Open Access

Asian-Australas J Anim Sci Vol. 30, No. 4:554-561 April 2017 https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.16.0324 pISSN 1011-2367 eISSN 1976-5517



Effects of particle size and adaptation duration on the digestible and metabolizable energy contents and digestibility of various chemical constituents in wheat for finishing pigs determined by the direct or indirect method

Yuanfang Fan¹, Panpan Guo¹, Yuyuan Yang¹, Tian Xia¹, Ling Liu¹, and Yongxi Ma^{1,*}

* Corresponding Author: Yongxi Ma Tel: +86-10-6273-3588, Fax: +86-10-6273-3688, E-mail: mayongxi2005@163.com

¹ State Key Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China

Submitted Apr 25, 2016; Revised Jun 19, 2016; Accepted Aug 14, 2016

Objective: This experiment was conducted as a $3\times2\times2$ factorial design to examine the effects of particle size (mean particle size of 331, 640, or 862 µm), evaluation method (direct vs indirect method) and adaptation duration (7 or 26 days) on the energy content and the apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of various chemical components in wheat when fed to finishing pigs. **Methods:** Forty-two barrows (Duroc×Landrace×Yorkshire) with an initial body weight of 63.0±0.8 kg were individually placed in metabolic cages and randomly allotted to 1 of 7 diets with 6 pigs fed each diet. For the indirect method, the pigs were fed either a corn-soybean meal based basal diet or diets in which 38.94% of the basal diet was substituted by wheat of the different particle sizes. In the direct method, the diets contained 97.34% wheat with the different particle sizes. For both the direct and indirect methods, the pigs were adapted to their diets for either 7 or 26 days.

Results: Å reduction in particle size linearly increased the digestible energy (DE) and metabolizable energy (ME) contents as well as the ATTD of gross energy, crude protein, organic matter, ether extract (EE) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) (p<0.05), and had a trend to increase the ATTD of dry matter of wheat (p = 0.084). The DE, ME contents, and ATTD of gross energy, crude protein, dry matter and organic matter were higher (p<0.05) when determined by the direct method, but the ATTD of ADF, EE, and neutral detergent fiber were higher when determined by the indirect method (p<0.05). Prolongation of the adaption duration decreased the ATTD of neutral detergent fiber (p<0.05) and had a trend to increase the ATTD of EE (p = 0.061). There were no interactions between particle size and the duration of the adaptation duration. The ATTD of EE in wheat was influenced by a trend of interaction between method and adaptation duration (p = 0.074). The ATTD of ADF and EE in wheat was influenced by an interaction between evaluation method and wheat particle size such that there were linear equations (p<0.01) about ATTD of ADF and EE when determined by the direct method but quadratic equations (p = 0.073 and p = 0.088, respectively) about ATTD of ADF and EE when determined by the indirect method.

Conclusion: Decreasing particle size can improve the DE and ME contents of wheat; both of the direct and indirect methods of evaluation are suitable for evaluating the DE and ME contents of wheat with different particle sizes; and an adaptation duration of 7 d is sufficient to evaluate DE and ME contents of wheat in finishing pigs.

Keywords: Adaptation Duration; Digestible and Metabolizable Energy; Particle Size; Direct and Indirect Method; Finishing Pigs; Wheat

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the factors that affect the digestibility of feedstuffs and estimating their nutritional

value are critically important for the swine industry [1]. Apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of nutrients is traditionally assessed by either the total collection method (TC) or indicator method (IM) [2], and the IM purportedly results in similar digestibility values as the TC method [3,4]. However, less research was done to compare the direct and indirect methods when evaluating the digestibility of feedstuffs. The digestibility of energy in a cereal grain with a high protein level can be determined by feeding it directly to animals along with a vitamin and amino acid premix (i.e. the direct method) [2]. However, wheat is conventionally used around 30% in diets fed to growing-finishing pigs [5]. Therefore, the difference method (i.e. the indirect method) may be more applicable to evaluate the digestible energy (DE) and metabolizable energy (ME) contents of wheat because it is closer to the production practice.

Reducing the particle size of cereal grains has been shown to be beneficial in improving the feed efficiency of grains for pigs. Although grinding would not impact the chemical composition of the feed, changes in its particle size would affect physical characteristics and thus, the feeding values of grains. It could be explained that finely ground grain increases particle surface, therefore, nutrients are more accessible for digestive enzymes [6]. Moreover, gastric emptying rates were significantly faster when fed finely ground grains compared with coarsely ground one [7]. Thus, feeding finely ground diets for a prolonged duration also raised the risk for development of gastric ulcers [8].

When pigs are fed a new diet consisting of different feedstuff or different nutrients because either of entering into a new growth stage or meeting some special experimental requirement, it will lead to changes in fermentation activity of the cecal microbiota and in composition of the microbiota over time, which will also change the digestive enzymes that break down feed [9]. Moreover, because the palatability of wheat is less than a corn-soybean meal diet, and wheat mucedin might damage the gastrointestinal health, the intake of wheat over a long time is likely to influence the energy and nutrients digestibility. Therefore, adaptation of intestinal microflora associated with the prolongation of the adaptation duration is another factor that might affect diet digestion.

The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of particle size and the duration of the adaptation duration on the digestibility of various chemical constituents in wheat fed to finishing pigs as determined with the direct or indirect methods. The hypotheses tested in this experiment were: i) DE, ME and the digestibility of the chemical constituents in wheat would be higher when determined by the direct method because of the higher components contribution providing by wheat and lower acid detergent fiber (ADF) contents in diets; ii) DE, ME and digestibility of nutrients will be improved by decreasing particle size; iii) DE, ME, and digestibility of wheat was similar after a 26 d adaptation compared with 7 d of adaptation, because of the positive effect of digestive enzymes and the contrary effect

of wheat mucedin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal care

The experimental protocol used in this study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of China Agricultural University (Beijing, China). This study was conducted in the Metabolism Laboratory of the Fengning Pig Experimental Base (Hebei Province, China). Wheat was sourced from the Henan province of China during the 2012 through 2013 harvest season and stored for 9 months in a warehouse located at the Fengning Pig Experimental Base and exposed to normal ambient season temperature variations before being used in the experiment.

Experimental design and animals

This experiment was conducted as a $3\times2\times2$ factorial design to examine the effects of particle size (mean particle size of 331, 640, or 862 μ m), evaluation method (direct vs indirect method) and adaptation duration (7 or 26 days) on the DE and ME contents and the ATTD of various chemical components in wheat when fed to finishing pigs. The wheat was ground by a hammer mill (FAMSUN SFSP 56×40, Jiangsu, China) using a 1.5, 2.5, or 4.0 mm screen, resulting in a geometric mean particle size of 331, 640, or 862 μ m respectively (Table 1). Table 1 shows the particle size and chemical composition of the wheat.

Forty-two barrows (Duroc×Landrace×Yorkshire) with an initial body weight of 63.0±0.8 kg were individually placed in metabolic cages and randomly allotted to 1 of 7 treatments with 6 replications per treatment. In the indirect method, the pigs were fed either a basal diet based on corn-soybean meal or diets in which 38.94% of the basal diet was substituted by wheat of the different particle sizes. In the direct method, the diets contained 97.34% wheat with the different particle sizes. The ingredient composition and chemical analysis of the experi-

Table 1. Chemical analysis of ingredients (%, as-fed)

Items	Corn	Soybean meal		Wheat	
Particle size (µm)	606	388	331	640	862
Standard deviation of particle size (g) ¹⁾	1.19	1.12	1.16	1.30	1.32
Dry matter	85.88	88.38	89.88	89.58	89.72
Crude protein	8.00	41.78	14.81	14.45	14.46
Ether extract	3.60	1.70	1.68	1.69	1.70
Neutral detergent fiber	14.70	17.10	14.40	12.17	14.52
Acid detergent fiber	2.30	4.30	2.52	2.77	2.40
Ash	1.00	5.80	1.84	1.80	1.82
Gross energy (MJ/kg)	15.63	16.10	16.23	16.21	16.15

¹⁾ Geometric standard deviation of particle size was determined according to the method of ASAES319.4 (2008). Values are the grams per 100 g sample retained on the top of sieves after 10 min of shaking on a sieve shaker (AS200 Control, Retsch, Rheinische, Germany).

AJAS

mental diets are presented in Table 2. For both the direct and indirect methods, the pigs were adapted to their diets for either 7 or 26 days. After a 7-d adaptation duration, a 5-d total collection of feces and urine was conducted. Then pigs were fed the same diets for another 14 d, and subsequently another 5-d total collection of feces and urine was conducted. That made 7 and 26 d adaptation duration respectively and the two samples were analyze independently.

The pigs were individually housed in stainless steel metabolic crates (1.4×0.7×0.6 m³) and feeders were located at the front of each metabolic crate with a nipple waterer located at the side of the crate to provide *ad libitum* access to water. The room temperature was maintained at 20°C±1°C. Pigs were fed at 3% of their initial body weight determined 1 day before the beginning of the trial. The daily feed allotment was divided into two equal meals p ovided at 8:00 and 15:00 h. All diets were fed in mash form. Vitamins and minerals were added to meet or exceed the requirement estimates for finishing pigs (NRC [10]). Pigs were fed experiment diets starting from 15:00 on d 1.

Sample collection

Feed refusals and feed spillages were collected, dried and weighed to calculate feed intake. After 7-d and 26-d adaptation duration, feces and urine were collected from 17:00 of d 8 to 17:00 of d 13 and from 17:00 of d 27 to 17:00 of d 32 respectively. Feces were collected immediately as they appeared in the metabolic crates, placed in plastic bags and stored at -20° C. Urine was

collected in a bucket placed under the metabolic crates. The bucket contained 10 mL of 6 N HCl for every 1,000 mL of urine to avoid the loss of N. Each day, the total urine volume was measured, then a 10% aliquot of urine was filtered through gauze and pooled together per pig, and 50 mL of the mixed urine samples were transferred into a screw-capped tube and stored at –20°C. At the end of the collection period, the sampled feces and urine were pooled for each pig and diet, and sub-samples were collected for chemical analysis. Fecal samples were dried for 72 h at 65°C and ground through a 1-mm screen for laboratory analysis. Each 4-mL urine sample was absorbed by the filter paper in the crucible and dried for 8 h at 65°C for energy analysis [11].

Chemical analysis

All chemical analyses were conducted in duplicate. Samples of wheat, diets, and feces were analyzed for dry matter (DM; method 930.15, AOAC [12]), ether extract (EE; Thiex et al [13]), crude protein (CP; method 984.13, AOAC [12]), ash (method 942.05, AOAC [12]), calcium (method 927.02, AOAC [12]), and total phosphorus (method 984.27, AOAC [12]). Moreover, organic matter (OM) (%) way as analyzed as 100 minus the content of ash and water. The neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and ADF were determined using fiber filter bags and fiber analyzer equipment (Fiber Analyzer, Ankom Technology, Macedon, NY, USA) following the procedure described by Van Soest et al [14]. Samples of wheat, diets, feces, and urine were analyzed for gross energy

Table 2. Ingredient and analyzed chemical composition and particle size of the experimental diets (%, as-fed)

Items		Indirect	Direct method				
	Basal diet	331	640	862	331	640	862
Ingredients							
Wheat	-	38.94	38.94	38.94	97.34	97.34	97.34
Corn	78.48	47.08	47.08	47.08	-	-	-
Soybean meal	18.86	11.32	11.32	11.32	-	-	-
Dicalcium phosphate	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Limestone	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Sodium chloride	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Choline chloride	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
Vitamin–mineral premix ¹⁾	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Chemical composition							
Dry matter	86.63	87.97	87.96	88.19	89.75	89.21	89.51
Crude protein	13.89	14.18	14.26	14.11	14.39	14.22	14.19
Neutral detergent fiber	12.84	15.83	15.75	15.30	15.13	15.42	16.85
Acid detergent fiber	4.07	3.90	3.45	3.19	2.77	2.58	2.47
Ether extract	2.27	2.28	2.18	2.25	1.61	1.67	1.63
Ash	4.05	3.98	3.94	4.03	4.01	4.00	4.11
Calcium	0.75	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.75	0.70	0.76
Total phosphorus	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.22	0.18	0.18
Gross energy (MJ/kg)	15.82	15.77	15.77	15.78	15.87	15.77	15.72
Standard deviation of particle size ²⁾	1.22	1.18	1.24	1.26	1.19	1.35	1.32

¹⁾ Vitamin A, 5,512 IU; vitamin D₃, 2,200 IU; vitamin E, 30 IU; vitamin K₃, 2.2 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 27.6 mg; riboflavin, 4 mg; pantothenic acid, 14 mg; niacin, 30 mg; choline chloride, 400 mg; folacin, 0.7 mg; thiamine, 1.5 mg; pyridoxine, 3 mg; biotin, 44 mg; Mn, 40 mg (MnO); Fe, 75 mg (FeSO₄·H₂O).

²⁾ Values are the grams of a 100 g sample retained on the top of sieves after 10 min of shaking on a sieve shaker (AS200 Control, Retsch, Rheinische, Germany).

Fan et al (2017) Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 30:554-561

(GE) via an Isoperibol Oxygen Bomb Calorimeter (Parr 6400 Calorimeter, Moline, IL, USA).

Calculations

Energy values determined from the excretion of GE in the feces and urine were subtracted from the intake of GE to calculate DE and ME for each diet [2,15]. The digestibility of the chemical constituents were calculated using the following equation: Digestibility (%) = $(C_{input}-C_{output})/C_{input}\times 100$, where C_{input} and Co_{utput} are the amount of component ingested and voided via the feces, respectively [16]. In the direct method, the DE and ME contents in the wheat diets were divided by 0.9734 to calculate the DE and ME of the wheat itself. In the indirect method, the ATTD of chemical components in wheat were calculated by the difference method according to Adeola [2].

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using PROC MIXED (SAS Version 9.2, Cary, NC, USA) as a split plot with the main unit in a completely randomized design with a 3×2×2 factorial arrangement of treatments. The experiment unit was the pig. The initial linear models included effects of particle size (fixed), method of evaluation (fixed), particle size×method interaction (fixed), pig nested in particle size and method of evaluation (random, main unit error), time of adaptation (fixed), time×particle size (fixed), time×method (fixed), time×particle size×method (fixed) and a random residual error. Linear models were reduced according to standard model reduction conventions. Generally, time of adaptation effects and associated interactions were not important and removed from the final models. Digestibility estimates were regressed on particle size using initial linear models including both linear and quadratic components. Heterogeneity of regression was tested where interactions of particle size and method of evaluation occurred in the analyses fitting particle size as a classification variable. The level of significance adopted was p<0.05 while 0.05≤p<0.10 was considered as indicative of a trend.

RESULTS

Effects of particle size and adaptation duration on the coefficient of apparent total tract digestibility and the energy contents of wheat

Grinding changed the particle size of wheat (Table 1) but had no influence on its chemical composition. Reducing particle size from 862 to 331 μ m linearly increased DE and ME as well as the ATTD of GE, CP, OM, EE, and ADF (p<0.05) and had a trend to increase ATTD of DM (p = 0.084; Table 3). The prolongation of the adaptation duration decreased the ATTD of NDF (p<0.05) and had a trend to increase the ATTD of EE (p = 0.061). There were no interactions between particle size and adaptation duration. The regression equations of DE, ME

and chemical components digestibility of wheat for finishing pigs on different particle size are shown in Table 4. The linear slopes of DE, ME, and ATTD of GE, CP, OM, EE, and ADF (in direct method) regression equations of wheat were significant (p<0.05).

Effects of evaluation method on the coefficient of apparent total tract digestibility and energy contents of wheat

The DE and ME as well as the ATTD of GE, CP, DM, and OM of wheat determined by the direct method were higher (p<0.05) than those determined using the indirect method (Table 3). The ATTD of EE, ADF, and NDF of wheat determined by the direct method were lower (p<0.05) than those determined with the indirect method. The ATTD of EE in wheat was influenced by a trend of interaction between method and adaptation duration (p = 0.074). The ATTD of ADF and EE in wheat was influenced by an interaction between wheat particle size and method (Table 4), such that there were linear equations (p<0.01) about ATTD of ADF and EE when determined by the direct method but quadratic equations (p = 0.073 and p = 0.088, respectively) when determined by the indirect method. The direct method and corresponding regression equations are more appropriate for predicting the digestibility of EE and ADF because of higher R² and lower root mean square errors compared with indirect method.

DISCUSSION

Effects of particle size

A reduction in particle size increased the DE and ME as well as the ATTD of various chemical constituents in wheat in this experiment. These results are in agreement with former reports [17,18], suggesting that the feeding value of wheat-based diets can be improved as the particle size of the diet decreased. Sao et al [19] reported that as the particle size of wheat reduced from 670 to 330 µm, the in vitro digestibility of DM and CP increased linearly, but as the experiment in growing pigs demonstrated, as particle size reduced, apparent digestibility of N increased first and then decreased. DeJong et al [20] reported that decreasing wheat particle size (730, 580, or 330 µm) resulted in a quadratic improvement in caloric efficiency and a linear improvement in ATTD of DM and GE of diets in finishing pigs. Likewise, a reduction of particle size of wheat-based diets from 600 to $400~\mu m$ increased digestibility of DM and N in finishing pigs [8]. The relationships of DE, ME, and ATTD of various chemical constituents in wheat with small particle size might be due to the disruption of the aleurone layer, which consists of pentosans and cannot be broken down by monogastric animals, thus making inaccessible nutrients available for digestion [8,21]. Moreover, another explanation was that the small particle size could provide a greater surface area exposed to enzymatic attack [22]. Wondra et al [18] reported that reducing particle size of



Table 3. Digestible energy (DE) and metabolizable energy (ME), and apparent total tract digestibility of various chemical constituents¹⁾ in wheat for finishing pigs

Items	DE (MJ/kg, as-fed basis)	ME (MJ/kg, as-fed basis)	GE (%)	CP (%)	DM (%)	OM (%)	EE (%)	NDF (%)	ADF (%)
7 d									
Indirect method									
Particle size (µm)									
331	14.02	13.75	86.89	88.51	87.27	89.99	59.17	69.73	37.18
640	13.77	13.46	85.32	86.87	86.18	89.19	34.91	73.26	40.98
862	13.81	13.43	85.55	85.31	86.87	89.10	49.21	73.21	30.75
SE	0.26	0.28	1.63	2.69	1.54	1.25	7.74	3.58	5.32
p-value	0.782	0.670	0.768	0.708	0.882	0.857	0.117	0.731	0.410
Direct method									
Particle size (µm)									
331	14.55	14.18	89.25	91.89	89.00	91.43	50.82	64.13	26.58
640	14.40	14.15	88.87	91.55	88.97	91.53	39.75	64.46	15.48
862	14.11	13.72	87.32	88.93	88.08	90.53	25.15	68.77	18.45
SE	0.10	0.12	0.64	0.65	0.59	0.50	3.62	1.84	3.73
p-value	0.026	0.032	0.108	0.011	0.471	0.320	< 0.001	0.174	0.128
26 d									
Indirect medhod									
Particle size (µm)									
331	14.23	13.84	88.22	90.24	88.07	90.80	73.38	69.10	38.41
640	14.15	13.82	87.64	89.51	87.86	90.47	59.84	65.23	37.91
862	13.70	13.46	84.83	84.18	86.02	88.45	49.74	63.26	28.58
SE	0.10	0.12	0.64	0.65	0.59	0.50	3.62	1.84	3.73
p-value	0.262	0.424	0.253	0.189	0.533	0.292	0.144	0.619	0.294
Direct method	0.202	0.121	0.233	0.103	0.555	0.252	0.111	0.013	0.251
Particle size (µm)									
331	14.57	14.29	89.41	92.06	89.13	91.81	53.50	63.57	30.01
640	14.33	14.05	88.41	90.98	88.40	91.25	41.53	63.46	19.24
862	14.00	13.65	86.64	88.94	87.24	90.04	21.72	66.29	14.65
SE	0.07	0.07	0.42	0.43	0.37	0.30	3.06	1.32	2.01
p-value	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	0.009	0.003	< 0.001	0.257	< 0.001
p-value ²⁾	(0.001	(0.001	0.001	(0.001	0.003	0.003	(0.001	0.237	(0.001
PS	0.005	0.011	0.042	0.061	0.307	0.096	< 0.001	0.784	< 0.009
L	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.004	0.084	0.019	< 0.001	0.573	< 0.003
Q	0.511	0.313	0.479	0.243	0.703	0.375	0.088	0.678	0.073
M	< 0.001	0.003	0.479	0.243	0.703	0.575	< 0.000	0.078	< 0.073
AD	0.618	0.488	0.568	0.018	0.047	0.684	0.061	0.031	0.963
M×PS	_3)	0.400	0.306	0.477	0.913	0.004	0.001	0.034	0.903
PS×AD	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.092	-	0.113
M×AD	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.074	-	-
$M \times PS \times AD$	-	-	-	-	-		0.074	-	-
IVI × Y3 × AU		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

GE, gross energy; CP, crude protein; DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; EE, ether extract; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber; SE, standard error.

corn in corn-soybean meal-based diets from 1,000 to 400 μm increased ATTD of GE by 7%, and improved nutrient digestibility in finishing pigs. In consequence, as the particle size reduced appropriately, the energy contents and digestibility of nutrients increased.

Effects of adaptation duration

Prolongation of adaptation duration had no influence on DE,

ME, and the ATTD of nutrients except NDF. It could be concluded that 7 d is enough for finishing pigs to adapt a new diet. The reason why prolonged adaptation duration decreased NDF digestibility might need more research. These results are not consistent with Kim et al [23], who reported that a 21-d adaptation can increase the digestibility of CP in a wheat diet fed to weaning pigs compared with a 7-d adaptation. It could be concluded that the older pigs need less adaptation duration

¹⁾ The observation of each treatment is six.

PS, particle size (MIXED); L, linear; Q, quadratic; M, method; AD, adaptation duration; $M \times PS = method \times particle$ size; $PS \times AP = particle$ size \times adaptation duration; $M \times AD = method \times particle$ size; $PS \times AP = particle$ size \times adaptation duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size; $PS \times AP = particle$ size \times adaptation duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size; $PS \times AP = particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size; $PS \times AP = particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration; $PS \times AD = method \times particle$ size $\times adaptation$ duration $\times adaptation$ duration $\times adaptation$ duration $\times adaptation$ duration $\times adaptation$ method \times adaptation duration; $M \times PS \times AD = method \times particle size <math>\times$ adaptation duration.

³⁾ It means that there was no interaction between main effects.

Fan et al (2017) Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 30:554-561



Table 4. The regression equations¹⁾ of digestible energy (DE) and metabolizable energy (ME) and apparent total tract digestibility of various chemical constituents of wheat for different particle size

Items	Methods	Downsoin amortions	R ²	DMCE	Linear		Quadratic	
items	wethous	Regression equations	К	RMSE	SE	p-value	SE	p-value
DE (MJ/kg, as-fed basis)		$y = 14.64 - 0.00082x^{2}$	0.14	0.44	< 0.001	0.001	-	-
ME (MJ/kg, as-fed basis)		y = 14.36 - 0.00082x	0.14	0.45	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Gross energy (%)		y = 90.02 - 0.00434x	0.11	2.74	0.001	0.005	-	-
Crude protein (%)		y = 93.33 - 0.00696x	0.11	4.35	0.002	0.004	-	-
Dry matter (%)		y = 89.24 - 0.00242x	0.04	2.55	0.001	0.084	-	-
Organic matter (%)		y = 92.03 - 0.00269x	0.08	2.06	0.001	0.019	-	-
Ether extract ³⁾ (%)	Indirect	$y = 113.2417 - 0.1904x + 0.000133x^2$	0.18	19.37	0.091	0.041	< 0.001	0.088
	Direct	y = 78.96 - 0.05303x	0.68	8.35	0.006	< 0.001	-	-
Neutral detergent fiber (%)					0.004	0.573		-
Acid detergent fiber ³⁾ (%)	Indirect	$y = 3.35 + 0.09563x - 0.00009x^2$	0.12	11.88	0.061	0.119	< 0.001	0.073
	Direct	y = 42.21 - 0.02295x	0.32	7.42	0.006	< 0.001	-	-

RMSE, root mean square errors; SE, standard error.

compared with the younger pigs when they are fed to a new feed. In addition, Li et al [24] reported that lower apparent ileal digestibility (AID) of CP and amino acids were observed in adapted birds (7 to 21 d) compared to unadapted birds (19 to 21 d) which were affected by dietary factors, including Ca and non-phytate P. Changing the diet of pigs requires time for the microflora and gastrointestinal tract itself to adapt to the new substrates and the new absorbable products. When an adaptation duration is sufficient for fecal inocula of pigs to reach a stable microbial composition, the digestibility of nutrients tends to be stable as well. Cunningham et al [25] reported that growing pigs fed solka-floc for 15 weeks were not better adapted to digest the fiber source than pigs that received it for 1 week. The duration in which the gastrointestinal processes in growing pigs completely adapt to a new diet is usually longer for a high fiber diet than for a low fiber diet [26]. In this study, there was no significant difference between DE, ME, and digestibility of GE, CP, DM, OM, EE, and ADF after 7 d or 26 d, so the length of adaptation duration (7 or 26 d) does not appear to have effect on energy value of wheat and it meant that an adaptation of 7 d is sufficient to evaluate the DE and ME contents and digestibility of various chemical constituents of wheat in finishing pigs.

Effects of evaluation method

The DE, ME contents and digestibility of wheat was significantly different when determined with the direct than the indirect method in this experiment. Nevertheless, the deviation between them was less than 3%. Therefore, it could be considered that different methods had little effect on DE and ME contents and digestibility of nutrients when it was applied in practical production. A basic assumption in the use of the indirect method is that there is no interaction between the basal diet and the test ingredient [27]. To estimate DE accurately, a substitution rate

more than 20% must be used because the higher substitution rate resulted in the lower standard error of the ingredient energy value [28]. Villamide et al [29] compared different evaluation methods for grape pulp fed to rabbits and found the energy value obtained by the direct method was significantly higher than by substitution method (the substitution rates were 10%, 20%, and 30%), but the digestible CP was not significantly different between those two methods. Conversely, Bolarinwa and Adeola [30] reported that values obtained for DE and ME of wheat using the direct method (96.9% wheat) did not differ from those obtained using the indirect method (the substitution rates were 30% and 60%). This might be attributed to the fact that the DM and energy digestibility of wheat were similar to that of the basal diet. Similarly, Liu et al [31] found there were no significant differences in DE, ME, and NE of corn fed to growing pigs determined using the direct and indirect methods. However, the content of corn in the experiment of Liu et al [31] was similar in the two evaluation methods (97.34% direct method vs 82.50% indirect method). Fan and Sauer [32] reported a greater AID of CP and some amino acids for barley determined by indirect method (the substitution rate was 67.5%) compared with direct method (90%), but there were no difference between direct (42.7%) and indirect (the substitution rate was 36.6%) method when canola meal were determined. It seemed that the reliability of the determination of AID values of CP and amino acids with the difference method is dependent on the contributions of amino acids in the assay feedstuff to their dietary contents, In our experiment, the content of wheat was 97.34% in direct method and 38.94% in indirect method, which indicated that diets used for indirect method contained a greater amino acids contents but there was a lower amino acids contribution provided by wheat compared with that of direct method. Moreover, in indirect method, diets contained a higher ADF, which might

¹⁾ Seventy-two observations were made per equations.

²⁾ In equations y = ax+b and y = α^2 +dx+e, where y = DE or ME values (MJ/kg) or coefficient digestibility of various chemical constituents (%) in wheat, x = particle size (µm).

³⁾ The direct method and corresponding regression equations are more appropriate for predicting the digestibility of ether extract and acid detergent fiber.

result in an increase in the endogenous losses of the amino acids [33] and induce to the descent of nutrients digestibility. That might be the reason that the DE, ME, and ATTD of CP as well as other majority nutrients of wheat determined by direct method were greater than that of indirect method. Furthermore, there were large decreases in the standard errors of DE and ME and ATTD of various nutrients by direct method compared with indirect method. In addition, the CP content of wheat is between barley and canola meal, so different feedstuffs have different nutritional digest characteristics which might be another reason to explain this phenomenon. Overall, in present research,

there were little difference between the direct and indirect methods on the DE and ME contents of wheat. Therefore, the

indirect method could be used, especially in situations when

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

the direct method is not suitable.

We certify that there is no conflict of interest with any financial organization regarding the material discussed in the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was financially supported by the Special Public Sector Fund in Agriculture (200903006) and the 111 Project (B16044).

REFERENCES

- 1. Noblet J, Henry Y. Energy evaluation systems for pig diets a review. Livest Prod Sci 1993;36:121-41.
- 2. Adeola O. Digestion and balance techniques in pigs. In: Lewis AJ, Southern LL, editors. Swine nutrition. Washington, DC: CRC Press; 2001. pp. 903-16.
- 3. Schurch AF, Crampton EW, Haskell SR, Lloyd LE. The use of chromic oxide in digestibility studies with pigs fed ad libitum in the barn. J Anim Sci 1952;11:261-5.
- 4. Kavanagh S, Lynch PB, O'Mara F, Caffrey PJ. A comparison of total collection and marker technique for the measurement of apparent digestibility of diets for growing pigs. Anim Feed Sci Technol 2001; 89:49-58.
- 5. Wiseman J. Correlation between physical measurements and dietary energy values of wheat for poultry and pigs. Anim Feed Sci Technol 2000;84:1-11.
- 6. Hetland H, Choct M, Svihus B. Role of insoluble non-starch polysaccharides in poultry nutrition. Worlds Poult Sci J 2004;60:415-
- 7. Potkins ZV, Lawrence TLJ, Thomlinson JR. Effects of structural and nonstructural polysaccharides in the diet of the growing pig on gastric-emptying rate and rate of passage of digesta to the terminal ileum and through the total gastrointestinal-tract. Br J Nutr 1991;65:391-413.

- 8. Mavromichalis I, Hancock JD, Senne BW, et al. Enzyme supplementation and particle size of wheat in diets for nursery and finishing pigs. J Anim Sci 2000;78:3086-95.
- 9. Sappok MA, Gutiérrez OP, Smidt H, et al. Adaptation of faecal microbiota in sows after diet changes and consequences for in vitro fermentation capacity. Animal 2015;9:1453-64.
- 10. NRC. Nutrient requirements of swine. 10th rev ed. Washington DC: National Academy Press; 2012.
- 11. Li P, Li DF, Zhang HY, et al. Determination and prediction of energy values in corn distillers dried grains with solubles sources with varying oil content for growing pigs. J Anim Sci 2014;93:3458-70.
- 12. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 18th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Arlington, VA: AOAC International; 2007.
- 13. Thiex NJ, Anderson S, Gildemeister B. Crude fat, diethyl ether extraction, in feed, cereal grain, and forage (Randall/Soxtec/submersion method): Collaborative study. J AOAC Int 2003:86:888-98.
- 14. Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J Dairy Sci 1991;74:3583-97.
- 15. Widmer MR, McGinnis LM, Stein HH. Energy, phosphorus, and amino acid digestibility of high-protein distillers dried grans and corn germ fed to growing pigs. J Anim Sci 2007;85:2994-3003.
- 16. Kong C, Adeola O. Invited review: Evaluation of amino acid and energy utilization in feedstuff for swine and poultry diets. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 2014;27:917-25.
- 17. Healy BJ, Hancock JD, Kennedy GA, et al. Optimum particle size of corn and hard and soft sorghum for nursery pigs. J Anim Sci 1994;72:22-7.
- 18. Wondra KJ, Hancock JD, Behnke KC, Hines RH, Stark CR. Effects of particle size and pelleting on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and stomach morphology in finishing pigs. J Anim Sci 1995;
- 19. Sao Z, Li Y, Zhang J, et al. Effect of particle size of wheat on nutrient digestibility, growth performance, and gut microbiota in growing pigs. Livest Sci 2015;183:33-9.
- 20. DeJong JA, DeRouchey JM, Tokach MD, et al. Manhattan, KS: Effects of hard red winter wheat particle size in meal diets on finishing pig growth performance, diet digestibility, and caloric efficiency. Kansas State University Swine Day 2014. Report of progress 1110,
- 21. Choct M, Dersjant-Li Y, McLeish J, Peisker M. Soy oligosaccharides and soluble non-starch polysaccharides: a review of digestion, nutritive and anti-nutritive effects in pigs and poultry. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 2010;23:1386-98.
- 22. Englyst HN, Kingman SM, Cummings JH. Classification and measurements of nutritionally important starch fractions. Eur J Clin Nutr 1992;46:S33-S50.
- 23. Kim JC, Mullan BP, Pluske JR. A comparison of waxy versus nonwaxy wheats in diets for weaner pigs: Effects of particle size, enzyme supplementation, and collection day on total tract apparent digestibility and pig performance. Anim Feed Sci Technol 2005;120:51-65.



- 24. Li W, Angel R, Kim SW, et al. Age and adaptation to Ca and P deficiencies: 2. Impacts on amino acid digestibility and phytase efficacy in broilers. Poult Sci 2015;94:2917-31.
- 25. Cunningham HM, Friend DW, Nicholson JWG. The effect of age, body weight, feed intake and adaptability of pigs on the digestibility and nutritive value of cellulose. Can J Anim Sci 1962;42:167-75.
- 26. Bakker GCM. Interaction between carbohydrates and fat in pigs [Ph.D. dissertation]. Wageningen The Netherlands: Wageningen Agricultural University; 1996.
- 27. Wiseman J, Cole DJA. Energy evaluation of cereals for pig diets. In: Cole DJA, Haresign W editors. Recent developments in pig nutrition. Butterworth, London: Recent Developments in Pig Nutrition; 1985. pp. 246-62.
- 28. Villamide MJ. Methods of energy evaluation of feed ingredients for rabbits and their accuracy. Anim Feed Sci Technol 1996;57:211-23.

- 29. Villamide MJ, Garcia J, Cervera C, et al. Comparison among methods of nutritional evaluation of dietary ingredients for rabbits. Anim Feed Sci Technol 2003;109:195-207.
- 30. Bolarinwa OA, Adeola O. Direct and regression methods do not give different estimates of digestible and metabolizable energy of wheat for pigs. J Anim Sci 2012;90:390-2.
- 31. Liu DW, Liu L, Li DF, Wang FL. Determination and prediction of the net energy content of seven feed ingredients fed to growing pigs based on chemical composition. Anim Prod Sci 2015; 55: 1152-63.
- Fan MZ, Sauer WC. Determination of apparent ileal amino-acid digestibility in barley and canola-meal for pigs with the direct, difference, and regression methods. J Anim Sci 1995;73:2364-74.
- 33. Zebrowska T, Kowalczyk J. Endogenous nitrogen losses in monogastrics and ruminants as affected by nutritional factors. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 2000;13:210-8.