

## COMPOSITE HURWITZ RINGS AS ARCHIMEDEAN RINGS

JUNG WOOK LIM

ABSTRACT. Let  $D \subseteq E$  be an extension of integral domains with characteristic zero,  $I$  be a nonzero proper ideal of  $D$ , and let  $H(D, E)$  and  $H(D, I)$  (resp.,  $h(D, E)$  and  $h(D, I)$ ) be composite Hurwitz series rings (resp., composite Hurwitz polynomial rings). In this article, we show that  $H(D, E)$  is an Archimedean ring if and only if  $h(D, E)$  is an Archimedean ring, if and only if  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} d^n E = (0)$  for each nonzero nonunit  $d$  in  $D$ . We also prove that  $H(D, I)$  is an Archimedean ring if and only if  $h(D, I)$  is an Archimedean ring, if and only if  $D$  is an Archimedean ring.

### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Composite Hurwitz rings

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $H(R)$  be the set of formal expressions of the form  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i$ , where  $a_i \in R$ . Define addition and  $*$ -product (or Hurwitz product) on  $H(R)$  as follows: for  $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i, g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i X^i \in H(R)$ ,

$$f + g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_i + b_i) X^i \text{ and } f * g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n X^n,$$

where  $c_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} a_i b_{n-i}$ . Then  $H(R)$  becomes a commutative ring with identity under these two operations. More precisely,  $H(R) = (R[[X]], +, *)$ . We call it the *Hurwitz series ring* over  $R$ . (The Hurwitz series ring was first considered by Hurwitz [3].) The *Hurwitz polynomial ring*  $h(R)$  over  $R$  is a subring of  $H(R)$  consisting of formal expressions of the type  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i$ , i.e.,  $h(R) = (R[X], +, *)$ .

Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i \in H(R)$ . Then the *order* of  $f$  is the smallest nonnegative integer  $m$  such that  $a_m \neq 0$  and is denoted by  $\text{ord}(f)$ . For a nonnegative integer

Received November 7, 2016; Accepted May 8, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F20, 13F25, 13G05.

*Key words and phrases.* Archimedean ring, composite Hurwitz series ring, composite Hurwitz polynomial ring.

First of all, we would like to thank the referee for valuable suggestions. The author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (NRF-2014R1A1A1A1002478).

$n$ ,  $f(n)$  denotes the coefficient of  $X^n$  in  $f$ . In order to prevent the confusion, we denote the  $n$ th Hurwitz power of  $f$  by  $f^{(n)}$ .

Let  $D \subseteq E$  be an extension of commutative rings with identity,  $I$  be a nonzero proper ideal of  $D$ , and set  $H(D, E) := \{f \in H(E) \mid f(0) \in D\}$ ,  $h(D, E) := \{f \in h(E) \mid f(0) \in D\}$ ,  $H(D, I) := \{f \in H(D) \mid f(n) \in I \text{ for all } n \geq 1\}$ , and  $h(D, I) := \{f \in h(D) \mid f(n) \in I \text{ for all } n \geq 1\}$ . Then  $D \subsetneq H(D, I) \subsetneq H(D) \subseteq H(D, E) \subseteq H(E)$  and  $D \subsetneq h(D, I) \subsetneq h(D) \subseteq h(D, E) \subseteq h(E)$ . The rings  $H(D, E)$  and  $H(D, I)$  are called *composite Hurwitz series rings* and the rings  $h(D, E)$  and  $h(D, I)$  are called *composite Hurwitz polynomial rings*. It was shown that  $H(D, E)$  is an integral domain if and only if  $h(D, E)$  is an integral domain, if and only if  $D \subseteq E$  is an extension of integral domains with characteristic zero [5, Proposition 2.1]; and that  $H(D, I)$  is an integral domain if and only if  $h(D, I)$  is an integral domain, if and only if  $D$  is an integral domain with characteristic zero [5, Proposition 3.1].

For more on Hurwitz series rings, the readers can refer to [1] and [4].

## 1.2. Archimedean rings

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. We say that  $R$  is an *Archimedean ring* if  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} a^n R = (0)$  for any nonzero nonunit  $a \in R$ . It is clear that an integral domain satisfying the ascending chain condition on principal ideals is Archimedean [2, Remark 1.1]. In [5], the authors characterized when composite Hurwitz series rings  $H(D, E)$  and  $H(D, I)$  and composite Hurwitz polynomial rings  $h(D, E)$  and  $h(D, I)$  satisfy the ascending chain condition on principal ideals, where  $D \subseteq E$  is an extension of integral domains with characteristic zero and  $I$  is a nonzero proper ideal of  $D$ . In fact, it was shown that  $H(D, E)$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on principal ideals if and only if  $h(D, E)$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on principal ideals, if and only if  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} d_1 \cdots d_n E = (0)$  for each infinite sequence  $(d_n)_{n \geq 1}$  consisting of nonzero nonunits of  $D$  [5, Theorem 2.4]; and that  $H(D, I)$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on principal ideals if and only if  $h(D, I)$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on principal ideals, if and only if  $D$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on principal ideals [5, Theorem 3.4].

In this article, we study when composite Hurwitz series rings  $H(D, E)$  and  $H(D, I)$  and composite Hurwitz polynomial rings  $h(D, E)$  and  $h(D, I)$  are Archimedean rings, where  $D \subseteq E$  is an extension of integral domains with characteristic zero and  $I$  is a nonzero proper ideal of  $D$ . More precisely, we show that  $H(D, E)$  is an Archimedean ring if and only if  $h(D, E)$  is an Archimedean ring, if and only if  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} d^n E = (0)$  for any nonzero nonunit  $d$  of  $D$  (Theorem 3). We also prove that  $H(D, I)$  is an Archimedean ring if and only if  $h(D, I)$  is an Archimedean ring, if and only if  $D$  is an Archimedean ring (Theorem 6).

**2. Main results**

We start this section with a characterization of units in the composite Hurwitz series ring  $H(D, E)$  and the composite Hurwitz polynomial ring  $h(D, E)$ .

**Lemma 1.** ([5, Lemma 2.2]) *Let  $D \subseteq E$  be an extension of commutative rings with identity. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *A Hurwitz series  $f \in H(D, E)$  is a unit if and only if  $f(0)$  is a unit in  $D$ .*
- (2) *A Hurwitz polynomial  $f \in h(D, E)$  is a unit if and only if  $f(0)$  is a unit in  $D$  and for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $f(n)$  is nilpotent or some power of  $f(n)$  is with torsion.*

To study when composite Hurwitz rings  $H(D, E)$  and  $h(D, E)$  are Archimedean, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $D \subseteq E$  be an extension of integral domains with characteristic zero and let  $f$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $H(D, E)$  (resp.,  $h(D, E)$ ). If  $f$  has the positive order (resp., positive degree), then  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, E) = (0)$  (resp.,  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * h(D, E) = (0)$ ).*

*Proof.* We first consider the composite Hurwitz series ring case. Let  $f$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $H(D, E)$  which has the positive order and let  $g \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, E)$ . Then for each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists a suitable element  $h_n \in H(D, E)$  such that  $g = f^{(n)} * h_n$ . Since  $H(D, E)$  is an integral domain,  $\text{ord}(g) \geq n \cdot \text{ord}(f)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ; so  $\text{ord}(g) = \infty$ . Hence  $g = 0$ , and thus  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, E) = (0)$ .

We next consider the composite Hurwitz polynomial ring case. Let  $f$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $h(D, E)$  which has the positive degree and choose any  $g \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * h(D, E)$ . Then for each  $n \geq 1$ , we can find an element  $h_n \in h(D, E)$  such that  $g = f^{(n)} * h_n$ . Since  $h(D, E)$  is an integral domain,  $\text{deg}(g) \geq n \cdot \text{deg}(f)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ; so  $\text{deg}(g) = \infty$ . Hence  $g = 0$ , and thus  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * h(D, E) = (0)$ . □

We are now ready to characterize Archimedean rings in terms of composite Hurwitz rings  $H(D, E)$  and  $h(D, E)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $D \subseteq E$  be an extension of integral domains with characteristic zero. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$H(D, E)$  is an Archimedean ring.*
- (2)  *$h(D, E)$  is an Archimedean ring.*
- (3)  *$\bigcap_{n \geq 1} d^n E = (0)$  for each nonzero nonunit  $d$  in  $D$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $f$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $h(D, E)$ . If  $f(0)$  is a nonunit in  $D$ , then Lemma 1(1) says that  $f$  is a nonunit of  $H(D, E)$ . Since  $H(D, E)$  is

an Archimedean ring, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * h(D, E) &\subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, E) \\ &= (0). \end{aligned}$$

We next suppose that  $f(0)$  is a unit in  $D$ . Then by Lemma 1(2), the degree of  $f$  is positive; so by Lemma 2,  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * h(D, E) = (0)$ . Thus  $h(D, E)$  is an Archimedean ring.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $d$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $D$  and let  $e \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} d^n E$ . Note that by Lemma 1(2),  $d$  is a nonzero nonunit of  $h(D, E)$ . Since  $h(D, E)$  is an Archimedean ring, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} eX &\in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} d^n * h(D, E) \\ &= (0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $e = 0$ , which indicates that  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} d^n E = (0)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose that  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} d^n E = (0)$  for each nonzero nonunit  $d$  in  $D$ , and let  $f$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $H(D, E)$ . If  $f$  has the positive order, then the result comes directly from Lemma 2; so we assume that the order of  $f$  is zero. Let  $g \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, E)$ . Then for each  $n \geq 1$ , we can find an element  $h_n \in H(D, E)$  such that  $g = f^{(n)} * h_n$ ; so  $g(\text{ord}(g)) = f(0)^n h_n(\text{ord}(h_n))$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $g(\text{ord}(g)) \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f(0)^n E$ . Note that by Lemma 1(1),  $f(0)$  is a nonunit in  $D$ ; so  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f(0)^n E = (0)$  by the assumption. Thus  $g = 0$ , which shows that  $H(D, E)$  is an Archimedean ring.  $\square$

We next characterize when the composite Hurwitz series ring  $H(D, I)$  and the composite Hurwitz polynomial ring  $h(D, I)$  are Archimedean. To do this, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.** ([5, Lemma 3.2]) *Let  $D$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $I$  be a nonzero proper ideal of  $D$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *A Hurwitz series  $f \in H(D, I)$  is a unit if and only if  $f(0)$  is a unit in  $D$ .*
- (2) *A Hurwitz polynomial  $f \in h(D, I)$  is a unit if and only if  $f(0)$  is a unit in  $D$  and for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $f(n)$  is nilpotent or some power of  $f(n)$  is with torsion.*

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $D$  be an integral domain with characteristic zero,  $I$  be a nonzero proper ideal of  $D$ , and let  $f$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $H(D, I)$  (resp.,  $h(D, I)$ ). If  $f$  has the positive order (resp., positive degree), then  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, I) = (0)$  (resp.,  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * h(D, I) = (0)$ ).*

*Proof.* While the proof can be done by a simple modification of that of Lemma 2, we insert it for the sake of completeness.

We first consider the composite Hurwitz series ring case. Let  $f$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $H(D, I)$  which has the positive order. If  $g \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, I)$ , then for each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists an element  $h_n \in H(D, I)$  such that  $g = f^{(n)} * h_n$ . Note that  $H(D, I)$  is an integral domain; so  $\text{ord}(g) \geq n \cdot \text{ord}(f)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $\text{ord}(g) = \infty$ , which means that  $g = 0$ . Thus  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, I) = (0)$ .

We next consider the composite Hurwitz polynomial ring case. Let  $f$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $h(D, I)$  which has the positive degree, and let  $g \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * h(D, I)$ . Then for each  $n \geq 1$ , we can find an element  $h_n \in h(D, I)$  such that  $g = f^{(n)} * h_n$ . Since  $h(D, I)$  is an integral domain,  $\text{deg}(g) \geq n \cdot \text{deg}(f)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ; so  $\text{deg}(g) = \infty$ . Hence  $g = 0$ , and thus  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * h(D, I) = (0)$ .  $\square$

We are closing this article with a characterization of Archimedean rings via the composite Hurwitz series ring  $H(D, I)$  and the composite Hurwitz polynomial ring  $h(D, I)$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $D$  be an integral domain with characteristic zero and  $I$  be a nonzero proper ideal of  $D$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $H(D, I)$  is an Archimedean ring.
- (2)  $h(D, I)$  is an Archimedean ring.
- (3)  $D$  is an Archimedean ring.
- (4)  $H(D)$  is an Archimedean ring.
- (5)  $h(D)$  is an Archimedean ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $f$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $h(D, I)$ . If  $f(0)$  is a nonunit in  $D$ , then by Lemma 4(1),  $f$  is a nonunit of  $H(D, I)$ . Since  $H(D, I)$  is an Archimedean ring, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * h(D, I) &\subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, I) \\ &= (0). \end{aligned}$$

We next assume that  $f(0)$  is a unit in  $D$ . Note that by Lemma 4(2),  $f$  is a nonconstant; so by Lemma 5,  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * h(D, I) = (0)$ . Thus  $h(D, I)$  is an Archimedean ring.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $d$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $D$ . Then by Lemma 4(2),  $d$  is a nonzero nonunit of  $h(D, I)$ . Since  $h(D, I)$  is Archimedean, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{n \geq 1} d^n D &\subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 1} d^n * h(D, I) \\ &= (0), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $D$  is an Archimedean ring.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $f$  be a nonzero nonunit of  $H(D, I)$ . If  $f$  has the positive order, then by Lemma 5,  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, I) = (0)$ . Now, we suppose that the order of  $f$  is zero, and let  $g \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{(n)} * H(D, I)$ . Then for each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists an element  $h_n \in H(D, I)$  such that  $g = f^{(n)} * h_n$ ; so  $g(\text{ord}(g)) = f(0)^n h_n(\text{ord}(h_n))$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $g(\text{ord}(g)) \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f(0)^n D$ . Note that by Lemma 4(1),  $f(0)$  is a nonunit in  $D$ ; so  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f(0)^n D = (0)$  because  $D$  is Archimedean. Hence  $g = 0$ , and thus  $H(D, I)$  is an Archimedean ring.

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5) These equivalences can be obtained by applying Theorem 3 to the case when  $D = E$ .  $\square$

### References

- [1] A. Benhissi and F. Koja, *Basic properties of Hurwitz series rings*, Ric. Mat. **61** (2012), 255-273.
- [2] T. Dumitrescu, S.O. Ibrahim Al-Salihi, N. Radu, and T. Shah, *Some factorization properties of composite domains  $A + XB[X]$  and  $A + XB[[X]$* , Comm. Algebra **28** (2000), 1125-1139.
- [3] A. Hurwitz, *Sur un théorème de M. Hadamard*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **128** (1899), 350-353.
- [4] W.F. Keigher, *On the ring of Hurwitz series*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), 1845-1859.
- [5] J.W. Lim and D.Y. Oh, *Composite Hurwitz rings satisfying the ascending chain condition on principal ideals*, Kyungpook Math. J. **56** (2016), 1115-1123.

JUNG WOOK LIM  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DAEGU 41566, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
*E-mail address:* jwlim@knu.ac.kr