

U-FLATNESS AND NON-EXPANSIVE MAPPINGS IN BANACH SPACES

JI GAO AND SATIT SAEJUNG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define the modulus of n -dimensional U -flatness as the determinant of an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix. The properties of the modulus are investigated and the relationships between this modulus and other geometric parameters of Banach spaces are studied. Some results on fixed point theory for non-expansive mappings and normal structure in Banach spaces are obtained.

1. Introduction

Let X be a real Banach space with the dual space X^* . Denote by B_X and S_X the closed unit ball and the unit sphere of X , respectively. Recall that $\nabla_x \subset S_{X^*}$ denotes the set of norm 1 supporting functionals of $x \in S_X$.

Brodskii and Mil'man [2] introduced the following geometric concepts in 1948:

Definition 1.1. Let X be a Banach space. A nonempty bounded and convex subset K of X is said to have *normal structure* if for every convex subset C of K that contains more than one point there is a point $x_0 \in C$ such that

$$\sup\{\|x_0 - y\| : y \in C\} < \text{diam } C.$$

A Banach space X is said to have

- *normal structure* if every bounded convex subset of X has normal structure;
- *weak normal structure* if every weakly compact convex set K of X has normal structure;
- *uniform normal structure* if there exists $0 < c < 1$ such that for every bounded closed convex subset C of K that contains more than one point there is a point $x_0 \in C$ such that

$$\sup\{\|x_0 - y\| : y \in C\} < c \cdot \text{diam } C.$$

Received January 30, 2016; Revised September 11, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B20, 47H10, 37C25, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. fixed point property, matrices, modulus of n -dimensional uniform flatness, modulus of n -dimensional U -flatness, non-expansive mapping, normal structure.

Remark 1.2. The following facts are known.

- uniform normal structure \implies normal structure \implies weak normal structure.
- In the setting of reflexive spaces, normal structure \iff weak normal structure.

Kirk [9] proved that if a Banach space X has weak normal structure, then it has weak fixed point property, that is, every non-expansive mapping from a weakly compact and convex subset of X into itself has a fixed point.

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all natural numbers and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For two sets of vectors $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \subseteq X$ and $\{f_i\}_{i=2}^{n+1} \subseteq X^*$, the following $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \langle x_1, f_2 \rangle & \langle x_2, f_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_{n+1}, f_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_1, f_{n+1} \rangle & \langle x_2, f_{n+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_{n+1}, f_{n+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

is denoted by $m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}; f_2, f_3, \dots, f_{n+1})$ [6].

Gao and Saejung [6] introduced the concept of volume by the convex hull of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} in X of

$$v(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) := \sup\{\det m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}; f_2, f_3, \dots, f_{n+1})\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all $f_i \in \nabla_{x_i}$, where $i = 2, 3, \dots, n + 1$.

Definition 1.3 ([6]). Let $\nu_X^n = \sup\{v(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) : x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in S_X\}$ be the upper bound of all n -dimensional volume in X .

Definition 1.4 ([6]). Let X be a Banach space. Define

$$U_X^n(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \|x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}\| : \begin{array}{l} x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in S_X, \\ v(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\},$$

where $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \nu_X^n$ to be the modulus of n -dimensional U -convexity of X .

The following results were proved [6]:

Proposition 1.5. For a Banach space X with $\dim(X) > n$, we have $\nu_X^n \geq 2$.

Lemma 1.6. $U_X^n(\varepsilon)$ is a continuous function in $[0, \nu_X^n)$.

Theorem 1.7. If X is a Banach space with $U_X^n(1) > 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then X is reflexive.

Theorem 1.8. If X is a Banach space with $U_X^n(1) > 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then X has normal structure.

2. Main results

We introduce the concept of the modulus of n -dimensional flatness as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let X be a Banach space and $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \nu_X^n$. Then the modulus of n -dimensional U -flatness of X is defined as follows:

$$W_X^n(\varepsilon) = \sup \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n+1}\| \right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \subseteq S_X$ such that there exist $\{f_i\}_{i=2}^{n+1} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ with $f_i \in \nabla_{x_i}$ for all $i = 2, \dots, n+1$ and $\det m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}; f_2, f_3, \dots, f_{n+1}) \leq \varepsilon$.

Remark 2.2. $W_X^n(\varepsilon)$ is an increasing and continuous function on $[0, \nu_X^n]$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Corollary 5 of [10]. □

Remark 2.3. The name of the modulus, U -flatness, is defined by comparing with Definition 1.4.

Lemma 2.4 (Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás [1]). *Let X be a Banach space, and let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Given $z \in B_X$ and $h \in S_{X^*}$ with $1 - \langle z, h \rangle < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$, then there exist $y \in S_X$ and $g \in \nabla_y$ such that $\|y - z\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|g - h\| < \varepsilon$.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $A_{n \times n}$ be the following $n \times n$ matrix*

$$A_{n \times n} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & \dots & (-1)^{n-1} & (-1)^{n-2} & (-1)^{n-1} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -1 & \dots & (-1)^{n+1} & (-1)^{n-1} & (-1)^n \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \dots & (-1)^{n-1} & (-1)^n & (-1)^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\det(A_{n \times n}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$.

Proof. It follows from mathematical induction:

By repeatedly using add $\frac{1}{2}$ times the first row to second row, then use the first row to estimate the determinant, we get the result. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $B_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$ be the following $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix*

$$B_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -1 & \dots & (-1)^{n-1} & (-1)^n & (-1)^{n+1} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \dots & (-1)^n & (-1)^{n+1} & (-1)^{n+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\det(B_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}) = \frac{2n+1}{2^n}$.

Proof. It follows from mathematical induction and the preceding lemma:

$$\text{Let } n = 1, B_{2\times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \det(B_{2\times 2}) = \frac{3}{2}.$$

If for n , $\det(B_{n\times n}) = \frac{2n-1}{2^{n-1}}$, then for $n + 1$, by using the first column to estimate the matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(B_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}) &= \det(A_{n\times n}) + \frac{1}{2} \det(B_{n\times n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{2n-1}{2^n} = \frac{2n+1}{2^n}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.7 ([7]). *Let X be a Banach space. Then X is not reflexive if and only if for any $0 < \delta < 1$ there are a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq S_X$ and a sequence $\{f_n\} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ such that*

- (a) $\langle x_m, f_n \rangle = \delta$ whenever $n \leq m$; and
- (b) $\langle x_m, f_n \rangle = 0$ whenever $n > m$.

Theorem 2.8. *If X is a Banach space with $W_X^n(\frac{2n+1}{2^n}) < 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then X is reflexive.*

Proof. Suppose that X is not reflexive. Let $0 < \delta < 1$ be given. Let $\{x_i\} \subseteq S_X$ and $\{f_i\} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ be two sequences satisfying the two conditions in Theorem 2.7.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Let $y_i = (-1)^{i+1} \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ and $g_i = (-1)^{i+1} f_i \in S_{X^*}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n + 1$. Then, we have

$$\delta \leq \langle y_i, g_i \rangle = \left\langle (-1)^{i+1} \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, (-1)^{i+1} f_i \right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x_i + x_{i+1}\| = \|y_i\| \leq 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\det m(y_1, y_2, y_3 \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}; g_2, g_3, g_4 \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n, g_{n+1}) \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \langle y_1, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_2, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_3, g_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle y_{n-1}, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_n, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_2 \rangle \\ \langle y_1, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_2, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_3, g_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle y_{n-1}, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_n, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_3 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle y_1, g_{n-1} \rangle & \langle y_2, g_{n-1} \rangle & \langle y_3, g_{n-1} \rangle & \dots & \langle y_{n-1}, g_{n-1} \rangle & \langle y_n, g_{n-1} \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_{n-1} \rangle \\ \langle y_1, g_n \rangle & \langle y_2, g_n \rangle & \langle y_3, g_n \rangle & \dots & \langle y_{n-1}, g_n \rangle & \langle y_n, g_n \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_n \rangle \\ \langle y_1, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_2, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_3, g_{n+1} \rangle & \dots & \langle y_2, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_n, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_{n+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{\delta}{2} & \delta & -\delta & \dots & (-1)^{n-1}\delta & (-1)^n\delta & (-1)^{n+1}\delta \\ 0 & -\frac{\delta}{2} & \delta & \dots & (-1)^{n-2}\delta & (-1)^{n-1}\delta & (-1)^n\delta \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \delta & -\delta & \delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\frac{\delta}{2} & \delta & -\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{\delta}{2} & \delta \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \delta^n \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -1 & \cdots & (-1)^{n-1} & (-1)^n & (-1)^{n+1} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \cdots & (-1)^{n-2} & (-1)^{n-1} & (-1)^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, we have

$$\det m(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1}; g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{n+1}) = \delta^n \frac{2n+1}{2^n}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_{n+1}\|}{n+1} = \frac{\|(-1)^{n+2}x_{n+2} + x_1\|}{2(n+1)} \leq \frac{1}{n+1},$$

we have

$$1 - \frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_{n+1}\|}{n+1} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Since δ can be chosen arbitrarily closed to 1, let $\delta = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$ where ε can be chosen arbitrarily closed to 0.

Let $z_1 = y_1$. Next, let $i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$. From Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás result (Lemma 2.4), there exist $z_i \in S_X$ and $h_i \in \nabla_{z_i}$ such that $\|y_i - z_i\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|g_i - h_i\| < \varepsilon$.

This implies that

$$|\langle z_i, h_j \rangle - \langle y_i, g_j \rangle| \leq |\langle z_i - y_i, g_j \rangle| + |\langle y_i, h_j - g_j \rangle| + |\langle z_i - y_i, h_j - g_j \rangle| \leq 3\varepsilon.$$

It follows then that

$$\det m(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n+1}; h_2, h_3, \dots, h_{n+1}) = \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}\right)^n \frac{2n+1}{2^n} + c\varepsilon,$$

where c is a bounded constant. Moreover,

$$1 - \frac{\|z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_{n+1}\|}{n+1} \geq 1 - \frac{1+\varepsilon}{n+1}.$$

From the definition of $W_X^n(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$W_X^n \left(\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}\right)^n \frac{2n+1}{2^n} + c\varepsilon \right) \geq 1 - \frac{1+\varepsilon}{n+1}.$$

Since ε can be arbitrarily close to 0, the theorem is proved. □

Let $C_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$ be the following $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix:

$$C_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & (-1)^{n-1} & (-1)^n & (-1)^{n+1} \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & -1 & \cdots & (-1)^n & (-1)^{n+1} & (-1)^{n+2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & \cdots & (-1)^{n+1} & (-1)^{n+2} & (-1)^{n+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\det(C_{2 \times 2}) = \frac{5}{3}$, and $\det(C_{3 \times 3}) = \frac{7}{9}$.

Theorem 2.9. *If X is a Banach space with $W_X^n(\det C_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}) < \frac{2}{3}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then X is reflexive. In particular, for $n = 1$ we have if $W_X^1(\frac{5}{3}) < \frac{2}{3}$, then X is reflexive; and for $n = 2$ we have if $W_X^2(\frac{7}{9}) < \frac{2}{3}$, then X is reflexive.*

Proof. Suppose that X is not reflexive. Let $0 < \delta < 1$ be given. Let $\{x_i\} \subseteq S_X$ and $\{f_i\} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ be two sequences satisfying the two conditions in Theorem 2.7.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Let $y_i = (-1)^{i+1} \frac{x_i + x_{i+1} + x_{i+2}}{3}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ and $g_i = (-1)^{i+1} f_i \in S_{X^*}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n + 1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\leq \langle y_i, g_i \rangle = \left\langle (-1)^{i+1} \frac{x_i + x_{i+1} + x_{i+2}}{3}, (-1)^{i+1} f_i \right\rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \|x_i + x_{i+1} + x_{i+2}\| = \|y_i\| \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &m(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}; g_2, g_3, g_4, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n, g_{n+1}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \langle y_1, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_2, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_3, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_4, g_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_{n-1}, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_n, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_2 \rangle \\ \langle y_1, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_2, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_3, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_4, g_3 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_{n-1}, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_n, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_3 \rangle \\ \langle y_1, g_4 \rangle & \langle y_2, g_4 \rangle & \langle y_3, g_4 \rangle & \langle y_4, g_4 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_{n-1}, g_4 \rangle & \langle y_n, g_4 \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_4 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle y_1, g_{n-1} \rangle & \langle y_2, g_{n-1} \rangle & \langle y_3, g_{n-1} \rangle & \langle y_4, g_{n-1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_{n-1}, g_{n-1} \rangle & \langle y_n, g_{n-1} \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_{n-1} \rangle \\ \langle y_1, g_n \rangle & \langle y_2, g_n \rangle & \langle y_3, g_n \rangle & \langle y_4, g_n \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_{n-1}, g_n \rangle & \langle y_n, g_n \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_n \rangle \\ \langle y_1, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_2, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_3, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_4, g_{n+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_{n-1}, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_n, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_{n+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \delta^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & (-1)^{n-1} & (-1)^n & (-1)^{n+1} \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & -1 & \cdots & (-1)^n & (-1)^{n+1} & (-1)^{n+2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & \cdots & (-1)^{n+1} & (-1)^{n+2} & (-1)^{n+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \det m(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}; g_2, g_3, g_4, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n, g_{n+1}) \\ &= \delta^n \det C_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{n+1}\|}{n+1} &= \frac{\|x_1 + x_3 - x_4 + \dots + (-1)^n x_{n+1} + (-1)^{n+2} x_{n+3}\|}{3(n+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{n+1}{3(n+1)} \delta = \frac{1}{3} \delta, \end{aligned}$$

and for $n = 1$,

$$\frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{n+1}\|}{n+1} = \frac{\|x_1 - x_4\|}{6} \leq \frac{1}{3} \delta.$$

We have

$$1 - \frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{n+1}\|}{n+1} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{3} \delta \geq \frac{2}{3} \delta$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The theorem can be proved by using the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás result (Lemma 2.4), and same idea in the proof of Theorem 2.8. \square

We consider $n = 1$.

Theorem 2.10. *If X is a Banach space with $W_X^1(\frac{2m+1}{m+1}) < \frac{m}{m+1}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then X is reflexive. In particular, for $m = 2$ we have if $W_X^1(\frac{5}{3}) < \frac{2}{3}$, then X is reflexive.*

Proof. Suppose that X is not reflexive. Let $0 < \delta < 1$ be given. Let $\{x_i\} \subseteq S_X$ and $\{f_i\} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ be two sequences satisfying the two conditions in Theorem 2.7.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Let

$$y_1 = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m + x_{m+1}}{m+1}, y_2 = -\frac{x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_{m+1} + x_{m+2}}{m+1}$$

and $g_2 = -f_2 \in S_{X^*}$.

Consider the 2-dimensional subspace of X spanned by y_1 and y_2 .

We have

$$\det m(y_1, y_2; g_2) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \langle y_1, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_2, g_2 \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{m}{m+1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \delta = \frac{2m+1}{m+1} \delta,$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{y_1 + y_2}{2} \right\| = \left\| \frac{x_1 - x_{m+2}}{2(m+1)} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{m+1} \delta.$$

This is

$$1 - \left\| \frac{y_1 + y_2}{2} \right\| \geq \frac{m}{m+1} \delta.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8 we have

$$W_X^1\left(\frac{2m+1}{m+1}\right) \geq \frac{m}{m+1}.$$

This completes the proof. □

In 2008, Saejung proved the following result:

Lemma 2.11 ([11]). *If X is a Banach space with B_{X^*} is weak* sequentially compact and it fails to have weak normal structure, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq S_X$ and $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ such that*

- (a) $|\|x_i - x_j\| - 1| < \varepsilon$ for all $i \neq j$;
- (b) $\langle x_i, f_i \rangle = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$; and
- (c) $|\langle x_i, f_j \rangle| < \varepsilon$ for all $i \neq j$.

Theorem 2.12. *If X is a Banach space with B_{X^*} is weak* sequentially compact and $W_X^n(1) < 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then X has weak normal structure.*

Proof. Suppose that X does not have weak normal structure. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ be given. Then there are $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \subseteq S_X$ and $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ satisfying the three conditions in Lemma 2.11.

For convenience, let $|\langle x_i, f_j \rangle| = \varepsilon_{i,j}$. Then $\varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \varepsilon$ for all $i \neq j$.

Let $y_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - x_i}{\|x_{i+1} - x_i\|} \in S_X$ for $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ and $g_i = f_{i+1} \in S_{X^*}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n + 1$. Then

$$\|y_i - (x_{i+1} - x_i)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_i + \dots + y_{n+1}\| \\ & \leq \|(x_2 - x_1) + (x_3 - x_2) + \dots + (x_{i+1} - x_i) + \dots + (x_{n+2} - x_{n+1})\| + (n + 1)\varepsilon \\ & = \|x_{n+2} - x_1\| + (n + 1)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the following matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} & m(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1}; g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \langle y_1, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_2, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_3, g_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle y_n, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_2 \rangle \\ \langle y_1, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_2, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_3, g_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle y_n, g_3 \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_3 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle y_1, g_n \rangle & \langle y_2, g_n \rangle & \langle y_3, g_n \rangle & \dots & \langle y_n, g_n \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_n \rangle \\ \langle y_1, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_2, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_3, g_{n+1} \rangle & \dots & \langle y_n, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_{n+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \frac{\varepsilon_{2,3} - \varepsilon_{1,3}}{\|x_2 - x_1\|} & \frac{1 - \varepsilon_{2,3}}{\|x_3 - x_2\|} & \frac{\varepsilon_{4,3} - 1}{\|x_4 - x_3\|} & \dots & \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1,3} - \varepsilon_{n,3}}{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|} & \frac{\varepsilon_{n+2,3} - \varepsilon_{n+1,3}}{\|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\|} \\ \frac{\varepsilon_{2,4} - \varepsilon_{1,4}}{\|x_2 - x_1\|} & \frac{\varepsilon_{3,4} - \varepsilon_{2,4}}{\|x_3 - x_2\|} & \frac{1 - \varepsilon_{3,4}}{\|x_4 - x_3\|} & \dots & \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1,4} - \varepsilon_{n,4}}{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|} & \frac{\varepsilon_{n+2,4} - \varepsilon_{n+1,4}}{\|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\|} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\varepsilon_{2,n+1} - \varepsilon_{1,n+1}}{\|x_2 - x_1\|} & \frac{\varepsilon_{3,n+1} - \varepsilon_{2,n+1}}{\|x_3 - x_2\|} & \frac{\varepsilon_{4,n+1} - \varepsilon_{3,n+1}}{\|x_4 - x_3\|} & \dots & \frac{1 - \varepsilon_{n,n+1}}{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|} & \frac{\varepsilon_{n+2,n+1} - 1}{\|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\|} \\ \frac{\varepsilon_{2,n+2} - \varepsilon_{1,n+2}}{\|x_2 - x_1\|} & \frac{\varepsilon_{3,n+2} - \varepsilon_{2,n+2}}{\|x_3 - x_2\|} & \frac{\varepsilon_{4,n+2} - \varepsilon_{3,n+2}}{\|x_4 - x_3\|} & \dots & \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1,n+2} - \varepsilon_{n,n+2}}{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|} & \frac{1 - \varepsilon_{n+1,n+2}}{\|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\|} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows then that

$$\det m(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1}; g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{n+1}) = 1 + c\varepsilon,$$

where c is a bounded constant.

On the other hand, since

$$\frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{n+1}\|}{n + 1} \leq \frac{\|x_{n+2} - x_1\|}{n + 1} + \varepsilon \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{n + 1} + \varepsilon,$$

we have

$$1 - \frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{n+1}\|}{n + 1} \geq 1 - \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{n + 1} - \varepsilon.$$

Let $z_1 = y_1$. Next, let $i = 2, 3, \dots, n + 1$.

From Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás result (Lemma 2.4), there exist $z_i \in S_X$ and $h_i \in \nabla_{z_i}$ such that

$$\|y_i - z_i\| < \varepsilon \text{ and } \|g_i - h_i\| < \varepsilon.$$

In particular,

$$|\langle z_i, h_j \rangle - \langle y_i, g_j \rangle| \leq |\langle z_i - y_i, g_j \rangle| + |\langle y_i, h_j - g_j \rangle| + |\langle z_i - y_i, h_j - g_j \rangle| \leq 3\varepsilon.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \det m(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n+1}, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_{n+1}) \\ = & \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \langle z_1, h_2 \rangle & \langle z_2, h_2 \rangle & \langle z_3, h_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle z_n, h_2 \rangle & \langle z_{n+1}, h_2 \rangle \\ \langle z_1, h_3 \rangle & \langle z_2, h_3 \rangle & \langle z_3, h_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle z_n, h_3 \rangle & \langle z_{n+1}, h_3 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle z_1, h_n \rangle & \langle z_2, h_n \rangle & \langle z_3, h_n \rangle & \dots & \langle z_n, h_n \rangle & \langle z_{n+1}, h_n \rangle \\ \langle z_1, h_{n+1} \rangle & \langle z_2, h_{n+1} \rangle & \langle z_3, h_{n+1} \rangle & \dots & \langle z_n, h_{n+1} \rangle & \langle z_{n+1}, h_{n+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ = & 1 + d\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where d is a bounded constant. Hence

$$1 - \frac{\|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_{n+1}\|}{n + 1} \geq 1 - \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{n + 1} - 2\varepsilon.$$

Since ε can be arbitrarily small, it follows from the definition of $W_X^n(\cdot)$ that

$$W_X^n(1) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n + 1}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.13. *If X is a Banach space satisfying one of the following two conditions:*

- $W_X^n(1) < 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$; or
- $W_X^1(1) < \frac{1}{2}$ and $W_X^1(\frac{5}{3}) < \frac{2}{3}$ for $n = 1$.

Then X has normal structure.

Proof. Since X is reflexive, it follows that B_{X^*} is weak* sequentially compact. Moreover, $\frac{2n+1}{2^n} < 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 3$, and $\frac{7}{9} < 1$ for $n = 2$. The first result is a direct consequence of Theorems 2.8, 2.9 and 2.12. The second result is a direct consequence of Theorems 2.10 and 2.12. □

Definition 2.14 ([4, 5]). Let X and Y be Banach spaces. We say that Y is *finitely representable in X* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite dimensional subspace $N \subseteq Y$ there is an isomorphism $T : N \rightarrow X$ such that for any $y \in N$,

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\|y\| \leq \|Ty\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|y\|.$$

We say that X is *super-reflexive* if any space Y which is finitely representable in X is reflexive.

Theorem 2.15. *If X is a Banach space with $W_X^n(\frac{2n+1}{2^n}) < 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$, or $W_X^1(\frac{2m+1}{m+1}) < \frac{m}{m+1}$ for $n = 1$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then X is super-reflexive. In particular, for $m = 2$ we have if $W_X^1(\frac{5}{3}) < \frac{2}{3}$, then X is super-reflexive.*

Proof. We only prove the first part (for $n \geq 2$). The proof of second part (for $n = 1$) is same.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.12 in [6]. Suppose that X is not super-reflexive. Then there exists a nonreflexive Banach space Y such that Y can be finitely representable. From Remark 2.2 and Theorem 2.8, for each n there exists some positive function $f(\varepsilon)$ which goes to 0 as ε goes to 0, satisfies $W_Y^n(\frac{2n+1}{2^n} - \varepsilon) > 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} - f(\varepsilon)$. Therefore, there exist $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \subseteq S_Y$ and $\{g_i\} \in \nabla_{y_i} \subseteq S_{Y^*}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n+1$ such that

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \langle y_1, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_2, g_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_n, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle y_1, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_2, g_{n+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_n, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_{n+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \leq \frac{2n+1}{2^n} - \varepsilon,$$

and

$$1 - \frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_{n+1}\|}{n+1} > 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} - f(\varepsilon).$$

Let $N = \text{span}\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1}\}$, and $T : N \rightarrow M \subseteq X$ be an isomorphism with range M .

Let us consider the conjugate mapping T^* of T . Let $g_{i|N}$ be the restricting g_i on N . Then $\langle Ty_j, (T^*)^{-1}g_{i|N} \rangle = \langle y_j, g_i \rangle$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$.

We have

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \|T\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon,$$

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \|T^*\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon,$$

and

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \|(T^*)^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Let $x_i = Ty_i$ and $f_i = (T^*)^{-1}g_{i|N}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. Then

$$\langle x_j, f_i \rangle = \langle Ty_j, (T^*)^{-1}g_{i|N} \rangle = \langle y_j, g_i \rangle.$$

If $i = j$, then $\langle x_i, f_i \rangle = \langle y_i, g_i \rangle = 1$, so $f_i \in \nabla_{x_i}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \langle x_1, f_2 \rangle & \langle x_2, f_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, f_2 \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, f_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle x_1, f_{n+1} \rangle & \langle x_2, f_{n+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, f_{n+1} \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, f_{n+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \langle y_1, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_2, g_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_n, g_2 \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle y_1, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_2, g_{n+1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_n, g_{n+1} \rangle & \langle y_{n+1}, g_{n+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &\leq \frac{2n+1}{2^n} - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}\|}{n+1} &= \frac{\|T(y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_{n+1})\|}{n+1} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_{n+1}\|}{n+1} \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{n+1} + (1 + \varepsilon)f(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$1 - \frac{\|x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}\|}{n+1} \geq 1 - \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{n+1} - (1 + \varepsilon)f(\varepsilon).$$

Since $f(\varepsilon)$ can be arbitrarily small, we have

$$W_X^n \left(\frac{2n+1}{2^n} \right) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

This completes the proof. □

We consider the uniform normal structure. To discuss this result, let us recall the concept of the “ultra”-technique.

Let \mathcal{F} be a filter of an index set I , and let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a subset in a Hausdorff topological space X , $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is said to *converge to x with respect to \mathcal{F}* , denoted by $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} x_i = x$, if for each neighborhood V of x , $\{i \in I : x_i \in V\} \in \mathcal{F}$. A filter \mathcal{U} on I is called an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal with respect to the ordering of the set inclusion. An ultrafilter is called *trivial* if it is of the form $\{A : A \subseteq I, i_0 \in A\}$ for some $i_0 \in I$. We will use the fact that if \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter, then

- (i) for any $A \subseteq I$, either $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ or $I - A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$;
- (ii) if $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ has a cluster point x , then $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} x_i$ exists and equals to x .

Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of Banach spaces and let $l_{\infty}(I, X_i)$ denote the subspace of the product space equipped with the norm $\|(x_i)\| = \sup_{i \in I} \|x_i\| < \infty$.

Definition 2.16 ([3, 12]). Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I and let $N_{\mathcal{U}} = \{(x_i) \in l_{\infty}(I, X_i) : \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x_i\| = 0\}$. The *ultra-product* of $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ is the quotient space $l_{\infty}(I, X_i)/N_{\mathcal{U}}$ equipped with the quotient norm.

We will use $(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ to denote the element of the ultra-product. It follows from remark (ii) above, and the definition of quotient norm that

$$(2.1) \quad \|(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\| = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x_i\|.$$

In the following we will restrict our index set I to be \mathbb{N} , the set of natural numbers, and let $X_i = X, i \in \mathbb{N}$ for some Banach space X . For an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on \mathbb{N} , we use $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ to denote the ultra-product. Note that if \mathcal{U} is nontrivial, then X can be embedded into $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ isometrically.

Lemma 2.17 ([12]). *Suppose that \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} and X is a Banach space. Then $(X^*)_{\mathcal{U}} \cong (X_{\mathcal{U}})^*$ if and only if X is super-reflexive; and in this case, the mapping J defined by*

$$\langle (x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, J((f_i)_{\mathcal{U}}) \rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle x_i, f_i \rangle \quad \text{for all } (x_i)_{\mathcal{U}} \in X_{\mathcal{U}}$$

is the canonical isometric isomorphism from $(X^*)_{\mathcal{U}}$ onto $(X_{\mathcal{U}})^*$.

Theorem 2.18. *Let X be a super-reflexive Banach space. Then for any non-trivial ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on \mathbb{N} , and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $W_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}^n(\varepsilon) = W_X^n(\varepsilon)$.*

Proof. Since X can be embedded into $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ isometrically, we may consider X as a subspace of $X_{\mathcal{U}}$. From the definition of $W_X^n(\varepsilon)$, we have $W_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}^n(\varepsilon) \geq W_X^n(\varepsilon)$.

We prove the reverse inequality.

For any very small $\eta > 0$, from the definition of $W_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}^n(\varepsilon)$, let $(x_i^1)_{\mathcal{U}}, (x_i^2)_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, (x_i^n)_{\mathcal{U}}, (x_i^{n+1})_{\mathcal{U}}$ belong to $S_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}$, and let $(f_i^2)_{\mathcal{U}} \in \nabla_{(x_i^2)_{\mathcal{U}}}, (f_i^3)_{\mathcal{U}} \in \nabla_{(x_i^3)_{\mathcal{U}}}, \dots, (f_i^n)_{\mathcal{U}} \in \nabla_{(x_i^n)_{\mathcal{U}}}, (f_i^{n+1})_{\mathcal{U}} \in \nabla_{(x_i^{n+1})_{\mathcal{U}}}$ be such that

$$m((x_i^1)_{\mathcal{U}}, (x_i^2)_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, (x_i^n)_{\mathcal{U}}, (x_i^{n+1})_{\mathcal{U}}; (f_i^2)_{\mathcal{U}}, (f_i^3)_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, (f_i^n)_{\mathcal{U}}, (f_i^{n+1})_{\mathcal{U}}) \leq \varepsilon,$$

and

$$1 - \frac{\|(x_i^1)_{\mathcal{U}} + (x_i^2)_{\mathcal{U}} + \dots + (x_i^n)_{\mathcal{U}} + (x_i^{n+1})_{\mathcal{U}}\|}{n+1} > W_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}^n(\varepsilon) - \eta.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume by (2.1) that

$$1 - \eta < \|(x_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}}\| < 1 + \eta \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n+1,$$

$$1 - \eta < \|(f_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}}\| < 1 + \eta \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, n+1,$$

and

$$1 - \eta < \langle (x_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}}, (f_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}} \rangle < 1 + \eta \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, n+1.$$

From the property of ultra-product, we know the subsets

$$P = \{i : m((x_i^1)_{\mathcal{U}}, (x_i^2)_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, (x_i^n)_{\mathcal{U}}, (x_i^{n+1})_{\mathcal{U}}; (f_i^2)_{\mathcal{U}}, (f_i^3)_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, (f_i^n)_{\mathcal{U}}, (f_i^{n+1})_{\mathcal{U}}) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$Q = \left\{ i : 1 - \frac{\|(x_i^1)_{\mathcal{U}} + (x_i^2)_{\mathcal{U}} + \dots + (x_i^n)_{\mathcal{U}} + (x_i^{n+1})_{\mathcal{U}}\|}{n+1} > W_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}^n(\varepsilon) - \eta \right\}$$

are all in \mathcal{U} . So the intersection $P \cap Q$ is in \mathcal{U} too, and hence is not empty.

Let $i \in P \cap Q$ be fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \eta &< \|x_i^j\| < 1 + \eta \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n+1; \\ 1 - \eta &< \|f_i^j\| < 1 + \eta \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, n+1; \\ 1 - \eta &< \langle x_i^j, f_i^j \rangle < 1 + \eta \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, n+1; \\ m(x_i^1, x_i^2, \dots, x_i^n, x_i^{n+1}; f_i^2, f_i^3, \dots, f_i^n, f_i^{n+1}) &\leq \varepsilon; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$1 - \frac{\|x_i^1 + x_i^2 + \dots + x_i^n + x_i^{n+1}\|}{n+1} > W_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}^n(\varepsilon) - \eta.$$

From Lemma 2.4, for $0 < \eta < 1$ (since η can be arbitrarily small, if necessary we can normalize vectors x_i^j and f_i^j to use Lemma 2.4) there are $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^{n+1} \subseteq S_X$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=2}^{n+1} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ such that

- $g_j \in \nabla_{y_j}$ for all $j = 2, \dots, n+1$;
- $\|x_i^j - y_j\| < \eta$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n+1$;
- $\|f_i^j - g_j\| < \eta$ for $j = 2, \dots, n+1$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8, we have

$$\det m(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}; g_2, g_3, \dots, g_n, g_{n+1}) \leq \varepsilon + c\eta,$$

and $1 - \frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n + y_{n+1}\|}{n+1} > W_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}^n(\varepsilon) - d\eta$, where c and d are constants.

Since $\eta > 0$ can be arbitrarily small, we have $W_X^n(\varepsilon) \geq W_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}^n(\varepsilon)$. □

Lemma 2.19 ([8]). *If X is a super-reflexive Banach space, then X has uniform normal structure if and only if $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ has normal structure.*

Theorem 2.20. *Suppose that X is a Banach space satisfying one of the following conditions:*

- $W_X^n(1) < 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$; or
- $W_X^1(1) < \frac{1}{2}$ and $W_X^1(\frac{5}{3}) < \frac{2}{3}$ for $n = 1$.

Then X has uniform normal structure.

Proof. It follows directly from Theorems 2.13, 2.15, 2.18 and Lemma 2.19. □

Example. Let H be a Hilbert space. We have $W_H^1(\varepsilon) = \frac{2-\sqrt{4-2\varepsilon}}{2}$ for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 2$.

Since $W_H^1(1) = \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2} = 0.29289 \dots < \frac{1}{2}$, and $W_H^1(\frac{5}{3}) = \frac{2-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}}{2} = 0.59175 \dots < \frac{2}{3}$, from Theorem 2.20, H has uniform normal structure.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to deeply thank the referee for the many constructive and valuable recommendations and suggestions. The research of the second author is supported by the Thailand Research Fund and Khon Kaen University (RSA5980006).

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, *An extension to the theorem of Bishop and Phelps*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 181–182.
- [2] M. S. Brodskii and D. P. Mil'man, *On the center of a convex set*, (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **59** (1948), 837–840.
- [3] D. Dacunha-Castelle and J. L. Krivine, *Applications des ultraproducts à l'étude des espaces et des algèbres de Banach*, (French) Studia Math. **41** (1972), 315–334.
- [4] M. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Third edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 21. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [5] J. Diestel, *Geometry of Banach spaces selected topics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 485, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [6] J. Gao and S. Saejung, *The n -dimensional U -convexity and geometry of Banach spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory **16** (2015), no. 2, 381–392.
- [7] R. C. James, *Weakly compact sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1964), 129–140.
- [8] M. A. Khamsi, *Uniform smoothness implies super-normal structure property*, Nonlinear Anal. **19** (1992), no. 11, 1063–1069.
- [9] W. A. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances*, Amer. Math. Monthly **72** (1965), 1004–1006.
- [10] T.-C. Lim, *On moduli of k -convexity*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **4** (1999), no. 4, 243–247.
- [11] S. Saejung, *Convexity conditions and normal structure of Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **344** (2008), no. 2, 851–856.
- [12] B. Sims, *“Ultra”-techniques in Banach space theory*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 60, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, 1982.

Ji GAO
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA
 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19130-3991, USA
E-mail address: jgao@ccp.edu

SATTI SAEJUNG
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 FACULTY OF SCIENCE
 KHON KAEN UNIVERSITY
 KHON KAEN 40002, THAILAND
 AND
 THE CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN MATHEMATICS
 COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION (CHE) SRI AYUDTHAYA ROAD
 BANGKOK 10400, THAILAND
 AND
 RESEARCH CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE MANAGEMENT
 KHON KAEN UNIVERSITY
 KHON KAEN, 40002, THAILAND
E-mail address: saejung@kku.ac.th