

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR T -CONTRACTIONS ON GENERALIZED CONE b-METRIC SPACES

MANHALA RANGAMMA AND PAGIDI MALLIKARJUN REDDY

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish a unique common fixed point theorem for T -contraction of two self maps on generalized cone b-metric spaces with solid cone. The result of this paper improves and generalizes several well-known results in the literature. Two examples are also given to support the result.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let X be a non-empty set. A mapping $S : X \rightarrow X$ is called a self-map of X . If there is an element $x \in X$ such that $S(x) = x$, then x is called a fixed point of the self-map S of X . A result giving a set of conditions on S and X under which S has a fixed point is known as a fixed point theorem. In recent times fixed point theorems have gained importance because of their numerous applications. It is well known that the classical Banach contraction principle [3] is the first ever fixed point theorem. Many authors established the Banach contraction principle on certain spaces (see; [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]). In 1989, Bakhtin [2] introduced b-metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces. In 2000, Branciari [5] introduced the notion of generalized (rectangular) metric, where the triangle inequality of a metric space was replaced by another inequality, the so called rectangular inequality which involves four or more points instead of three points. In 2007, L. G. Huang and X. Zhang [8] introduced the concept of cone metric spaces. They have replaced real number system by an ordered Banach space. In 2009, A. Azam, M. Arshad and I. Beg [1] introduced the concept of cone rectangular metric space. In 2011, Hussain and Shah [9] introduced cone b-metric spaces as a generalization of b-metric spaces and cone metric spaces. Recently, R. George et al. [7] have introduced the concept of rectangular b-metric space, which is not necessarily Hausdorff

Received February 25, 2016; Revised September 13, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. cone rectangular metric space, generalized cone b-metric space, fixed point, common fixed point, T -contraction.

and which generalizes the concept of metric space, rectangular metric space and b-metric space.

Very recently, R. George, et al. [6] have introduced the concept of generalized cone b-metric space, which generalizes the concepts of cone metric space, cone rectangular metric space and cone b-metric space. They have proved Banach fixed point theorem and Kannan fixed point theorem in generalized cone b-metric space with solid cone. A generalization of contraction mapping has been introduced and called T -contraction mapping on metric spaces which is depending on another function by Beiranvand [4].

In this paper, we obtain a unique common fixed point theorem for two self mappings which satisfy T -contraction mapping on generalized cone b-metric spaces. The main result of this paper extends and generalizes result of R. George, et al. [6] on generalized cone b-metric spaces.

The following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 1.1 ([8]). A subset P of a real Banach space E is called a *cone* if it has following properties:

- (1) P is non-empty, closed and $P \neq \{\theta\}$, where, θ is a zero vector in E ;
- (2) $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a, b \geq 0$, $x, y \in P \implies ax + by \in P$;
- (3) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P \implies x = \theta$, i.e., $P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\}$.

For a given cone $P \subset E$, we can define a partial ordering \preceq on E with respect to P by $x \preceq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$ for $x, y \in E$. We shall write $x \prec y$ if $x \preceq y$ and $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ will stands for $y - x \in \text{int}(P)$, where $\text{int}(P)$ denotes the interior of P . A cone P is called *solid* if $\text{int}(P) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 1.2 ([10]). Let P be a cone in a real Banach space E and Let $a, b, c, x, y \in P$. The following properties hold:

- (1) If $a \preceq b$ and $b \ll c$, then $a \ll c$.
- (2) If $\theta \preceq x \ll c$ for each $c \in \text{int}(P)$, then $x = \theta$.
- (3) If $a \preceq b + c$ for each $c \in \text{int}(P)$, then $a \preceq b$.
- (4) If $\theta \preceq x \preceq y$ and $a \geq 0$, then $\theta \preceq ax \preceq ay$.
- (5) If $\theta \preceq x_n \preceq y_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$, then $\theta \preceq x \preceq y$.
- (6) If $\theta \preceq d(x_n, x) \preceq b_n$ and $b_n \rightarrow \theta$, then $d(x_n, x) \ll c$, where $\{x_n\}$ and x are respectively, a sequence and a given point in X .
- (7) If $a \preceq \lambda a$ where $a \in P$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then $a = \theta$.
- (8) If $c \in \text{int}(P)$, $\theta \preceq x_n$, and $x_n \rightarrow \theta$, then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, we have $x_n \ll c$.

Definition 1.3 ([8]). Let X be a non-empty set, E be a real Banach space and P be a solid cone in E and \preceq is a partial ordering with respect to P . Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies:

- (1) $\theta \prec d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

(3) $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ [triangular inequality].

Then d is called a *cone metric* on X and the pair (X, d) is called a *cone metric space*.

Definition 1.4 ([9]). Let X be a non-empty set, E be a real Banach space, P be a solid cone in E , \preceq be a partial ordering with respect to P and $s \geq 1$ be a real number. Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies:

(1) $\theta \prec d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$;

(2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, $x, y \in X$;

(3) $d(x, y) \preceq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ [b-triangular inequality].

Then d is called a *cone b-metric* on X and the pair (X, d) is called a *cone b-metric space*.

Definition 1.5 ([1]). Let X be a non-empty set, E be a real Banach space, P be a solid cone in E and \preceq is a partial ordering with respect to P . Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies:

(1) $\theta \prec d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$;

(2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

(3) $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, w) + d(w, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and for all distinct points $w, z \in X - \{x, y\}$ [rectangular inequality].

Then d is called a *cone rectangular metric* on X and (X, d) is called a *cone rectangular metric space*.

Definition 1.6 ([6]). Let X be a non-empty set, E be a real Banach space, P be a solid cone in E and \preceq be a partial ordering with respect to P . Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies:

(1) $\theta \prec d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$;

(2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

(3) there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that $d(x, y) \preceq s[d(x, w) + d(w, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y \in X$ and for all distinct points $w, z \in X - \{x, y\}$ [b-rectangular inequality].

Then d is called a *generalized cone b-metric* on X and (X, d) is called a *generalized cone b-metric space* with coefficient s .

Definition 1.7 ([6]). Let (X, d) be a generalized cone b-metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be:

(i) a *convergent sequence* if for every $c \in E$, with $\theta \ll c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ for some $x \in X$. We say that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x and we denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

(ii) a *Cauchy sequence* if for every $c \in E$, with $\theta \ll c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$.

(iii) The generalized cone b-rectangular metric space (X, d) is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in X .

First we give the definition of T -contraction mapping on generalized cone b-metric spaces which is based on the idea of A. Beiranvand et al. [4].

Definition 1.8. Let (X, d) be a generalized cone b-metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T, f : X \rightarrow X$ be two self maps. A mapping f of X is said to be a T -contraction if there exists a real number $0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{s}$ such that

$$d(Tfx, Tfy) \preceq \lambda d(Tx, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a generalized cone b-metric space with coefficient $s > 1$, P be a solid cone and let the mappings f and $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the inequality:

$$(2.1) \quad d(Tfx, Tfy) \preceq \lambda d(Tx, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$. Suppose T is one to one and $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , then the mapping f has a unique fixed point in X . Moreover, if f and T are commuting at the fixed point of f , then f and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_{n+1} = fx_n$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If $x_m = x_{m+1}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x_m = fx_m$. That is, f has a fixed point x_m in X .

Assume $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then from (2.1) it follows that,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) &= d(Tfx_{n-1}, Tfx_n) \\ &\preceq \lambda d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\vdots \\ &\preceq \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{s}$.

From (2.1), (2.2), b-rectangular inequality and using the fact that $0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{s}$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2}) &= d(Tfx_{n-1}, Tfx_{n+1}) \\ &\preceq \lambda d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n+1}) \\ &\preceq \lambda s [d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2}) + d(Tx_{n+2}, Tx_{n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

which implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2}) &\preceq \frac{\lambda s}{1 - \lambda s} [d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(Tx_{n+2}, Tx_{n+1})] \\ &\preceq \frac{\lambda s}{1 - \lambda s} [\lambda^{n-1} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \lambda^{n+1} d(Tx_0, Tx_1)] \\ &\preceq \frac{\lambda s}{1 - \lambda s} [1 + \lambda^2] \lambda^{n-1} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\ &= \frac{s}{1 - \lambda s} [1 + \lambda^2] \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3) \quad = \alpha s \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1+\lambda^2}{1-\lambda s} \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.

For the sequence $\{Tx_n\}$, we consider $d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+p})$ in two cases.

If p is odd say $2m+1$ for $m \geq 1$, then by using b-rectangular inequality and (2.2) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2m+1}) \\ & \preceq s [d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}) + d(Tx_{n+2}, Tx_{n+2m+1})] \\ & \preceq s [d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})] + s^2 [d(Tx_{n+2}, Tx_{n+3}) \\ & \quad + d(Tx_{n+3}, Tx_{n+4}) + d(Tx_{n+4}, Tx_{n+2m-1})] \\ & \preceq s [d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})] \\ & \quad + s^2 [d(Tx_{n+2}, Tx_{n+3}) + d(Tx_{n+3}, Tx_{n+4})] + \dots \\ & \quad + s^m d(Tx_{n+2m}, Tx_{n+2m+1}) \\ & \preceq s [\lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \lambda^{n+1} d(Tx_0, Tx_1)] \\ & \quad + s^2 [\lambda^{n+2} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \lambda^{n+3} d(Tx_0, Tx_1)] + \dots \\ & \quad + s^m \lambda^{n+2m} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\ & \preceq s \lambda^n [1 + s \lambda^2 + \dots] d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\ & \quad + s \lambda^{n+1} [1 + s \lambda^2 + \dots] d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\ & = (1 + \lambda) s \lambda^n [1 + s \lambda^2 + \dots] d(Tx_0, Tx_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2m+1}) \preceq \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1-s\lambda^2}\right) s \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1)$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\theta \ll c$ be given. Since, $s \lambda^2 < 1$, we note that $\left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1-s\lambda^2}\right) s \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Remark 1.2, for any $c \in \text{int}(P)$, we can find $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n > N_1$, we have $\left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1-s\lambda^2}\right) s \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \ll c$.

Thus,

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2m+1}) \preceq \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1-s\lambda^2}\right) s \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \ll c$$

for all $n > N_1$ and $m \geq 1$.

If p is even say $2m$ for $m \geq 1$, then by using b-rectangular inequality, (2.2), (2.3) and the fact that $s \lambda^2 < 1$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2m}) \\ & \preceq s [d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}) + d(Tx_{n+2}, Tx_{n+2m})] \\ & \preceq s [d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})] \\ & \quad + s^2 [d(Tx_{n+2}, Tx_{n+3}) + d(Tx_{n+3}, Tx_{n+4}) + d(Tx_{n+4}, Tx_{n+2m})] \\ & \preceq s [d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + s^2 [d(Tx_{n+2}, Tx_{n+3}) + d(Tx_{n+3}, Tx_{n+4})] + \cdots \\
& + s^{m-1} [d(Tx_{n+2m-4}, Tx_{n+2m-3}) + d(Tx_{n+2m-3}, Tx_{n+2m-2}) \\
& + d(Tx_{n+2m-2}, Tx_{n+2m})] \\
\leq & s [\lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \lambda^{n+1} d(Tx_0, Tx_1)] \\
& + s^2 [\lambda^{n+2} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \lambda^{n+3} d(Tx_0, Tx_1)] + \cdots \\
& + s^{m-1} [\lambda^{n+2m-4} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \lambda^{n+2m-3} d(Tx_0, Tx_1)] \\
& + s^{m-1} \alpha \lambda^{n+2m-2} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\
\leq & s \lambda^n [1 + s \lambda^2 + \cdots] d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\
& + s \lambda^{n+1} [1 + s \lambda^2 + \cdots] d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\
& + s^{m-1} \alpha \lambda^{n+2m-2} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\
= & (1 + \lambda) s \lambda^n [1 + s \lambda^2 + \cdots] d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\
& + s^{m-1} \alpha \lambda^{n+2m-2} d(Tx_0, Tx_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2m}) & \leq \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - s \lambda^2} \right) s \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) + s^{m-1} \alpha \lambda^{n+2m-2} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - s \lambda^2} + s^{m-2} \alpha \lambda^{2m-2} \right) s \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \geq 0$.

Let $\theta \ll c$ be given. Since, $s \lambda^2 < 1$, we have

$$\left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - s \lambda^2} + s^{m-2} \alpha \lambda^{2m-2} \right) s \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \rightarrow \theta$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Remark 1.2 for any $c \in \text{int}(P)$, we can find $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - s \lambda^2} + s^{m-2} \alpha \lambda^{2m-2} \right) s \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \ll c$$

for all $n > N_2$ and $m \geq 1$.

Thus, $d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2m}) \leq \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - s \lambda^2} + s^{m-2} \alpha \lambda^{2m-2} \right) s \lambda^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \ll c$ for all $n > N_2$ and $m \geq 1$. Let $N_0 = \max \{N_1, N_2\}$. Thus for each $c \in \text{int}(P)$, we have $d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+p}) \ll c$ for all $n > N_0$ and $p \geq 1$. Therefore, $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since, $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , then there exists a point z in $T(X)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tfx_n = z$. Also, we can find $x \in X$ such that $z = Tx$. Let $\theta \ll c$ be given, we can choose natural numbers N_3 and N_4 such that $d(Tx_n, z) \ll \frac{c}{2s(1+\lambda)}$ for all $n > N_3$ and $d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \ll \frac{c}{2s}$ for all $n > N_4$. Let $N = \max \{N_3, N_4\}$.

Using b-rectangular inequality and (2.1) we get,

$$d(z, Tfx) \leq s [d(z, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Tfx_n) + d(Tfx_n, Tfx)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\preceq sd(z, Tx_n) + sd(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + s\lambda d(Tx_n, Tx) \\
&= sd(z, Tx_n) + sd(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + s\lambda d(Tx_n, z) \\
&= s(1 + \lambda)d(Tx_n, z) + sd(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \\
&\ll \frac{c}{2} + \frac{c}{2} = c
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n > N$.

Thus for each $c \in \text{int}(P)$, we have, $d(z, Tfx) \ll c$, since c is arbitrary we have $d(z, Tfx) = \theta$. Therefore, $Tfx = Tx = z$. Since T is one to one, we get that $x = fx$. Hence, x is a fixed point of f in X . Now, we prove the uniqueness of the fixed point of f . Let y be another fixed point of f , that is $y = fy$. Then,

$$d(Tx, Ty) = d(Tfx, Tfy) \preceq \lambda d(Tx, Ty) \prec \frac{1}{s}d(Tx, Ty),$$

which is a contradiction (since $s > 1$). Hence, $Tx = Ty$. Since T is one to one, we conclude that $x = y$. Since, f and T are commuting at the fixed point of f , $fTx = Tfx = Tx$. Therefore Tx is a fixed point of f . Since f has unique fixed point, $Tx = x$. Hence $Tx = fx = x$, that is x is the unique common fixed point of f and T in X . \square

Taking $T = I$ (the identity mapping of X) in Theorem 2.1, we get an analogue of Banach contraction principle [6] in generalized cone b-metric space as follows.

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete generalized cone b-metric space with $s > 1$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies the inequality:*

$$(2.4) \quad d(fx, fy) \preceq \lambda d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$, then f has a unique fixed point in X .

The following examples support Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.3. Let $X = A \cup B$, where $A = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}$ and $B = [1, 2]$. Let $E = C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ be the set of all continuous functions defined on X to \mathbb{R} and $P = \{\phi \in E : \phi(t) \geq 0, t \in X\} \subset E$. It is known that P is a solid cone in E . Define $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ such that $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(0, \frac{1}{2}) = d(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}) = d(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}) = 0.6e^t; \\ d(0, \frac{1}{3}) = d(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}) = d(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}) = 0.4e^t; \\ d(0, \frac{1}{4}) = d(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}) = d(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}) = 0.1e^t; \\ d(0, \frac{1}{5}) = d(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) = d(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}) = 0.5e^t; \\ d(0, \frac{1}{6}) = d(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}) = d(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{5}) = 0.3e^t; \\ d(x, y) = |x - y|^2 e^t, \text{ otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

where $e^t \in E$. Then (X, d) is not a cone metric space with respect to P as $d(0, 1/2) = 0.6e^t \succ d(0, 1/4) + d(1/4, 1/2) = 0.1e^t + 0.3e^t = 0.4e^t$ and (X, d) is not a cone rectangular metric space with respect to P as $d(0, 1/2) = 0.6e^t \succ d(0, 1/4) + d(1/4, 1/6) + d(1/6, 1/2) = 0.1e^t + 0.1e^t + 0.1e^t = 0.3e^t$. However,

it is easy to see that (X, d) is a complete generalized cone b-metric space with coefficient $s = 2 > 1$.

Further, let f and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be the mappings defined by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } x \in A \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in B, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} - x & \text{if } x \in \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \{2, 3, 4\}\} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{6} \\ x & \text{if } x \in B. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that, T is one to one, f satisfies T -contraction (2.1) with $\lambda = \frac{2}{5}$. In fact, if $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, then $d(Tfx, Tfy) = d(T(1/4), T(1/2)) = d(1/4, 0) = 0.1e^t$.

Case (i). If $x \in \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \{2, 3, 4\}\}$ and $y \in B$, then $d(Tx, Ty) = d(\frac{1}{2} - x, y) = |\frac{1}{2} - (x + y)|^2 e^t$. Then clearly we can find $\lambda = \frac{2}{5} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ satisfying T -contraction (2.1).

Case (ii). If $x \in \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \{2, 3, 4\}\}$ and $y \in \{\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}\}$, then we can find $\lambda = \frac{2}{5} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ satisfying T -contraction (2.1).

Case (iii). If $x \in B$ and $y \in \{\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}\}$, then $d(Tx, Ty) = d(Tx, T(\frac{1}{5})) = d(x, \frac{1}{3}) = |x - \frac{1}{3}|^2 e^t$ and $d(Tx, Ty) = d(Tx, T(\frac{1}{6})) = d(x, \frac{1}{5}) = |x - \frac{1}{5}|^2 e^t$. Then we can find $\lambda = \frac{2}{5} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ satisfying T -contraction (2.1).

Similarly, If $x \in A$ and $y \in A$, then $d(Tfx, Tfy) = d(T(1/4), T(1/4)) = \theta$ and if $x \in B$ and $y \in B$, then $d(Tfx, Tfy) = d(T(1/2), T(1/2)) = \theta$. Hence f satisfies T -contraction (2.1). However f does not satisfy Banach contraction (2.4) at $x = \frac{1}{5}$ and $y = 1$, as $d(fx, fy) = d(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}) = 0.3e^t \succ \frac{2}{5}d(x, y) = \frac{2}{5}d(\frac{1}{5}, 1) = \frac{2}{5}|\frac{1}{5} - 1|^2 e^t = 0.256e^t$. Thus f satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and f has unique fixed point $\frac{1}{4}$. Moreover, f and T are commuting at $\frac{1}{4}$ and hence $x = \frac{1}{4}$ is the unique common fixed point of the mappings f and T .

Example 2.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, where a, b, c, d are distinct natural numbers. Let $E = \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of real matrices of order $n \geq 1$ and $P = \left\{M = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} : a_{ij} \geq 0, \forall i, j\right\}$ is a solid cone in E . Define $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ such that $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and

$$\begin{cases} d(x, x) = O_{n \times n} \text{ for all } x \in X; \\ d(a, b) = 0.1I_n; \\ d(a, c) = d(b, c) = 0.01I_n; \\ d(a, d) = d(b, d) = d(c, d) = 0.03I_n, \end{cases}$$

where I_n is the identity matrix. In this case, (X, d) is not a cone metric space with respect to P since, $d(a, b) = 0.1I_n \succ d(a, c) + d(c, b) = 0.01I_n + 0.01I_n = 0.02I_n$ and (X, d) is not a cone rectangular metric space with respect to P since, $d(a, b) = 0.1I_n \succ d(a, c) + d(c, d) + d(d, b) = 0.01I_n + 0.03I_n + 0.03I_n = 0.07I_n$.

However, it is easy to see that (X, d) is a complete generalized cone b-metric space with coefficient $s = 1.5 > 1$. Further, let f and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be the mappings defined by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } x \neq d \\ a & \text{if } x = d, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } T(x) = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } x = a \\ a & \text{if } x = b \\ x & \text{if } x \in \{c, d\}. \end{cases}$$

Since, $Tf(d) = T(f(d)) = T(a) = b$ and $fT(d) = f(T(d)) = f(d) = a$. Therefore $Tf(d) \neq fT(d)$. That is, f and T are non-commuting self maps. It is clear that, T is one to one, f satisfies T -contraction (2.1) on generalized cone b-metric space (X, d) with $\lambda = \frac{1}{3} < \frac{1}{s} = \frac{2}{3}$. Thus f satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and f has unique fixed point c . Moreover, f and T are commuting at c and hence $x = c$ is the unique common fixed point of the mappings f and T .

Conclusion.

In this article we have proved that the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point theorem for T -contraction in generalized cone b-metric spaces. We note that the results of this paper generalize the results of R. George, et al. [6] on generalized cone b-metric spaces.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their suggestions and constructive comments that helped us to improve this article.

References

- [1] A. Azam, M. Arshad, and I. Beg, *Banach contraction principle on cone rectangular metric spaces*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **3** (2009), no. 2, 236–241.
- [2] I. A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in quasi metric spaces*, Functional analysis, No. 30 (Russian), 26–37, Ul'yanovsk. Gos. Ped. Inst., Ul'yanovsk, 1989.
- [3] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **3** (1922), 133–181.
- [4] A. Beiranvand, S. Moradi, M. Omid, and H. Pazandeh, *Two fixed point theorems for special mappings*, arxiv:0903.1504v1 math.FA, 2009.
- [5] A. Branciari, *A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccippoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **57** (2000), no. 1-2, 31–37.
- [6] R. George, H. A. Nabwey, K. P. Reshma, and R. Rajagopalan, *Generalized cone b-metric spaces and contraction principles*, Mat. Vesnik **67** (2015), no. 4, 246–257.
- [7] R. George, S. Radenovic, K. P. Reshma, and S. Shukla, *Rectangular b-metric spaces and contraction principle*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **8** (2015), 1005–1013.
- [8] L. G. Huang and X. Zhang, *Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **332**, (2007), no. 2, 1468–1476.
- [9] N. Hussain and M. H. Shah, *KKM mappings in cone b-metric spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **62** (2011), no. 4, 1677–1684.

- [10] G. Jungck, S. Radenovic, S. Radojevic, and V. Rakocevic, *Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2009** (2009), Art. ID 643840, 13 pp.

MANHALA RANGAMMA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF SCIENCE
OSMANIA UNIVERSITY
HYDERABAD-500 007
TELANGANA, INDIA
E-mail address: rangamma1999@gmail.com

PAGIDI MALLIKARJUN REDDY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF SCIENCE
OSMANIA UNIVERSITY
HYDERABAD-500 007
TELANGANA, INDIA
E-mail address: pagidimallik@gmail.com