East Asian Math. J. Vol. 32 (2016), No. 5, pp. 677–684 http://dx.doi.org/10.7858/eamj.2016.047



## FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN S-METRIC SPACES

JONG KYU KIM, SHABAN SEDGHI, A. GHOLIDAHNEH, AND M. MAHDI REZAEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the notion of S-metric spaces will be introduced. We present some fixed point theorems for two maps on complete S-metric spaces and an illustrative example is given for the single-valued case. By using the similar method as in [4], a common fixed point theorem for two single-valued mappings is obtained in S-metric spaces.

## 1. Introduction

The Banach contraction principle is the most celebrated fixed point theorem and has been generalized in various directions. Fixed point problems for contractive mappings in metric spaces with a partial order have been studied by many authors (see [1]-[2]).

In the present paper, we introduce the notion of S-metric spaces and give some properties of them. Implicit relations on S-metric spaces have been used in many articles (see [3]-[7]). Fixed point theorems for two mappings on complete S-metric spaces will be proved. In addition, we give an illustrative example for the single-valued case.

We begin with the following definition.

**Definition 1.** [4] Let X be a nonempty set. A function  $S : X^3 \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be an S-metric on X, if for each  $x, y, z, a \in X$ ,

- $(1) S(x, y, z) \ge 0,$
- (2) S(x, y, z) = 0 if and only if x = y = z,
- (3)  $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a).$

The pair (X, S) is called an *S*-metric space.

**Example 1.** [4] We can easily check that the following examples are S-metric spaces.

©2016 The Youngnam Mathematical Society (pISSN 1226-6973, eISSN 2287-2833)

Received March 3, 2016; Revised August 18, 2016; Accepted September 5, 2016.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54H25; Secondary 47H10.

Key words and phrases. S-metric space, fixed points.

This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation(NRF) Grant funded by Ministry of Education of the republic of Korea(2015R1D1A1A09058177).

- (1) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $|| \cdot ||$  a norm on X. Then S(x, y, z) = ||y + z 2x|| + ||y z|| is an S-metric on X.
- (2) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $||\cdot||$  a norm on X. Then S(x, y, z) = ||x z|| + ||y z|| is an S-metric on X.
- (3) Let X be a nonempty set and d be an ordinary metric on X. Then S(x, y, z) = d(x, z) + d(y, z) is an S-metric on X.

**Lemma 1.1.** [7] Let (S, X) be an S-metric space. Then, we have  $S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x), x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.** Let (X, S) be an S-metric space. For r > 0 and  $x \in X$  we define the open ball  $B_S(x, r)$  and closed ball  $B_S[x, r]$  with center x and radius r as follows, respectively:

$$B_S(x,r) = \{ y \in X : S(y,y,x) < r \},\$$
  
$$B_S[x,r] = \{ y \in X : S(y,y,x) \le r \}.$$

**Example 2.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Denote S(x, y, z) = |y + z - 2x| + |y - z| for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus

$$B_S(1,2) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : S(y,y,1) < 2 \} = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : |y-1| < 1 \}$$
$$= \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < y < 2 \} = (0,2).$$

**Definition 3.** [6] Let (X, S) be an S-metric space and  $A \subset X$ .

- (1) The set A is said to be an open subset of X, if for every  $x \in A$  there exists r > 0 such that  $B_S(x, r) \subset A$ .
- (2) The set A is said to be S-bounded if there exists r > 0 such that S(x, x, y) < r for all  $x, y \in A$ .
- (3) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X converges to x if  $S(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , that is for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $S(x_n, x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ . In this case, we denote by  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$  and we say that x is the limit of  $\{x_n\}$  in X.
- (4) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to be Cauchy sequence if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$  for each  $n, m \ge n_0$ .
- (5) The S-metric space (X, S) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.
- (6) Let τ be the set of all A ⊂ X with x ∈ A and there exists r > 0 such that B<sub>S</sub>(x, r) ⊂ A. Then τ is a topology on X (induced by the S-metric S).

**Definition 4.** Let (X, S) and (X', S') be two S-metric spaces. A function  $f: (X, S) \to (X', S')$  is said to be continuous at a point  $a \in X$  if for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X with  $S(x_n, x_n, a) \to 0$ ,  $S'(f(x_n), f(x_n), f(a)) \to 0$ . We say that f is continuous on X if f is continuous at every point  $a \in X$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** [4] Let (X, S) be an S-metric space. If r > 0 and  $x \in X$ , then the ball  $B_S(x, r)$  is an open subset of X.

678

**Lemma 1.3.** [6] The limit of  $\{x_n\}$  in S-metric space (X, S) is unique.

**Lemma 1.4.** [4] Let (X, S) be an S-metric space. Then the convergent sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is Cauchy.

**Lemma 1.5.** [6] Let (X, S) be an S- metric space. If there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} S(x_n, x_n, y_n) = S(x, x, y).$$

**Lemma 1.6.** Let (X, S) be an S- metric space and suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are S-convergent to x, y, respectively. Then we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} S(x_n, z, y_n) \leq S(z, z, x) + S(x, x, y).$$

In particular, if x = y, then we have  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} S(x_n, z, y_n) \le S(z, z, x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge n_1$ ,

$$S(x_n, x_n, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and for all  $n \ge n_2$ ,

$$S(y_n, y_n, y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

If set  $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ , then for every  $n \ge n_0$  by condition (3) of S-metric, we have

$$S(x_n, z, y_n) \leq S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(z, z, x) + S(y_n, y_n, x) \\ \leq S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(z, z, x) + 2S(y_n, y_n, y) + S(x, x, y).$$

Taking the upper limit as  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, we obtain the first desired result. The second result is obvious.

## 2. Main Results

Let  $\Phi$  denote the class of all functions  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\phi$  is nondecreasing, continuous and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^n(t) < \infty$  for all t > 0. It is clear that  $\phi^n(t) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  for all t > 0 and hence, we have  $\phi(t) < t$  for all t > 0.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let (X, S) be a complete S-metric space and  $A, B : X \to X$  be mappings satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $A(X) \subseteq B(X)$  and either A(X) or B(X) is a closed subset of X,
- (2) The pair (A, B) is weakly compatible,

(3)

$$S(Ax, Ay, Az)$$
  

$$\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ S(Bx, By, Bz), k_1 S(Bz, Ax, Az), k_2 S(Bz, Ay, Az) \right\} \right)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $0 < k_1, k_2 < 1$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ .

Then the maps A and B have a unique common fixed point. If B is continuous at the fixed point p, then A is also continuous at p.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Define the sequence  $y_n = Ax_n = Bx_{n+1}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$  and let  $d_{n+1} = S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n+1} &= S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &= S(Ax_n, Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \phi(\max\{S(Bx_n, Bx_n, Bx_{n+1}), k_1S(Bx_{n+1}, Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}), \\ & k_2S(Bx_{n+1}, Ax_n, Ax_{n+1})\}) \\ &= \phi(\max\{S(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n), k_1S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}), k_2S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})\}) \\ &\leq \phi(\max\{d_n, k_1d_{n+1}, k_2d_{n+1}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $d_{n+1} \leq \phi(d_n), n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ . Hence we have,

$$S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \phi(S(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n))$$
  
$$\leq \phi^2(S(y_{n-2}, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}))$$
  
$$\vdots$$
  
$$\leq \phi^n(S(y_0, y_0, y_1)).$$

Hence for every m > n by condition (3) of S-metric, we have

$$\begin{split} & S(y_n, y_n, y_m) \\ & \leq & 2S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \\ & \leq & 2[S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] + S(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}) \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq & 2\sum_{i=n}^{m-2} S(y_i, y_i, y_{i+1}) + S(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m) \\ & \leq & 2[\phi^n(S(y_0, y_0, y_1)) + \phi^{n+1}(S(y_0, y_0, y_1)) + \dots + \phi^{m-1}(S(y_0, y_0, y_1))] \\ & = & 2\sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \phi^i(S(y_0, y_0, y_1)). \end{split}$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^n(t) < \infty$  for all t > 0,  $S(y_n, y_n, y_m) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for each  $n, m \ge n_0$ 

$$S(y_n, y_n, y_m) < \epsilon.$$

680

This show that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete, there exists  $p \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = p$  and

$$p = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_{n+1}$$

Let B(X) be a closed subset of X. Then there exists  $v \in X$  such that Bv = p. We prove that Av = p. Since,

$$S(Av, Av, Ax_n) \leq \phi(\max\{S(Bv, Bv, Bx_n), k_1S(Bx_n, Av, Ax_n), k_2S(Bx_n, Av, Ax_n)\}) = \phi(\max\{S(p, p, y_{n-1}), k_1S(y_{n-1}, Av, y_n), k_2S(y_{n-1}, Av, y_n)\}),$$

taking the upper limit as  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, by Lemma 1.6 we obtain

$$S(Av, Av, p) \leq \phi(\max\{0, k_1 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(y_{n-1}, Av, y_n), k_2 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(y_{n-1}, Av, y_n)\}) \leq \phi(\max\{0, k_1 S(Av, Av, p), k_2 S(Av, Av, p)\}) \leq \max\{k_1, k_2\} S(Av, Av, p).$$

This implies that  $1 \leq \max\{k_1, k_2\}$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, from  $\phi(t) < t$  for all t > 0, we have Av = Bv = p.

By the weak compatibility of the pair (A, B), we have ABv = BAv, and hence Ap = Bp. Next, we have to prove that Ap = p. Suppose that  $Ap \neq p$ . Then

$$S(Ap, Ap, Ax_n) \le \phi \left( \max \left\{ S(Bp, Bp, Bx_n), k_1 S(Bx_{x_n}, Ap, Ax_n), k_2 S(Bx_{x_n}, Ap, Ax_n) \right\} \right) = \phi \left( \max \left\{ S(Bp, Bp, y_{n-1}), k_1 S(y_{n-1}, Ap, y_n), k_2 S(y_{n-1}, Ap, y_n) \right\} \right).$$

Taking the upper limit as  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, we obtain

$$S(Ap, Ap, p)$$

$$\leq \phi(\max\{S(Ap, Ap, p), k_1 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(y_{n-1}, Ap, y_n), k_2 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(y_{n-1}, Ap, y_n)\})$$

$$\leq \phi(\max\{S(Ap, Ap, p), k_1S(Ap, Ap, p), k_2S(Ap, Ap, p)\})$$

$$\leq \max\{k_1, k_2\}S(Ap, Ap, p).$$

Since  $\phi(t) < t$  for all t > 0, we have Bp = Ap = p. Thus p is a common fixed point of A and B.

Suppose p' is another common fixed point of A and B. Then, we have

$$S(p, p, p') = S(Ap, Ap, Ap') \\ \leq \phi(\max\{S(p, p, p'), k_1 S(p', p, p'), k_2 S(p', p, p')\}).$$

If  $S(p, p, p\ ') \leq \phi(S(p, p, p\ '))$ , then  $S(p, p, p\ ') \leq \phi(S(p, p, p\ ')) < S(p, p, p\ ')$  which is a contraction. Hence, we have  $p = p\ '$ . If  $S(p, p, p\ ') < kS(p\ ', p, p\ ')$ , then

$$\begin{array}{lll} S(p,p,p\;') &<& kS(p\;',p,p\;') \\ &\leq& k(2S(p\;',p\;',p\;')+S(p,p,p\;')) = kS(p,p,p\;'), \end{array}$$

where  $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$ . This is also a contraction. Hence, we have p = p'. Thus, p is the unique common fixed point of A and B.

Next, we shall prove the continuity of the mapping in S-metric spaces.

Let  $\{z_n\}$  be any sequence in X such that  $\{z_n\}$  is convergent to p. Then we have

$$S(Ap, Ap, Az_n)$$
  

$$\leq \phi(\max\{S(Bp, Bp, Bz_n), k_1S(Bz_n, Ap, Az_n), k_2S(Bz_n, Ap, Az_n)\}).$$

Taking the upper limit as  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, from the continuity of B at a point p we get

$$\begin{split} & \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(p, p, Az_n) \\ &= \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Ap, Ap, Az_n) \\ &\leq & \phi(\max\{ \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Bp, Bp, Bz_n), k_1 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Bz_n, Ap, Az_n), \\ & & k_2 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Bz_n, Ap, Az_n) \}) \\ &\leq & \phi(\max\{0, 0+0+k_1 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(p, p, Az_n), k_2 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(p, p, Az_n) \}) \\ &\leq & \max\{k_1, k_2\} S(p, p, Az_n). \end{split}$$

Since

$$k_1 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Bz_n, Ap, Az_n)$$

$$\leq k_1 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Bz_n, Bz_n, Bp)$$

$$+k_1 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Ap, Ap, Bp) + k_1 \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Az_n, Az_n, Bp)$$

and

$$k_{2} \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Bz_{n}, Ap, Az_{n})$$

$$\leq k_{2} \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Bz_{n}, Bz_{n}, Bp)$$

$$+k_{2} \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Ap, Ap, Bp) + k_{2} \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(Az_{n}, Az_{n}, Bp),$$

we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} S(p, p, Az_n) \le \max\{k_1, k_2\} \limsup_{n \to \infty} S(p, p, Az_n).$$

This implies that  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} S(p, p, Az_n) = 0$ . Then, we deduce that A is continuous at p.

If B = I identity map in Theorem 2.1, then we have the following.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let (X, S) be a complete S-metric space and  $A : X \to X$  be a mapping satisfying the following inequality

 $S(Ax, Ay, Az) \le \phi(\max\{S(x, y, z), k_1, S(z, Ax, Az), k_2, S(z, Ay, Az)\}),\$ 

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ . Then the mapping A has a unique common fixed point  $p \in X$ . And, the mapping A is continuous at p.

**Example 3.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and (X, S) be a complete S-metric space. For any  $x, y, z \in X$ , define S(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z| and mappings  $A, B : X \to X$  by Ax = 1 and

$$Bx = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0, & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Then, it is easy to see that

 $S(Ax, Ay, Az) \le \phi(\max\{S(Bx, By, Bz), k_1 S(Bz, Ax, Az), k_2 S(Bz, Ay, Az)\})$ 

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $0 < k_1, k_2 < 1$ . Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold and A1 = B1 = 1.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let (X, S) be a complete S-metric space and  $A, B : X \to X$ be continuous and B be commutative with A. If for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following conditions are satisfying

- (1)  $A^n(X) \subseteq B^n(X)$  and either  $A^n(X)$  or  $B^n(X)$  is a closed subset of X,
- (2) the pair  $(A^n, B^n)$  is weakly compatible,
- (3)

$$S(A^n x, A^n y, A^n z)$$

 $\leq \phi(\max\{S(B^{n}x, B^{n}y, B^{n}z), k_{1}S(B^{n}z, A^{n}x, A^{n}z), k_{2}S(B^{n}z, A^{n}y, A^{n}z)\})$ 

for all 
$$x, y, z \in X$$
 and  $0 < k_1, k_2 < 1$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,

then A and B have a unique common fixed point  $p \in X$ . Further, if B is continuous at p, then A is also continuous at p.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

## References

- R.P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily, D. O'regan, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Anal., 87(2008), 109-116.
- [2] Lj. Ćirić, D. Mihet, R. Saadati, Monotone generalized contractions in partiality ordered probabilistic metric spaces, Topology Appl., 17(2009), 2838-2844.
- [3] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, H. Zhou, A common fixed point theorem in D<sup>\*</sup>-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2007(2007), Article ID 7906, 13 pages

- [4] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces, Mat. Vanik, 64(2012), 258 - 266.
- [5] J.K. Kim, S. Sedghi, N. Shobkolaei, Common Fixed Point Theorems for the R-weakly Commuting Mappings in S-metric Spaces. J.Comput. Anal. Appl., 19(2015), 751-759.
- [6] S. Sedghi, NV. Dung, Fixed point theorems on S-metric spaces. Mat.Vensnik 66(2014), 113-124.
- [7] S. Sedghi, I. Altun, N. Shobe, M.A. Salahshour, Some Properties of S-metric Spaces and Fixed Point Results, Kyungpook Math. J., 54(2014), 113-122.
- [8] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, T. Dosenovic, fixed point results in S-metric spaces, Nonlinear Functional Anal. and Appl., 20(2015), 55-67.

Jong Kyu Kim

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION KYUNGNAM UNIVERSITY, CHANGWON, GYEONG-NAM, 51767, KOREA

E-mail address: jongkyuk@kyungnam.ac.kr

SHABAN SEDGHI DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QAEMSHAHR BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, QAEMSHAHR, IRAN *E-mail address*: sedghi\_gh@yahoo.com

A. GHOLIDAHNEH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QAEMSHAHR BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, QAEMSHAHR, IRAN *E-mail address*: sedghi\_gh@yahoo.com

M. MAHDI REZAEE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QAEMSHAHR BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, QAEMSHAHR, IRAN *E-mail address*: sedghi\_gh@yahoo.com