

## ON $n$ -ABSORBING IDEALS AND THE $n$ -KRULL DIMENSION OF A COMMUTATIVE RING

HOSEIN FAZAEI MOGHIMI AND SADEGH RAHIMI NAGHANI

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$  and  $n$  a positive integer. In this article, we introduce the  $n$ -Krull dimension of  $R$ , denoted  $\dim_n R$ , which is the supremum of the lengths of chains of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . We study the  $n$ -Krull dimension in several classes of commutative rings. For example, the  $n$ -Krull dimension of an Artinian ring is finite for every positive integer  $n$ . In particular, if  $R$  is an Artinian ring with  $k$  maximal ideals and  $l(R)$  is the length of a composition series for  $R$ , then  $\dim_n R = l(R) - k$  for some positive integer  $n$ . It is proved that a Noetherian domain  $R$  is a Dedekind domain if and only if  $\dim_n R = n$  for every positive integer  $n$  if and only if  $\dim_2 R = 2$ . It is shown that Krull's (Generalized) Principal Ideal Theorem does not hold in general when prime ideals are replaced by  $n$ -absorbing ideals for some  $n > 1$ .

### 1. Introduction

We assume throughout this paper that all rings are commutative with  $1 \neq 0$ . The concept of 2-absorbing ideal, which is a generalization of prime ideal, was introduced by A. Badawi in [4] and studied in [5], [9]. Various generalizations of prime ideals are also studied in [1, 2, 10]. In recent years, 2-absorbing ideals have been generalized and studied in several directions (see, for example, [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]). As in [3], for a positive integer  $n$ , a proper ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring  $R$  is called an  $n$ -absorbing ideal if whenever  $x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} \in I$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ , then there are  $n$  of the  $x_i$ 's whose product is in  $I$ . It is evident that 1-absorbing ideals are just prime ideals. This was our motivation for the following generalization of the Krull dimension of a ring.

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $n$  a positive integer. Then

$$I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_m,$$

---

Received January 28, 2015; Revised July 14, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A15; Secondary 13C15, 13E10, 13F05.

*Key words and phrases.*  $n$ -absorbing ideal,  $n$ -Krull dimension,  $n$ -height, Artinian ring, Dedekind domain.

where  $I_0, I_1, \dots, I_m$  are distinct  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ , is called a chain of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of length  $m$ . The  $n$ -Krull dimension of  $R$ , denoted by  $\dim_n R$ , is defined to be the supremum of the lengths of these chains. Thus  $\dim_1 R$  is just the usual Krull dimension,  $\dim R$ , of  $R$ .

As a first example, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  for a positive integer  $n$  and a prime integer  $p$ . By [3, Lemma 2.8, Theorem 2.1(c)], the set of  $k$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  consists of all ideals of the form  $p^i \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Thus for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\dim_k(R) = k - 1$  and  $\dim_k(R) = n - 1$  for all  $k \geq n$ .

By [3, Theorem 2.1(c)], every  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  is an  $m$ -absorbing ideal for all  $m \geq n$ . It follows immediately that

$$(*) \quad \dim R = \dim_1 R \leq \dim_2 R \leq \dim_3 R \leq \dots$$

We will give several examples for which some of the inequalities in  $(*)$  may be strict. There exists a Noetherian ring  $R$  such that  $\dim_1 R = \infty$  ([11, Exercise 9.6]). Thus by  $(*)$ , the  $n$ -Krull dimension of a Noetherian ring may be infinity for each positive integer  $n$ . However, we shall see that for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $\dim_n(R)$  is finite in the case that  $R$  is an Artinian ring (Theorem 2.8) or a Dedekind domain (Theorem 2.19). We also show that if  $R$  is a Noetherian local domain with  $\dim_1(R) = 1$ , then  $\dim_2 R$  is finite (Theorem 2.9). Furthermore, if [3, Conjecture 2] holds, then  $\dim_n R$  is finite for all  $n \geq 3$  (Theorem 2.10).

In the rest of paper, we assume that  $l(R)$  denotes the length of a composition series for a ring  $R$  which is of finite length. It is shown that if  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is an Artinian local ring and  $n$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ , then  $\dim_k R = l(R) - 1$  for each  $k \geq n$  (Theorem 2.12). In particular, if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal it is shown that  $\dim_k(R) = n - 1$  if  $k \geq n$  and  $\dim_k(R) = k - 1$  if  $1 \leq k \leq n$  (Corollary 2.14). It is shown that if  $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_k$ , where each  $R_i$  is a ring, then  $\sum_{i=1}^k \dim_{n_i} R_i \leq \dim_n R$ , for all positive integers  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  with  $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ . Moreover, if some of the  $R_i$ 's are fields and  $\dim_n R$  is finite for some positive integer  $n$ , then  $\dim_n R \leq \sum_{i=t+1}^k \dim_n R_i + t$ , where  $t$  is the number of fields in this product (Theorem 2.11). Using this fact and the structure theorem for Artinian rings, we prove that if  $R$  is an Artinian ring with  $k$  maximal ideals, then  $\dim_n R = l(R) - k$  for some positive integer  $n$  (Theorem 2.13). As in [3], if  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  for some positive integer  $n$ , define  $\omega(I) = \min\{n : I \text{ is an } n\text{-absorbing ideal of } R\}$ , otherwise, set  $\omega(I) = \infty$ . It is shown that if  $I \subseteq J$  are ideals of a Dedekind domain  $R$ , then  $I = J$  (respectively  $I \subset J$ ) if and only if  $\omega(J) = \omega(I)$  (respectively  $\omega(J) < \omega(I)$ ) (Lemmas 2.17 and 2.18). It is shown that a Noetherian domain  $R$  is a Dedekind domain if and only if  $\dim_n R = n$  for every positive integer  $n$  if and only if  $\dim_2 R = 2$  (Theorem 2.19).

Finally, among several examples of the  $n$ -Krull dimension of a ring, some examples are given to show that Krull's (Generalized) Principal Ideal Theorem can not be generalized when  $n$ -absorbing ideals for  $n > 1$  are considered rather than prime ideals.

**2. The  $n$ -Krull dimension of a ring**

An  $n$ -absorbing ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal of the ideal  $J$  if  $J \subseteq I$  and there is no  $n$ -absorbing ideal  $I'$  such that  $J \subseteq I' \subset I$ . By a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , we mean a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $(0)$ . Although every prime ideal of  $R$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal for each  $n \geq 2$ , there exists a minimal prime ideal which is not a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal for each  $n \geq 2$ . For example, if  $R = K[X]$  is the polynomial ring in one variable  $X$  over a field  $K$ , the minimal prime ideal  $P = RX$  of  $(0)$  is not a minimal 2-absorbing ideal of  $(0)$ , since by [3, Lemma 2.8],  $RX^2$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then for each positive integer  $n$ , there is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  which is minimal among all  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Since every maximal ideal of  $R$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal for each  $n > 1$ ,  $\Sigma$  is not empty. It is clear that  $(\Sigma, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set in which  $I \leq I'$  if and only if  $I \supseteq I'$  for all  $I, I' \in \Sigma$ . Let  $C = \{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be an arbitrary non-empty chain of elements of  $\Sigma$  and set  $J = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ . We show that  $J$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Since  $C$  is non-empty,  $J \neq R$ . Let  $a_1 \cdots a_{n+1} \in J$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ . Let  $\widehat{a}_i = \prod_{j \neq i} a_j$ , the product of all  $a_j$ 's except  $a_i$ . Assume that  $\widehat{a}_i \notin J$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then, for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists  $I_{\lambda_i} \in C$  such that  $\widehat{a}_i \notin I_{\lambda_i}$ . We may assume that  $I_{\lambda_1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_{\lambda_n}$ . For  $\mu \in \Lambda$ , we have the following cases:

- (1) If  $I_\mu \subseteq I_{\lambda_1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_{\lambda_n}$ , then  $\widehat{a}_i \notin I_\mu$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now since  $a_1 \cdots a_{n+1} \in I_\mu$  and  $I_\mu$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , we have  $\widehat{a_{n+1}} \in I_\mu$ .
- (2) If there exists  $1 < j \leq n$  such that

$$I_{\lambda_1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_{\lambda_{j-1}} \subseteq I_\mu \subseteq I_{\lambda_j} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_{\lambda_n},$$

then  $\widehat{a}_i \notin I_{\lambda_1}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now since  $a_1 \cdots a_{n+1} \in I_{\lambda_1}$  and  $I_{\lambda_1}$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , we have  $\widehat{a_{n+1}} \in I_{\lambda_1} \subseteq I_\mu$ .

Thus  $\widehat{a_{n+1}} \in I_\mu$  for each  $\mu \in \Lambda$ , and therefore  $\widehat{a_{n+1}} \in J$ . Hence by Zorn's Lemma,  $(\Sigma, \leq)$  has a maximal element, i.e., there is a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ . □

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$ . Then for each positive integer  $n$ , there is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  which is minimal among all  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  containing  $I$ .*

*Proof.* Use Theorem 2.1 and [2, Corollary 4.3(b)]. □

*Remark 2.3.* Every  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  is contained in a maximal ideal of  $R$  (and, of course, maximal ideals are  $n$ -absorbing ideals). Also, every  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  contains a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $(0)$  by Theorem 2.1. It follows that  $\dim_n R$  is equal to the supremum of lengths of chains

$$I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_m$$

of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  in which  $I_m$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$  and  $I_0$  is a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $(0)$ .

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ .

- (1) If  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , the  $n$ -height of  $I$ , denoted by  $\text{ht}_n(I)$ , is defined to be the supremum of lengths of chains

$$I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_m$$

of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  for which  $I_m = I$  if this supremum exists, and  $\infty$  otherwise.

- (2) If  $I$  is a proper ideal of  $R$  (not necessarily  $n$ -absorbing ideal) and  $n$  a positive integer, the  $n$ -height of  $I$ , denoted by  $\text{ht}_n(I)$ , is defined to be

$$\min\{\text{ht}_n(J) : J \text{ is an } n\text{-absorbing ideal and } J \supseteq I\}.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $I \subseteq J$  be  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Then  $\text{ht}_n(I) \leq \text{ht}_n(J)$ . In particular, if  $\text{ht}_n(J) < \infty$ , then  $I = J$  if and only if  $\text{ht}_n(I) = \text{ht}_n(J)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\text{ht}_n(J) = \infty$ , there is nothing to prove. So let  $\text{ht}_n(J) < \infty$ . We may assume that  $I \subset J$ . First note that  $\text{ht}_n(I)$  is finite, since for each chain  $I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_m = I$  of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ , we have the chain  $I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_m \subset J$  of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Let  $\text{ht}_n(I) = m$ , and  $I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_m$  be a chain of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  with  $I_m = I$ . Then, the chain  $I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_m \subset J$  of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  shows that  $\text{ht}_n(J) \geq m + 1$ . The “in particular” statement follows immediately.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ . Then for any positive integer  $n$ ,

$$\text{ht}_n(I) = \min\{\text{ht}_n(J) : J \text{ is a minimal } n\text{-absorbing ideal of } I\}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly,

$$\text{ht}_n(I) \leq \min\{\text{ht}_n(J) : J \text{ is a minimal } n\text{-absorbing ideal of } I\}.$$

Thus, if  $\text{ht}_n(I) = \infty$ , then there is nothing to prove. So let  $\text{ht}_n(I) = m < \infty$ . Then there exists an  $n$ -absorbing ideal  $J \supseteq I$  of  $R$ , such that  $\text{ht}_n(J) = \text{ht}_n(I) = m$ . By Corollary 2.2, there exists a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal  $J'$  of  $I$  such that  $I \subseteq J' \subset J$ . It follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $\text{ht}_n(I) \leq \text{ht}_n(J') \leq \text{ht}_n(J)$ . Thus

$$\min\{\text{ht}_n(J) : J \text{ is a minimal } n\text{-absorbing ideal of } I\} \leq \text{ht}_n(I).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $I \subset J$  be ideals of  $R$ , and  $J$  be an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  such that  $\text{ht}_n(J)$  is finite. If  $\text{ht}_n(I) = \text{ht}_n(J)$ , then  $J$  is a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $I$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $J$  is not a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $I$ . Then by Corollary 2.2, there exists a minimal  $n$ -absorbing ideal  $J'$  of  $I$  such that  $I \subseteq J' \subset J$ . In view of Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.5, we have  $\text{ht}_n(I) \leq \text{ht}_n(J') < \text{ht}_n(J)$ , contrary to hypothesis.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $n$  a positive integer. If  $\dim_n R$  is finite, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_n R &= \sup\{\text{ht}_n(I) : I \text{ is an } n\text{-absorbing ideal of } R\} \\ &= \sup\{\text{ht}_n(\mathfrak{m}) : \mathfrak{m} \text{ is a maximal ideal of } R\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* To show the first equality, if  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , then it is clear that  $\text{ht}_n(I) \leq \dim_n R$ . Thus, we have the “ $\geq$ ” for the required equalities. In order to show the “ $\leq$ ”, let  $\dim_n R = t$ . Then there exists a chain  $I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \dots \subset I_t$  of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Set  $I_t = I$ , then  $\text{ht}_n(I) = t$ , and therefore we have “ $\leq$ ” for the required equalities. The second equality immediately follows from Remark 2.3 and the first equality.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *If  $R$  is an Artinian ring, then  $\dim_n R$  is finite for each positive integer  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is Artinian,  $\text{Max}(R)$  is a finite set. Let  $\text{Max}(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_k\}$ . Since  $R$  has finite length, the lengths of all strict chains of ideals of  $R$  have an upper bound, say  $t$ . Thus  $\text{ht}_n(\mathfrak{m}_i) \leq t$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Now the result follows from Remark 2.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local domain with  $\dim_1(R) = 1$ . Then  $\dim_2(R)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $(0) = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \dots \subset I_t = \mathfrak{m}$  be a chain of 2-absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Since  $\dim_1(R) = 1$ ,  $\text{Rad}(I_1) = \mathfrak{m}$ , and so  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq I_1$  by [4, Theorem 2.4]. Now, since by [14, Exercise 15.17],  $l(R/\mathfrak{m}^2) < \infty$ , we conclude that  $t \leq l(R/\mathfrak{m}^2) < \infty$ . Thus  $\dim_2(R) < \infty$  by Remark 2.3.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a ring. It is clear that  $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$  for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ . If  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ , then  $\text{Rad}(I)^2 \subseteq I$  by [4, Theorem 2.4] and [3, Theorem 6.1]. In [3, Conjecture 2], it has been conjectured that if  $n \geq 3$  and  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , then  $\text{Rad}(I)^n \subseteq I$ . This is true, for example, when  $R$  is a Prüfer domain [3, Corollary 6.9]. The following result extends Theorem 2.9 if the conjecture holds.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local domain with  $\dim_1(R) = 1$ . Then  $\dim_n(R)$  is finite for every positive integer  $n$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Corollary 2.9, but by replacing [4, Theorem 2.4] by [3, Conjecture 2] and using  $n$  instead of 2.  $\square$

The following theorem will be used to show that for an Artinian ring  $R$  with  $k$  maximal ideals,  $\dim_n R = l(R) - k$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_k$ , where each  $R_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) is a ring.*

(1) If  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  are positive integers and  $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \dim_{n_i} R_i \leq \dim_n R.$$

(2) If  $R_i$  is not a field for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $\dim_n R$  is finite for some positive integer  $n$ , then

$$\dim_n R \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \dim_n R_i.$$

(3) If  $R_1, \dots, R_t$  are fields and  $R_{t+1}, \dots, R_k$  are not fields for some  $1 \leq t \leq k$ , and  $\dim_n R$  is finite for some positive integer  $n$ , then

$$\dim_n R \leq \sum_{i=t+1}^k \dim_n R_i + t.$$

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $I_{1i} \subset I_{2i} \subset \dots \subset I_{ik_i}$  is a chain of  $n_i$ -absorbing ideals of  $R_i$ . Thus  $I'_{1i} \subset I'_{2i} \subset \dots \subset I'_{ik_i}$  is a chain of  $n_i$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ , where for each  $1 \leq j \leq k_i$

$$I'_{ji} = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{i-1} \times I_{ji} \times R_{i+1} \times \dots \times R_k$$

is an  $n_i$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Thus  $\dim_{n_i} R \geq \dim_{n_i} R_i$ , and so  $\dim_n R \geq \dim_{n_i} R_i$ . Hence, if  $\dim_{n_i} R_i = \infty$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , then  $\dim_n R = \infty$ . Now, we assume that for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\dim_{n_i} R_i = t_i < \infty$ . Thus, for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , there exists a chain  $I_{i0} \subset I_{i1} \subset I_{i2} \subset \dots \subset I_{it_i}$  of  $n_i$ -absorbing ideals of  $R_i$ . By [3, Theorem 4.7], we have the following chain of  $n$ -absorbing ideals which is of the length  $t_1 + \dots + t_k$ .

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10} \times I_{20} \times I_{30} \times \dots \times I_{k0} &\subset I_{11} \times I_{20} \times I_{30} \times \dots \times I_{k0} \subset \dots \subset I_{1t_1} \times I_{20} \times I_{30} \times \dots \times I_{k0} \subset \\ I_{1t_1} \times I_{21} \times I_{30} \times \dots \times I_{k0} &\subset I_{1t_1} \times I_{22} \times I_{30} \times \dots \times I_{k0} \subset \dots \subset I_{1t_1} \times I_{2t_2} \times I_{30} \times \dots \times I_{k0} \subset \\ &\vdots \\ I_{1t_1} \times \dots \times I_{k-1t_{k-1}} \times I_{k0} &\subset I_{1t_1} \times \dots \times I_{k-1t_{k-1}} \times I_{k1} \subset \dots \subset I_{1t_1} \times \dots \times I_{k-1t_{k-1}} \times I_{kt_k} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\dim_n R \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \dim_{n_i} R_i$ .

(2) Let  $\dim_n R = s$ . By induction on  $k$ , it suffices to show that the assertion holds for  $k = 2$ . In this case, there exists a chain

$$I_0 \times I'_0 \subset I_1 \times I'_1 \subset \dots \subset I_s \times I'_s$$

of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ . We may assume that there is a chain  $I_0 \subset \dots \subset I_t \subset I_{t+1} = R_1$  of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R_1$  for some  $0 \leq t \leq s$ . Then  $\dim_n R_1 \geq t$ , and we must have the chain  $I'_{t+1} \subset \dots \subset I'_s$  of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R_2$ . Therefore  $\dim_n R_2 \geq s - t$ , and so  $\dim_n R_1 + \dim_n R_2 \geq t + (s - t) = s$ .

(3) By induction and part (2), we only need to show that  $\dim_n(R_1 \times F_1) \leq \dim_n(R_1) + 1$ , where  $F_1$  is a field. Let  $\dim_n(R_1 \times F_1) = s$ . Then there exists a chain

$$I_{10} \times I_{20} \subset I_{11} \times I_{21} \subset \dots \subset I_{1s} \times I_{2s}$$

of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Let  $I_{2j} = F_1$  for some  $0 \leq j \leq s$ . Then we have the chain  $I_{1j} \subset I_{1j+1} \subset \dots \subset I_{1s}$  of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R_1$ . Now the length of this chain is  $s$  if  $j = 0$  or  $j > 0$  and  $I_{1j-1} \neq I_{1j}$ , and is  $s - 1$  if  $j > 0$  and  $I_{1j-1} = I_{1j}$ . Thus  $\dim_n R_1 \geq s - 1$ , i.e.,  $\dim_n R \leq \dim_n R_1 + 1$  as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an Artinian local ring and  $n$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n = (0)$ . Then  $\dim_k R = l(R) - 1$  for each  $k \geq n$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption  $\mathfrak{m}^n = (0)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \neq (0)$ . Let  $k \geq n$  and  $\dim_k R = t$ . Then there exists a chain  $(0) = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \dots \subset I_t = \mathfrak{m}$  of  $k$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}^k = (0)$ , by [3, Theorem 3.1], every ideal of  $R$  is a  $k$ -absorbing ideal. It follows that the chain  $(0) = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \dots \subset I_t = \mathfrak{m} \subset R$  is a composition series for  $R$ . Hence,  $\dim_k R = l(R) - 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $R$  be an Artinian ring with  $k$  maximal ideals. Then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\dim_n R = l(R) - k$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is Artinian, by [11, Corollary 2.16], there exist Artinian local rings  $R_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ), such that  $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_k$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  be the unique maximal ideal of  $R_i$  and  $n_i$  a positive integer such that  $\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i} = (0)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i-1} \neq (0)$ . Thus by Theorem 2.12,  $\dim_{\mathfrak{m}_i} R_i = l(R_i) - 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $m \geq n_i$ . Let  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$ . Then by Theorem 2.11(1), we have

$$\dim_{n_1} R_1 + \dots + \dim_{n_k} R_k \leq \dim_n R.$$

It follows that  $l(R) - k \leq \dim_n R$ . Now we have the following two cases:

- (1) If  $R_i$  is not a field for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , then by Theorem 2.11(2), we have

$$\dim_n R \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \dim_n R_i = l(R) - k.$$

- (2) Suppose that some of the  $R_i$ 's are fields. We may assume that  $R$  is of the form  $R = F_1 \times \dots \times F_t \times R_{t+1} \times \dots \times R_k$  for fields  $F_1, \dots, F_t$  ( $1 \leq t \leq k$ ). Thus by Theorem 2.11(3) and the proof of Theorem 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_n R &\leq \sum_{i=t+1}^k \dim_n R_i + t = \sum_{i=t+1}^k (l(R_i) - 1) + t \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=t+1}^k l(R_i) + t \right) - k = l(R) - k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\dim_n R = l(R) - k$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.14.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an Artinian local ring such that  $\mathfrak{m} \neq (0)$  is principal. Let  $n$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n = (0)$ . Then for each  $k \geq n$ ,  $\dim_k(R) = n - 1$ , and for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\dim_k(R) = k - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{m}^n = (0)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \neq (0)$ , by [14, Lemma 15.41], the chain

$$(0) = \mathfrak{m}^n \subset \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{m} \subset R$$

is a composition series for  $R$ . Thus by Theorem 2.12,  $\dim_k(R) = n - 1$  for each  $k \geq n$ . Now let  $1 \leq k < n$ . Then by [14, Lemma 15.41] and [3, Theorem 3.1], the only chain of  $k$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  is  $\mathfrak{m}^k \subset \mathfrak{m}^{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . Thus  $\dim_k(R) = k - 1$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.15.** Let  $K$  be a field.

- (1) Let  $R = K[X]/(X^n)$ , where  $n \geq 2$  is an integer. Then  $R$  is an Artinian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (X)/(X^n)$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{m}^n = (0)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \neq (0)$ . Thus, by Corollary 2.14, we have  $\dim_k(R) = n - 1$  for each  $k \geq n$ , and  $\dim_k(R) = k - 1$  for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .
- (2) If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not principal, then Corollary 2.14 is not necessarily true. For instance, let  $R = K[X, Y]/(X^2, Y^2)$ . Then  $R$  is an Artinian local ring with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (X, Y)/(X^2, Y^2)$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{m}^3 = (0)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \neq (0)$ . One can easily see that the chain

$$(0) \subset \mathfrak{m}^2 \subset (X^2, Y)/(X^2, Y^2) \subset \mathfrak{m} \subset R$$

is a composition series for  $R$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.12,  $\dim_3(R) = 3$ . Furthermore,  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subset (X^2, Y)/(X^2, Y^2) \subset \mathfrak{m}$  is a chain of 2-absorbing ideals of  $R$ , so  $\dim_2 R \geq 2$ . Note that  $(0)$  is a 3-absorbing ideal which is not 2-absorbing since  $X(X+Y)Y \in (X^2, Y^2)$ , and  $X(X+Y), (X+Y)Y, XY \notin (X^2, Y^2)$ . Thus  $\dim_2 R = 2$ . However, Corollary 2.14 is true for  $k = 1$ , i.e.,  $\dim_1 R = \dim R = 0$ .

In the rest of this section, we determine the  $n$ -absorbing dimension of some special rings.

**Theorem 2.16.** ([3, Theorem 5.1]) *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian integral domain. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a Dedekind domain;
- (2) If  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , then  $I = M_1 \cdots M_m$  for maximal ideals  $M_1, \dots, M_m$  of  $R$  with  $1 \leq m \leq n$ .

Moreover, if  $I = M_1 \cdots M_n$  for maximal ideals  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  of a Dedekind domain  $R$  which is not field, then  $\omega(I) = n$ .

**Lemma 2.17.** *Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain. Assume that  $I \subseteq J$  are ideals of  $R$ . Then  $I = J$  if and only if  $\omega(I) = \omega(J)$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity is clear. For sufficiency, since  $R$  is a Dedekind domain and  $I \subseteq J$ , we have  $I = P_1^{k_1} \cdots P_s^{k_s}$  and  $J = P_1^{l_1} \cdots P_s^{l_s}$  for maximal ideals  $P_1, \dots, P_s$  of  $R$  and positive integers  $k_1, \dots, k_s$  and  $l_1, \dots, l_s$  with  $l_i \leq k_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.16,  $\omega(I) = k_1 + \cdots + k_s$  and  $\omega(J) = l_1 + \cdots + l_s$ . Since  $\omega(I) = \omega(J)$  and  $l_i \leq k_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , we conclude that  $k_i = l_i$  for all  $i$ , and therefore  $I = J$ .  $\square$



**Lemma 2.18.** *Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain. Assume that  $I \subset J$  are ideals of  $R$ . Then  $\omega(J) < \omega(I)$ .*

*Proof.* In a similar way as the proof of Lemma 2.17, we have  $I = P_1^{k_1} \cdots P_s^{k_s}$  and  $J = P_1^{l_1} \cdots P_s^{l_s}$  for maximal ideals  $P_1, \dots, P_s$  of  $R$  and positive integers  $k_1, \dots, k_s$  and  $l_1, \dots, l_s$  such that  $l_i \leq k_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Furthermore,  $\omega(I) = k_1 + \cdots + k_s$  and  $\omega(J) = l_1 + \cdots + l_s$ . Since  $I \subset J$ , we must have  $l_i < k_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Thus  $\omega(J) < \omega(I)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.19.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian integral domain which is not a field. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a Dedekind domain;
- (2)  $\dim_n R = n$ , for every positive integer  $n$ ;
- (3)  $\dim_2(R) = 2$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $n$  be a positive integer. By Theorem 2.7 and the fact that  $\dim_n R$  is equal to the supremum of lengths of chains  $I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_m$  of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  in which  $I_m$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ , it suffices to show that  $\text{ht}_n(\mathfrak{m}) = n$  for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Hence, we have the following chain of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$

$$(0) \subset \mathfrak{m}^n \subset \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{m}.$$

Thus  $\text{ht}_n(\mathfrak{m}) \geq n$ . Assume to the contrary that  $\text{ht}_n(\mathfrak{m}) > n$ . Then there exists a chain  $0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_{t-1} \subset I_t = \mathfrak{m}$  of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  with  $t > n$ . Hence by Lemma 2.18, we have

$$\omega(I_t) < \omega(I_{t-1}) < \cdots < \omega(I_1),$$

and therefore  $t - 1 < \omega(I_1) \leq n$ , which is a contradiction.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is a domain which is not a field,  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \neq (0)$ . Thus by [3, Lemma 2.8],  $(0) \subset \mathfrak{m}^2 \subset \mathfrak{m}$  is a chain of 2-absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Every ideal between  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ , and hence a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by [3, Theorem 3.1]. Now the hypothesis  $\dim_2 R = 2$  implies that there are no ideals of  $R$  properly between  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Thus  $R$  is a Dedekind domain by [12, Theorem 6.20].  $\square$

**Example 2.20.** If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, then by Theorem 2.19,  $\dim_n R = n$  for every positive integer  $n$ . In particular,  $\dim_n \mathbb{Z} = \dim_n \mathbb{Z}[i] = \dim_n K[X] = \dim_n K[[X]] = n$ , where  $K[X]$  and  $K[[X]]$  are the ring of polynomials and the ring of formal power series over a field  $K$ , respectively, and  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is the ring of Gaussian integers. Moreover, let  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . It is well-known that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is a Dedekind domain that is not a principal ideal domain, and so  $\dim_n \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = n$  by Theorem 2.19.

If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a discrete valuation ring, it is well known that every non-zero ideal of  $R$  is uniquely of the form  $\mathfrak{m}^n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer. Furthermore,

by [3, Lemma 2.8], the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^n$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal with  $\omega(\mathfrak{m}^n) = n$ . Thus every ideal of  $R$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal for some positive integer  $n$ . In particular,  $0, \mathfrak{m}, \dots, \mathfrak{m}^n$  are the only  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . This leads us to the following result.

For a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  over a field  $F$ , we shall denote the dimension of  $V$  by  $\text{vdim}_F V$ .

**Theorem 2.21.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a discrete valuation ring and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ . Then*

- (1)  *$I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal for some positive integer  $n$  and  $\omega(I) = l_R(R/I)$ .*
- (2) *For every positive integer  $n$ ,  $\dim_n(R) = l_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^n) = n$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a discrete valuation ring,  $I = \mathfrak{m}^n$  for a unique positive integer  $n$ . Further, by [3, Lemma 2.8],  $\omega(I) = n$ . Consider the following saturated chain of ideals of  $R$

$$I = \mathfrak{m}^n \subset \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{m} \subset R.$$

Then  $l_R(R/I) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} l_R(\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1})$ . Now

$$l_R(\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}) = \text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}) = 1$$

is the dimension of the vector space  $\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$  over  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ . Hence  $l_R(R/I) = n = \omega(I)$ .

- (2) This follows immediately from part (1) and Theorem 2.19.  $\square$

If  $R$  is a one-dimensional valuation domain with principal maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , then by [13, Theorem 11.2],  $R$  is a principal ideal domain and so  $\dim_n R = n$ . In the following example, we show that this is not necessarily true for every valuation domain  $R$ , even if  $\dim_1 R = 1$ .

**Example 2.22.** (See [3, Example 5.6])

- (1) Let  $R$  be a one-dimensional valuation domain with non-principal maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . For every positive integer  $n$ , the only  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  are  $(0)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Therefore  $\dim_n R = 1$  for every positive integer  $n$ .
- (2) Let  $R$  be a two-dimensional valuation domain with prime ideals  $0 \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  and value group  $G$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer. If  $G = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  (all direct sums have the lexicographic order), then  $\mathfrak{p}^{i+1} \neq \mathfrak{p}^i$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} \neq \mathfrak{m}^i$  for all  $i$ ; so  $(0), \mathfrak{p}^k$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}^k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$  are the only  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Thus, the longest chain of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  is the chain  $(0) \subset \mathfrak{p}^n \subset \mathfrak{m}^n \subset \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \dots \subset \mathfrak{m}$ , and therefore  $\dim_n R = n + 1$ . If  $G = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}^2 = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}$ ; so  $(0), \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  are the only  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Thus  $\dim_n R = 2$ . If  $G = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^{i+1} \neq \mathfrak{p}^i$  for all  $i$ ; so  $(0), \mathfrak{p}^k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  are the only  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Thus  $(0) \subset \mathfrak{p}^n \subset \mathfrak{p}^{n-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  is the longest chain of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Hence  $\dim_n R = n + 1$ . If  $G = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}^2 = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} \neq \mathfrak{m}^i$  for all  $i$ ; so  $(0), \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}^k$

with  $1 \leq k \leq n$  are the only  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Thus, the longest chain of  $n$ -absorbing ideals of  $R$  is  $(0) \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}^n \subset \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . Hence  $\dim_n R = n + 1$ .

In the following examples, we show that a number of results concerning the 1-Krull dimension for a ring  $R$  can not be generalized to  $n$ -Krull dimension in case  $n > 1$ .

**Example 2.23.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $I$  a nilpotent ideal of  $R$ . It is easily seen that  $\dim_n R = \dim_n R/I$  if  $n = 1$ . But if  $n > 1$ , this is not necessarily true. For instance, let  $R = K[X]$ , where  $K$  is a field. Let  $I = (X^2)$  and  $S = R/I$ . Then  $\dim_2 S = 1$  by Example 2.15. For the ideal  $J = (X)/(X^2)$  of  $S$ , it is clear that  $J$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $S$  and  $S/J \cong K$ . Thus  $\dim_2(S/J) = 0$ , and therefore  $\dim_2 S \neq \dim_2 S/J$ .

**Example 2.24.** Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring and  $I$  a prime (1-absorbing) ideal of  $R$  which is generated by  $m$  elements. Then  $\text{ht}_n(I) \leq m$  for  $n = 1$  (Krull's Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem [14, Theorem 15.4]). In the following examples, we show that this is not necessarily true if  $n > 1$ , whether  $I$  is a prime ideal or not.

- (1) Let  $K$  be a field,  $R = K[X]$ , and  $I = RX$ . Since  $(0) \subset RX^2 \subset RX$  is a chain of 2-absorbing ideals of  $R$ , we have  $\text{ht}_2(RX) = 2$ .
- (2) Let  $K$  be a field and  $R = K[X]/(X^3)$ . Then  $R$  is an Artinian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (X)/(X^3)$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \neq 0$ . By [3, Theorem 3.1],  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  is a 2-absorbing ideal, but not a prime ideal of  $R$ . Now by [14, Lemma 15.41],  $(0) \subset \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathfrak{m}^2 \subset \mathfrak{m}$  is the only chain of 3-absorbing ideals of  $R$ . Hence  $\text{ht}_3(\mathfrak{m}^2) = 2$ .
- (3) Let  $K$  be a field and  $R = K[X, Y]/(X^3, Y^2)$ . Then  $R$  is an Artinian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (X, Y)/(X^3, Y^2)$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{m}^4 = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^3 \neq 0$ . Now if  $I = (X^2, Y)/(X^3, Y^2)$ , then by [3, Theorem 3.1],  $I$  is a 4-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Since

$$(0) \subset \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset (X^2, Y^2)/(X^3, Y^2) \subset \mathfrak{m}^2 \subset I \subset \mathfrak{m} \subset R$$

is a composition series for  $R$ , we have  $\text{ht}_4(I) = 4$ .

- (4) Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and  $\mathfrak{m}$  a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Then by [13, Exercise 11.5],  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generated by at most two elements. However, by the proof of Theorem 2.19,  $\text{ht}_n(\mathfrak{m}) = n$  for every positive integer  $n$ .

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of our article and many insightful comments.

### References

- [1] D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh, *Generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), no. 2, 686–696.
- [2] D. D. Anderson and E. Smith, *Weakly prime ideals*, Houston J. Math. **29** (2003), no. 4, 831–840.

- [3] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, *On  $n$ -absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), no. 5, 1646–1672.
- [4] A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **75** (2007), no. 3, 417–429.
- [5] A. Badawi and A. Y. Darani, *On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Houston J. Math. **39** (2013), no. 2, 441–452.
- [6] A. Badawi, U. Tekir, and E. Yetkin, *On 2-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **51** (2014), no. 4, 1163–1173.
- [7] ———, *On weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015), no. 1, 97–111.
- [8] A. Yousefian Darani and H. Mostafanasab, *On 2-absorbing preradicals*, J. Algebra Appl. **14** (2015), 22 pages.
- [9] A. Yousefian Darani and E. R. Puczyłowski, *On 2-absorbing commutative semigroups and their applications to rings*, Semigroup Forum **86** (2013), no. 1, 83–91.
- [10] M. Ebrahimpour and R. Nekooei, *On generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra **40** (2012), no. 4, 1268–1279.
- [11] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **150**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [12] M. D. Larson and P. J. McCarthy, *Multiplicative Theory of Ideals*, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [13] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **8**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [14] R. Y. Sharp, *Steps in Commutative Algebra*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, **51**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

HOSEIN FAZAELI MOGHIMI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF BIRJAND  
BIRJAND, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* hfazaeli@birjand.ac.ir

SADEGH RAHIMI NAGHANI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF BIRJAND  
BIRJAND, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* sadegh.rahimi@birjand.ac.ir