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# PARITY BRACKET POLYNOMIAL VIA SOME PARITY OF VIRTUAL LINKS 
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#### Abstract

Manturov inroduced the parity bracket polynomial by using a parity of virtual knots, which is an extension of Jones-Kauffman polynomial. We extend Manturov's result to virtual links, so that we obtain the parity bracket polynomial for virtual links and give some examples.


## 1. Introduction

Virtual knot theory is introduced by Kauffman as a generalization of classical knot theory so that if two classical link diagrams are equivalent as virtual links, then they are equivalent as classical links [4]. A virtual link diagram is a link diagram in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ possibly with some encircled crossings without over/under information, called virtual crossings. A virtual link is the equivalence class of such a link diagram by generalized Reidemeister moves, which consist of (classical) Reidemeister moves of type $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ and virtual Reidemeister moves of type $V R_{1}, V R_{2}, V R_{3}$ and the semivirtual move $V R_{4}$ as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Generalized Reidemeister moves

[^0]Manturov [5] investigated parity properties of virtual knots so that every real crossing is declared to be even or odd according to a certain rule. In particular, he strengthens known invariants such as the Kauffman polynomial for virtual knots by using parities of real crossings so that he introduces the parity bracket polynomial for virtual knots.

Im and Park [2] found a parity of virtual links with certain properties, and defined a multi-variable polynomial invariant for virtual links. Also, Im, Park and Shin [3, 6] obtain some polynomial invariants of virtual links by using a parity.

In this paper we extend the Manturov's parity bracket polynomial of virtual knots to the case of virtual links and give some examples.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review a parity of virtual link diagrams introduced in [2] which is preserved under the generalized Reidemeister moves. In section 3, we give main result and some examples.

## 2. Preliminaries

We give a brief review about a parity of virtual link diagrams introduced by Im and Park [2] so that we can define some polynomial invariants for virtual links by using this parity.

A real crossing of a virtual link diagram $D$ is said to be a self crossing if the crossing belongs to the one component of $D$, and we denote the set of self crossings of $D$ by $S(D)$. A real crossing of $D$ is a mixed crossing if the crossing belongs to different components of $D$, and we denote the set of mixed crossings of $D$ by $M(D)$. If we denote the set of real crossings of $D$ by $C(D)$, then we have $C(D)=S(D) \sqcup M(D)$.

Recall a Gauss code for a virtual knot diagram is a sequence of labels for the crossings with each label repeated twice to indicate a walk along the diagram from a given starting point and returning to that point. In the case of multiple link components, we mean a sequence labels, each repeated twice and inserted by partition symbols $\mid$ to indicate the component circuits for the code.

For every real crossing of a virtual knot diagram, the Gaussian parity, which is even or odd, is determined. The parity is also defined for crossings of free knots. For free knots, see Manturov [5].

As a generalization of the Gaussian parity for virtual knots, a parity of a virtual link diagram is defined so that real crossings of a virtual link diagram can be labeled as even or odd.

Definition 1. [2] Let $D=D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n}$ be a virtual link diagram of a $n$-component virtual link. We define a parity of real crossings of $D$ in order as follows.

First, for every mixed crossing $c \in M(D)$ which belongs to $D_{i} \cap D_{j}(i \neq j)$, $c$ is odd if the number of real crossings of $D_{i} \cap D_{j}$ is odd. If the number of real crossings of $D_{i} \cap D_{j}$ is odd for $i \neq j$, we replace all virtual crossings of $D_{i} \cap D_{j}$ by real crossings whose signs are chosen arbitrarily and obtain a new
virtual link diagram $D^{\prime}=D_{1}^{\prime} \cup D_{2}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n}^{\prime}$ (if the number of real crossings of $D_{i} \cap D_{j}$ is even for all $i \neq j$, then $\left.D=D^{\prime}\right)$. Then the number of real crossings of $D_{i}^{\prime} \cap D_{j}^{\prime}$ is even for any $i \neq j$.

Now consider $c \in M(D)$ which belongs to $D_{i} \cap D_{j}(i \neq j)$ and the number of real crossings of $D_{i} \cap D_{j}$ is even. If there is a Gauss code of the virtual link diagram $D^{\prime}$ so that the number of labels between two appearances of $d$ for all real crossings $d \in M\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ is even, then $c$ is even. Otherwise, $c$ is odd.

Next, for every self crossing $c \in S(D), c$ is even (odd) if there is a Gauss code of the virtual link diagram $D^{\prime}$ so that the number of labels between two appearances of $c$ is even (odd), respectively.

For classical links, all crossings are even, but in virtual links real crossings can be even or odd. Also, the parity of virtual link diagrams can be affected under the generalized Reidemeister moves as follows.

Lemma 2.1. [2] Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be equivalent virtual link diagrams. Suppose $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are obtained from each other by a single generalized Reidemeister move, and the number of real crossings in $D_{2}$ is less or equal to that in $D_{1}$. Then the followings hold.
(1) If $D_{2}$ is obtained from $D_{1}$ by a $R_{1}$-move, then the real crossing of $D_{1}$ involved in the $R_{1}$-move is even.
(2) If $D_{2}$ is obtained from $D_{1}$ by a $R_{2}$-move, then both real crossings involved in the $R_{2}$-move have the same parity.
(3) If $D_{2}$ is obtained from $D_{1}$ by a $R_{3}$-move, then the three real crossings in the diagram $D_{1}$ and the corresponding three real crossings in $D_{2}$ which are involved in the $R_{3}$-move have the same parity.
(4) If $D_{2}$ is obtained from $D_{1}$ by a $V R_{4}$-move, then the corresponding real crossings in $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ which are involved in the V $R_{4}$-move have the same parity.
(5) For each generalized Reidemeister move from $D_{1}$ to $D_{2}$ there is a one-to-one correspondence between the real crossings in $D_{1}$ and the crossings in $D_{2}$ which are not involved in the Reidemeister move. The corresponding real crossings have the same parity.
Remark 1. For virtual knots, the parity given in Definition 2.1 is the same as the Gaussian parity of virtual knots defined by Manturov [5].

From now on, we assume that all virtual links are oriented if there is no special mention.

## 3. Parity polynomial invariant for virtual links

In this section we extend the parity bracket polynomial for virtual knots introduced by Manturov [5] to the case of virtual links.

We begin with the following Lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ be virtual link diagrams with $n$ components. Assume $D^{\prime}$ is obtained from $D$ by applying a $R_{3}$-move and at least one real crossing
among three real crossings in a local disk for a $R_{3}$-move belongs to a single component. Then the number of even crossings of $D\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ in a local disk for a $R_{3}$-move is not equal to 2, respectively.


Figure 2. A $R_{3}$-move

Proof. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ be real crossings between the top and the middle arcs, the top and the bottom arcs and the middle and the bottom arcs of $D$ in the process of $R_{3}$-move, respectively as in Figure 2. We denote the corresponding three real crossings of $D^{\prime}$ by $c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}$ and $c_{3}^{\prime}$. If $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ belong to $S(D)$, then the number of even crossings among them is either 1 or 3 .

Now we assume that $c_{1}, c_{2} \in M(D)$ and $c_{3} \in S(D)$. Then $c_{3}\left(c_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is in $D_{i}\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and $c_{1}, c_{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ are in $D_{i} \cap D_{j}\left(D_{i}^{\prime} \cap D_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ for some components of $D\left(D^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. If the number of real crossings of $D_{i} \cap D_{j}\left(D_{i}^{\prime} \cap D_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is odd, then $c_{1}\left(c_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $c_{2}\left(c_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are odd, respectively. As a result the conclusion follows. Thus we assume the number of real crossings of $D_{i} \cap D_{j}\left(D_{i}^{\prime} \cap D_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is even, respectively.

By Lemma 2.2, $c_{3}$ and $c_{3}^{\prime}$ have the same parity.
If $c_{3}$ is an even crossing and there is a Gauss code $\left(\cdots\left|c_{3} c_{1} \cdots c_{3} c_{2} \cdots\right| c_{1} c_{2} \cdots \mid \cdots\right)$ so that the number of labels between two appearances of $d$ for all real crossings $d \in M(D)$ is even, both $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are even. Otherwise, both $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are odd. Because of the corresponding Gauss code $\left(\cdots\left|c_{1}^{\prime} c_{3}^{\prime} \cdots c_{2}^{\prime} c_{3}^{\prime} \cdots\right| c_{2}^{\prime} c_{1}^{\prime} \cdots \mid \cdots\right)$ for $D^{\prime}$, we obtain that $c_{1}^{\prime}$ and $c_{2}^{\prime}$ are both either even or odd.

If $c_{3}\left(c_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is an odd crossing, there is no Gauss code for $D\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ so that the number of labels between two appearances of all crossings of $M(D)\left(M\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is even, respectively. Thus, $c_{1}\left(c_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $c_{2}\left(c_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are both odd, respectively.

As a consequence, the conclusion follows.
Now, we define the parity bracket polynomial for a virtual link diagram with $n$ components as follows.

Let $D=\cup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}$ be an unoriented virtual link diagram with $n$ components. For each pair $(i, j)(i<j)$, we define a parity state of a virtual link diagram $D_{i} \cup D_{j}$ to be a labeled virtual graph obtained from $D_{i} \cup D_{j}$ by using a parity of virtual link diagrams in Section 2 as follows: For each odd crossing in $D_{i} \cup$ $D_{j}$ replace the crossing by a graphical node. For each even crossing in $D_{i} \cup$ $D_{j}$ replace the crossing by one of its two possible smoothings, and label the smoothing site by $A$ or $A^{-1}$ in the usual way. See Figure 3.


Figure 3. Parity bracket polynomial
The resulting virtual graphs are taken up to the virtual equivalence and up to the reduction rule, shown in Figure 3. The reduction rule is simply a $R_{2}$-move reducing the number of nodes in a graphical state. Then the graphical states containing nodes are irreducible states and give as graphical coefficients to the polynomial.

Definition 2. Let $D=\cup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}$ be an unoriented virtual link diagram with $n$ components. Then a polynomial $<D>_{P}$ for $D$ is defined by

$$
<D>_{P}=\sum_{i<j} \sum_{s_{(i, j)}} A^{\alpha-\beta}\left(-A^{2}-A^{-2}\right)^{\gamma-1} G\left(s_{(i, j)}\right) .
$$

In this formula, $\alpha(\beta)$ is the number of all $A$-splices $\left(A^{-1}\right.$-splices) of $s_{(i, j)}$, respectively, $\gamma$ is the number of components in the state $s_{(i, j)}$ and $G\left(s_{(i, j)}\right)$ is the union of reduced graphical states containing graphical nodes. We call this polynomial the even bracket polynomial.

Theorem 3.2. If $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are equivalent unoriented virtual link diagrams by all generalized Reidemeister moves but $R_{1}$-moves, then $<D>_{P}=<D^{\prime}>_{P}$.

Proof. We may assume that the number of classical crossings of $D$ is less than or equal to that of $D^{\prime}$. If $D\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ has one component, it has been proven by Manturov [5]. Thus we assume that $D\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ has at least two components. For $i<j$ and a state $s\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ of $D_{i} \cup D_{j}\left(D_{i}^{\prime} \cup D_{j}^{\prime}\right)$, let $\alpha_{s}\left(\alpha_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right), \beta_{s}\left(\beta_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\gamma_{s}\left(\gamma_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ be the number of $A$-splices, $A^{-1}$-splices and the number of components of $s\left(s^{\prime}\right)$, respectively.

For a $R_{2}$-move, we consider two cases according to the parities of two new classical crossings $a$ and $b$ of $D_{i}^{\prime} \cup D_{j}^{\prime}$.
Case 1: $a$ and $b$ are both even crossings of $D_{i}^{\prime} \cup D_{j}^{\prime}$
By splicing at $a$ and $b$ of $D^{\prime}$ and mimicking the proof of the original Kauffman polynomial for virtual links, it is immediate that $<D>_{P}=<D^{\prime}>_{P}$.
Case 2: $a$ and $b$ are both odd crossings of $D_{i}^{\prime} \cup D_{j}^{\prime}$

By the reduction rule in Figure, we have $<D>_{P}=<D^{\prime}>_{P}$.
For a $R_{3}$-move, let $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ be classical crossings between the top and the middle arcs, the top and the bottom arcs, and the middle and the bottom arcs of $D_{i} \cup D_{j}$ in the process of $R_{3}$-move, respectively. We denote the corresponding three classical crossings of $D^{\prime}$ by $c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}$ and $c_{3}^{\prime}$, see Figure 4. Then by Lemma $2.2, c_{i}$ and $c_{i}^{\prime}$ have the same parities for $i=1,2,3$. Now by Lemma 3.1, the case that two of $c_{i}$ 's $(i=1,2,3)$ are even cannot happen. So we consider the following three cases according to the parities of three crossings in a local disc.


Figure 4. A $R_{3}$-move

Case 1: $c_{i}$ and $c_{i}^{\prime}$ are even crossings of $D$ and $D^{\prime}$, respectively for $i=1,2,3$. Then it is immediate that $<D>_{P}=<D^{\prime}>_{P}$ by following the same proof of the Kauffman polynomial.
Case 2: $c_{i}$ and $c_{i}^{\prime}$ are odd crossings of $D$ and $D^{\prime}$, respectively for $i=1,2,3$.

Since $D$ has at least two components, this case can be possible [2]. By replacing odd crossings by nodes, we have the same virtual graphs and $<D>_{P}=<$ $D^{\prime}>_{P}$.
Case 3: one of $c_{i}$ 's is even and the others are odd.
By splicing at even crossings of $D$ and $D^{\prime}$, it is straightforward that $\alpha_{s}=\alpha_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, $\beta_{s}=\beta_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{s}=\gamma_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ for an even state $s$ of $D$ and the corresponding even state $s^{\prime}$ of $D^{\prime}$. Hence, we have $<D>_{P}=<D^{\prime}>_{P}$.

Therefore the conclusion follows.
We normaize the polynomial by the writhe to obtain a virtual link invariant.
Definition 3. The normalized parity bracket polynomial of a virtual link diagram $D$ is defined as

$$
P_{D}(A)=\left(-A^{3}\right)^{-w(D)}<D>_{P}
$$

Theorem 3.3. The normalized parity bracket polynomial is an invariant of virtual links.

Proof. The writhe normalization makes the parity polynomial invariant under $R_{1}$-move. The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.3.

Example 1. Let $D$ be the Kishino knot diagram as shown in Figure 5.


Figure 5. Kishino knot diagram and reduced graph

Then it is easy to check that every real crossing of $D$ is odd. By replacing odd crossings with nodes and the reduction rule, we have the irreducible virtual graph $G$ and $P_{D}(A)=G$. Thus the Kishino knot diagram is non-trivial.


Figure 6. Different virtual link diagrams

Example 2. Let $D_{1}, D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ be virtual link diagrams in Figure 6.
Since there is a Gauss code (abcabd|dc) of $D_{1}$, all real crossings are even. Thus the normalized parity bracket polynomial and the Jones Kauffman polynomial are the same.

Next, since there is no Gauss code of $D_{2}$ so that the number of labels between two appearances of $x$ for all mixed crossings $x$ is even, then $c, d, e$ and $f$ are odd. Also, there is a Gauss code (abecabdf|cdef) of $D_{2}$ so that $a$ and $b$ are odd. Thus, all real crossings of $D_{2}$ are odd. Then by computation, we have $P_{D}(A)=\left(-A^{3}\right)^{(-6)}\left(-A^{2}-A^{-2}\right)$.

On the other hand, for $D_{3}$ it is easy to check that $a$ and $b$ are odd, and $c$ and $d$ are even. Thus by computation, we have $P_{D}(A)=\left(-A^{3}\right)^{(-4)}\left(-A^{4}-A^{-4}\right)$.

As a result, $D_{1}, D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ are different each other.
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