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# GENERAL DECAY OF SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR VISCOELASTIC WAVE EQUATION 

Kiyeon Shin and Sujin Kang

Abstract. In a bounded domain, we consider

$$
u_{t t}-\Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \Delta u d \tau+u_{t}=|u|^{p} u
$$

where $p>0$ and $g$ is a nonnegative and decaying function. We establish a general decay result which is not necessarily of exponential or polynomial type.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following problem ;

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \Delta u d \tau+a(x) u_{t}=|u|^{p} u, & x \in \Omega, t \geq 0  \tag{1}\\
u(x, t)=0, & x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0 \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), & x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p>0$ is a constant, $g$ is positive function satisfying some conditions to be satisfied later, $a(x)=1$ and $\Omega$ is bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 1)$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$.

The viscoelastic wave equation has been consider by many authors during the past decades. Cavalcanti et al. [3] studied for a function $a: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$which may be a null in a part of the domain $\Omega$. Under the conditions $a(x) \geq a_{0}>0$ on $\omega(\subset \Omega)$ which satisfies some geometry restrictions, the authors established results on exponential rate of decay with conditions $-\xi_{1} g(t) \leq g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi_{2} g(t)$, $t \geq 0$. Berrimi and Messaoudi [1] introduced a different functional which allows a weak condition than that of Cavalcanti et al. [3]. For other related works, we refer the readers [5], [6] and [8].

In the case of $a(x)=0$, Berrimi and Messaoudi [2] showed, under the condition of $g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi g(t)(\xi>0)$, that the solution is global and decays in a polynomial or an exponential function when the initial data is small enough.

[^0]Then Messaoudi [7] improved these results by establishing a general decay of energy which is similar to the relaxation function.

We show, in case of $a(x)=1$, that the solution energy decays at a similar rate of decay of relaxation function, which is not necessarily decaying in a polynomial or exponential fashion.

This paper is organized as follows ; In Section 2, we present some notations and materials to be needed for our works. And, Section 3 contains the statements and proofs of our main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some necessary materials in the proof of our main results. Also, for the sake of completeness we state, without a proof, the global existence result of Cavalcanti and Oquendo [4]. For the relaxation function $g$, we assume the followings ;
(H1) $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is nonincreasing $C^{1}$-function satisfying

$$
g(0)>0, \quad \text { and } \quad 1-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s=l>0
$$

(H2) There exists a positive differentiable function $\xi(t)$ satisfying
i) $g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi(t) g(t)$ for $t \geq 0$,
ii) $\left|\xi^{\prime}(t) / \xi(t)\right| \leq k, \xi(t)>0$, and $\xi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t>0$.
(H3) For the nonlinear term, we assume

$$
p>0, \text { for } n=1,2 \text { and } 0<p \leq \frac{2}{n-2}, \text { for } n \geq 3
$$

Remark 1. Since $\xi$ is nonincreasing, $\xi(t) \leq \xi(0):=M$
We will use the embeddings $H_{0}^{1} \hookrightarrow L^{p}$ for $p \leq \frac{2 n}{n-2}(n \geq 3), p \geq 2(n=1,2)$ and $L^{q} \hookrightarrow L^{p}(p<q)$ with the same embedding constant $C$.

We introduce the modified energy functional
$E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g * \nabla u)(t)-\frac{1}{p+2}\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{p+2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ where $(g * u)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\|u(t)-u(\tau)\|_{2}^{2} d \tau$.
Lemma 2.1. We suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold and that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, $u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. If $u$ is the solution of (1), then the energy functional $E$ satisfies

$$
E^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} * \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} * \nabla u\right)(t) \leq 0,
$$

for almost all $t \in[0, T]$.
Proof. Multiplying (1) by $u_{t}$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\{ & \left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{p+2} d x\right\} \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\tau) \nabla u_{t}(t) d x d \tau=-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

For the last term on the left side of (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\tau) \nabla u_{t}(t) d x d \tau \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(\tau)-\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x d \tau\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x d \tau\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(\tau)-\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x d \tau \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x d \tau \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting (3) into (2), we obtain

$$
E^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} * \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} * \nabla u\right)(t) \leq 0 .
$$

We set $J(t)=\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+(g * \nabla u)(t)-\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{p+2}$.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose (H1), (H3), $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ hold such that $\beta=\frac{C^{p+2}}{l}\left(\frac{2(p+2)}{p l} E(0)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}<1$ and $J(0)>0$. Then $J(t)>0, \forall t>0$.

Proof. See [7].
Proposition 2.3. Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied. Then the solution of (1) is global and bounded.

Proof. See [2].

## 3. Decay of solution

In this section, we state and prove main result. For this purpose, we set $L(t)=E(t)+\varepsilon_{1} I(t)+\varepsilon_{2} K(t)$, where $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are positive constants and $I(t)=\xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x, K(t)=-\xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x$.

Lemma 3.1. For $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau\right)^{2} d x \leq(1-l) C_{p}^{2}(g * \nabla u)(t) .
$$

Proof. By applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Poincaré's constant $C_{p}$, we obtain Lemma 3.1.
Lemma 3.2. Suppose $u$ is the solution of (1). Then we have $\frac{1}{2} E(t) \leq L(t) \leq$ $2 E(t)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, (H1), we obtain Lemma 3.2. by using $\xi(t) \leq M$. ([7])

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that (H1)-(H3) hold and that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $u_{1} \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega)$. If $u$ is the solution of $(1)$, then $I(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
I^{\prime}(t) \leq \xi(t) & {\left[1+\frac{4 C_{p}^{2}\left(k^{2}+1\right)}{l(3-l)}\right] \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{t}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{(3-l)(1-l)}{2 l} \xi(t)(g * \nabla u)(t) } \\
& -\frac{(3-l) l}{16} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\xi(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+2} d x \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By using (1), (H1), (H2) and Young's inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& I^{\prime}(t)= \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\xi^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x-\xi(t) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\xi(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+2} d x \\
&-\xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \Delta u(x, t) d \tau d x-\xi \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x \\
& \leq \quad \xi(t)\left[1+\frac{1}{4 \alpha_{1}}\left|\frac{\xi^{\prime}(t)}{\xi(t)}\right|+\frac{1}{4 \alpha_{2}}\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)(1-l) \xi(t)(g * \nabla u)(t) \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left[1-(1+\eta)(1-l)^{2}-2\left|\frac{\xi^{\prime}(t)}{\xi(t)}\right| \alpha_{1} C_{p}^{2}-\alpha_{2} C_{p}^{2}\right] \xi \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
&+\xi(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+2} d x \\
& \leq \quad \xi(t)\left[1+\frac{k}{4 \alpha_{1}}+\frac{1}{4 \alpha_{2}}\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)(1-l) \xi(t)(g * \nabla u)(t) \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left[1-(1+\eta)(1-l)^{2}-\left(2 k \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) C_{p}^{2}\right] \xi \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
&+\xi(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+2} d x . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

By choosing $\eta=\frac{l}{2(1-l)}, \alpha_{1}=\frac{l(3-l)}{16 C_{p}^{2} k}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{l(3-l)}{4 C_{p}^{2}}$ and (5),
(since $0<l<1, \eta, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}>0$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime}(t) \leq \xi(t) & {\left[1+\frac{4 C_{p}^{2}\left(k^{2}+1\right)}{l(3-l)}\right] \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{t}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{(3-l)(1-l)}{2 l} \xi(t)(g * \nabla u)(t) } \\
& -\frac{(3-l) l}{16} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\xi(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that (H1)-(H3) hold and that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $u_{1} \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega)$. If $u$ is the solution of (1), then $K(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{\prime}(t) \leq & \delta \xi(t)\left[1-2(1-l)^{2}+C^{2 p+2}\left(\frac{2(p+2) E(0)}{p l}\right)^{p}\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +C_{\delta} \xi(t)(g * \nabla u)(t)-\frac{g(0)}{4 \delta} C_{p}^{2} \xi(t)\left(g^{\prime} * \nabla u\right)(t) \\
& +\left[\delta(k+2)-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right] \xi(t) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\delta>0$, where $C_{\delta}$ is a constant depending on $\delta$.
Proof. By (1),

$$
\begin{align*}
K^{\prime}(t)= & \xi(t)\left[K_{1}(t)-K_{2}(t)+K_{3}(t)-K_{4}(t)+K_{5}(t)-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x\right] \\
& +\xi^{\prime}(t) K_{6}(t) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1}(t) & =\int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d x \\
K_{2}(t) & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d \tau \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d x \\
K_{3}(t) & =\int_{\Omega} u_{t}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d x, \\
K_{4}(t) & =\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} u\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau) d \tau)\right) d x, \\
K_{5}(t) & =\int_{\Omega}-u_{t}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d x, \\
K_{6}(t) & =\int_{\Omega} u_{t}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $K_{1}(t)-K_{3}(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(t) \leq \delta \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x+\frac{1-l}{4 \delta}(g * \nabla u)(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{2}(t) \leq & \delta \int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d \tau\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \delta} \int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau\right|^{2} d x \\
\leq & \delta \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t}[g(t-\tau)(|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)|+|\nabla u(t)|] d \tau)^{2} d x\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \delta} \int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau\right|^{2} d x \\
\leq & \left(2 \delta+\frac{1}{4 \delta}\right)(1-l)(g * \nabla u)(t)+2 \delta(1-l)^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x  \tag{8}\\
K_{3}(t) \leq & \delta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1-l}{4 \delta}(g * \nabla u)(t) . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

And, by Lemma 2.2,

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{4}(t) & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left[\delta|u|^{2 p+2}+\frac{1}{4 \delta}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau\right)^{2}\right] d x  \tag{10}\\
& \leq \delta C^{2 p+2}\left(\frac{2(p+2)}{p l} E(0)\right)^{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x+\frac{(1-l) C_{p}^{2}}{4 \delta}(g * \nabla u)(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Also, for $K_{5}(t)-K_{6}(t)$, by using Lemma 3.1 and the Young's inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{5}(t) & \leq \delta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{g(0) C_{p}^{2}}{4 \delta}\left(g^{\prime} * \nabla u\right)(t)  \tag{11}\\
K_{6}(t) & \leq \delta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{C_{p}^{2}}{4 \delta}(g * \nabla u)(t) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

When we put equations (7)-(12) into equation (6), we have the desired result.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose that (H1)-(H3) hold and that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $u_{1} \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega)$. If $u$ is the solution of (1), then for each $t_{0}>0$ there exist positive constants $K$ and $\lambda$ such that the solution of (1) satisfies $E(t) \leq K e^{-\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \xi(s) d s}$, for $t \geq t_{0}$.

Proof. Since $g$ is positive, continuous and $g(0)>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s \geq \int_{0}^{t_{0}} g(s) d s=: g_{0}>0, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $L(t)$, Lemma 2.2, Lemma 3.3-3.4 and (10),

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left[\varepsilon_{2}\left\{g_{0}-\delta(k+2)\right\}-\varepsilon_{1}\left\{1+\frac{4 C_{p}^{2}\left(k^{2}+1\right)}{l(3-l)}\right\}\right] \xi(t) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{1} l(3-l)}{16}-\varepsilon_{2} \delta\left\{1+2(1-l)^{2}+C^{2 p+2}\left(\frac{2(p+2) E(0)}{p l}\right)^{p}\right\}\right] \xi(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& -\left\{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{g(0)}{4 \delta} C_{p}^{2} M-\frac{(3-l)(1-l)}{2 l} \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} K_{\delta}\right\} \xi(t)(g * \nabla u)(t) \\
& +\varepsilon_{1} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+2} d x . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose $\delta$ sufficiently small so that $g_{0}-\delta(k+2)>\frac{1}{2} g_{0}$ and

$$
\frac{16 \delta}{l(3-l)}\left[1+2(1-l)^{2}+C^{2 p+2}\left(\frac{2(p+2) E(0)}{p l}\right)^{p}\right]<\frac{g_{0}}{4\left(1+\frac{4 C_{p}^{2}\left(k^{2}+1\right)}{l(3-l)}\right)} .
$$

Hence, for a fixed $\delta$, we may choose two positive constants $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{g_{0}}{4\left(1+\frac{4 C_{p}^{2}\left(k^{2}+1\right)}{l(3-l)}\right)} \varepsilon_{2}<\varepsilon_{1}<\frac{g_{0}}{2\left(1+\frac{4 C_{p}^{2}\left(k^{2}+1\right)}{l(3-l)}\right)} \varepsilon_{2} \\
& \frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon_{2} g(0) C_{p}^{2} M}{4 \delta}>\frac{(3-l)(1-l)}{2 l} \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} K_{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we will make

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}\left\{g_{0}-\delta(k+2)\right\}-\varepsilon_{1}\left[1+\frac{4 C_{p}^{2}\left(k^{2}+1\right)}{l(3-l)}\right]>0, \\
& k_{2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1} l(3-l)}{16}-\varepsilon_{2} \delta\left[1+2(1-l)^{2}+C^{2 p+2}\left(\frac{2(p+2) E(0)}{p l}\right)^{p}\right]>0, \\
& k_{3}=\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{g(0)}{4 \delta} C_{p}^{2} M-\frac{(3-l)(1-l)}{2 l} \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} K_{\delta}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (14) and Lemma 3.2,

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t) \leq & -k_{1} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x-k_{2} \xi(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}-k_{3} \xi(t)(g * \nabla u)(t)+\varepsilon_{1} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+2} d x \\
\leq & -\beta_{1} \xi(t)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g * \nabla u)(t)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+2} d x+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \\
& \quad-\beta_{1} \xi(t) E(t) \leq-\frac{\beta_{1}}{2} \xi(t) L(t), \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\forall t \geq t_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}>0$. Integrating (15), we have

$$
L(t) \leq L\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\frac{\beta_{1}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \xi(s) d s}
$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2,

$$
E(t) \leq 2 L\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\frac{\beta_{1}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \xi(s) d s}=: K e^{-\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \xi(s) d s},
$$

for $t \geq t_{0}$. This completes the proof.
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