R x: M)^{m-1} x$, then $R x$ is not $m$ almost semiprime, by definition. So we can assume that $a^{2} y \in(R x: M)^{m-1} x$. We have $a(x+y) \notin R x$ and $a^{2}(x+y) \in R x$. If $a^{2}(x+y) \notin(R x: M)^{m-1} x$, then again $R x$ is not $m$-almost semiprime. So let $a^{2}(x+y) \in(R x: M)^{m-1} x$. Then $a^{2} x \in(R x: M)^{m-1} x$. Which gives that $a^{2} x=r x$ for some $r \in(R x:$ $M)^{m-1}$. Then $(0: x)=0$ gives that $a^{2}=r \in(R x: M)^{m-1} \subseteq(R x: M)$. So $a \in \sqrt{(R x: M)}=(R x: M)$. Thus $a y \in R x$, which is a contradiction.

Corollary 2.9. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module and $0 \neq R x$ be a proper submodule of $M$ such that $(0: x)=0$ and $\sqrt{(R x: M)}=(R x: M)$. Then $R x$ is a semiprime submodule of $M$ if and only if $R x$ is an m-almost semiprime submodule of $M,(m \geq 2)$.

Corollary 2.10. Let the assumptions be as in Corollary 2.9. Then Rx is a semiprime submodule of $M$ if and only if $R x$ is an $m$-almost semiprime submodule of $M,(m \geq 2)$.

Proof. Let $R x$ be $m$-almost semiprime. This is clear that $R x$ is almost semiprime. Conversely, let $R x$ be almost semiprime. So $R x$ is semiprime, by Corollary 2.9. So again $R x$ is $m$-almost semiprime, by Corollary 2.9 .

Lemma 2.11. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $I$ be an ideal of $R, M$ be a finitely generated faithful multiplication $R$-module and $N$ be a submodule of $M$. Then $(I N: M)=I(N: M)$.

Proof. See [16, Lemma 3.4].
Theorem 2.12. Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer, $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be a finitely generated faithful multiplication $R$-module and $P$ be a proper submodule of $M$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1) $P$ is an m-almost semiprime submodule of $M$.
2) $(P: M)$ is an m-almost semiprime ideal of $R$.
3) $P=Q M$ for some $m$-almost semiprime ideal $Q$ of $R$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ Let $a, b \in R$ and $a^{2} b \in(P: M) \backslash(P: M)^{m}$. Then $\left(a^{2}\right) b M \subseteq P$ and $\left(a^{2}\right) b M \nsubseteq(P: M)^{m-1} P$, by Lemma 2.11. So $(a) b M \subseteq P$, by Corollary 2.7. Hence $a b \in(P: M)$ and $(P: M)$ is an $m$-almost semiprime ideal of $R$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $r^{2} x \in P \backslash(P: M)^{m-1} P$ where $r \in R$ and $x \in M$. Then $\left(r^{2}\right)((x): M) \subseteq\left(\left(r^{2} x\right): M\right) \subseteq(P: M)$. If $\left(r^{2}\right)((x): M) \subseteq(P: M)^{m}$, then

$$
\left(r^{2}\right)((x): M) \subseteq(P: M)^{m} \subseteq\left((P: M)^{m-1} P: M\right)
$$

So we have $\left(r^{2}\right)(x)=\left(r^{2}\right)((x): M) M \subseteq(P: M)^{m-1} P$, a contradiction. Thus $(r)((x): M) \subseteq(P: M)$, because $(P: M)$ is an $m$-almost semiprime ideal of $R$. Therefore, $(r)(x)=(r)((x): M) M \subseteq(P: M) M=P$ and so $r x \in P$. Hence $P$ is an $m$-almost semiprime submodule of $M$.
$(2) \Leftrightarrow(3)$ We have $Q=(P: M)$, by [15, Theorem 3.1].

## 2.3. $\phi$-semiprime submodules of some well-known modules

Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of the commutative ring $R$. We know by [20, Theorem 9.11], that each submodule of $S^{-1} M$ is of the form $S^{-1} N$, for some submodule $N$ of $M$. It is easy to show that if $P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$ with $S^{-1} M \neq S^{-1} P$, then $S^{-1} P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $S^{-1} M$. In Theorem 2.13, we want to generalize this fact for $\phi$-semiprime submodules.

Let $N(S)=\{x \in M \mid s x \in N ; \exists s \in S\}$. It is clear that $N(S)$ is a submodule of $M$ containing $N$ and $S^{-1}(N(S))=S^{-1} N$. Let $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function and define $S^{-1} \phi: S\left(S^{-1} M\right) \rightarrow S\left(S^{-1} M\right) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ by $S^{-1} \phi\left(S^{-1} N\right)=$ $S^{-1}(\phi(N(S)))$ if $\phi(N(S)) \neq \emptyset$ and $S^{-1} \phi\left(S^{-1} N\right)=\emptyset$ if $\phi(N(S))=\emptyset$ for every $N \in S(M)$. Since $\phi(N) \subseteq N$ we have $S^{-1} \phi\left(S^{-1} N\right) \subseteq S^{-1} N$.

Next, we show that if $S^{-1}(\phi(P)) \subseteq S^{-1} \phi\left(S^{-1} P\right)$, then $\phi$-semiprimeness of $P$ together with $S^{-1} P \neq S^{-1} M$ implies that $S^{-1} P$ is $S^{-1} \phi$-semiprime.

For a submodule $L$ of $M$, define $\phi_{L}: S\left(\frac{M}{L}\right) \rightarrow S\left(\frac{M}{L}\right) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ by $\phi_{L}\left(\frac{N}{L}\right)=$ $\frac{\phi(N)+L}{L}$ if $\phi(N) \neq \emptyset$ and $\phi_{L}\left(\frac{N}{L}\right)=\emptyset$ if $\phi(N)=\emptyset$ for $N \in S(M)$ with $L \subseteq N$.

Theorem 2.13. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module, $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow$ $S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function and $P$ be a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$. Then

1) If $L \subseteq P$ is a submodule of $M$, then $\frac{P}{L}$ is a $\phi_{L}$-semiprime submodule of $\frac{M}{L}$.
2) Suppose that $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ such that $S^{-1} P \neq$ $S^{-1} M$ and $S^{-1}(\phi(P)) \subseteq S^{-1} \phi\left(S^{-1} P\right)$. Then $S^{-1} P$ is an $S^{-1} \phi$-semiprime submodule of $S^{-1} M$.

Proof. (1) Let $a \in R$ and $\bar{x} \in \frac{M}{L}$ with $a^{2} \bar{x} \in \frac{P}{L} \backslash \phi_{L}\left(\frac{P}{L}\right)$, where $\bar{x}=x+L$, for some $x \in M$. So we have $a^{2} x \in P \backslash \phi(P)$. Thus $a x \in P$, because $P$ is $\phi$-semiprime. Therefore, $a \bar{x} \in \frac{P}{L}$ and so $\frac{P}{L}$ is a $\phi_{L}$-semiprime submodule of $\frac{M}{L}$.
(2) Let $\frac{a}{s} \in S^{-1} R$ and $\frac{x}{t} \in S^{-1} M$ with $\left(\frac{a}{s}\right)^{2} \frac{x}{t} \in S^{-1} P \backslash S^{-1} \phi\left(S^{-1} P\right)$. Then $\frac{a^{2} x}{s^{2} t} \in S^{-1} P \backslash S^{-1}(\phi(P))$, by assumption. So there exists $u \in S$ such that $u a^{2} x \in P \backslash \phi(P)$ (note that $v a^{2} x \notin \phi(P)$, for each $v \in S$ ). Thus uax $\in$ $P$. Therefore, $\frac{a}{s} \frac{x}{t} \in S^{-1} P$ and $S^{-1} P$ is an $S^{-1} \phi$-semiprime submodule of $S^{-1} M$.

In the semiprime submodules case, $P$ is a semiprime submodule of $M$ if and only if $\frac{P}{K}$ is a semiprime submodule of $\frac{M}{K}$ for any submodule $K \subseteq P$. But the converse part may not be true in the case of $\phi$-semiprime submodules. For example, consider the ring $R=K[X, Y]$, where $K$ is a field and $\phi=\phi_{2}$. Also, let $P=\left(X, Y^{2}\right)$ and $L=(X, Y)^{2}$. Then $\frac{P}{L}$ is an almost semiprime submodule of $\frac{R}{L}$, while $P$ is not so in $R$. But we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.14. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module and $\phi$ : $S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Let $P$ and $K$ be submodules of $M$ such that $K \subseteq \phi(P)$. Then $P$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$ if and only if $\frac{P}{L}$ is a $\phi_{L}$-semiprime submodule of $\frac{M}{L}$.
Proof. $\Rightarrow$ ) This is clear, by Theorem 2.13(1).
$\Leftarrow)$ Let $\frac{P}{L}$ be a $\phi_{L}$-semiprime submodule of $\frac{M}{L}$ and assume that $a^{2} x \in$ $P \backslash \phi(P)$, where $a \in R$ and $x \in M$. If $a^{2}(x+L) \in \phi_{L}\left(\frac{P}{L}\right)=\frac{\phi(P)+L}{L}=\frac{\phi(P)}{L}$, then $a^{2} x \in \phi(P)$, which is a contradiction. So we have

$$
a^{2}(x+L) \in \frac{P}{L} \backslash \phi_{L}\left(\frac{P}{L}\right) .
$$

Thus $a(x+L) \in \frac{P}{L}$, because $\frac{P}{L}$ is $\phi_{L}$-semiprime. So $a x \in P$ and $P$ is $\phi$ semiprime.

Proposition 2.15. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module, $\phi$ : $S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function and $P$ be a proper submodule of $M$. Then $P$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$ if and only if $\frac{P}{\phi(P)}$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $\frac{M}{\phi(P)}$.

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that $P$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$. Let $r \in R$ and $x+\phi(P) \in \frac{M}{\phi(P)}$ with $0 \neq r^{2}(x+\phi(P)) \in \frac{P}{\phi(P)}$. Hence $r^{2} x \in P \backslash \phi(P)$ and so $r x \in P$. Thus $r(x+\phi(P)) \in \frac{P}{\phi(P)}$. Therefore, $\frac{P}{\phi(P)}$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $\frac{M}{\phi(P)}$.
$\Leftarrow)$ Assume that $\frac{P}{\phi(P)}$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $\frac{M}{\phi(P)}$. Let $r^{2} x \in$ $P \backslash \phi(P)$, where $r \in R$ and $x \in M$. Then $0 \neq r^{2}(x+\phi(P)) \in \frac{P}{\phi(P)}$ and hence $r(x+\phi(P)) \in \frac{P}{\phi(P)}$. Therefore, $r x \in P$ and $P$ is $\phi$-semiprime.

Let $R_{i}$ be a commutative ring and $M_{i}$ be an $R_{i}$-module for $i=1,2$. Let $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}$. Then $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$ is an $R$-module and each submodule of $M$ is of the form $N_{1} \times N_{2}$, where $N_{i}$ is a submodule of $M_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.

Let $P_{1} \times M_{2}$ be a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$ and $r_{1} \in R_{1}$ and $x_{1} \in M_{1}$ with $r_{1}^{2} x_{1} \in M_{1}$. Let $0 \neq x_{2} \in M_{2}$. Then $\left(r_{1}, 1\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in P_{1} \times M_{2} \backslash$ $\{(0,0)\}$. By assumption, $r_{1} x_{1} \in P_{1}$. Therefore, $P_{1}$ is a semiprime submodule of $M_{1}$. If $P_{1}$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $M_{1}$, then $P_{1} \times M_{2}$ need not be a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$.

Next, we show that if $P_{1}$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $M_{1}$, then $P_{1} \times M_{2}$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$ if $\{0\} \times M_{2} \subseteq \phi\left(P_{1} \times M_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 2.16. Let $R_{i}$ be a commutative ring and $M_{i}$ be an $R_{i}$-module, for $i=1,2$. Let $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}, M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$ and $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Suppose that $P_{1}$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $M_{1}$ such that $\{0\} \times M_{2} \subseteq \phi\left(P_{1} \times M_{2}\right)$. Then $P_{1} \times M_{2}$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$

Proof. We have $P_{1} \times M_{2} \backslash \phi\left(P_{1} \times M_{2}\right) \subseteq P_{1} \times M_{2} \backslash\{0\} \times M_{2}=\left(P_{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times M_{2}$. Let $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)^{2}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in P_{1} \times M_{2} \backslash \phi\left(P_{1} \times M_{2}\right)$, where $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \in R$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in M$. So $\left(r_{1}^{2} m_{1}, r_{2}^{2} m_{2}\right) \in P_{1} \backslash\{0\} \times M_{2}$ and by assumption on $P_{1}$ we have $r_{1} m_{1} \in P_{1}$. This gives $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in P_{1} \times M_{2}$. Therefore, $P_{1} \times M_{2}$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$.

Proposition 2.17. With the same notation as in Proposition 2.16, let $\phi$ : $S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function such that $\phi_{\omega} \leq \phi$. Then for any weakly semiprime submodule $P_{1}$ of $M_{1}, P_{1} \times M_{2}$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M_{1} \times$ $M_{2}$.

Proof. We have

$$
\{0\} \times M_{2} \subseteq\left(P_{1} \times M_{2}: M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)^{i}\left(P_{1} \times M_{2}\right)=\left[\left(P_{1}: M_{1}\right)^{i} P_{1}\right] \times M_{2}
$$

for all $i \geq 1$ and hence
$\{0\} \times M_{2} \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(P_{1} \times M_{2}: M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)^{i}\left(P_{1} \times M_{2}\right)=\phi_{\omega}\left(P_{1} \times M_{2}\right) \subseteq \phi\left(P_{1} \times M_{2}\right)$.
So the result follows by Proposition 2.16.
Proposition 2.18. Let $R=R_{1} \times \cdots \times R_{n}$ be a ring and $M=M_{1} \times \cdots \times M_{n}$ be an $R$-module, where $R_{i}$ is a commutative ring and $M_{i}$ is an $R_{i}$-module, for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function, $P=P_{1} \times \cdots \times P_{n}$ be a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$, where $P_{i}$ is a submodule of $M_{i}$ and let $\psi_{i}: S\left(M_{i}\right) \rightarrow S\left(M_{i}\right) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function and $\phi(P)=\psi_{1}\left(P_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \psi_{n}\left(P_{n}\right)$. Then $P_{j}$ is a $\psi_{j}$-semiprime submodule of $M_{j}$ for each $j$ with $P_{j} \neq M_{j},(n \geq 2)$.

Proof. Let $P_{j} \neq M_{j}, x_{j} \in M_{j}$ and $r_{j} \in R_{j}$ such that $r_{j}^{2} x_{j} \in P_{j} \backslash \psi_{j}\left(P_{j}\right)$. Thus $\left(0, \ldots, 0, r_{j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{2}\left(0, \ldots, 0, x_{j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in P \backslash \phi(P)$. Therefore,

$$
\left(0, \ldots, 0, r_{j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\left(0, \ldots, 0, x_{j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in P
$$

Because $P$ is $\phi$-semiprime. So $r_{j} x_{j} \in P_{j}$. Hence $P_{j}$ is a $\psi_{j}$-semiprime submodule of $M_{j}$.

Corollary 2.19. Let $R=R_{1} \times \cdots \times R_{n}$ be a ring, $M=M_{1} \times \cdots \times M_{n}$ be an $R$-module and $P=P_{1} \times \cdots \times P_{n}$, where $R_{i}$ is a commutative ring, $M_{i}$ is an $R_{i}$-module and $P_{i}$ is a submodule of $M_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $P$ be an m-almost semiprime submodule of $M$. Then $P_{j}$ is an m-almost semiprime submodule of $M_{j}$ for each $j$ with $P_{j} \neq M_{j},(n, m \geq 2)$.

Proof. We have $\phi_{m}(P)=(P: M)^{m-1} P=\left(P_{1}: M_{1}\right)^{m-1} P_{1} \times \cdots \times\left(P_{n}:\right.$ $\left.M_{n}\right)^{m-1} P_{n}=\phi_{m}\left(P_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \phi_{m}\left(P_{n}\right)$. So the result follows by Proposition 2.18.

### 2.4. Weakly semiprime submodules and flat modules

A flat module over a commutative ring $R$ is an $R$-module $M$ such that taking the tensor product over $R$ with $M$ preserves exact sequences.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module, $N$ be a submodule of $M$ and $r \in R$. It is easy to show that $\left(N:_{M} r\right)=\{m \in M \mid r m \in N\}$ is a submodule of $M$ containing $N$. In the following lemma we have a characterization of $\phi$-semiprime submodules.

Lemma 2.20. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module and $\phi$ : $S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Let $P$ be a proper submodule of $M$. Then $P$ is a $\phi$-semiprime submodule of $M$ if and only if $\left(P: r^{2}\right)=\left(\phi(P): r^{2}\right)$ or $\left(P: r^{2}\right)=(P: r)$ for every $r \in R$.
Proof. This is clear, by Theorem 2.5.
Lemma 2.21. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module, $P$ be a submodule of $M$ and $r \in R$. Then for every flat $R$-module $F$ we have $F \otimes(P$ : $r)=(F \otimes P: r)$.

Proof. See, [5, Lemma 3.2].
Theorem 2.22. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $M$ be an $R$-module.

1) If $F$ is a flat $R$-module and $P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$ such that $F \otimes P \neq F \otimes M$, then $F \otimes P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $F \otimes M$.
2) Let $F$ be a faithfully flat $R$-module. Then $P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$ if and only if $F \otimes P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $F \otimes M$.
Proof. (1) Let $r \in R$. We have $\left(P: r^{2}\right)=\left(0: r^{2}\right)$ or $\left(P: r^{2}\right)=(P: r)$, by Lemma 2.20. Therefore, $\left(F \otimes P: r^{2}\right)=F \otimes\left(P: r^{2}\right)=F \otimes\left(0: r^{2}\right)=\left(0: r^{2}\right)$ or $\left(F \otimes P: r^{2}\right)=F \otimes\left(P: r^{2}\right)=F \otimes(P: r)=(F \otimes P: r)$, by Lemma 2.21. Hence $F \otimes P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $F \otimes M$, by Lemma 2.20.
(2) Let $P$ be a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$ and $F \otimes P=F \otimes M$. Therefore $0 \rightarrow F \otimes P \rightarrow F \otimes M \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence and since $F$ is a faithfully flat $R$-module we have $0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence. Hence $P=M$, which is a contradiction. So $F \otimes P \neq F \otimes M$. Now, $F \otimes P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $F \otimes M$, by part (1).

Conversely, suppose that $F \otimes P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $F \otimes M$. We have $F \otimes P \neq F \otimes M$ and obviously $P \neq M$. Let $r \in R$. We have $\left(F \otimes P: r^{2}\right)=\left(0: r^{2}\right)$ or $\left(F \otimes P: r^{2}\right)=(F \otimes P: r)$, by Lemma 2.20. Then $F \otimes\left(P: r^{2}\right)=F \otimes\left(0: r^{2}\right)$ or $F \otimes\left(P: r^{2}\right)=F \otimes(P: r)$, by Lemma 2.21.

So $0 \rightarrow F \otimes\left(P: r^{2}\right) \rightarrow F \otimes\left(0: r^{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$ or $0 \rightarrow F \otimes\left(P: r^{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $F \otimes(P: r) \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence. Thus $0 \rightarrow\left(P: r^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(0: r^{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$ or $0 \rightarrow\left(P: r^{2}\right) \rightarrow(P: r) \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, because $F$ is faithfully flat. Hence $\left(P: r^{2}\right)=\left(0: r^{2}\right)$ or $\left(P: r^{2}\right)=(P: r)$. So $P$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of $M$, by Lemma 2.20.
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