

## SOMEWHAT PAIRWISE FUZZY PRE-IRRESOLUTE CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

A. SWAMINATHAN

**ABSTRACT.** The concept of somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mapping and somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mappings have been introduced and studied. Besides, some interesting properties of those mappings are given.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 54A40, 03E72.

*Key words and phrases* : Somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mapping, somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mapping.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The fundamental concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by L.A. Zadeh [13] provided a natural foundation for building new branches. In 1968 C.L. Chang [3] introduced the concept of fuzzy topological spaces as a generalization of topological spaces.

The class of somewhat continuous mappings was first introduced by Karl R. Gentry and others in [5]. Later, the concept of "somewhat" in classical topology has been extended to fuzzy topological spaces. In fact, somewhat fuzzy continuous mappings and somewhat fuzzy semicontinuous mappings were introduced and studied by G. Thangaraj and G. Balasubramanian in [9] and [10] respectively. In 1989, A. Kandil [4] introduced the concept of fuzzy bitopological spaces. The product related spaces and the graph of a function were found in Azad [1]. The concept of somewhat pairwise fuzzy continuous mappings was introduced and developed by M.K. Uma and others in [11].

Meanwhile, the concept of fuzzy irresolute continuous mappings on a fuzzy topological space was introduced and studied by M.N. Mukherjee and S. P. Shina

in [6] and fuzzy precontinuous mappings on a fuzzy topological space was introduced and studied by A.S. Bin Shahna in [2]. Also, fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mappings on a fuzzy topological space were introduced and studied by J.H. Park and B.H. Park in [7].

The concept of somewhat fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mappings was introduced and studied by Young Bin Im and others in [12].

Recently, somewhat pairwise fuzzy precontinuous mappings on fuzzy bitopological spaces was introduced and studied by A. Swaminathan and others in [8].

In this paper, the concepts of somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mappings and somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mappings on a fuzzy bitopological space are introduced and studied their properties.

**Definition 1.1.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called pairwise fuzzy precontinuous [8] if  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  for any  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy open set  $\nu$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called somewhat pairwise fuzzy precontinuous [8] if there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\mu \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $\mu \leq f^{-1}(\nu) \neq 0_X$  for any  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy open set  $\nu$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called pairwise fuzzy preopen [8] if  $f(\mu)$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  for any  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy open or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy open set  $\mu$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called somewhat pairwise fuzzy preopen [8] if there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\nu \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\nu \leq f(\mu) \neq 0_Y$  for any  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy open or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy open set  $\mu$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

## 2. Somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mappings

In this section, I introduce a somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mapping which are stronger than a somewhat pairwise fuzzy precontinuous mapping. And we characterize a somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mapping.

**Definition 2.1.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous if  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  for any  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\nu$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous if there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\mu \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $\mu \leq f^{-1}(\nu) \neq 0_X$  for any  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\nu \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

From the definitions, it is clear that every pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mapping is a somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mapping.

And every somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mapping is a pairwise fuzzy precontinuous mapping. Also, every pairwise fuzzy precontinuous mapping is a somewhat pairwise fuzzy precontinuous mapping. But the converses are not true in general as the following examples show.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = \frac{0.1}{a} + \frac{0.1}{b} + \frac{0.1}{c}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{0.2}{a} + \frac{0.2}{b} + \frac{0.2}{c}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = \frac{0.5}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b} + \frac{0.5}{c}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \frac{0.3}{x} + \frac{0.0}{y} + \frac{0.3}{z}$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \frac{0.5}{x} + \frac{0.0}{y} + \frac{0.5}{z}$ ,  $\sigma_3 = \frac{0.5}{x} + \frac{0.2}{y} + \frac{0.5}{z}$  are defined as follows: Consider  $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, \lambda_1\}, \tau_2 = \{0_X, 1_X, \lambda_2\}$ ,  $\eta_1 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, \sigma_1\}, \eta_2 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, \sigma_3\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  are fuzzy bitopologies and  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  defined by  $f(a) = y, f(b) = y, f(c) = y$ . Then we have  $f^{-1}(\sigma_1) = 0_X$ ,  $f^{-1}(\sigma_2) = 0_X$  and  $\lambda_1 < f^{-1}(\sigma_3) = \lambda_2$ . Since  $\lambda_1$  is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy semiopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous. But  $f^{-1}(\sigma_3) = \lambda_2$  is not a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $f$  is not a pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mapping.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.4}{b} + \frac{0.4}{c}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{0.5}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b} + \frac{0.5}{c}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = \frac{0.6}{a} + \frac{0.6}{b} + \frac{0.6}{c}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \frac{0.4}{x} + \frac{0.0}{y} + \frac{0.4}{z}$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \frac{0.4}{x} + \frac{0.5}{y} + \frac{0.4}{z}$ ,  $\sigma_3 = \frac{0.4}{x} + \frac{0.4}{y} + \frac{0.4}{z}$  are defined as follows: Consider  $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, \lambda_2\}, \tau_2 = \{0_X, 1_X, \lambda_3\}$ ,  $\eta_1 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, \sigma_1\}, \eta_2 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, \sigma_2\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  are fuzzy bitopologies and  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  defined by  $f(a) = y, f(b) = y, f(c) = y$ . Then we have  $f^{-1}(\sigma_1) = 0_X$  and  $f^{-1}(\sigma_2) = \lambda_2$  are  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen sets on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $f$  is pairwise fuzzy precontinuous. But  $f^{-1}(\sigma_3) = \lambda_1$  of an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\sigma_3$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is not  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $f$  is not a somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mapping.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be fuzzy sets on  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = \frac{0.1}{a} + \frac{0.1}{b} + \frac{0.1}{c}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{0.3}{a} + \frac{0.3}{b} + \frac{0.3}{c}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = \frac{0.8}{a} + \frac{0.8}{b} + \frac{0.8}{c}$ ,  $\lambda_4 = \frac{0.9}{a} + \frac{0.9}{b} + \frac{0.9}{c}$ . Consider  $\tau_1 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, \lambda_1\}, \tau_2 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, \lambda_2\}$ ,  $\eta_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, \lambda_3\}, \eta_2 = \{0_X, 1_X, \lambda_4\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  are fuzzy bitopologies and consider an identity mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Then we have  $\lambda_1 < f^{-1}(\lambda_3) = \lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_1 < f^{-1}(\lambda_4) = \lambda_4$ . Since  $\lambda_1$  is  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy precontinuous. But  $f^{-1}(\lambda_3) = \lambda_3$  and  $f^{-1}(\lambda_4) = \lambda_4$  are not  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $f$  is not a pairwise fuzzy precontinuous mapping.

**Definition 2.6.** A fuzzy set  $\mu$  on a fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise predense fuzzy set if there exists no  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set  $\nu$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $\mu < \nu < 1$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be a mapping. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous.

(2) If  $\nu$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set of  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $f^{-1}(\nu) \neq 1_X$ , then there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set  $\mu \neq 1_X$  of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $f^{-1}(\nu) \leq \mu$ .

(3) If  $\mu$  is a pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $f(\mu)$  is a pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\nu$  be an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $f^{-1}(\nu) \neq 1_X$ . Then  $\nu^c$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu^c) = (f^{-1}(\nu))^c \neq 0_X$ . Since  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous, there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\lambda \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(\nu^c)$ . Let  $\mu = \lambda^c$ . Then  $\mu \neq 1_X$  is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set such that  $f^{-1}(\nu) = 1 - f^{-1}(\nu^c) \leq 1 - \lambda = \lambda^c = \mu$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $\mu$  be a pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and suppose  $f(\mu)$  is not pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Then there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set  $\nu$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $f(\mu) < \nu < 1$ . Since  $\nu < 1$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu) \neq 1_X$ , there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set  $\delta \neq 1_X$  such that  $\mu \leq f^{-1}(f(\mu)) < f^{-1}(\nu) \leq \delta$ . This contradicts to the assumption that  $\mu$  is a pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $f(\mu)$  is a pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\nu \neq 0_Y$  be an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  and let  $f^{-1}(\nu) \neq 0_X$ . Suppose that there exists no  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\mu \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $\mu \leq f^{-1}(\nu)$ . Then  $(f^{-1}(\nu))^c$  is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy set or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that there is no  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set  $\delta$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  with  $(f^{-1}(\nu))^c < \delta < 1$ . In fact, if there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\delta^c$  such that  $\delta^c \leq f^{-1}(\nu)$ , then it is a contradiction. So  $(f^{-1}(\nu))^c$  is a pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $f((f^{-1}(\nu))^c)$  is a pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . But  $f((f^{-1}(\nu))^c) = f(f^{-1}(\nu^c)) \neq \nu^c < 1$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $f((f^{-1}(\nu))^c)$  is pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Hence there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\mu \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $\mu \leq f^{-1}(\nu)$ . Consequently,  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $(X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2), (X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2), (Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2), (Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological spaces. Let  $(X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be product related to  $(X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  and let  $(Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be product related to  $(Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ . If  $f_1 : (X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow ?Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2$  and  $f_2 : (X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \rightarrow (Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mappings, then the product  $f_1 \times f_2 : (X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2) \times (X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2) \times (Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is also somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = \bigvee_{i,j} (\mu_i \times \nu_j)$  be  $\eta_i$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\sigma_j$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2) \times (Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  where  $\mu_i \neq 0_{Y_1}$  is  $\eta_i$ -fuzzy preopen set and  $\nu_j \neq 0_{Y_2}$  is  $\sigma_j$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  and  $(Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  respectively. Then  $(f_1 \times$

$f_2)^{-1}(\lambda) = \bigvee_{i,j} (f_1^{-1}(\mu_i) \times f_2^{-1}(\nu_j))$ . Since  $f_1$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous, there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\delta_i \neq 0_{X_1}$  such that  $\delta_i \leq f_1^{-1}(\mu_i) \neq 0_{X_1}$ . And, since  $f_2$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous, there exists a  $\omega_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\omega_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\gamma_j \neq 0_{X_2}$  such that  $\gamma_j \leq f_2^{-1}(\nu_j) \neq 0_{X_2}$ . Now  $\delta_i \times \gamma_j \leq f_1^{-1}(\mu_i) \times f_2^{-1}(\nu_j) = (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(\mu_i \times \nu_j)$  and  $\delta_i \times \gamma_j \neq 0_{X_1 \times X_2}$  is a  $\delta_i$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\nu_j$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X_1 \times X_2)$ . Hence  $\bigvee_{i,j} (\delta_i \times \gamma_j) \neq 0_{X_1 \times X_2}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\omega_j$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2) \times (X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  such that  $\bigvee_{i,j} (\delta_i \times \gamma_j) \leq \bigvee_{i,j} (f_1^{-1}(\mu_i) \times f_2^{-1}(\nu_j)) = (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(\bigvee_{i,j} (\mu_i \times \nu_j)) = (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(\lambda) \neq 0_{X_1 \times X_2}$ . Therefore,  $f_1 \times f_2$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be a mapping. If the graph  $g : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \times (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  of  $f$  is a somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mapping, then  $f$  is also somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\nu) = 1 \wedge f^{-1}(\nu) = g^{-1}(1 \times \nu)$ . Since  $g$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous and  $1 \times \nu$  is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_j$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \times (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ , there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\mu \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $\mu \leq g^{-1}(1 \times \nu) = f^{-1}(\nu) \neq 0_X$ . Therefore,  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous.  $\square$

### 3. Somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mappings

In this section, I introduce a somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mapping which are stronger than a somewhat pairwise fuzzy preopen mapping. And we characterize a somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mapping.

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen if  $f(\mu)$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  for any  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\mu$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen if there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\nu \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\nu \leq f(\mu) \neq 0_Y$  for any  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\mu \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

From the definitions, it is clear that every pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mapping is a somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mapping. And every somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mapping is a pairwise fuzzy preopen mapping. Also, every pairwise fuzzy preopen mapping is a somewhat pairwise fuzzy preopen mapping. But the converses are not true in general as the following examples show.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = \frac{0.1}{a} + \frac{0.1}{b} + \frac{0.1}{c}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{0.2}{a} + \frac{0.2}{b} + \frac{0.2}{c}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \frac{0.0}{x} + \frac{0.1}{y} + \frac{0.0}{z}$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \frac{0.0}{x} + \frac{0.2}{y} + \frac{0.0}{z}$  are defined as follows: Consider  $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, \lambda_1\}, \tau_2 = \{0_X, 1_X, \lambda_2\}, \eta_1 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, \sigma_1\}, \eta_2 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, \sigma_2\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  are fuzzy bitopologies and  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  defined by  $f(a) = y, f(b) = y, f(c) = y$ . Then we have  $f(\lambda_1) = \sigma_1, \sigma_1 < f(\lambda_2) = \sigma_2$ . Since  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mapping. But  $f(\lambda_2) = \sigma_2$  is not  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Hence  $f$  is not pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mapping.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.1}{b} + \frac{0.4}{c}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{0.5}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b} + \frac{0.5}{c}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = \frac{1.0}{a} + \frac{0.0}{b} + \frac{1.0}{c}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \frac{0.0}{x} + \frac{0.1}{y} + \frac{0.0}{z}$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \frac{0.0}{x} + \frac{0.5}{y} + \frac{0.0}{z}$  are defined as follows: Consider  $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, \lambda_1\}, \tau_2 = \{0_X, 1_X, \lambda_2\}, \eta_1 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, \sigma_1\}, \eta_2 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, \sigma_2\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  are fuzzy bitopologies and  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  defined by  $f(a) = y, f(b) = y, f(c) = y$ . Then we have  $f(\lambda_1) = \sigma_1, f(\lambda_2) = \sigma_2$  and  $f(\lambda_3) = 0_Y$  are  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Since  $f$  is pairwise fuzzy preopen mapping. But  $\lambda_3$  is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $f(\lambda_3) = 0_Y$ . Hence  $f$  is not somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mapping.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5$  be fuzzy sets on  $I = [0, 1]$  with

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &= 0.1, 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \lambda_2 &= 0.3, 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \lambda_3 &= 0.7, 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \lambda_4 &= 0.8, 0 \leq x \leq 1,\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\tau_1 = \{0_I, 1_I, \lambda_3\}, \tau_2 = \{0_I, 1_I, \lambda_4\}, \eta_1 = \{0_I, 1_I, \lambda_1\}$  and  $\eta_2 = \{0_I, 1_I, \lambda_2\}$ . Then  $(I, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(I, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be fuzzy bitopologies on  $I$ . Consider an identity mapping  $f : (I, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (I, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  defined by  $f(x) = x, 0 \leq x \leq 1$ . We have  $\lambda_2 < f(\lambda_3) = \lambda_3, \lambda_2 < f(\lambda_4) = \lambda_4$ . Since  $\lambda_2$  is an  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(I, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ ,  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy preopen. But  $f(\lambda_3) = \lambda_3$  is not an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(I, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $f$  is not a pairwise fuzzy preopen mapping.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be a bijection. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen.
- (2) If  $\mu$  is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $f(\mu) \neq 1_Y$ , then there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set  $\nu \neq 1_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $f(\mu) < \nu$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\mu$  be a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $f(\mu) \neq 1_Y$ . Since  $f$  is bijective and  $\mu^c$  is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $f(\mu^c) = (f(\mu))^c \neq 0_Y$ . And, since  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen mapping, there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy

preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\delta \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\delta < f(\mu^c) = (f(\mu))^c$ . Consequently,  $f(\mu) < \delta^c = \nu \neq 1_Y$  and  $\nu$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\mu$  be a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $f(\mu) \neq 0_Y$ . Then  $\mu^c$  is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $f(\mu^c) \neq 1_Y$ . Hence there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set  $\nu \neq 1_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $f(\mu^c) < \nu$ . Since  $f$  is bijective,  $f(\mu^c) = (f(\mu))^c < \nu$ . Hence  $\nu^c < f(\mu)$  and  $\nu^c \neq 0_X$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Therefore,  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be a surjection. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen.
- (2) If  $\nu$  is a pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ , then  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\nu$  be a pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Suppose  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is not pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set  $\mu$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $f^{-1}(\nu) < \mu < 1$ . Since  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen and  $\mu^c$  is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy preopen set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\delta \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\delta \leq f(Int\mu^c) \leq f(\mu^c)$ . Since  $f$  is surjective,  $\delta \leq f(\mu^c) < f(f^{-1}(\nu^c)) = \nu^c$ . Thus there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set  $\delta^c$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\nu < \delta^c < 1$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\mu$  be a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy open or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy open set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $f(\mu) \neq 0_Y$ . Suppose there exists no  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\nu \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\nu \leq f(\mu)$ . Then  $(f(\mu))^c$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy set or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy set  $\delta$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that there exists no  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preclosed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy preclosed set  $\delta$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  with  $(f(\mu))^c < \delta < 1$ . This means that  $(f(\mu))^c$  is pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Thus  $f^{-1}((f(\mu))^c)$  is pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . But  $f^{-1}((f(\mu))^c) = (f^{-1}(f(\mu)))^c \leq \mu^c < 1$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $f^{-1}(f(\nu))^c$  is pairwise predense fuzzy set on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen or  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy preopen set  $\nu \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\nu \leq f(\mu)$ . Therefore,  $f$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute preopen.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. K.K. Azad, *On fuzzy semicontinuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **82** (1981), 14-32.
2. A.S. Bin Shahna, *On fuzzy strong semicontinuity and fuzzy precontinuity*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **44** (1991), 303-308.
3. C.L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24** (1968), 182-190.

4. A. Kandil and M.E. El-Shafee, *Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Simon Stevin **63** (1989), 45-66.
5. Karl R. Gentry and Hughes B. Hoyle, III, *Somewhat continuous functions*, Czech. Math. Journal **21** (1971), 5-12.
6. M.N. Mukherjee and S.P. Sinha, *Irresolute and almost open functions between fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **29** (1989), 381-388.
7. J.H. Park and B.H. Park, *Fuzzy pre-irresolute mappings*, Pusan Kyongnam Math. J. **10** (1994), 303-312.
8. A. Swaminathan and S. Sudhakar, *Somewhat pairwise fuzzy precontinuous mappings*, accepted in Thai Journal of Mathematics.
9. G. Thangaraj and G. Balasubramanian, *On somewhat fuzzy continuous functions*, J. Fuzzy Math. **11** (2003), 725-736.
10. G. Thangaraj and G. Balasubramanian, *On somewhat fuzzy semi-continuous functions*, Kybernetika **137** (2001), 165-170.
11. M.K. Uma, E. Roja and G. Balasubramanian, *On somewhat pairwise fuzzy continuous functions*, East Asian Math. J. **23** (2007), 83-101.
12. Young Bin Im, Joo Sung Lee and Yung Duk Cho, *Somewhat fuzzy pre-irresolute continuous mappings*, J. Appl. Math. & Informatics **30** (2012), 1077-1084.
13. L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. And Control **8** (1965), 338-353.

**A. Swaminathan** received M.Sc., from Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli and Ph.D., at Annamalai University, Chidambaram. Since 2007 he has been at Annamalai University. His research interests include Topology and Fuzzy Topology.

Department of Mathematics(FEAT), Annamalai University, Chidambaram, Tamilnadu, India.

e-mail: [asnathanway@gmail.com](mailto:asnathanway@gmail.com)