Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 54 (2017), No. 2, pp. 391-397

 $\frac{\text{https://doi.org/}10.4134/BKMS.b150688}{\text{pISSN: }1015\text{-}8634\ /\ \text{eISSN: }2234\text{-}3016}$ 

# ON THE TOPOLOGY OF THE DUAL SPACE OF CROSSED PRODUCT $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS WITH FINITE GROUPS

#### FIRUZ KAMALOV

ABSTRACT. In this note we extend our previous result about the structure of the dual of a crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra  $A\rtimes_\sigma G$ , when G is a finite group. We consider the space  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  which consists of pairs of irreducible representations of A and irreducible projective representations of subgroups of G. Our goal is to endow  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  with a topology so that the orbit space  $G\backslash\widetilde{\Gamma}$  is homeomorphic to the dual of  $A\rtimes_\sigma G$ . In particular, we will show that if  $\widehat{A}$  is Hausdorff then  $G\backslash\widetilde{\Gamma}$  is homeomorphic to  $\widehat{A\rtimes_\sigma G}$ .

### 1. Introduction

The dual space of a crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} G$  has a rich and deep structure. Describing this structure in a general setting is a difficult task. To gain any meaningful insight about  $\widehat{A \rtimes_{\sigma} G}$  one has had to impose various conditions on A and G [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8]. Recently Echterhoff and Williams gave a concrete description of the dual space in the case of a strictly proper action on a continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra [3]. In this paper, we investigate the topology of  $\widehat{A \rtimes_{\sigma} G}$  when G is finite.

The first step in understanding the structure of  $A\rtimes_{\sigma}G$  is to describe it as a set. Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all pairs  $(\pi,W)$ , where  $\pi\in\widehat{A}$  and W is an irreducible projective representation of  $G_{\pi}$  associated to a certain 2-cocycle  $\omega_{\pi}$ . There exists a natural action of G on  $\Gamma$ . If G is finite, then  $A\rtimes_{\sigma}G$  corresponds bijectively, via a certain map  $\Phi$ , to the orbit space  $G\backslash\Gamma$  as a set [4]. The next step is to equip  $\Gamma$  with a suitable topology so that  $A\rtimes_{\sigma}G$  is homeomorphic to  $G\backslash\Gamma$ . Indeed, this is the main goal of the paper. We will show that if  $\widehat{A}$  is Hausdorff, then  $G\backslash\widetilde{\Gamma}$  is homeomorphic to  $A\rtimes_{\sigma}G$ .

We define the topology on  $G\backslash\Gamma$  based on the approach used in [3]. In Proposition 4, we show that the map  $\Phi$  is continuous. In Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we show that if  $\widehat{A}$  is a Hausdorff space, then  $\Phi$  is a closed map. Our main result is stated in Theorem 8.

Received August 25, 2015; Revised September 21, 2016. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L55, 46L05. Key words and phrases. crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give a brief overview of the correspondence between the set  $\Gamma$  and  $\widehat{A}\rtimes_{\sigma}G$ . We refer the reader to [4] for further details. Let G be a finite group acting on a  $C^*$ -algebra A and let  $(A,G,\sigma)$  be the corresponding dynamical system. We will assume throughout this paper that A is a separable  $C^*$ -algebra. The action of G on A induces an action of G on  $\widehat{A}$  given by  $[\pi] \mapsto [\pi \circ \sigma_s]$  for all  $[\pi] \in \widehat{A}$  and  $s \in G$ . Let  $G_{\pi}$  denote the stability group at each  $[\pi] \in \widehat{A}$ . Then for each  $s \in G_{\pi}$  there is a unitary  $V_s$  such that  $V_s \pi V_s^* = \pi \circ \sigma_s$ . The map  $s \mapsto V_s$  defines a projective representation of  $G_{\pi}$ . Let  $\omega$  be the multiplier of the projective representation V. Let  $\widehat{G}_{\pi}$  denote the set of all irreducible  $\omega$ -representations of  $G_{\pi}$ . Then for each  $W \in \widehat{G}_{\pi}$  we can construct a corresponding covariant representation of  $(A, G_{\pi}, \sigma)$ 

$$(1) (\pi \otimes 1_m, V \otimes W^*).$$

Let  $\Gamma = \{(\pi, W) : \pi \in \widehat{A}, W \in \widehat{G}_{\pi}\}$ . As shown above, for each  $(\pi, W) \in \Gamma$ , there exists a representation of  $(A, G_{\pi}, \sigma)$ . Recall that we can induce a representation  $(\pi, U)$  of  $(A, G_{\pi}, \sigma)$  to a representation  $(\pi, U)^G$  of  $(A, G, \sigma)$  via induced representations [6]. Thus we obtain a map  $\Phi$  from  $\Gamma$  into the set of equivalence classes of irreducible covariant representations of  $(A, G, \sigma)$  defined by

(2) 
$$\Phi(\pi, W) = (\pi \otimes 1_m, V \otimes W^*)^G.$$

Let  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  be the set of all equivalence classes in  $\Gamma$ . Then the map  $\Phi$  factors through from  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  into  $\widehat{A}\rtimes_{\sigma}G$ . Moreover,  $\Phi$  is surjective.

There exists a natural action of G on the set  $\Gamma$ . For each  $s \in G$ , we have  $G_{\pi \circ \sigma_s} = s^{-1}G_{\pi}s$ . So given a projective representation  $W \in \widehat{G}_{\pi}$  we can construct a projective representation of  $G_{\pi \circ \sigma_s}$  by  $(s \cdot W)(s^{-1}ts) = W(t)$  for all  $t \in G_{\pi}$ . Thus we can define the action of G on  $\Gamma$  by

$$(\pi, W) \mapsto (\pi \circ \sigma_s, s \cdot W).$$

Let  $G\backslash\widetilde{\Gamma}$  be the set of orbits in  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  under the group action. Then the map  $\Phi$  defines a bijective correspondence between  $G\backslash\widetilde{\Gamma}$  and the dual space  $A\rtimes_{\sigma}G$  [4].

## 3. Topology on $\widetilde{\Gamma}$

We endow the set  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  with the same topology as in [3, Theorem 4.1]. This topology is defined in terms of convergent sequences.

**Definition 1.** Let  $(\pi_n, W_n)$  be a sequence in  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ . We say that  $(\pi_n, W_n)$  converges to  $(\pi_0, W_0) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$  with respect to the topology  $\Omega$  if

- (a)  $\pi_n \to \pi_0$
- (b) there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G_{\pi_n} \leq G_{\pi_0}$  and  $W_n \leq W_0|_{G_{\pi_n}}$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

We will show that the map  $\Phi: (\widetilde{\Gamma}, \Omega) \to \widehat{A \rtimes_{\sigma} G}$  is continuous. Furthermore, we will show that if  $\widehat{A}$  is Hausdorff, then  $\Phi$  is a closed map. First, we need a few of ancillary results.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(A, G, \sigma)$  be a dynamical system where G is finite. Let Q be in Prim(A). Suppose there is a sequence  $P_n \in Prim(A)$  such that  $(\bigcap_{s \in G} sP_n)_n$  converges to  $\bigcap_{s \in G} sQ$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $P_{n_k}$  and  $s_0 \in G$  such that  $P_{n_k}$  converges to  $s_0Q$  for some  $s_0 \in G$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(\bigcap_{s\in G} sP_n)_n$  converges to  $\bigcap_{s\in G} sQ$  it follows that

$$\bigcap_{n} (\bigcap_{s \in G} s P_n) \subseteq \bigcap_{s \in G} s Q.$$

Let  $J=\bigcap_n P_n$ . Then  $\bigcap_{s\in G} sJ\subseteq Q$ . Since Q is a prime ideal, then  $s_0J\subseteq Q$  for some  $s_0\in G$ . In particular,  $\bigcap_n s_0P_n\subseteq Q$ . Let I be an ideal of A such that  $I\nsubseteq Q$  and let  $O_I=\{I'\in \operatorname{Prim}(A): I\nsubseteq I'\}$  denote the corresponding open set in  $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ . Suppose, for contradiction, that  $s_0P_n\notin O_I$  for all n. Then  $I\subseteq s_0P_n$  for all n and  $I\subseteq Q$ . It follows that for every open set  $O_I$  containing Q there exists  $s_0P_{n_0}$  such that  $s_0P_{n_0}\in O_I$ .

The next tool we need is the Forbenius Reciprocity Theorem for crossed products. The proof of the theorem is similar to the classical proof for the case of groups.

**Theorem 3** (Frobenius Reciprocity). Let  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} G$  be a crossed product where G is finite. Let H be a subgroup of G. Let  $\pi \rtimes_{\sigma} U$  be a representation of  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} G$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\delta \rtimes_{\sigma} \lambda$  a representation of  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} H$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A\rtimes_{\sigma}G}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}^G) = \operatorname{Hom}_{A\rtimes_{\sigma}H}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}).$$

In this isomorphism the  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ -module homomorphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}^{G}$  corresponds to the  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} H$ -module homomorphism  $\theta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ , by the following formulae

$$\theta(\xi) = \Theta(\omega)(1), \quad \Theta(\omega)(g) = \theta(U(g)\omega).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Theta$  is an  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ -module homomorphism. We will show that  $\theta$  is an  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} H$ -module homomorphism. Indeed, for each  $a \in A, h \in H$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\theta(\pi(a)U(h)\xi) = \Theta(\pi(a)U(h)\xi)(1)$$

$$= (\delta^G(a)\lambda^G(h)\Theta(\xi))(1)$$

$$= \delta(a)\Theta(\xi)(h)$$

$$= \delta(a)\lambda(h)(\Theta(\xi)(1))$$

$$= \delta(a)\lambda(h)\theta(\xi).$$

Conversely, suppose that  $\theta$  is an  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} H$ -module homomorphism. Then, for each  $a \in A, \xi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $g, s \in G$ , we have

$$\Theta(\pi(a)U(g)\xi)(s) = \theta(U(s)\pi(a)U(g)\xi)$$

$$= \theta(\pi(\sigma_s a)U(sg)\xi)$$

$$= \delta(\sigma_s a)\theta(U(sg)\xi)$$

$$= \delta(\sigma_s a)\Theta(\xi)(sg)$$

$$= \delta(\sigma_s a)(\lambda^G(g)\Theta(\xi)(s))$$

$$= (\delta^G(a)\lambda^G(g)\Theta(\xi))(s).$$

Induced representations give us a natural map from the set of representations of  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} H$  to that of  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ . There exists a corresponding map  $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}$ :  $\mathcal{I}(A \rtimes_{\sigma} H) \to \mathcal{I}(A \rtimes_{\sigma} G)$  between the ideal spaces. We equip  $\mathcal{I}(A \rtimes_{\sigma} G)$  with the topology with subbasic open sets indexed by  $J \in \mathcal{I}(A \rtimes_{\sigma} G)$  given by

$$O_J = \{ I \in \mathcal{I}(A \rtimes_{\sigma} G) : J \nsubseteq I \}.$$

The map  $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G$  is continuous with respect to the above topology [8, §5.3].

**Proposition 4.** Let  $(A, G, \sigma)$  be a dynamical system where G is finite. Let  $\Phi : (\widetilde{\Gamma}, \Omega) \to \widehat{A \rtimes_{\sigma} G}$  be as above. Then  $\Phi$  is a continuous map.

Proof. Let  $(\pi_n, W_n)$  be a sequence in  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  converging to  $(\pi_0, W_0) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ . Denote  $(\overline{\pi}_n, \overline{W}_n) = (\pi_n \otimes 1, V_n \otimes W_n^*)$  to be the corresponding representations of  $(A, G_{\pi_n}, \sigma)$ . Since G is finite we can assume  $G_{\pi_n} = H \leq G_{\pi_0}$  and  $W_n = W \leq W_0|_H$  for all n. Then  $\overline{\pi}_n \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}_n$  converge to  $\overline{\pi}_0 \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}$ . In particular,  $\ker(\overline{\pi}_n \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}_n) \to \ker(\overline{\pi}_0 \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W})$  in  $\operatorname{Prim}(A \rtimes_{\sigma} H)$ . Since the map  $\operatorname{Ind}_H^{G_{\pi_0}}$  is continuous it follows that

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G_{\pi_0}} \ker(\overline{\pi}_n \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}_n) \to \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G_{\pi_0}} \ker(\overline{\pi}_0 \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}).$$

Also since  $\overline{\pi}_0 \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W} \leq (\overline{\pi}_0 \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}_0)|_{A \rtimes_{\sigma} H}$ , then by the Frobenius Theorem  $\overline{\pi}_0 \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}_0 \leq \operatorname{Ind}_H^{G_{\pi_0}}(\overline{\pi}_0 \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W})$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G_{\pi_{0}}} \ker(\overline{\pi}_{n} \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}_{n}) \to \ker(\overline{\pi}_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}_{0}).$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} \ker(\overline{\pi}_{n} \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}_{n}) \to \operatorname{Ind}_{G_{\pi_{0}}}^{G} \ker(\overline{\pi}_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma} \overline{W}_{0}).$$

It follows that  $\Phi(\pi_n, W_n)$  converges to  $\Phi(\pi_0, W_0)$ .

It remains to show that  $\Phi$  is a closed map. Let V be a closed set in  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  and let  $\rho \in \widehat{A \rtimes_{\sigma} G}$  be a limit point of  $\Phi(V)$ . Let  $(\pi_n, W_n) \in V$  be a sequence such that  $\Phi(\pi_n, W_n) \to \rho$ . We need to show that there exists  $(\pi_0, W_0) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$  such that  $\Phi(\pi_0, W_0) = \rho$  and  $(\pi_n, W_n) \to (\pi_0, W_0)$  in  $(\widetilde{\Gamma}, \Omega)$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $\rho \in \widehat{A \rtimes_{\sigma} G}$ . Suppose there is a sequence  $(\pi_n, W_n) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$  such that  $\Phi(\pi_n, W_n) \to \rho$ . Then there exists  $(\pi, W) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$  such that  $\Phi(\pi, W) = \rho$  and  $\pi_n \to \pi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\pi_0, W_0) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$  such that  $\Phi(\pi_0, W_0) = \rho$ . Then  $\ker (\pi_n \otimes 1) \to \ker (\pi_0 \otimes 1)$  in  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ . In particular,  $(\bigcap_{s \in G} s(\ker \pi_n))_n \to \bigcap_{s \in G} s(\ker \pi_0)$ . Then by Lemma 3, there is a subsequence  $n_k$  and  $s_0 \in G$  such that

$$\ker \pi_{n_k} \to s_0(\ker \pi_0).$$

It follows that  $\pi_{n_k}$  converges to  $\pi_0 \circ \sigma_{s_0}$ . Since  $\Phi(\pi_0, W_0) = \Phi(\pi_0 \circ \sigma_{s_0}, s_0 \cdot W_0)$ , then, after reindexing, we get that  $\pi_n$  converges to  $\pi_0 \circ \sigma_{s_0}$  and  $\Phi(\pi_0 \circ \sigma_{s_0}, s_0 \cdot W_0) = \rho$ .

**Lemma 6.** In the context of Lemma 5, suppose there is a sequence  $(\pi_n, W_n) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$  and a point  $(\pi_0, W_0) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$  such that  $\Phi(\pi_n, W_n) \to \Phi(\pi_0, W_0)$ . If  $\widehat{A}$  is Hausdorff, then there exists N such that  $G_{\pi_n} \leq G_{\pi_0}$  and  $W_n \leq W_0|_{G_{\pi_n}}$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

Proof. Since  $\Phi(\pi_n, W_n) \to \Phi(\pi_0, W_0)$ , then by Lemma 5,  $\pi_n \to \pi_0$ . Since  $\widehat{A}$  is Hausdorff, then by the continuity of the group action there exists N such that  $G_{\pi_n} \leq G_{\pi_0}$  for all  $n \geq N$ . To prove the second part of the claim, suppose for contradiction that there exists a subsequence  $(\pi_{n_k}, W_{n_k})$  such that  $W_{n_k} \nleq W_0|_{G_{\pi_{n_k}}}$ . Since G is finite, after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $G_{\pi_n} = H$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, since  $H^2(H, \mathbb{T})$  is finite as well, we may assume that  $\omega_{\pi_n} = \omega$  and  $W_n = W \nleq W_0|_H$  are also constant for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for each  $\pi_n$  we may choose an  $\omega$ -representation  $V_n$  of H such that  $\Phi(\pi_n, W) = (\pi_n \otimes 1, V_n \otimes W^*)^G$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(\pi_n \otimes 1, V_n \otimes W^*)$  and  $(\pi_0 \otimes 1, V_0 \otimes W_0^*)$  denote the covariant representations of  $(A, H, \sigma)$  and  $(A, G_{\pi_0}, \sigma)$  respectively, as defined in Equation 1.

Let  $(V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}$  denote the induced representation of  $G_{\pi_0}$ . Since  $W \nleq W_0|_H$ , then by the Frobenius Reciprocity theorem the representation  $(V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}$  is disjoint from the representation  $V_0 \otimes W_0^*$  (see Remark 7). Therefore, for each n, there exists an  $x_n \in C^*(G_{\pi_0})$  such that  $(V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}(x_n) = 0$  and  $(V_0 \otimes W_0^*)(x_n) \neq 0$ . Since  $G_{\pi_0}$  is finite, after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that each  $(V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}$  decomposes into the same direct sum of irreducible representations up to multiplicity. Furthermore,  $(V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}(x_n) = 0$  if an only if  $\rho(x_n) = 0$  for all irreducible subrepresentations  $\rho$  of  $(V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}$ . It follows that there exists an  $x_0 \in C^*(G_{\pi_0})$  such that  $(V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}(x_0) = 0$  and  $(V_0 \otimes W_0^*)(x_0) \neq 0$  for all n.

Since  $\widehat{A}$  is Hausdorff there exist disjoint open sets N and M containing the point  $\pi_0$  and the set  $\{r_i(\ker \pi_0)\}_{r_i \in S}$  respectively, where S is the set of representatives for  $G_{\pi_0} \setminus G$  which are not in  $G_{\pi_0}$ . We claim that there exists  $a_0 \in A$  such that  $\pi_0(a_0) \neq 0$  and  $\rho(a_0) = 0$  for all  $\rho \in M$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $\pi_0(a_0) = 0$  whenever  $\rho(a_0) = 0$  for all  $\rho \in M$ . Then  $\bigcap_{\rho \in M} (\ker \rho) \subseteq \ker \pi_0$  and  $\ker \pi_0$  is in the closure of the set  $\{\ker \rho\}_{\rho \in M}$  in the hull-kernel topology. It follows that  $\pi_0$  is in the closure of M which contradicts our choice of N and

M. Define  $(a_0 \otimes x_0) : G \to A$  by

$$(a_0 \otimes x_0)(t) = \begin{cases} a_0 x_0(t) & \text{if } t \in G_{\pi_0} \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin G_{\pi_0}. \end{cases}$$

Recall that by induction in stages

$$\Phi(\pi_n, W) = (\pi_n \otimes 1, V_n \otimes W^*)^G = \left( (\pi_n \otimes 1, V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}} \right)^G.$$

For each n, let  $\mathcal{H}_n$  denote the Hilbert space corresponding to the representation  $(\pi_n \otimes 1, V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}$ . Then the representation  $\Phi(\pi_n, W)$  can be viewed as acting on the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{r_i} \mathcal{H}_n$ , where  $\{r_i\}$  is a set of representatives for  $G_{\pi_0}\backslash G$ . In addition,  $\Phi(\pi_n)$  is the diagonal operator  $\bigoplus_{r_i} r_i(\pi_n \otimes 1)^{G_{\pi_0}}$  and  $\Phi(V_n \otimes W^*)$  is a generalized permutation matrix with the  $1 \times 1$  entry given by  $(V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}$  (see [1]). Note that  $(\pi_n \otimes 1)^{G_{\pi_0}} = \bigoplus_t t(\pi_n \otimes 1)$ , where the direct sum is taken over set of representatives for  $H \setminus G_{\pi_0}$ . Since  $\pi_n \to \pi_0$ , then  $r_i(\pi_n \otimes 1)^{G_{\pi_0}} \to r_i[\oplus_t t(\pi_0 \otimes 1)] = r_i[\oplus_{H \backslash G_{\pi_0}} (\pi_0 \otimes 1)]$ . Let N and Mbe the disjoint open sets containing the point  $\pi_0$  and the set  $\{r_i(\ker \pi_0)\}_{r_i \in S}$ respectively and  $a_0 \in A$  such that  $\pi_0(a_0) \neq 0$  and  $\rho(a_0) = 0$  for all  $\rho \in M$ . Since  $r_i(\pi_n \otimes 1)^{G_{\pi_0}} \to \oplus r_i(\pi_0 \otimes 1)$ , then, for each  $r_i \in S$ , eventually  $r_i(\pi_n \otimes 1)$  $1)^{G_{\pi_0}}(a_0) = 0$ . It follows that  $\Phi(\pi_n)(a_0) \to (\pi_0 \otimes 1)(a_0) \bigoplus 0$ . Then we get that  $\Phi(\pi_n, W)(a_0 \otimes x_0) \to (\pi_0 \otimes 1)(a_0)(V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}(x_0) = 0$ . Similarly, let  $\mathcal{H}_0$ denote the Hilbert space corresponding to the representation  $(\pi_0 \otimes 1, V_0 \otimes W_0^*)$ . Then the representation  $\Phi(\pi_0, W_0)$  can be viewed as acting on the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{r_i} \mathcal{H}_0$ , where  $\{r_i\}$  is a set of representatives for  $G_{\pi_0} \setminus G$ . Likewise,  $\Phi(\pi_0)$  is the diagonal operator  $\bigoplus_{r_i} r_i(\pi_0 \otimes 1)$  and  $\Phi(V_0 \otimes W_0^*)$  is a generalized permutation matrix. Since  $\Phi(\pi_0)(a_0) = (\pi_0 \otimes 1)(a_0) \oplus 0$ , then  $\Phi(\pi_0, W_0)(a_0 \otimes x_0) = (\pi_0 \otimes 1)(a_0) \oplus 0$  $1)(a_0)(V_0 \otimes W_0^*)(x_0) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $\Phi(\pi_n, W_n)$  does not converge to  $\Phi(\pi_0, W_0)$  which contradicts the hypothesis of the lemma.

Remark 7. In the context of Lemma 6, by the Forbenius Reciprocity theorem the representation  $(V_n \otimes W^*)^{G_{\pi_0}}$  is disjoint from  $V_0 \otimes W_0^*$  if and only if  $V_n \otimes W^*$  is disjoint from  $(V_0 \otimes W_0^*)|_H$ . Since G is finite we have a direct sum decomposition  $V_n \otimes W^* = \bigoplus_i (v_{n_i} \otimes W^*)$ , where each  $v_{n_i}$  is an irreducible subrepresentation of  $V_n$ . Similarly, we can decompose  $(V_0 \otimes W_0^*)|_H$  into a direct sum of irreducible representations  $\bigoplus_{i,j} (v_{0_j} \otimes w_{0_k})$ , where each  $v_{0_j}$  is an irreducible subrepresentation of  $V_0|_H$  and each  $w_{0_k}$  is an irreducible subrepresentation of  $W_0^*|_H$ . If  $V_n \otimes W^*$  is not disjoint from  $(V_0 \otimes W_0^*)|_H$ , then  $(v_{n_i} \otimes W^*)$  is equivalent to  $(v_{0_j} \otimes w_{0_k})$  for some i, j, k. It would follow that  $W_0^*$  is equivalent  $w_{0_k}$  for some k.

We summarize our results in the following theorem.

**Theorem 8.** Let G be a finite group acting on a separable  $C^*$ -algebra A. Let  $\Phi: \widehat{A \rtimes_{\sigma} G} \to G \backslash \widetilde{\Gamma}$  be the canonical bijection. Then the map  $\Phi$  is continuous. Moreover, if  $\widehat{A}$  is Hausdorff, then  $\Phi$  is in fact a homeomorphism.

**Acknowledgments.** I would like to express my gratitude to the referee for his/her patience, time and effort in providing me with valuable feedback that greatly improved the content of this paper.

#### References

- [1] A. Arias and F. Latremoliere, Irreducible representations of C\*-crossed products by finite groups, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 25 (2010), no. 2, 193–231.
- [2] S. Echterhoff and H. Emerson, Structure and K-theory for crossed products by proper actions, Expo. Math. 29 (2011), no. 3, 300-344.
- [3] S. Echterhoff and D. Williams, Structure of crossed products by strictly proper actions on continuous trace algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 7, 3649–3673.
- [4] F. Kamalov, The dual structure of crossed product C\*-algebras with finite groups, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 88 (2013), no. 2, 243–249.
- [5] M. Rieffel, Actions of finite groups on C\*-algebras, Math. Scand. 47 (1980), no. 1, 157–176.
- [6] M. Takesaki, Covariant representations of C\*-algebras and their locally compact automorphism groups, Acta Math. 119 (1967), 273–303.
- [7] D. Williams, The topology on the primitive ideal space of transformation group C\*-algebras and CCR transformation group C\*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 266 (1981), no. 2, 335–359.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Crossed products of C\*-algebras, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 134, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

FIRUZ KAMALOV MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT CANADIAN UNIVERSITY OF DUBAI DUBAI, UAE

E-mail address: firuz@cud.ac.ae