Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **54** (2017), No. 2, pp. 507–519 https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b160157 pISSN: 1015-8634 / eISSN: 2234-3016

# AN UPPER BOUND ON THE CHEEGER CONSTANT OF A DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPH

GIL CHUN KIM AND YOONJIN LEE

ABSTRACT. We present an upper bound on the Cheeger constant of a distance-regular graph. Recently, the authors found an upper bound on the Cheeger constant of distance-regular graph under a certain restriction in their previous work. Our new bound in the current paper is much better than the previous bound, and it is a general bound with no restriction. We point out that our bound is explicitly computable by using the valencies and the intersection matrix of a distance-regular graph. As a major tool, we use the discrete Green's function, which is defined as the inverse of  $\beta$ -Laplacian for some positive real number  $\beta$ . We present some examples of distance-regular graphs, where we compute our upper bound on their Cheeger constants.

### 1. Introduction

A notion of the Cheeger constant of a graph has an important geometric meaning in graph theory. The Cheeger constant of a graph is closely related to the problem of separating a graph into two large components by making a small edge-cut. In fact, the Cheeger constant of a connected graph is strictly positive. If the Cheeger constant of a connected graph is "small", then it means that there are two large sets of vertices with "few" edges between them. On the other hand, if a graph has "large" Cheeger constant, then it indicates that there are two sets of vertices with "many" edges between these two subsets. In general, computation of the Cheeger constant of a graph is a hard task. Only limited research has been done for finding the Cheeger constant of a graph. We are interested in finding bounds of Cheeger constants of graphs.

We begin with introducing some definitions in graph theory. Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a simple and connected graph, where V is the vertex set of  $\Gamma$  and E is the

©2017 Korean Mathematical Society

Received February 22, 2016; Revised July 11, 2016.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C40, 05C50.

Key words and phrases. Green's function, Laplacian, P-polynomial scheme, distanceregular graph, Cheeger constant, Cheeger inequality.

The second named author is a corresponding author and supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2009-0093827) and also by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MEST)(2014-002731).

edge set of  $\Gamma$ . Let S be a nonempty subset of V. The *edge boundary* of S, denoted by  $\partial S$ , is defined as follows:

$$\partial S = \{\{x, y\} \in E \mid x \in S \text{ and } y \in V - S\}.$$

The volume of S, denoted by vol(S), is defined as follows:

$$\operatorname{rol}(S) = \sum_{u \in S} k_u,$$

where  $k_u$  is the valency of u in  $\Gamma$ . The *Cheeger ratio* of S, denoted by  $h_S$ , is defined as

$$h_S = \frac{|\partial S|}{\min\{\operatorname{vol}(S), \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma) - \operatorname{vol}(S)\}}$$

The Cheeger constant of  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $h_{\Gamma}$ , is defined as

$$h_{\Gamma} = \min\{h_S \mid S \subseteq V\}.$$

Recent developments in [3, 4, 14] regarding distance-regular graphs show that there is a close connection between the Cheeger constant and vertex (or edge) connectivity. From Propositions A, B, and C we see that, for a distanceregular graph, there are close connections between the Cheeger constant and vertex and edge connectivity.

**Proposition A** ([3]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with more than one vertex. Then its edge-connectivity equals its valency k, and the only disconnecting sets of k edges are the sets of edges incident with a single vertex.

**Proposition B** ([4]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-complete distance-regular graph of valency k > 2. Then the vertex-connectivity  $\kappa(\Gamma)$  equals k, and the only disconnecting sets of vertices of size not more than k are the point neighbourhoods.

**Proposition C** ([14]). Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a simple graph with the vertexconnectivity  $\kappa(\Gamma)$  and the edge-connectivity  $\lambda(\Gamma)$ . Then

$$\frac{2\kappa(\Gamma)}{|V|} \leq \frac{2\lambda(\Gamma)}{|V|} \leq \inf \frac{|\partial S|}{|S|} \leq \kappa(\Gamma) \leq \lambda(\Gamma),$$

where S is a subset of V with  $|S| \leq \frac{|V|}{2}$ .

The Cheeger constants [9, 15] are related to the eigenvalues of the Laplacians of distance-regular graphs, and their eigenvalues are also involved with the *intersection numbers* of distance-regular graphs [13, 16]. However, in general, it is a hard task to compute the Cheeger constant of a distance-regular graph. Distance-regular graphs introduced by Biggs [2] are connected with coding theory and design theory; well-known examples of distance-regular graphs are the Hamming graphs and the Johnson graphs. In [11, 12], by using the relationship between a discrete Green's function and the Cheeger constant, we obtain an upper bound on the Cheeger constant of a distance-regular graph under a certain condition.

We find a general upper bound on the Cheeger constant of a distance-regular graph with no additional condition. Furthermore, our bound is a much more improved one comparing with the bound in [12] under the same additional condition; in Example 7 and Example 8, we show that our bound is much more improved one comparing with the bound in [12] under the same additional condition:  $\beta v r_d^{(\beta)} > \frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1}$ . We point out that our bound is explicitly computable by using the valencies

We point out that our bound is explicitly computable by using the valencies and the *intersection matrix* of a distance-regular graph; first, our bound is expressed in terms of q-numbers, and in general, it is not easy to compute the q-numbers. For resolving this problem, we obtain an alternative expression of our bound using the valencies and the intersection matrix of a distance-regular graph. In Example 10 and Example 11, we compute the upper bound on the Cheeger constant using the alternative expression in Theorem 2 and Remark 6. As a major tool, we use the discrete Green's function, which is defined as the inverse of  $\beta$ -Laplacian for some positive real number  $\beta$ . We present some examples which show our upper bound on the Cheeger constant for some distance-regular graphs.

We discuss our main result in more detail for the rest of this section. In this paper, we study distance-regular graphs. Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a distance-regular graph of order v, diameter d and valency k. Let  $A_1$  be the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$  and P be the transition probability matrix of  $\Gamma$ . Two adjacent vertices x, y are denoted by  $x \sim y$ . For a function  $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ , we define a Laplace operator  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta f(x) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{y \sim x} (f(x) - f(y))$ . Then  $\Delta = I - \frac{1}{k}A_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\beta}$  be the  $\beta$ -normalized Laplacian  $\beta I + \Delta$ . For  $\beta > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}$  be a discrete Green's function denoted by the symmetric matrix which satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_{\beta}\mathcal{G}_{\beta} = I$ ; that is,  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}$  is defined as the inverse of the  $\beta$ -Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}_{\beta}$  [5, 6, 7]. As in [11], for any positive real number  $\beta$ , let  $r_i^{(\beta)}$   $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, d)$  denote the components of a Green's function  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}$ . We define  $\alpha_i$  to be the limit of a sequence  $\{\alpha_i^{(\beta)}\}$  as  $\beta$ goes to  $0^+$ , where

(1) 
$$\alpha_i^{(\beta)} = \frac{\beta^2 v r_i^{(\beta)}}{1 - \beta v r_i^{(\beta)}} \ (i = 0, 1, \dots, d).$$

In fact, we can express  $\alpha_i$ 's by the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  of the Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}_{\beta}$  and the q-numbers  $q_j(i)$  of the P-polynomial scheme [1, 8, 10, 11]:

(2) 
$$\alpha_i = \frac{1}{-q_1(i)\frac{1}{\lambda_1} - \dots - q_d(i)\frac{1}{\lambda_d}}$$

We also see that  $0 < \alpha_d < \alpha_{d-1} < \cdots < \alpha_e < \lambda_1$  for some e.

The authors obtain the following result [12] on an upper bound on the Cheeger constant of a distance-regular graph with a certain restricted condition as follows.

**Theorem A** ([12]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter d and  $\beta vr_d^{(\beta)} > \frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1}$  for  $\beta \leq \alpha_d$ . Let  $\lambda_1$  be the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian.

Then we have

$$\lambda_1 h_{\Gamma} < \alpha_d < \alpha_{d-1} < \dots < \alpha_e < \lambda_1$$

for  $e \in \mathbf{C}'_{\beta}$ .

Main results of this paper are the following Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Corollary 3. Theorem 1 presents an upper bound on the Cheeger constant of a distance-regular graph. In Theorem 2, we find an explicit expression for the bound given in Theorem 1 by using the valencies  $k_j$  and the basis of nullspace  $\mathcal{N}(L_{sub}^{(\alpha_d)})$ . This shows that our new bound is a computable bound using the valencies and the intersection matrix of a distance-regular graph. Corollary 3 shows that our generalized bound in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 improves the bound given in Theorem A [12] under the same additional condition.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph of diameter d. Then we have the following upper bound:

$$h_{\Gamma} < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}},$$

where  $\alpha_d = \lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \frac{\beta^2 v r_d^{(\beta)}}{1 - \beta v r_d^{(\beta)}}$  and  $\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)} = \frac{\alpha_d^2 v r_d^{(\alpha_d)}}{1 - \alpha_d v r_d^{(\alpha_d)}}.$ 

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph of order v and diameter d. Let  $(u_0^{(\alpha_d)}, u_1^{(\alpha_d)}, \ldots, u_d^{(\alpha_d)})$  be a basis of  $\mathcal{N}(L_{sub}^{(\alpha_d)})$  with  $u_d^{(\alpha_d)} = 1$  as in Lemma 4. Then we have

$$h_{\Gamma} < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}} = \alpha_d \big( \frac{1}{v} \sum_{j=0}^d k_j u_j^{(\alpha_d)} - 1 \big),$$

where  $k_j$  are valencies as in Lemma 4 and  $\alpha_d$  is the same as in Lemma 5.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph, and  $h_{\Gamma}$  be a Cheeger constant of  $\Gamma$ . If  $\beta vr_d^{(\beta)} > \frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1}$  for  $\beta \leq \alpha_d$ , then we have

$$h_{\Gamma} < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}} < \frac{\alpha_d}{\lambda_1},$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the smallest positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian and  $\alpha_d$  is the same as in (1).

In Section 2, we introduce some notations and facts about distance-regular graph and some properties of the Green's function  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}$ . In Section 3, we find a new upper bound on the Cheeger constant of a distance-regular graph. We also obtain an alternative expression of our upper bound by using the valencies  $k_j$  and the basis of nullspace  $\mathcal{N}(L_{sub}^{(\alpha_d)})$ . Finally, in Section 4, we present some examples about our upper bound on the Cheeger constant of some distance-regular graphs.

#### 2. Preliminaries and Green's function

We introduce definitions of the distance-regular graphs and the *P*-polynomial schemes. A connected graph  $\Gamma$  with diameter d is called a *distance-regular graph* if there are constants  $c_i, a_i, b_i$  such that for all  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ , and all vertices x and y at distance i = d(x, y), among the neighbors of y, there are  $c_i$  at distance i-1 from x,  $a_i$  at distance i, and  $b_i$  at distance i+1. It follows that  $\Gamma$  is a regular graph with valency  $k = b_0$ , and that  $c_i + a_i + b_i = k$  for all i = 0, 1, ..., d. By these equations, the intersection numbers  $a_i$  can be expressed in terms of the others, and it is a standard to put these others in the so-called *intersection* array  $(b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d)$ . We describe the relations by its adjacency matrices  $A_i$  (i = 0, 1, ..., d) which are  $v \times v$  matrices defined by

$$(A_i)_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x,y) \in R_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

[1, 8]. Let X be a nonempty finite set and  $R = \{R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_d\}$  be a family of relations defined on X. We say that the pair (X, R) is a symmetric association scheme with d classes if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (1)  $A_0 = I$  (indentity matrix).
- (1)  $A_0 = I$  (indentity matrix). (2)  $A_0 + A_1 + \dots + A_d = J$  (all 1 matrix). (3)  $A_i A_j = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{ij}^k A_k$ , where  $p_{ij}^k$  is the number of  $z \in X$  such that  $(x, z) \in R_i$  and  $(z, y) \in R_j$ . (4)  $A_j^t = A_j$ . (5)  $A_i A_j = A_j A_i$ .

A symmetric association scheme  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, R)$  is called a *P*-polynomial scheme with respect to the ordering  $R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_d$ , if there exist some complex coefficient polynomials  $v_i(x)$  of degree i (i = 0, 1, ..., d) such that  $A_i = v_i(A_1)$ , where  $A_i$  is the adjacency matrix with respect to  $R_i$ .

It is known [1, 8] that a distance-regular graph is equivalent to a P-polynomial scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$  with respect to some relations  $R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_d$  on a vertex set V with |V| = v. Thus, we can define the Green's function over a P-polynomial scheme, and then by using the Green's function we will obtain an upper bound on the Cheeger constant of a distance-regular graph.

The first intersection matrix  $B_1$  of a distance-regular graph is a tridiagonal matrix with non-zero off diagonal entries:

$$B_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & a_{1} & b_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & c_{2} & a_{2} & b_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & c_{d-1} & a_{d-1} & b_{d-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & c_{d} & a_{d} \end{pmatrix} \quad (b_{i} \neq 0, c_{i} \neq 0).$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the algebra spanned by the adjacency matrices  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_d$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called the *Bose-Mesner algebra* of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  has two distinguished bases  $\{A_i\}$  and  $\{E_i\}$ , where the latter consists of primitive idempotent matrices. For  $A_i$  and  $E_i$ , we can express one in terms of the other as the following:

$$A_j = \sum_{i=0}^d p_j(i)E_i, \ E_j = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{i=0}^d q_j(i)A_i$$

for j = 0, 1, ..., d. The  $(d + 1) \times (d + 1)$  matrix  $\mathbf{P} = (p_j(i))$  (respectively,  $\mathbf{Q} = (q_j(i))$ ) is called *the first eigenmatrix* (respectively, the second eigenmatrix) of the P-polynomial scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$ , where  $p_j(i)$  (respectively,  $q_j(i)$ ) is a *p*-number (respectively, a *q*-number).

As defined in Section 1, the Green's function  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}$  is the inverse of the  $\beta$ -Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}_{\beta}$ . For  $\beta > 0$ , we thus have  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}(\beta I + I - P) = I$ . Therefore, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{\beta} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \left( \beta + 1 - \frac{1}{k_1} p_1(j) \right) E_j, \ \mathcal{G}_{\beta} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \left( \frac{k_1}{(\beta + 1)k_1 - p_1(j)} \right) E_j.$$

Since  $E_j = (1/v) \sum q_j(i) A_i$  and  $\lambda_j = 1 - p_1(j)/k_1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\beta} &= \sum_{j=0}^{d} \left( \frac{k_{1}}{(\beta+1)k_{1} - p_{1}(j)} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{d} q_{j}(i) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d} (1/v) \left( \frac{k_{1}}{(\beta+1)k_{1} - p_{1}(j)} \right) q_{j}(i) A_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d} (1/v) \left( \frac{1}{\beta+1 - \frac{p_{1}(j)}{k_{1}}} \right) q_{j}(i) A_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d} (1/v) \left( \frac{1}{\beta+\lambda_{j}} \right) q_{j}(i) A_{i}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}$  is a linear combination of adjacency matrices  $A_i$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\beta} = r_0^{(\beta)} A_0 + r_1^{(\beta)} A_1 + \dots + r_d^{(\beta)} A_d,$$

where  $r_i^{(\beta)} = \frac{1}{v} (\frac{1}{\beta} + q_1(i) \frac{1}{\beta + \lambda_1} + \dots + q_d(i) \frac{1}{\beta + \lambda_d})$   $(i = 0, 1, \dots, d).$ In [10, 11] a  $d \times (d + 1)$  matrix  $L^{(\beta)}$  is introduced as a matrix

In [10, 11], a  $d \times (d+1)$  matrix  $L_{sub}^{(\beta)}$  is introduced as a matrix obtained by the removal of the first row of  $B_1 - k_1(\beta+1)I$ .

**Lemma 4** ([11]). For  $\beta > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta} = r_0^{(\beta)}A_0 + r_1^{(\beta)}A_1 + \cdots + r_d^{(\beta)}A_d$  be the Green's function of a distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  of order v. Then we have

(a)  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}$  can be expressed as  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta} = tu_0^{(\beta)}A_0 + tu_1^{(\beta)}A_1 + \dots + tu_d^{(\beta)}A_d$  for some nonzero  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $(u_0^{(\beta)}, u_1^{(\beta)}, \dots, u_d^{(\beta)})$  is the unique basis of the nullspace  $\mathcal{N}(L_{sub}^{(\beta)})$  of  $L_{sub}^{(\beta)}$  with  $u_d^{(\beta)} = 1$ .

- (b)  $k_0 r_0^{(\beta)} + k_1 r_1^{(\beta)} + \dots + k_d r_d^{(\beta)} = \frac{1}{\beta}$ , where  $k_j$  is the valency of  $A_j$  for  $\begin{array}{l} (b) \ h_{0}r_{0}^{(\beta)} = r_{1}r_{1}^{(\beta)} \\ j = 0, 1, \dots, d. \\ (c) \ r_{0}^{(\beta)} > r_{1}^{(\beta)} > \dots > r_{d}^{(\beta)} > 0. \\ (d) \ \lim_{\beta \to 0^{+}} |r_{i}^{(\beta)} - r_{j}^{(\beta)}| = 0 \ for \ 0 \le i, j \le d. \end{array}$

#### 3. A new improved bound on the Cheeger constant

In this section we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3. We consider a set  $C_{\beta}$  =  $\{i \mid \frac{1}{\beta} - vr_i^{(\beta)} > 0\}$  as a subset of  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ ; then  $\mathbf{C}_{\beta}$  is a non-empty set by Lemma 4. When  $\beta$  is sufficiently close to  $0^+$ , we consider a set  $\mathbf{C}'_{\beta} = \{i \mid i \}$  $\beta v r_i^{(\beta)}(\beta + \lambda_1) < \lambda_1 \};$  then  $\mathbf{C}'_{\beta}$  is a subset of  $\mathbf{C}_{\beta}$ .

**Lemma 5** ([11]). For  $\beta > 0$ , let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph of order v, and let  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta} = r_0^{(\beta)} A_0 + r_1^{(\beta)} A_1 + \cdots + r_d^{(\beta)} A_d$  be a Green's function of  $\Gamma$ . We recall that  $\alpha_i^{(\beta)} := \frac{\beta^2 v r_i^{(\beta)}}{1 - \beta v r_i^{(\beta)}}$  (i = 0, 1, ..., d) as given in Eq. (1). Then for  $i \in \mathbf{C}'_{\beta}$ , we have the following:

- (a)  $\lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \beta v r_i^{(\beta)} = 1^-, \lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \beta^2 v r_i^{(\beta)} = 0^+.$
- (b)  $\alpha_i^{(\beta)}$  is decreasing in  $i \in \mathbf{C}'_{\beta}$ .
- (c) There exists  $i \in \mathbf{C}'_{\beta}$  such that  $\lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \alpha_i^{(\beta)} = \alpha_i < \lambda_1$ .
- (d)  $\alpha_i^{(\beta)}$  is decreasing in  $\beta > 0$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let S be a subset of the vertex set V of  $\Gamma$  with vol $(S) \leq$  $\operatorname{vol}(V)/2$  and  $\frac{|\partial S|}{\operatorname{vol}(S)} \neq h_{\Gamma}$ . Let S' be a subset of V with  $\frac{|\partial S'|}{\operatorname{vol}(S')} = h_{\Gamma}$ . Then there exists some  $\beta$  with  $0 < \beta < 1$  such that

(3) 
$$\frac{|\partial S|\beta}{\operatorname{vol}(S)} = \frac{|\partial S'|}{\operatorname{vol}(S')}$$

We first note that for a positive integer n,

(4) 
$$\beta < \frac{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d \beta^n)}}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}}$$

this follows immediately from  $\frac{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}}{\alpha_d} < \frac{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d\beta^n)}}{\alpha_d\beta}$ , which is clear since  $\alpha_d^{(x)}$  is decreasing in x by Lemma 5.

We claim that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N_{\varepsilon}$  such that

(5) 
$$\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d \beta^n)} < \alpha_d^2 + \epsilon$$

for any  $n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$ ; we use Lemma 5 for the proof as follows. Let  $f_n = \alpha_d \beta^n v r_d^{(\alpha_d \beta^n)}$ . Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = 1^-$  by Lemma 5(a). Thus, for sufficiently large positive integer n, we obtain the following approximations:

$$f_n(\beta^n + \alpha_d) \approx \alpha_d$$

$$\Rightarrow f_n \beta^n \approx \alpha_d (1 - f_n) \Rightarrow \alpha_d^{(\alpha_d \beta^n)} = \frac{f_n \beta^n \alpha_d}{1 - f_n} \approx \alpha_d^2;$$

so our claim in Eq. (5) follows.

From Eq. (4) and Eq. (5), we thus have that

(6) 
$$\beta < \frac{\alpha_d^2 + \varepsilon}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}}.$$

Taking  $\varepsilon > 0$  to be such that  $\varepsilon < \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(S)}{|\partial S|} - 1\right) \alpha_d^2$  (noting that the right hand side of this inequality is positive), we obtain

$$h_{\Gamma} < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}};$$

this is because from Eq. (3) and Eq. (6), we get the following:

$$h_{\Gamma} = \frac{|\partial S|\beta}{\operatorname{vol}(S)} < \frac{|\partial S|}{\operatorname{vol}(S)} \left(\frac{\alpha_d^2 + \varepsilon}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}}\right) < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}}.$$

Consequently, the result follows as desired.

Proof of Theorem 2. From Theorem 1, we have

$$h_{\Gamma} < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}}.$$

By Lemma 5, we have

$$\alpha_d = \lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \frac{\beta^2 v r_d^{(\beta)}}{1 - \beta v r_d^{(\beta)}}, \ \alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)} = \frac{\alpha_d^2 v r_d^{(\alpha_d)}}{1 - \alpha_d v r_d^{(\alpha_d)}}$$

Thus,

(7) 
$$\frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}} = \frac{1 - \alpha_d v r_d^{(\alpha_d)}}{v r_d^{(\alpha_d)}} = \frac{1}{v r_d^{(\alpha_d)}} - \alpha_d,$$

where  $vr_d^{(\alpha_d)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_d} + q_1(d)\frac{1}{\alpha_d + \lambda_1} + \dots + q_d(d)\frac{1}{\alpha_d + \lambda_d}$ . From Lemma 4, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{d} k_j r_j^{(\alpha_d)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_d},$$

and this implies that

$$r_d^{(\alpha_d)} \sum_{j=0}^d k_j u_j^{(\alpha_d)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_d};$$

so we get

$$\alpha_d \sum_{j=0}^d k_j u_j^{(\alpha_d)} = \frac{1}{r_d^{(\alpha_d)}}.$$

514

It thus follows that

(8) 
$$\frac{1}{vr_d^{(\alpha_d)}} - \alpha_d = \alpha_d \left(\frac{1}{v} \sum_{j=0}^d k_j u_j^{(\alpha_d)} - 1\right)$$

The result follows immediately by combining Eq. (7) with Eq. (8).

The following remark shows that  $u_j^{(\beta)}$  can be expressed by a determinant of a submatrix  $L_j^{(\beta)}$  of  $\mathcal{N}(L_{sub}^{(\beta)})$ , and  $\alpha_d$  can be expressed in terms of a basis  $(u_0^{(\beta)}, u_1^{(\beta)}, \ldots, u_d^{(\beta)})$  of  $\mathcal{N}(L_{sub}^{(\beta)})$  and the valencies  $k_j$ 's as in Lemma 4.

Remark 6. (1) [10, 11] For  $\beta > 0$ , let  $L_0^{(\beta)}$  be the  $d \times d$  matrix obtained by the removal of the first column of  $L_{sub}^{(\beta)}$  as in Lemma 4. Let  $L_j^{(\beta)}$  be the  $(d-j) \times (d-j)$  matrix obtained by the removal from the first row(respectively, column) to the *j*-th row(respectively, column) of  $L_0^{(\beta)}$ , and let  $(u_0^{(\beta)}, u_1^{(\beta)}, \ldots, u_d^{(\beta)})$  be a basis of  $\mathcal{N}(L_{sub}^{(\beta)})$  with  $u_d^{(\beta)} = 1$ . Then we have

$$u_j^{(\beta)} = (-1)^{d-j} \frac{\det(L_j^{(\beta)})}{c_{j+1}c_{j+2}\cdots c_d}, \ j = 0, 1, \dots, d-1,$$

where  $\det(L_d^{(\beta)}) = 1$ .

(2) [11] Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph of order v, and let  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta} = r_0^{(\beta)} A_0 + r_1^{(\beta)} A_1 + \cdots + r_d^{(\beta)} A_d$  be a Green's function of  $\Gamma$  for  $\beta > 0$ . Then we have

$$\alpha_d = \lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \frac{\beta v}{\sum_{j=0}^d k_j u_j^{(\beta)} - v} \text{ and } \alpha_d < \alpha_d^{(\beta)} + \beta.$$

**Proof of Corollary 3.** Since  $\beta vr_d^{(\beta)} > \frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1}$  for  $\beta \leq \alpha_d$ , we have

$$\lambda_1 < \frac{\beta v r_d^{(\beta)}}{1 - \beta v r_d^{(\beta)}} = \frac{\alpha_d^{(\beta)}}{\beta}$$

Letting  $\beta = \alpha_d$ , we get  $\frac{\alpha_d}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}} < \frac{1}{\lambda_1}$ . It thus follows

$$\frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}} < \frac{\alpha_d}{\lambda_1}.$$

## 4. Examples

In this section we present some examples regarding our upper bound on the Cheeger constants for some distance-regular graphs. In particular, Example 7 and Example 8 show that our bound is much more improved one comparing with the bound in [11, 12] under the same additional condition.

Theorem 1 shows an upper bound on the Cheeger constant  $h_{\Gamma}$  in terms of  $\alpha_d$  and  $\alpha_d^{(\beta)}$ . From Equations (2) and (3), if we know the *q*-numbers of the given *P*-polynomial scheme, then we can find  $\alpha_d$  and  $\alpha_d^{(\beta)}$  immediately.

In the Hamming scheme H(d, q) (respectively, Johnson scheme J(m, d)), the *p*-number  $p_j(i)$  is defined by the Krawtchouk polynomial (respectively, the Eberlein polynomial) [1]. Since  $\mathbf{PQ} = v\mathbf{I}$ , we can obtain the *q*-numbers  $q_j(i)$ of the Hamming scheme H(d, q) and the Johnson scheme J(m, d). We present the following two examples for showing this case.

**Example 7.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the graph of the Hamming scheme H(d, q) with respect to  $A_1$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a distance-regular graph with  $q^d$  vertices, valency d(q-1) and d diameter. We consider two cases: (a) d = 5, q = 4 and (b) d = 7, q = 3, and in each case, our upper bound on the Cheeger constant is as follows:

- (a)  $H(5,4): v = 1024, k_1 = 15, \lambda_1 = 4/15, \alpha_d = 16/137.$ And, for  $\beta \le \alpha_d, \beta v r_d^{(\beta)} \ge \alpha_d v r_d^{(\alpha_d)} \approx 0.41256 > \frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1} \approx 0.21053.$ Thus,  $h_{\Gamma} < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}} \approx 0.166291 < \frac{\alpha_d}{\lambda_1} \approx 0.43795.$
- (b)  $H(7,3): v = 2187, k_1 = 14, \lambda_1 = \frac{3}{14}, \alpha_d = \frac{10}{121}.$ And, for  $\beta \le \alpha_d, \beta v r_d^{(\beta)} \ge \alpha_d v r_d^{(\alpha_d)} \approx 0.404240 > \frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1} \approx 0.176471.$ Thus,  $h_{\Gamma} < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}} \approx 0.12180 < \frac{\alpha_d}{\lambda_1} \approx 0.385675.$

**Example 8.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph of the Johnson scheme J(m, d) with respect to  $A_1$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a distance-regular graph with  $\binom{m}{d}$  vertices, valency d(m-d) and d diameter. We consider two cases: (a) m = 6, d = 3 and (b) m = 11, d = 5. In each case, our upper bound on the Cheeger constant is as follows:

- (a)  $J(8,4): v = 126, k_1 = 20, \lambda_1 = 9/20, \alpha_d = 252/1325.$ And, for  $\beta \le \alpha_d, \beta v r_d^{(\beta)} \ge \alpha_d v r_d^{(\alpha_d)} \approx 0.415036 > \frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1} \approx 0.310345.$ Thus,  $h_{\Gamma} < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_s^{(\alpha_d)}} \approx 0.268058 < \frac{\alpha_d}{\lambda_1} \approx 0.422642.$
- (b)  $J(11,5): v = 462, k_1 = 30, \lambda_1 = 11/30, \alpha_d = 11088/79091.$ And, for  $\beta \le \alpha_d, \ \beta v r_d^{(\beta)} \ge \alpha_d v r_d^{(\alpha_d)} \approx 0.40805 > \frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1} \approx 0.268293.$ Thus,  $h_{\Gamma} < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}} \approx 0.203375 < \frac{\alpha_d}{\lambda_1} \approx 0.382344.$

**Example 9.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a Taylor graph with intersection array (275, 112, 1; 1, 112, 275). Then  $\Gamma$  is a distance-regular graph with vertices 552, valency 275 and 3 diameter. Also,  $\lambda_1 = 4/5$ ,  $\alpha_3 = 3864/12475$ ,  $\alpha_3^{(\alpha_3)} = 0.2265$ . Thus we have an upper bound on the Cheeger constant of  $\Gamma$  as follows:

$$h_{\Gamma} < \frac{\alpha_3^2}{\alpha_3^{(\alpha_3)}} \approx 0.42357$$

In Theorem 2, we find an alternative upper bound, which is explicitly computable, by using  $\alpha_d$ , the valencies  $k_j$ , and the basis of nullspace  $\mathcal{N}(L_{sub}^{(\beta)})$ . In Example 10 and Example 11, we compute the upper bound on the Cheeger constant using the alternative expression in Theorem 2 and Remark 6.

**Example 10.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph with respect to  $A_1$  of a Johnson scheme J(8, 4). Then  $\Gamma$  is a distance-regular graph with 70 vertices and valency 16. Also, the

valencies of J(8, 4) are 1, 16, 36, 16, 1 and

$$L_{sub}^{(\beta)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 - 16(\beta + 1) & 9 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 8 - 16(\beta + 1) & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 & 6 - 16(\beta + 1) & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 16 & -16(\beta + 1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\alpha_d = \frac{1}{-q_1(d)\frac{1}{\lambda_1} - \dots - q_d(d)\frac{1}{\lambda_d}},$$

 $(q_1(4), \ldots, q_4(4)) = (-7, 20, -28, 14)$  and  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_4) = (\frac{8}{16}, \frac{14}{16}, \frac{18}{16}, \frac{20}{16})$ , we get  $\alpha_d = 315/1522$ . Let  $\beta = 315/1522$ . By Remark 6, a basis  $(u_0^{(\alpha_d)}, \ldots, u_4^{(\alpha_d)})$  for  $\mathcal{N}(L_{sub}^{(\alpha_d)})$  is

$$\left(\frac{10692972602391}{335381132641},\ \frac{3108779427}{881422162},\ \frac{969476}{579121},\ \frac{1837}{1522},\ 1\right)$$

Thus, by Theorem 2, we have

$$h_{\Gamma} < (315/1522) \Big( \frac{1}{70} \sum_{j=0}^{4} k_j u_j^{(\alpha_d)} - 1 \Big) \approx 0.292388.$$

**Example 11.** Let X be a set of  $d \times n$  matrices over  $GF(p^t)$   $(d \leq n)$ . We define the *i*-th relation  $R_i$  on X by  $(x, y) \in R_i$  if and only if rank(x - y) = i. Then  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}) \ (0 \le i \le d)$  is a *P*-polynomial scheme with respect to the ordering  $R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_d$ . Let p = 2, t = 1, d = 4, n = 5. Then  $L_{sub}^{(\beta)}$  is obtained as follows:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 44 - 465(\beta+1) & 420 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 123 - 465(\beta+1) & 336 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 28 & 245 - 465(\beta+1) & 192 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 120 & 345 - 465(\beta+1) \end{array}\right).$$

We have |X| = v = 1048576,  $k_0 = 1$ ,  $k_1 = 465$ ,  $k_2 = 32550$ ,  $k_3 = 390600$  and  $k_4 = 624960$  by using  $k_i = \frac{k_1 b_1 b_2 \dots b_{i-1}}{c_2 c_3 \dots c_i}$   $(i = 2, 3, \dots, d)$ . Let  $\beta = \frac{1}{100}$ . Then by Lemma 4 and Remark 6 (1), we obtain the unique basis of  $\mathcal{N}(L_{sub}^{(\beta)})$  as follows:

$$(u_0^{(\beta)}, u_1^{(\beta)}, u_2^{(\beta)}, u_3^{(\beta)}, u_4^{(\beta)}) = \left(\frac{3921317781669}{358400000}, \frac{486743013}{17920000}, \frac{661683}{448000}, \frac{831}{800}, 1\right).$$
  
Thus by Bemark 6, we find

Thus, by Remark 6, we find

$$(1048576)\frac{1}{100}$$

 $\frac{(1010010)_{100}}{(1)u_0^{(\beta)} + (465)u_1^{(\beta)} + (32550)u_2^{(\beta)} + (390600)u_3^{(\beta)} + (624960)u_4^{(\beta)} - 1048576}$  $\approx 0.195023.$ 

Thus, we have  $\alpha_d < \widetilde{\alpha_d} \approx 0.195023 + 0.01 = 0.205023$ .

Thus, from Theorem 2, we obtain

$$h_{\Gamma} < (\widetilde{\alpha_d}) \Big( \frac{1}{1048576} \sum_{j=0}^{4} k_j u_j^{(\widetilde{\alpha_d})} - 1 \Big) \approx 0.305557.$$

Remark 12. In general, it is a hard task to compute the Cheeger constant of the graph, and there is not much known about the actual value of the Cheeger constant of a graph. As far as we know, the only known case is the Cheeger constant of the Hamming graph H(d,q) with q even, which is  $\frac{q}{2n(q-1)}$ . For instance, we consider two cases H(5,2) and H(5,4) for comparing our bound with the actual Cheeger constant:

- (a)  $H(5,2): v = 512, k_1 = 5, \lambda_1 = 2/5, \alpha_d = 24/137, \alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)} \approx 0.123033.$ Thus,  $h_{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{5} = 0.2 < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}} \approx 0.249437.$
- (b)  $H(5,4): v = 1024, k_1 = 15, \lambda_1 = 4/15, \alpha_d = 16/137, \alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)} \approx 0.083724.$ Thus,  $h_{\Gamma} = \frac{2}{15} = 0.133333 \cdots < \frac{\alpha_d^2}{\alpha_d^{(\alpha_d)}} \approx 0.166291.$

As we can see from these examples, our bound is close to the Cheeger constant, but it is not sharp yet.

**Acknowledgement.** We thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments, which improved the clarity of our paper.

#### References

- E. Bannai and T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes, Benjamin/ Cummings, Menlo Park, 1984.
- [2] N. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 67. Cambridge University Press, London, 1974.
- [3] A. Brouwer and W. Haemers, *Eigenvalues and perfect matchings*, Linear Algebra Appl. 395 (2005), 155–162.
- [4] A. Brouwer and J. H. Koolen, The vertex-connectivity of a distance regular-graph, European J. Combin. 30 (2009), no. 3, 668–673.
- [5] F. Chung, PageRank and random walks on graphs, Fete of Combinatorics and Computer Science (G. O. H. Katona, A. Schrijver and T. Szonyi, Eds.), pp. 43–62, Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, PageRank as a discrete Green's function, Geometry and Analysis. No. 1, 285– 302, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 17, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.
- [7] F. Chung and S.-T. Yau, Covering, heat kernels and spanning tree, Electron. J. Combin.
  6 (1999), Research Paper 12, 21 pp.
- [8] P. Delsarte, An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory, Philips Res. Rep. Suppl. 10 (1973), 97 pp.

- J. Dodziuk and W. S. Kendall, Combinatorial Laplacians and isoperimetric inequality, From local times to global geometry, control and physics (Coventry, 1984/85), 68–74, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 150, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1986.
- [10] G. C. Kim and Y. Lee, Explicit expression of the Krawtchouk polynomial via a discrete Green's function, J. Korean Math. Soc. 50 (2013), no. 3, 509–527.
- [11] \_\_\_\_\_, A Cheeger inequality of a distance regular graph using Green's function, Discrete Math. 313 (2013), no. 20, 2337–2347.
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_, Corrigendum to "A Cheeger inequality of a distance-regular graph using Green's function" [Discrete Mathematics 313 (2013), no. 20, 2337–2347], Discrete Math. 338 (2015), no. 9, 1621–1623.
- [13] J. H. Koolen, J. Park, and H. Yu, An inequality involving the second largest and smallest eigenvalue of a distance regular graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011), no. 12, 2404– 2412.
- [14] G. Oshikiri, Cheeger constant and connectivity of graphs, Interdiscip. Inform. Sci. 8 (2002), no. 2, 147–150.
- [15] J. Tan, On cheeger inequalities of a graph, Discrete Math. 269 (2003), no. 1-3, 315–323.
- [16] P. Terwilliger, An inequality involving the local eigenvalues of a distance-regular graph, J. Algebraic Combin. 19 (2004), no. 2, 143–172.

GIL CHUN KIM DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS DONG-A UNIVERSITY BUSAN, KOREA *E-mail address*: higen@dau.ac.kr

YOONJIN LEE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EWHA WOMANS UNIVERSITY SEOUL, KOREA *E-mail address*: yoonjinl@ewha.ac.kr