

CONVERGENCE RATE OF EXTREMES FOR THE GENERALIZED SHORT-TAILED SYMMETRIC DISTRIBUTION

FUMING LIN, ZUOXIANG PENG, AND KAIZHI YU

ABSTRACT. Denote M_n the maximum of n independent and identically distributed variables from the generalized short-tailed symmetric distribution. This paper shows the pointwise convergence rate of the distribution of M_n to $\exp(-e^{-x})$ and the supremum-metric-based convergence rate as well.

1. Introduction

Systematic introductions and reviews of the extreme value theory (EVT) can be found in Leadbetter et al. [11], Resnick [20] and recently in Embrechts et al. [5], Kotz and Nadarajah [10], Falk et al. [6] and De Haan and Ferreira [4], amongst others. Many fields of science, with the field of finance as the most important proponent, have found non-normal data and more than seventy years after the Extremal Types Theorem was proved in complete generality by Gnedenko [7], the exploration of limit distributions of non-normal extremes is as momentous and timely as ever. Peng et al. [9] considered the convergence of extremes of the general error distribution and for more results of non-normal extremes, we refer the reader to Lin and Peng [16], Lin and Jiang [15] and Liao et al. [13]. Since asymptotic results are used in most EVT applications, such as estimating the VaR in modern risk management, and the estimation precision need to be assessed, we have to face another interesting problem, i.e., examining the convergence rate of extremes. With regard to general sequences, several authors considered the uniform convergence rate of their extremes; for instance, De Haan and Resnick [4] and Cheng and Jiang [2]. Particularly, Hall and Wellner [9] and Hall [8] studied the cases of exponential and normal distributions extremes, respectively and for other related results, we refer the

Received October 3, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 62E20, 60G70; Secondary 60F15, 60F05.

Key words and phrases. generalized short-tailed symmetric distribution, maximum, extreme value distribution, rate of convergence.

reader to Peng et al. [18], Lin et al. [17], Chen et al. [1], Liao and Peng [12] and Liao et al. [14].

Recently, Tiku and Vaughan [21] introduced a short-tailed symmetric distribution (STSD for short) family whose density is given by

$$s_r(x) = \frac{D}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2r} x^2 \right\}^r \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2} \right\},$$

where r is positive, $\lambda = r/(r - a)$ with $a < r$, and constant

$$D^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2r} x^2 \right\}^r \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2} \right\} dx.$$

Lin and Jiang [15] generalized the STSD to the version of the family of 4-parameters, the generalized short-tailed symmetric distribution (GSTSD for short), with the probability density function

$$(1.1) \quad F'(x) = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} |x|^p \right\}^h \exp \left\{ -\frac{|x|^r}{q} \right\}.$$

Here, p, q, r and h are positive, $\lambda = h/(h - a)$ with $a < h$, $F(x)$ is the cumulative distribution function of GSTSD and constant

$$A^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} |x|^p \right\}^h \exp \left\{ -\frac{|x|^r}{q} \right\} dx.$$

Throughout the paper, let $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables from GSTSD and $M_n = \max\{X_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$. Lin and Jiang [15] proved that

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(M_n \leq a_n x + b_n) = \exp(-e^{-x}) =: \Lambda(x),$$

where norming constants $a_n = \alpha_n$ and $b_n = \beta_n$ with

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= \frac{q^{1/r}}{r(\log n)^{1-1/r}}, \\ \beta_n &= q^{1/r} \left((\log n)^{1/r} - \frac{\Delta}{r(\log n)^{1-1/r}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where (and in the sequel) $\Delta = \frac{r-1}{r} \log(q \log n) - h \log(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (q \log n)^{p/r}) - \log(\frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}})$. They presented that the upper tail of GSTSD is exponentially delaying and satisfies

$$1 - F(x) = c(x) \exp \left(- \int_1^x \frac{g(t)}{f(t)} dt \right)$$

for $1 < p \leq r$ and sufficiently large x , where

$$\begin{aligned} c(x) &\rightarrow \frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-1/2} (2/q)^{1/2} \\ &\cdot \exp \left(-h \ln(1 + \lambda/2h) + \int_1^{(q/2)^{1/r}} \frac{r}{q} y^{r-1} \left(1 + \frac{q}{2y^r} \right) dy \right) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$f(t) = t/(rt^r/q - 1 + r)$$

and

$$g(t) = 1 - \frac{\frac{\lambda pt^{p-1}/2}{1+\lambda t^p/2h}}{(rt^r/q - 1 + r)/t}.$$

For convenience, we give instead the following expressions of $f(t)$ and $g(t)$:

$$f(t) = qt^{1-r}/r,$$

$$g(t) = 1 + \frac{(r-1)/t - (\frac{\lambda pt^{p-1}}{2})/(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h}t^p)}{rt^{r-1}/q}.$$

By Proposition 1.1(a) and Corollary 1.7 in Resnick [20], a natural way to gain the expressions of norming constants a_n and b_n is to solve the following equations

$$(1.4) \quad ra_n b_n^{r-1}/q = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{Aqn}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p\right)^h \exp\left(-\frac{b_n^r}{q}\right) = b_n^{r-1}.$$

But exact solutions of equations in (1.4) are impossible to derive, so approximations are necessary, such as those in (1.3). One can easily check that

$$\alpha_n/a_n \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\beta_n - b_n)/a_n \rightarrow 0.$$

In the following, a_n and b_n are defined by (1.4) unless otherwise specified. This paper is concerned with considering the convergence rates of (1.2) in two norming constants cases, separately. Like the case of normal extremes in Hall [8], the different norming constants can lead to the different convergence rate of GSTSD extremes, which will be explained later.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, main results and some remarks are presented. Section 3 contains some lemmas and proofs of main results are postponed in Section 4. In the sequel, \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 12$ are positive functions of h, λ, r, p and n_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ stand for positive integers whose value may be associated with parameters in (1.1).

2. Main results

The convergence rate of (1.2) may be considered generally by uniform, total variation and Lévy metrics. In fact uniform and Lévy metrics can be replaced by each other, see Remarks in Hall [8]. Here we mainly focus our minds on uniform metrics and the key results are as followed.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables from GSTSD with parameters satisfying $r > 2$, $r - 1 > (ph) \vee (2p)$ and $r > p > 1$. Then there exist positive constants $C_1(h, \lambda, r, p) < C_2(h, \lambda, r, p)$, independent of n , such that, for $n > n_1$,*

$$(2.1) \quad C_1(h, \lambda, r, p)/\log n < \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F^n(a_n x + b_n) - \exp(-e^{-x})| < C_2(h, \lambda, r, p)/\log n.$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let α_n, β_n be defined in (1.3). Then*

$$F^n(\alpha_n x + \beta_n) - \exp(-e^{-x}) \sim \exp(-e^{-x}) e^{-x} \frac{(r-1)(r-1-ph)^2 (\log \log n)^2}{2r^3 \log n}$$

for large n , where F is the distribution function of GSTSD with parameters satisfying $r > 2, r - 1 > ph$ and $r \geq p > 1$.

Remark 2.1. Theorem 2.2 shows that with the norming constants in (1.3) the rate of convergence is no better than $(\log \log n)^2 / \log n$. In fact, for $a_n^* = a_n r_n$ and $b_n^* = b_n + \delta_n a_n$ in equation (3.7), Lemma 3.2 and the proof of Theorem 2.2 together imply that the pointwise convergence rate of $F^n(a_n^* x + b_n^*)$ to the corresponding limit is slower than $1/\log n$ and approaches to it as the expansions for a_n^* and b_n^* are made indefinitely.

Remark 2.2. For $h = 0, r = 2$ and $q = 2$, GSTSD reduces to the standard normal distribution and hence a better result can be obtained, i.e., $C_2(h, \lambda, r, p)$ is less than 3 in (2.1), see Hall [8].

3. Some technical lemmas

The following lemmas are used in the proofs of main results.

Lemma 3.1. *If $r \geq p > 1$ and $r - 1 > ph$ in (1.1), then for $x > \mathcal{C}$*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - F(x) &= \frac{Aq x^{1-r}}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} x^p \right\}^h \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^r}{q} \right\} - r(x) \\ &= \frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} x^{1-r} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} x^p \right)^{h-1} \exp(-x^r/q) \\ (3.1) \quad &\times \left(\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} x^p \right) \left(1 - \frac{q(r-1)}{r} x^{-r} \right) + \frac{\lambda p q}{2r} x^{p-r} \right) + s(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < r(x) &< \frac{Aq^2}{r^2\sqrt{2\pi}} \left((r-1)x^{1-2r} + \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} x^{1+p-2r} \right) \\ (3.2) \quad &\times \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} x^p \right)^{h-1} \exp(-x^r/q), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < s(x) &< \frac{Aq^3}{r^3\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} x^p \right)^{h-2} \exp(-x^r/q) \\ &\times \left(\frac{\lambda^2(r-1-ph)(2r-1-ph)}{4h^2} x^{2p-3r+1} \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{2\lambda r^2 - 3\lambda r + \lambda}{h} - \frac{3\lambda p r}{2} + \lambda p + \frac{\lambda p^2}{2} \right) x^{p-3r+1} \\ (3.3) \quad &+ (r-1)(2r-1)x^{1-3r} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - F(x) &= \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} t^p \right\}^h \exp \left\{ -t^r/q \right\} dt \\
 (3.4) \qquad &= \frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} x^{1-r} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} x^p \right\}^h \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^r}{q} \right\} - r(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 r(x) &= \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{Aqt^{-r}}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} \left((r-1) + \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} t^p \right) \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} t^p \right)^{h-1} \exp(-t^r/q) dt \\
 &= \frac{Aq^2}{r^2\sqrt{2\pi}} \left((r-1)x^{1-2r} + \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} x^{1+p-2r} \right) \\
 (3.5) \quad &\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} x^p \right)^{h-1} \exp(-x^r/q) - s(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the expression for $r(x)$ in (3.5) into equation (3.4) yields equation (3.1). Noting that $\frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} > 0$ (the coefficient of t^p) in the integration in equation (3.5), there exists a positive constant $\zeta_1(p, q, r, h)$ such that for $x > \zeta_1(p, q, r, h)$, we have $r(x) > 0$. Similarly to arguments for $r(x)$ in equation (3.5), we furthermore have

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(x) &= \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{Aq^2}{r^2\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} t^p \right)^{h-2} \exp(-t^r/q) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{\lambda^2(r-1-ph)(2r-1-ph)}{4h^2} t^{2p-2r} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{2\lambda r^2 - 3\lambda r + \lambda}{h} - \frac{3\lambda pr}{2} + \lambda p + \frac{\lambda p^2}{2} \right) t^{p-2r} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (r-1)(2r-1)t^{-2r} \right) dt \\
 &= \frac{Aq^3}{r^3\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} x^p \right)^{h-2} \exp(-x^r/q) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{\lambda^2(r-1-ph)(2r-1-ph)}{4h^2} x^{2p-3r+1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{2\lambda r^2 - 3\lambda r + \lambda}{h} - \frac{3\lambda pr}{2} + \lambda p + \frac{\lambda p^2}{2} \right) x^{p-3r+1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (r-1)(2r-1)x^{1-3r} \right) \\
 (3.6) \quad &+ \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{Aq^3}{r^3\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-t^r/q) B(t) dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(t) &= \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} t^p \right)^{h-3} \left(\frac{\lambda^3(r-1-ph)(2r-1-hp)(ph-3r+1)}{8h^3} t^{3p-3r} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\lambda^2(4r^2 - 6r + 2 - 3prh + 2ph + p^2h)(ph-p-3r+1)}{4h^2} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\lambda^2(r-1-ph)(2r-1-hp)(2p-3r+1)}{4h^2} \Big) t^{2p-3r} \\
 & + \left(\frac{\lambda(4r^2-6r+2-3prh+2ph+p^2h)(p-3r+1)}{2h} \right. \\
 & + \left. \frac{\lambda(ph-2p+1-3r)(r-1)(2r-1)}{2h} \right) t^{p-3r} \\
 & - (r-1)(2r-1)(3r-1)t^{-3r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Noting $\frac{\lambda^2(r-1-ph)(2r-1-hp)}{4h^2} > 0$ (the coefficient of t^{2p-2r} in the integration in equation (3.6)) there also exists a positive constant $\mathcal{C}_2(p, q, r, h)$ such that, for $x > \mathcal{C}_2(p, q, r, h)$, we have $s(x) > 0$. Hence the second inequality in (3.2) holds. Noting $\frac{\lambda^3(r-1-ph)(2r-1-hp)(ph-3r+1)}{8h^3} < 0$, thus for $x > \mathcal{C}_3(p, q, r, h)$ (the positive constant certainly exists), we obtain the second inequality in (3.3). Consequently, for $x > \mathcal{C} =: \max(\mathcal{C}_1(p, q, r, h), \mathcal{C}_2(p, q, r, h), \mathcal{C}_3(p, q, r, h))$, the desired results hold. \square

Define new norming constants a_n^* and b_n^* such that

$$(3.7) \quad a_n^* = a_n r_n, \quad b_n^* = b_n + \delta_n a_n,$$

with $r_n \rightarrow 1$ and $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Write $a_n^* x + b_n^* =: t_n^*(x)$ in this section and we have the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that parameters r, p and h satisfy Lemma 3.1. For fixed $x \in R$ and sufficiently large n such that $t_n^*(x) > \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{C} satisfies Lemma 3.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F^n(t_n^*(x)) - \Lambda(x) \\
 & = \Lambda(x)e^{-x}(a_n b_n^{-1}((r-1)x^2/2 - (ph - (r-1))x + r - 1 - ph) + (r_n - 1)x + \delta_n \\
 & \quad + O((a_n b_n^{-1})^2 + (r_n - 1)^2 + \delta_n^2)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. According to Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & \frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (t_n^*(x))^p \right)^h \exp \left(- \frac{(t_n^*(x))^r}{q} \right) (t_n^*(x))^{1-r} \\
 & = \frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p \right)^h \exp(-b_n^r/q) b_n^{1-r} (1 + a_n b_n^{-1}(r_n x + \delta_n))^{1-r} \\
 & \quad \times \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (t_n^*(x))^p \right)^h \exp \left(- \frac{(t_n^*(x))^r}{q} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p \right)^{-h} \exp(b_n^r/q) \\
 & = n^{-1} e^{-x} \left(1 + (1-r)a_n b_n^{-1}((r_n-1)x + \delta_n) + (1-r)a_n b_n^{-1}x \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{r(1-r)}{2} (a_n b_n^{-1}(r_n x + \delta_n))^2 + O((a_n b_n^{-1}(r_n x + \delta_n))^3) \right) \\
 & \quad \times \left(1 + \frac{p\lambda a_n b_n^{p-1}((r_n-1)x + \delta_n)}{2(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p)} + \frac{p\lambda a_n b_n^{p-1}x}{2(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p)} + O((a_n b_n^{-1}(r_n x + \delta_n))^2) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \exp \left(- (r_n - 1)x - \delta_n - \frac{r(r-1)}{2q} b_n^r (a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n))^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{b_n^r}{q} O((a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n))^3) \right) \\ & = n^{-1} e^{-x} \left(1 + (1-r) a_n b_n^{-1} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} + \left(1 + \frac{hp}{1-r} \right) x \right) - (r_n - 1)x - \delta_n \right. \\ & \left. + O(b_n^{-r-p} + (r_n - 1)^2 + \delta_n^2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality in (3.8) follows from the following arguments. Firstly, using the second equation of (1.4), we have

$$\frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p \right)^h \exp \left(- \frac{b_n^r}{q} \right) b_n^{1-r} = n^{-1}.$$

Secondly, noting $a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n) \rightarrow 0$, and using the expansions of $(t_n^*(x))^r = (a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n) + 1)^r b_n^r$, $(1 + a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n))^{1-r}$ and $\left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p (a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n) + 1)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p} \right)^h$ around 0. So some simple calculation can yield the desired result. The proof of the third equality in (3.8) is based on these expressions: $b_n^{-2r} = O(b_n^{-r-p} + (r_n - 1)^2 + \delta_n^2)$, $b_n^{-r} \delta_n = O(b_n^{-r-p} + (r_n - 1)^2 + \delta_n^2)$, $b_n^{-r} (r_n - 1) = O(b_n^{-r-p} + (r_n - 1)^2 + \delta_n^2)$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + (1-r) a_n b_n^{-1} ((r_n - 1)x + \delta_n) + (1-r) a_n b_n^{-1} x - \frac{r(1-r)}{2} (a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n))^2 \\ & + O((a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n))^3) = 1 + (1-r) a_n b_n^{-1} x + O(b_n^{-r-p} + (r_n - 1)^2 + \delta_n^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \frac{p\lambda a_n b_n^{p-1} ((r_n - 1)x + \delta_n)}{2(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p)} + \frac{p\lambda a_n b_n^{p-1} x}{2(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p)} + O((a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n))^2) \\ & = 1 + hp a_n b_n^{-1} x + O(b_n^{-r-p} + (r_n - 1)^2 + \delta_n^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using $e^x = 1 + x + x^2/2 + o(x^3)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ we further have

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left(- (r_n - 1)x - \delta_n - \frac{r(r-1)}{2q} b_n^r (a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n))^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{b_n^r}{q} O((a_n b_n^{-1} (r_n x + \delta_n))^3) \right) \\ & = 1 - (r_n - 1)x - \delta_n - \frac{r-1}{2} a_n b_n^{-1} x^2 + O(b_n^{-r-p} + (r_n - 1)^2 + \delta_n^2). \end{aligned}$$

So, an easy multiplication can result in the equality.

Similarly to the proof of (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad & 1 - \frac{q}{r} \frac{(r-1)(t_n^*(x))^{-r} + \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} (t_n^*(x))^{p-r}}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (t_n^*(x))^p} \\ & = 1 - (r-1-ph) a_n b_n^{-1} + O(b_n^{-r-p}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(t_n^*(x))^{-r} = \frac{r}{q} a_n b_n^{-1} + O((a_n b_n^{-1})^2),$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (t_n^*(x))^p\right)^{-2} = \left((t_n^*(x))^p ((t_n^*(x))^{-p} + \frac{\lambda}{2h})\right)^{-2}.$$

Using (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < s(t_n^*(x)) &< \frac{Aq^3}{r^3\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (t_n^*(x))^p\right)^{h-2} \exp(-(t_n^*(x))^r/q) \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{\lambda^2(r-1-ph)(2r-1-ph)}{4h^2} (t_n^*(x))^{2p-3r+1}\right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{2\lambda r^2 - 3\lambda r + \lambda}{h} - \frac{3\lambda pr}{2} + \lambda p + \frac{\lambda p^2}{2}\right) (t_n^*(x))^{p-3r+1} \\ &+ (r-1)(2r-1)(t_n^*(x))^{1-3r}) \\ &= \frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (t_n^*(x))^p\right)^h \exp\left(-\frac{(t_n^*(x))^r}{q}\right) (t_n^*(x))^{1-r} \times \frac{q^2}{r^2} \\ &\times \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (t_n^*(x))^p\right)^{-2} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda^2(r-1-ph)(2r-1-ph)}{4h^2} (t_n^*(x))^{2p-2r}\right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{2\lambda r^2 - 3\lambda r + \lambda}{h} - \frac{3\lambda pr}{2} + \lambda p + \frac{\lambda p^2}{2}\right) (t_n^*(x))^{p-2r} \\ &+ (r-1)(2r-1)(t_n^*(x))^{-2r}) \\ &= \frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (t_n^*(x))^p\right)^h \exp\left(-\frac{(t_n^*(x))^r}{q}\right) (t_n^*(x))^{1-r} \times (t_n^*(x))^{-2p} \\ &\times \frac{q^2}{r^2} \left((t_n^*(x))^{-p} + \frac{\lambda}{2h}\right)^{-2} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda^2(r-1-ph)(2r-1-ph)}{4h^2} (t_n^*(x))^{2p-2r}\right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{2\lambda r^2 - 3\lambda r + \lambda}{h} - \frac{3\lambda pr}{2} + \lambda p + \frac{\lambda p^2}{2}\right) (t_n^*(x))^{p-2r} \\ &+ (r-1)(2r-1)(t_n^*(x))^{-2r}). \end{aligned}$$

Noting

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{q^2}{r^2} \left((t_n^*(x))^{-p} + \frac{\lambda}{2h}\right)^{-2} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda^2(r-1-ph)(2r-1-ph)}{4h^2} (t_n^*(x))^{2p-2r}\right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{2\lambda r^2 - 3\lambda r + \lambda}{h} - \frac{3\lambda pr}{2} + \lambda p + \frac{\lambda p^2}{2}\right) (t_n^*(x))^{p-2r} \\ &+ (r-1)(2r-1)(t_n^*(x))^{-2r}) \end{aligned}$$

is bounded, combining $(t_n^*(x))^{-2p} = \frac{2p}{q} a_n b_n^{-1} + O((a_n b_n^{-1})^2)$ and (3.8) yields

$$(3.10) \quad s(t_n^*(x)) = O(n^{-1}(a_n b_n^{-1})^2).$$

Combining (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & F^n(t_n^*(x)) - \Lambda(x) \\ &= \left(1 - n^{-1}e^{-x} \left(1 - (r-1)a_n b_n^{-1} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} + \left(1 + \frac{hp}{1-r}\right)x + \frac{r-1-ph}{r-1}\right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - (r_n-1)x - \delta_n + O(b_n^{-r-p} + (r_n-1)^2 + \delta_n^2)\right)\right)^n - \Lambda(x) \\ &= \Lambda(x)e^{-x} \left((r-1)a_n b_n^{-1} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} + \left(1 + \frac{hp}{1-r}\right)x + \frac{r-1-ph}{r-1}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta_n + O(b_n^{-r-p} + (r_n-1)^2 + \delta_n^2)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $r - 1 > 2p$ and $r > p > 1$. Then, there exists a positive integer n_6 such that, for $n > n_6$,*

$$(3.11) \quad \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h}(a_n x + b_n)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h}b_n^p}\right)^h \exp\left(-\frac{r-1}{2}a_n b_n^{-1}x^2\right) < 1 \text{ for } x > 0.$$

Proof. Write the left-hand side of (3.11) as

$$\exp\left(-\frac{r-1}{2}a_n b_n^{-1}x^2 + h \log\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h}(a_n x + b_n)^p\right) - h \log\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h}b_n^p\right)\right).$$

Noting that $a_n b_n^{-1} \sim 1/(2r \log n)$, then the inequality can be checked easily. □

4. The proofs of main results

For convenience, we first prove Theorem 2.2, which partially completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Firstly, we prove the following asymptotic expansions of b_n :

$$(4.1) \quad b_n = \beta_n + o((\log n)^{1/r-1})$$

and

$$b_n = \beta_n - \frac{(r-1)\Delta^2}{2q^{-1/r}r^2(\log n)^{2-1/r}} + o\left(\frac{(\log \log n)^2}{(\log n)^{2-1/r}}\right).$$

We can obtain (4.1) similarly to the arguments of Example 2 on pages 71–72 in Resnick [20] or the proof of Theorem 3.2 in Lin and Jiang [15]. Now put

$$b_n = \beta_n + \theta_n,$$

where $\theta_n = o((\log n)^{\frac{1}{r}-1})$. Note $\log(1-x) = -x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + O(x^3)$ and $(1-x)^v = 1 - vx + \frac{v(v-1)}{2}x^2 + O(x^3)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. Substituting $b_n = \beta_n + \theta_n$ into

$$-\log\left(\frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) - h \log\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h}b_n^p\right) + \frac{b_n^r}{q} + (r-1)\log b_n = \log n,$$

this yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(\log n) \left(\left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{r \log n} \right)^r - 1 \right) + \frac{r-1-hp}{r} \log(q \log n) + (r-1-hp) \log \left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{r \log n} \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \log \left(\frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) - h \log \left(\frac{\lambda}{2h} \right) - h \log \left(1 + \frac{2h}{\lambda} (q \log n)^{-\frac{p}{r}} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{r \log n} \right)^{-p} \right) \right] \\ & \times (rq)^{-\frac{1}{r}} (\log n)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \theta_n^{-1} + 1 + o(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_n \sim & \left[-(\log n) \left(\left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{r \log n} \right)^r - 1 \right) - \frac{r-1-hp}{r} \log(q \log n) \right. \\ & \left. - \log \left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{r \log n} \right)^{r-1-hp} + \log \left(\frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) + h \log \left(\frac{\lambda}{2h} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + h \log \left(1 + \frac{2h}{\lambda} (q \log n)^{-\frac{p}{r}} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{r \log n} \right)^{-p} \right) \right] \\ & / (rq)^{-\frac{1}{r}} (\log n)^{1-\frac{1}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Once again let

$$\theta_n = -\frac{(r-1)\Delta^2}{2q^{-1/r}r^2(\log n)^{2-1/r}} + \nu_n$$

where $\nu_n = o\left(\frac{(\log \log n)^2}{(\log n)^{2-1/r}}\right)$. So, we have

$$(4.2) \quad b_n = \beta_n - \frac{(r-1)\Delta^2}{2q^{-1/r}r^2(\log n)^{2-1/r}} + \nu_n.$$

Noting the definition of β_n , (4.2) deduces the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n b_n^{-1} & \sim \frac{1}{r \log n}, \quad r_n - 1 = \frac{\alpha_n}{a_n} - 1 \sim -\frac{(r-1-hp)(r-1) \log \log n}{r^2 \log n}, \\ \delta_n & = \frac{\beta_n - b_n}{a_n} \sim \frac{(r-1)(r-1-hp)^2 (\log \log n)^2}{2r^3 \log n} \end{aligned}$$

for large n . So the desired result follows by Lemma 3.2. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Letting $r_n = 1$, $\delta_n = 0$ in (3.7) and noting $a_n b_n^{-1} \sim \frac{1}{r \log n}$, by Lemma 3.2 there exists a constant $C_1(h, \lambda, r, p)$ such that

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F^n(t_n(x)) - \Lambda(x)| = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x)| > \frac{C_1(h, \lambda, r, p)}{\log n}$$

for $n > n_0$ (n_0 is a positive integer). For the upper bound, we need to prove

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_{0 \leq x < +\infty} |F^n(t_n(x)) - \Lambda(x)| < d_{21} a_n b_n^{-1},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \sup_{-c_n < x < 0} |F^n(t_n(x)) - \Lambda(x)| < d_{22} a_n b_n^{-1},$$

$$(4.5) \quad \sup_{-\infty < x \leq -c_n} |F^n(t_n(x)) - \Lambda(x)| < d_{23} a_n b_n^{-1}$$

for $n \geq n_2$, where $d_{2i} > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are positive constants and

$$c_n = \log \log b_n^r > \zeta \quad \text{with } \zeta \text{ satisfying Lemma 3.1.}$$

According to (4.1), we have

$$q \log n - \frac{q(r-1-hp)}{r} \log(q \log n) < b_n^r < q \log n$$

and there furthermore exists a positive integer n_3 such that

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_{n \geq n_3} \frac{\log \log b_n^r}{b_n^r} < \frac{r}{q} \sup_{n \geq n_3} \frac{\log \log(q \log n)}{r \log n - (r-1-hp) \log(q \log n)} < \frac{r}{q}.$$

So,

$$b_n - a_n c_n > 0$$

for $n > n_4$.

We firstly consider the case of $x \geq -c_n$ and define

$$R_n(x) = -(n \log F(a_n x + b_n) + n \psi_n(x)), \quad B_n(x) = \exp(-R_n), \\ A_n(x) = \exp(-n \psi_n(x) + e^{-x}),$$

where $\psi_n(x) = 1 - F(a_n x + b_n)$. Using the following simple result

$$b_n^r - (b_n - a_n c_n)^r = \int_{b_n - a_n c_n}^{b_n} r t^{r-1} dt < r a_n c_n b_n^{r-1}$$

for $r > 1$, and according to (3.1) and (1.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(x) &< \psi_n(-c_n) \\ &< \frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} (b_n - a_n c_n)^{1-r} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (b_n - a_n c_n)^p\right)^h \exp\left(-\frac{(b_n - a_n c_n)^r}{q}\right) \\ &= n^{-1} (1 - a_n c_n b_n^{-1})^{1-r} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (b_n - a_n c_n)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p}\right)^h \exp\left(\frac{b_n^r}{q} - \frac{(b_n - a_n c_n)^r}{q}\right) \\ &< \sup_{n \geq n_3} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{q \log \log b_n^r}{r b_n^r}\right)^{1-r} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p (1 - \frac{q}{r} b_n^{-r} \log \log b_n^r)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p}\right)^h (n^{-1} \log b_n^r) \right\} \\ &= \zeta_5(p, q, r, h) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\inf_{x \geq -c_n} (1 - \psi_n(x)) > 1 - \zeta_5(p, q, r, h) > 0.$$

Noting that

$$\log(1-x) < -x, \quad \log(1-x) > -x - \frac{x^2}{2(1-x)} \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1,$$

we have

$$0 < R_n(x) = -(n \log(1 - \psi_n(x)) + n \psi_n(x)) < \frac{n \psi_n^2(-c_n)}{2(1 - \zeta_5(p, q, r, h))}$$

$$(4.7) \quad < \frac{(1 - a_n c_n b_n^{-1})^{2(1-r)} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (b_n - a_n c_n)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p} \right)^{2h}}{2(1 - \mathcal{C}_5(p, q, r, h)) a_n^{-1} b_n} (r(qn)^{-1} b_n^r \exp(2c_n)).$$

Noting $b_n^r < q \log n$ for $n > n_3$, it follows that

$$n^{-1} \frac{r}{q} b_n^r \exp(2c_n) < \frac{r}{q} n^{-1} (q \log n)^3 < \mathcal{C}_6(q, r), \text{ for } n > n_5.$$

Substituting this into (4.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(x) &< \sup_{n \geq n_4} \left((1 - a_n c_n b_n^{-1})^{2(1-r)} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (b_n - a_n c_n)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p} \right)^{2h} \right) \\ &\times \frac{\mathcal{C}_6(q, r)}{2(1 - \mathcal{C}_5(p, q, r, h))} a_n b_n^{-1} < \mathcal{C}_7(p, q, r, h) a_n b_n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$(4.8) \quad |B_n(x) - 1| < R_n(x) < \mathcal{C}_7(p, q, r, h) a_n b_n^{-1} \text{ for } n > \max(n_3, n_4, n_5).$$

Using the inequality (4.8), we have, for $x \geq -c_n$

$$\begin{aligned} &|F^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x)| \\ &= |F^n(a_n x + b_n) - \exp(-e^{-x}) F^n(a_n x + b_n) e^{n(1-F(a_n x + b_n))} \\ &\quad + \exp(-e^{-x}) F^n(a_n x + b_n) e^{n(1-F(a_n x + b_n))} - \exp(-e^{-x})| \\ &\leq |F^n(a_n x + b_n) - \exp(-e^{-x}) F^n(a_n x + b_n) e^{n(1-F(a_n x + b_n))}| \\ &\quad + \exp(-e^{-x}) |F^n(a_n x + b_n) e^{n(1-F(a_n x + b_n))} - 1| \\ &\leq \exp(-e^{-x}) F^n(a_n x + b_n) e^{n(1-F(a_n x + b_n))} \\ &\quad |\exp(-n(1 - F(a_n x + b_n))) \exp(e^{-x}) - 1| \\ &\quad + |F^n(a_n x + b_n) e^{n(1-F(a_n x + b_n))} - 1| \\ &= \Lambda(x) B_n(x) |A_n(x) - 1| + |B_n(x) - 1| \\ (4.9) \quad &< \Lambda(x) |A_n(x) - 1| + \mathcal{C}_7(p, q, r, h) a_n b_n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove (4.3). Note that for $r > 2$,

$$(1 + x)^r > 1 + rx + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} x^2 \text{ for } x > 0.$$

Take $x = a_n b_n^{-1}$ in the inequality, and multiply by b_n^r . By using (1.4), we have

$$(4.10) \quad x - \frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r}{q} < -\frac{(r-1)}{2} a_n b_n^{-1} x^2 \text{ for } x > 0.$$

Using (1.4), (4.10) and the definition of $A_n(x)$, for $x > 0$, there exists n_6 such that, for $n > n_6$,

$$\begin{aligned} A'_n(x) &= \exp(-n\psi_n(x) + e^{-x}) (-n(\psi_n(x))' - e^{-x}) \\ &= -A_n(x) e^{-x} (1 - ne^x a_n F'(a_n x + b_n)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -A_n(x)e^{-x} \left(1 - e^x \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h}(a_n x + b_n)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p} \right)^h \exp \left(\frac{b_n^r - (a_n x + b_n)^r}{q} \right) \right) \\
 &< -A_n(x)e^{-x} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h}(a_n x + b_n)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p} \right)^h \exp \left(-\frac{r-1}{2} a_n b_n^{-1} x^2 \right) \right) < 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 3.3.

Noting that $A_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{x \geq 0} |A_n(x) - 1| &= |A_n(0) - 1| = |\exp(-n(1 - F(b_n)) + 1) - 1| \\
 &= |\exp(-n(n^{-1} - r(b_n)) + 1) - 1| \\
 &\leq nr(b_n) \exp(nr(b_n)) \leq \zeta_8(p, q, r, h) a_n b_n^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The above inequalities stem from the facts: $e^x - 1 \leq xe^x$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 nr(b_n) &< \frac{Aq^2}{r^2 \sqrt{2\pi}} n b_n^{-r} \left((r-1)b_n^{1-r} + \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda p h}{2h} b_n^{1+p-r} \right) \\
 &\quad \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p \right)^{h-1} \exp(-b_n^r/q) \\
 &= \zeta_9(p, q, r, h) a_n b_n^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (4.9), we have, for $n > \max(n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq x < +\infty} |F^n(t_n(x)) - \Lambda(x)| < (\zeta_8(p, q, r, h) + \zeta_7(p, q, r, h)) a_n b_n^{-1}.$$

The following we consider the case of $-c_n \leq x < 0$. Using (1.4) and Lemma 3.1 yields the result

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-n\psi_n(x) + e^{-x} \\
 &= -n \left(\frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} (a_n x + b_n)^{1-r} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^p \right)^h \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \exp(-(a_n x + b_n)^r/q) - r(a_n x + b_n) \right) + e^{-x} \\
 &= -n \left\{ \frac{Aq}{r\sqrt{2\pi}} (a_n x + b_n)^{1-r} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^p \right)^h \exp \left(-\frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r}{q} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{Aq^2}{r^2 \sqrt{2\pi}} \left[(r-1)(a_n x + b_n)^{1-2r} + \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda p h}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^{1+p-2r} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^p \right)^{h-1} \exp \left(-\frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r}{q} \right) d_n(a_n x + b_n) \right\} + e^{-x} \\
 &= -b_n^{r-1} (a_n x + b_n)^{1-r} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h}(a_n x + b_n)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p} \right)^h \exp \left(-\frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r}{q} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{q d_n(a_n x + b_n)}{r} b_n^{r-1} \left((r-1)(a_n x + b_n)^{1-2r} + \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda p h}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^{1+p-2r} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^p \right)^{h-1} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p \right)^{-h} \exp \left(-\frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r}{q} \right) + e^{-x}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{1-r} e^{-x} \left\{ - \left[\left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p} \right)^h - \frac{q d_n(a_n x + b_n)}{r} \left(\frac{(r-1) b_n^{-r}}{(a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{-r}} \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda p h}{2h} b_n^{p-r} (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{p-r} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^p \right)^{h-1} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p \right)^{-h} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \exp \left(- \frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r - q x}{q} \right) + (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{r-1} \right\} \\
 &=: (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{1-r} e^{-x} D_n(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < d_n(a_n x + b_n) < 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_n(x) = & - \left\{ \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p} \right)^h - \frac{q}{r} \left[(r-1) b_n^{-r} (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{-r} \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda p h}{2h} b_n^{p-r} (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{p-r} \right] \right. \\
 & \left. \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^p \right)^{h-1} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p \right)^{-h} \right. \\
 & \left. \times d_n(a_n x + b_n) \right\} \exp \left(- \frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r - q x}{q} \right) + (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{r-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$a_n x + b_n > 0 \text{ for } x > -c_n; e^{-x} \geq 1 - x \text{ for } x \in R,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.11) \quad & 1 + r x < (1 + x)^r < 1 + r x + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} x^2 \text{ and} \\
 & (1 + x)^{-r} < 1 - 2^{r+1} r x \text{ for } -1/2 < x < 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^p \right) / \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p \right) < 1 \text{ for } -c_n < x < 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_n(x) &< - \left(1 - \frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r - q x}{q} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n x + b_n)^p}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2h} b_n^p} \right)^h - \frac{q}{r} \left[(r-1) b_n^{-r} (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{-r} + \frac{\lambda(r-1) - p h}{2h} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \times b_n^{p-r} (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{p-r} \right] \cdot \frac{b_n^{-p}}{b_n^{-p} + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^p} d_n(a_n x + b_n) \right\} \\
 &\quad + (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{r-1} \\
 &= - \left(1 - \frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r - q x}{q} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{\frac{\lambda p}{2} a_n b_n^{-1} x}{b_n^{-p} + \frac{\lambda}{2h}} - \left[\frac{q(r-1)}{r} b_n^{-r-p} (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{-r} + \frac{q \lambda (r-1) - p h}{2h r} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \times b_n^{-r} (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{p-r} \right] \cdot \frac{1}{b_n^{-p} + \frac{\lambda}{2h} (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^p} d_n(a_n x + b_n) \right\} \\
 &\quad + (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{r-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &< -\left(1 - \frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r - qx}{q}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(1 - \zeta_4 \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} b_n^{-r} (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{p-r}\right) + (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{r-1} \\
 &< -\left(1 - \frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r - qx}{q}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(1 - \zeta_4 \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} b_n^{-r} (1 - 2^{r-p+1}(r-p)a_n b_n^{-1} x)\right) \\
 &\quad + (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{r-1} \\
 &= -\left(1 - \zeta_4 \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} b_n^{-r} (1 - 2^{r-p+1}(r-p)a_n b_n^{-1} x)\right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r - qx}{q} \\
 &\quad + \left(\zeta_4 \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} b_n^{-r} (1 - 2^{r-p+1}(r-p)a_n b_n^{-1} x)\right) \frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r - qx}{q} \\
 &\quad + (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{r-1} \\
 &< -1 + \zeta_4 \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} b_n^{-r} (1 - 2^{r-p+1}(r-p)a_n b_n^{-1} x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{(a_n x + b_n)^r - b_n^r - qx}{q} \\
 &\quad + (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{r-1} \\
 &< -1 + \zeta_4 \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} b_n^{-r} (1 + 2^{r-p+1}(r-p)a_n b_n^{-1} c_n) + \frac{r-1}{2} a_n b_n^{-1} x^2 \\
 &\quad + 1 + (r-1)a_n b_n^{-1} x \\
 &< \left(\zeta_4 \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} \cdot \frac{r(1+2^{r-p+1}(r-p))}{q} + (r-1)x + \frac{r-1}{2} x^2\right) a_n b_n^{-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is attributed to (1.4) and (4.6). Meanwhile (4.11) implies

$$D_n(x) > -1 + (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{r-1} > (r-1)a_n b_n^{-1} x.$$

Therefore,

$$|D_n(x)| < a_n b_n^{-1} \left(\zeta_4 \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} \cdot \frac{r(1 + 2^{r-p+1}(r-p))}{q} + \frac{r-1}{2} x^2 + (r-1)|x| \right).$$

Furthermore, for $n > n_3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|e^{-x} - n\psi_n(x)| \\
 &< (a_n b_n^{-1} x + 1)^{1-r} e^{-x} \\
 &\quad \times a_n b_n^{-1} \left(\zeta_4 \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} \cdot \frac{r(1 + 2^{r-p+1}(r-p))}{q} + \frac{r-1}{2} x^2 + (r-1)|x| \right) \\
 &< (1 - a_n b_n^{-1} c_n)^{1-r} e^{c_n} \\
 &\quad \times a_n b_n^{-1} \left(\zeta_4 \frac{\lambda(r-1) - \lambda ph}{2h} \cdot \frac{r(1 + 2^{r-p+1}(r-p))}{q} + \frac{r-1}{2} c_n^2 + (r-1)|c_n| \right) \\
 &< \zeta_{10}(p, q, r, h).
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Lambda(x)|A_n(x) - 1| \\
 &= \Lambda(x)|\exp(-n\psi_n(x) + e^{-x}) - 1| \\
 &< \Lambda(x)\exp(|(-n\psi_n(x) + e^{-x})\theta| - n\psi_n(x) + e^{-x}) \\
 &< \exp(\zeta_{10}(p, q, r, h))(1 - a_n b_n^{-1} c_n)^{1-r} a_n b_n^{-1} \\
 &\quad \times \sup_{-c_n \leq x < 0} \left(\left(\frac{\zeta_4 r \lambda (r - 1 - ph)(1 + 2^{r-p+1}(r - p))}{2hq} + \frac{(r - 1)(x^2 + 2|x|)}{2} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. e^{-x} \Lambda(x) \right) \\
 &< \zeta_{11}(p, q, r, h) a_n b_n^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now combining this with (4.9), for $n > \max(n_3, n_4, n_5)$, we complete the proof of (4.4).

Finally, focus on the case of $-\infty < x < -c_n$. Noting that

$$\Lambda(x) \leq \Lambda(-c_n) = \frac{r}{q} a_n b_n^{-1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{x \leq -c_n} |F^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x)| \\
 &< F^n(b_n - a_n c_n) + \Lambda(-c_n) \\
 &< \sup_{-c_n \leq x < 0} |F^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x)| + 2\Lambda(-c_n) \\
 &< (\zeta_7(p, q, r, h) + \zeta_{11}(p, q, r, h)) a_n b_n^{-1} + \frac{2r}{q} a_n b_n^{-1} \\
 &= \zeta_{12}(p, q, r, h) a_n b_n^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and thus complete the proof of (4.5). Let $n_2 = \max\{n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6\}$ and $n_1 = \max\{n_0, n_2\}$, the proof is completed. □

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the Editor in chief and referees for a careful reading of the manuscript and many perceptive and useful suggestions, which improved it greatly. The research of the first author was supported by the Scientific research cultivation project of Sichuan University of Science & Engineering under Grant 2013PY07, the Scientific Research Fund of Sichuan University of Science & Engineering under Grant 2013KY03 and the Scientific Research Fund of Sichuan Provincial Education Department under Grant 12ZB082. The research of the third author was supported by the Science Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (no. 12XJC910001), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (no. JBK160953 and no. JBK150501).

References

- [1] S. Chen, C. Wang, and G. Zhang, *Rates of convergence of extreme for general error distribution under power normalization*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **82** (2012), no. 2, 385–395.
- [2] S. Cheng and C. Jiang, *The Edgeworth expansion for distributions of extreme values*, *Sci. China Math.* **44** (2001), no. 4, 427–437.
- [3] L. de Haan and A. Ferreira, *Extreme Value Theory: An Introduction*, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [4] L. de Haan and S. I. Resnick, *Second-order regular variation and rates of convergence in extreme-value theory*, *Ann. Probab.* **24** (1996), no. 1, 97–124.
- [5] P. Embrechts, C. Klüppelberg, and T. Mikosch, *Modelling Extreme Events for Insurance and Finance*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [6] M. Falk, J. Hüßler, and R. D. Reiss, *Laws of Small Numbers: Extremes and Rare Events*, Birkhäuser, Switzerland, 2004.
- [7] B. V. Gnedenko, *Sur la distribution limite du terme maximum d'une série aléatoire*, *Ann. Math.* **44** (1943), 423–453.
- [8] P. Hall, *On the rate of convergence of normal extremes*, *J. Appl. Probab.* **16** (1979), no. 2, 433–439.
- [9] W. J. Hall and J. A. Wellner, *The rate of convergence in law of the maximum of an exponential sample*, *Stat. Neerl.* **33** (1979), no. 3, 151–154.
- [10] S. Kotz and S. Nadarajah, *Extreme Value Distributions: Theory and Applications*, Imperial College Press, London, 2000.
- [11] M. R. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren, and H. Rootzen, *Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes*, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [12] X. Liao and Z. Peng, *Convergence rates of limit distribution of maxima of lognormal samples*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **395** (2012), no. 2, 643–653.
- [13] X. Liao, Z. Peng, and S. Nadarajah, *Tail properties and asymptotic expansions for the maximum of the logarithmic skew-normal distribution*, *J. Appl. Probab.* **50** (2013), no. 3, 900–907.
- [14] X. Liao, Z. Peng, S. Nadarajah, and X. Wang, *Rates of convergence of extremes from skew-normal samples*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **84** (2014), 40–47.
- [15] F. Lin and Y. Jiang, *A general version of the short-tailed symmetric distribution*, *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods* **41** (2012), no. 12, 2088–2095.
- [16] F. Lin and Z. Peng, *Tail behavior and extremes of short-tailed symmetric distribution*, *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods* **39** (2010), no. 15, 2811–2817.
- [17] F. Lin, X. Zhang, Z. Peng, and Y. Jiang, *On the rate of convergence of STSD extremes*, *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods* **40** (2011), no. 10, 1795–1806.
- [18] Z. Peng, S. Nadarajah, and F. Lin, *Convergence rate of extremes for the general error distribution*, *J. Appl. Probab.* **47** (2010), no. 3, 668–679.
- [19] Z. Peng, B. Tong, and S. Nadarajah, *Tail behavior of the general error distribution*, *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods* **38** (2009), no. 11–12, 1884–1892.
- [20] S. I. Resnick, *Extreme values, Regular Variation and Point Processes*, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [21] M. L. Tiku and D. C. Vaughan, *A family of short-tailed symmetric distributions*, Technical Report, McMaster University, Canada, 1999.

FUMING LIN
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE
SICHUAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE & ENGINEERING
SICHUAN ZIGONG 643000, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zigonglfm2006@aliyun.com

ZUOXIANG PENG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
SOUTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY
CHONGQING 400715, P. R. CHINA

KAIZHI YU
SCHOOL OF STATISTICS
SOUTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS
SICHUAN CHENGDU 611130, P. R. CHINA