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#### Abstract

Using variational methods, we study the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for some $p(x)$-Laplacian-like problems. First, without assuming any asymptotic condition neither at zero nor at infinity, we prove the existence of a non-zero solution for our problem. Next, we obtain the existence of two solutions, assuming only the classical AmbrosettiRabinowitz condition. Finally, we present a three solutions existence result under appropriate condition on the potential $F$.
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## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the following $p(x)$-Laplacian-like problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)=\lambda f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1}\\
u=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with boundary of class $C^{1}, \lambda$ is a positive parameter, $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with

$$
N<p^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x) \leq p^{+}:=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)<+\infty
$$

and $f$ is an $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function.

[^0]The equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=f(t, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2}\\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

plays, as is well known, a role in differential geometry and in the theory of relativity. Existence, non-existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of problem (2) have been discussed by several authors in the last decades.

Obersnel and Omari in [20] studied the existence of positive solutions of the parametric problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=\lambda f(t, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3}\\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda>0, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded open subset with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function whose potential satisfies a suitable oscillating behaviour at zero.
W. Ni and J. Serrin in $[18,19]$ initiated the study of ground states for equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with very general right hand side $f$. Radial solutions of the problem (4) have been studied in the context of the analysis of capillary surfaces for a function $f$ of the form $f(u)=k u$, for $k>0$ (for more details see [10, 13]).

Recently, the study of various mathematical problems with variable exponent growth condition has received considerable attention in recent years; see e.g. $[2,7,14,15,23]$.
M. Rodrigues in [23] established the existence of non-trivial solutions for problem (1) by using Mountain Pass lemma (see [9]) and Fountain theorem (see Theorem 3.6 in [24]). The results were extended by G. Bin in [3], where the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition did not hold. Some further results on this type of problems can be found in the papers [17, 22].

In this paper, at first, we prove the existence of a non-zero solution for problem (1) without assuming any asymptotic condition neither at zero nor at infinity (see Theorem 3.1). Next, assuming only the classical Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, we obtain the existence of two solutions (see Theorems 3.2 and 3.4). Finally, we present a three solutions existence result under appropriate condition on the potential $F$ (see Theorem 3.5).

Our main tools are Bonanno critical point theorems contained in [5] and [8], which are powerful analytic tools for multiplicity results in nonlinear problems with a variational structure. In the next section, we recall this theorems for the reader's convenience.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some properties of variable exponent spaces and our main tools. In Section 3, we discuss the existence of one, two and three weak solutions for the problem (1).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall definitions and theorems which will be used in this paper.
Definition 2.1. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space and $J, I: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals; put $g=J-I$ and fix $r_{1}, r_{2} \in[-\infty,+\infty]$, with $r_{1}<r_{2}$. We say that the functional $g$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition cut off lower at $r_{1}$ and upper at $r_{2}\left({ }^{\left[r_{1}\right]}(\mathrm{PS}){ }^{\left[r_{2}\right]}\right.$-condition) if any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ such that

- $\left\{g\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded,
- $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|g^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{X^{*}}=0$,
- $r_{1}<J\left(u_{n}\right)<r_{2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,
has a convergent subsequence. If $r_{1}=-\infty$ and $r_{2}=+\infty$, it coincides with the classical (PS)-condition, while if $r_{1}=-\infty$ and $r_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ it is denoted by (PS) ${ }^{\left[r_{2}\right]}$-condition.

First, we recall a result of local minimum obtained in [5], which is based on [4, Theorem 5.1].

Theorem 2.2 ([5]). Let $X$ be a real Banach space, let $J, I: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals such that $\inf _{X} J=J(0)=I(0)=$ 0 . Assume that there exist $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{u} \in X$, with $0<J(\bar{u})<r$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sup _{u \in J^{-1}(]-\infty, r[ } I(u)}{r}<\frac{I(\bar{u})}{J(\bar{u})} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda:=] \frac{J(\bar{u})}{I(\bar{u})}, \frac{r}{\sup _{u \in J^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} I(u)}\left[\right.$ the functional $T_{\lambda}:=J-\lambda I$ satisfies the (PS) ${ }^{[r]}$-condition. Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there is $u_{\lambda} \in J^{-1}(] 0, r[)$ (hence, $u_{\lambda} \neq 0$ ) such that $T_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \leq T_{\lambda}(u)$ for all $u \in J^{-1}(] 0, r[)$ and $T_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$.

Now we point out another result, which ensures the existence of at least three critical points, that has been obtained in [8] and it is a more precise version of [6, Theorem 3.2].
Theorem 2.3 ([8]). Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space, let $J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable, coercive and sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}, I: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact, moreover

$$
J(0)=I(0)=0 .
$$

Assume that there exist $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{u} \in X$, with $0<r<J(\bar{u})$, such that
(i) $\frac{\sup _{u \in J^{-1}(1-\infty, r[)} I(u)}{r}<\frac{I(\bar{u})}{J(\bar{u})}$,
(ii) for each $\lambda \in \Lambda:=] \frac{J(\bar{u})}{I(\bar{u})}, \frac{r}{\sup _{u \in J^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} I(u)}\left[\right.$ the functional $T_{\lambda}=J-\lambda I$ is coercive.
Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the functional $T_{\lambda}$ has at least three distinct critical points in $X$.

Let us introduce some notation which will be used later. Here and in the sequel, we suppose that $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N<p^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x) \leq p^{+}:=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)<+\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ by

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega):=\left\{u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { measurable and } \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

We define a norm, the so-called Luxemburg norm, on this space by the formula

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}=|u|_{p(x)}:=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

Define the Sobolev space with variable exponent

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{1, p(x)}:=|u|_{p(x)}+|\nabla u|_{p(x)} .
$$

It is well known [12] that, in view of (6), both $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, with the respective norms, are separable, reflexive and uniformly convex Banach spaces. We denote by $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. For any $u \in X$, define $\|u\|=|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$. It is easy to see that $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ endowed with the above norm is a separable, reflexive Banach space. In $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ the Poncarè inequality holds, so $|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$ is an equivalent norm in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.
Proposition 2.4 ([12]). Set $\rho(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x$. For $u, u_{n} \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we have
(1) $|u|_{p(\cdot)}<(=;>) 1 \Leftrightarrow \rho(u)<(=;>) 1$,
(2) $|u|_{p(\cdot)}>1 \Rightarrow|u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^{+}}$,
(3) $|u|_{p(\cdot)}<1 \Rightarrow|u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^{-}}$,
(4) $\left|u_{n}\right|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$,
(5) $\left|u_{n}\right|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow \rho\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$.

From Proposition 2.4, for $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{p^{-}} \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} d x \leq\|u\|^{p^{+}} \quad \text { if }\|u\|>1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{p^{+}} \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} d x \leq\|u\|^{p^{-}} \quad \text { if }\|u\|<1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.5 ([16]). If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain, then the embedding we deduce that $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact whenever $N<p^{-}$.

From Proposition 2.5, there exists a positive constant $m$ depending on $p(\cdot), N$ and $\Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}|u(x)| \leq m\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
J(u):=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+\frac{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}{p(x)}\right) d x, \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)
$$

It is known that $J \in C^{1}\left(W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and
$J^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2} \nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \nabla v d x, \quad \forall u, v \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.
Moreover, we have $J$ is convex, sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous and $J^{\prime}: W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ is an homeomorphism, see [23].

Set

$$
F(x, t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, \xi) d \xi
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For each $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, we let the functional $I: W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
I(u):=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x
$$

Proposition 2.5 guarantees that the functional $I$ is well defined, continuously Gâteaux differentiable with compact derivative and whose Gâteaux derivative is given by

$$
I^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) v(x) d x
$$

for any $u, v \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.
Now, let us introduce the energy functional $T_{\lambda}$ related to problem (1)

$$
T_{\lambda}(\cdot):=J(\cdot)-\lambda I(\cdot)
$$

and we observe that, for each $\lambda>0$, the critical points $u$ of $T_{\lambda}$ are the weak solutions of (1), i.e.,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)-2} \nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) \nabla v d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) v(x) d x=0
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.

## 3. Main results

Before introducing our result we observe that, setting

$$
\varrho(x)=\sup \{\varsigma>0: B(x, \varsigma) \subseteq \Omega\}
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$, one can prove that there exists $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that $B\left(x_{0}, \gamma\right) \subseteq \Omega$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\sup _{x \in \Omega} \varrho(x) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fixed $r>0$, we also denote by

$$
\omega_{r}:=r^{N} \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\frac{N}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)},
$$

the measure of the $N$-dimensional ball of radius $r$ where $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{+}:=2^{p^{+}-N+1} \omega_{N} \gamma^{N-p^{+}}\left(2^{N}-1\right)+|\Omega|, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\Omega|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set $\Omega$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\circ}:=2^{p^{-}-N} \omega_{N} \gamma^{N-p^{-}}\left(2^{N}-1\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we present our main results. First, we establish the existence of one nontrivial solution for problem (1).

Theorem 3.1. Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function. Assume that there exist $c \geq m$ and $d \geq \max \{1, \gamma\}$ with $\beta_{+} d^{p^{+}}<\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}}\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{\Omega} \max _{|t| \leq c} F(x, t) d x}{\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}}}<\frac{p^{-} \int_{\Omega} F(x, d) d x}{p^{+} \beta_{+} d^{p^{+}}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for each

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \in \Lambda:=] \frac{\beta_{+} d^{p^{+}}}{p^{-} \int_{\Omega} F(x, d) d x}, \frac{\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}}}{p^{+} \int_{\Omega} \max _{|t| \leq c} F(x, t) d x}[ \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

problem (1) admits at least one non-trivial weak solution $\bar{u}_{1} \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\max _{x \in \Omega}\left|\bar{u}_{1}(x)\right|<c .
$$

Proof. Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.2 to problem (1) to the space $X$ := $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ with the usual norm and to the functionals $J, I: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$
J(u):=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+\frac{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}{p(x)}\right) d x
$$

and

$$
I(u):=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x
$$

for all $u \in X$.
The functional $J, I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, as it was said in the previous section. This ensures that the functional $T_{\lambda}=J-\lambda I$ satisfies the (PS) ${ }^{[r]}$-condition for all $r>0$ (see [4, Proposition 2.1]). Define

$$
w(x):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x_{0}, \gamma\right) \\ d & \text { if } x \in B\left(x_{0}, \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \\ \frac{2 d}{\gamma}\left(\gamma-\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right) & \text { if } x \in B\left(x_{0}, \gamma\right) \backslash B\left(x_{0}, \frac{\gamma}{2}\right),\end{cases}
$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Put

$$
r:=\frac{1}{p^{+}}\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}} .
$$

Clearly, $w \in X$, and from the condition $\beta_{+} d^{p^{+}}<\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}}\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}}$one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(w) & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla w|^{p(x)}+\sqrt{1+|\nabla w|^{2 p(x)}}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{2}{p^{-}} \int_{B\left(x_{0}, \gamma\right) \backslash B\left(x_{0}, \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)}|\nabla w|^{p(x)} d x+\frac{1}{p^{-}}|\Omega| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p^{-}} 2^{p^{+}-N+1}\left(2^{N}-1\right) \gamma^{N-p^{+}} \omega_{N} d^{p^{+}}+\frac{1}{p^{-}}|\Omega| d^{p^{+}} \\
& =\frac{1}{p^{-}} \beta_{+} d^{p^{+}}<r .
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $u \in X$ with $J(u)<r$, owing to (7) and (8), definitively one has

$$
\min \left\{\|u\|^{p^{+}},\|u\|^{p^{-}}\right\}<r p^{+}
$$

Then

$$
\|u\|<\max \left\{\left(r p^{+}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{+}}},\left(r p^{+}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{-}}}\right\}=\frac{c}{m}
$$

and so by (9),

$$
\max _{x \in \Omega}|u(x)| \leq m\|u\|<c
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{\sup _{u \in J^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} I(u)}{r} \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} \max _{|t| \leq c} F(x, t) d x}{\frac{1}{p^{+}}\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}}}
$$

So, by the assumption (13), the condition (5) of Theorem 2.2 is verified. Hence, all the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied, and it follows that for each

$$
\lambda \in \Lambda \subseteq] \frac{J(w)}{I(w)}, \frac{r}{\sup _{u \in J^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} I(u)}[
$$

the functional $T_{\lambda}$ has at least one non-zero critical point $\bar{u}_{1} \in X$ such that $\max _{x \in \Omega}\left|\bar{u}_{1}(x)\right|<c$ that is a non-trivial weak solution of problem (1).

The following result, in which the global Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition is also used, ensures the existence of at least two non-trivial weak solutions for problem (1).
Theorem 3.2. Assume that all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold. Furthermore, suppose that $f(\cdot, 0) \neq 0$ in $\Omega$ and
(AR) there exist two positive constants $\mu>2 p^{+}$and $R>0$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$ and $|s| \geq R$,

$$
0<\mu F(x, s) \leq s f(x, s)
$$

Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where $\Lambda$ is given by (14), problem (1) has at least two non-trivial weak solutions $\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2} \in X$ such that

$$
\max _{x \in \Omega}\left|\bar{u}_{1}(x)\right|<c .
$$

Proof. Fix $\lambda$ as in the conclusion. Theorem 3.1 ensures that problem (1) admits at least one non-trivial weak solution $\bar{u}_{1}$ which is a local minimum of the functional $T_{\lambda}$. Now, we prove the existence of the second local minimum distinct from the first one. To this end, we must prove that the functional $T_{\lambda}$ satisfies the hypotheses of the mountain pass theorem. Clearly, the functional $T_{\lambda}$ is of class $C^{1}$ and $T_{\lambda}(0)=0$. We can assume that $\bar{u}_{1}$ is a strict local minimum for $T_{\lambda}$ in $X$. Therefore, there is $\rho>0$ such that $\inf _{\left\|u-\bar{u}_{1}\right\|=\rho} T_{\lambda}(u)>T_{\lambda}\left(\bar{u}_{1}\right)$, so condition [21, $\left(I_{1}\right)$, Theorem 2.2] is verified. From (AR), by standard computations, there is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, s) \geq C|s|^{\mu} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and $|s|>R$. In fact, putting $\theta(x)=\min _{|\xi|=R} F(x, \xi)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{s}(t)=F(x, t s), \quad \forall t>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (AR), for every $x \in \Omega$ and $|s|>R$, one has

$$
0<\mu \phi_{s}(t)=\mu F(x, t s) \leq t s f(x, t s)=t \phi_{s}^{\prime}(t), \quad \forall t>0
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{R /|s|}^{1} \frac{\phi_{s}^{\prime}(t)}{\phi_{s}(t)} d t \geq \int_{R /|s|}^{1} \frac{\mu}{t} d t
$$

Then

$$
\phi_{s}(1) \geq \phi_{s}\left(\frac{R}{|s|}\right)|s|^{\mu}
$$

By (16), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, s) \geq F\left(x, \frac{R}{|s|} s\right)|s|^{\mu} \geq \theta(x)|s|^{\mu} \geq C|s|^{\mu} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (15) is proved. Now, by choosing any $u \in X \backslash\{0\}$ and $t>1$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\lambda}(t u) & =(J-\lambda I)(t u) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(t^{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+\sqrt{1+t^{2 p(x)}|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, t u(x)) d x \\
& \leq t^{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(2 t^{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+1\right) d x-c t^{\mu} \lambda \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{\mu} d x \rightarrow-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, since $\mu>2 p^{+}$. So, condition [21, $\left(I_{2}\right)$, Theorem 2.2] is verified. Therefore, $T_{\lambda}$ satisfies the geometry of mountain pass.

Now, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional $T_{\lambda}$ in $X$; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \bar{c}<\infty \text { and } T_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } X^{*} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X^{*}$ is the dual space of $X$. Let us show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu T_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\|T_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{X^{*}}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \\
& \geq \mu T_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-T_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& =\mu J\left(u_{n}\right)-\lambda \mu I\left(u_{n}\right)-J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}\right)+\lambda I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(\mu F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}(x)\right) d x \\
& -2 \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\mu}{p^{+}}-2\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{-}}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(\mu F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}(x)\right) d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\mu}{p^{+}}-2\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{-}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu>2 p^{+}$, from the above inequality we know that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$. Hence, the classical theorem of Ambrosettti and Rabinowitz ensures a critical point $\bar{u}_{2}$ of $T_{\lambda}$ such $T_{\lambda}\left(\bar{u}_{2}\right)>T_{\lambda}\left(\bar{u}_{1}\right)$. So, since $f(\cdot, 0) \neq 0$ in $\Omega$, $\bar{u}_{1}$ and $\bar{u}_{2}$ are two distinct non-trivial weak solutions of the problem (1) and the proof is complete.

Here we give the following result as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2 in the autonomous case.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Put $F(t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(\xi) d \xi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Under the following conditions
(i) there exist $d \geq \max \{1, \gamma\}$ and $c \geq m$ with $\beta_{+} d^{p^{+}}<\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}}\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}}$, such that

$$
\frac{\max _{|t| \leq c} F(t)}{\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}}}<\frac{p^{-} F(d)}{p^{+} \beta_{+} d^{p^{+}}}
$$

(ii) there exist two positive constants $\mu>2 p^{+}$and $R>0$ such that for all $|s| \geq R$,

$$
0<\mu F(s) \leq s f(s)
$$

and for each

$$
\lambda \in \Lambda:=] \frac{\beta_{+} d^{p^{+}}}{p^{-}|\Omega| F(d)}, \frac{\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}}}{p^{+}|\Omega| \max _{|t| \leq c} F(t)}[
$$

the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)=\lambda f(u), \quad x \in \Omega \\
u=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

admits at least two non-trivial weak solutions $\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2} \in X$ such that

$$
\max _{x \in \Omega}\left|\bar{u}_{1}(x)\right|<c .
$$

Now, we point out the following result of three weak solutions.
Theorem 3.4. Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function satisfying
$\left(f_{1}\right)$ there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ and $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1<q(x)<p^{-}$for each $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, such that

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq a_{1}+a_{2}|t|^{q(x)-1}
$$

for each $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$,
and $f(\cdot, 0) \neq 0$ in $\Omega$. Assume that there exist $d \geq \gamma$ and $c \geq m$ with $\beta^{\circ} d^{p^{-}}>$ $\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}}$, such that the assumption (13) in Theorem 3.1 holds. Then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where $\Lambda$ is given by (14), problem (1) has at least three non-trivial weak solutions.

Proof. Our goal is to apply Theorem 2.3. The functionals $w, I$ and $J$ defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1 satisfy all regularity assumptions requested in the Theorem 2.3. So, our aim is to verify (i) and (ii). Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, put $r:=\frac{1}{p^{+}}\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)^{p^{-}}$one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(w) & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla w|^{p(x)}+\sqrt{1+|\nabla w|^{2 p(x)}}\right) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla w|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} 2^{p^{-}-N}\left(2^{N}-1\right) \gamma^{N-p^{-}} \omega_{N} d^{p^{-}} \\
& =\frac{1}{p^{+}} \beta^{\circ} d^{p^{-}}>r>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the assumption (i) of Theorem 2.3 is satisfied.

Now, we prove that the functional $T_{\lambda}=J-\lambda I$ is coercive for all $\lambda>0$. By using Hölder inequality and condition $\left(f_{1}\right)$, for all $u \in X$ such that $\|u\| \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & =\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{u(x)} f(x, t) d t\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(a_{1}|u(x)|+\frac{a_{2}}{q(x)}|u(x)|^{q(x)}\right) d x \\
& \leq a_{1}\|u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+\frac{a_{2}}{q^{-}} \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{q(x)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, there is a constant $C^{\prime}=\max \left\{k_{q}^{q^{+}}, k_{q}^{q^{-}}\right\}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{q(x)} d x \leq \max \left\{\|u\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)}^{q^{+}},\|u\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)}^{q^{-}}\right\} \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|^{q^{+}}
$$

where $k_{q}$ is the best constant of the embedding $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ (see [11]). Then

$$
I(u) \leq a_{1} k_{1}\|u\|+\frac{a_{2}}{q^{+}} C^{\prime}\|u\|^{q^{+}}
$$

for all $u \in X$ such that $\|u\| \geq 1$, where $k_{1}$ is the best constant of the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{1}(\Omega)$. Take (7) into account, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u) & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p(x)}}\right) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\lambda>0$, we have

$$
T_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{-}}-\lambda a_{1} k_{1}\|u\|-\frac{\lambda a_{2} C^{\prime}}{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{+}}
$$

Since $p^{-}>q^{+}$, the functional $T_{\lambda}$ is coercive. Then also condition (ii) holds. So, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the functional $T_{\lambda}$ admits at least three distinct critical points that are weak solutions of problem (1).

Remark 3.1. If we assume that $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function, then the previous theorems guarantee the existence of non-negative weak solutions. In fact, let $\bar{u} \in X$ be one (non-trivial) weak solution of problem (1). Arguing by contradiction, if we assume that $\bar{u}$ is negative at a point of $\Omega$, the set

$$
\Omega^{-}:=\{x \in \bar{\Omega}: \bar{u}(x)<0\}
$$

is non-empty and open. Moreover, let us consider $\bar{v}:=\min \{\bar{u}, 0\}$, one has $\bar{v} \in X$. Taking into account that $\bar{u}$ is a weak solution and by choosing $v=\bar{v}$, we deduce

$$
\int_{\Omega^{-}}\left(|\nabla \bar{u}|^{p(x)}+\frac{|\nabla \bar{u}|^{2 p(x)}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla \bar{u}|^{2 p(x)}}}\right) d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega^{-}} f(x, \bar{u}(x)) \bar{u}(x) d x \leq 0
$$

that is, $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\Omega^{-}\right)}=0$ which is absurd. Hence, our claim is proved.
As an example, we state here the following special case of our results.
Theorem 3.5. Let $p(x)=p>N$ for every $x \in \Omega$ and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative continuous function. Put $F(t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(\xi) d \xi$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $F(d)>0$ for some $d \geq \gamma$ and, moreover,

$$
\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^{p}}=\limsup _{|\xi| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^{p}}=0
$$

Then, there is $\lambda^{\star}>0$ such that for each $\lambda>\lambda^{\star}$ the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2 p}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=\lambda f(u), \quad x \in \Omega \\
u=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

admits at least three non-negative weak solutions.
Proof. Fix $\lambda>\lambda^{*}:=\frac{\beta^{\circ} d^{p}}{p F(d)|\Omega|}$ for some $d \geq \gamma$ such that $F(d)>0$. Since

$$
\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^{p}}=0
$$

there is a sequence $\left.\left\{c_{n}\right\} \subset\right] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} c_{n}=0$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F\left(c_{n}\right)}{c_{n}^{p}}=0
$$

Therefore, there exists $\bar{c} \geq m$ such that

$$
\frac{F(\bar{c})}{\bar{c}^{p}}<\min \left\{\frac{F(d)}{(m d)^{p} \beta^{\circ}}, \frac{1}{p|\Omega| m^{p} \lambda}\right\}
$$

and $\bar{c}<m d\left(\beta^{\circ}\right)^{1 / p}$. Also, by the assumption

$$
\limsup _{|\xi| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^{p}}=0
$$

the functional $T_{\lambda}$ is coercive. Hence, by taking Remark 3.1 into account, the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.4.
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