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#### Abstract

In this work, a method is given to construct quantum codes from cyclic codes over $F_{4}+v F_{4}$ which will be denoted as $R$ throughout the paper, where $v^{2}=v$ and a Gray map is defined between $R$ and $F_{4}^{2}$, where $F_{4}$ is the field with 4 elements. Some optimal quantum code parameters and others will be presented at the end of the paper.
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## 1. Introduction

Error correcting quantum codes are being used to deal with quantum noises such as decoherence which arises after by inventing quantum computers. The first discovery of error correcting quantum codes is made by Shor in [1]. After that discovery, a method is given for constructing quantum codes from widely known classical error correcting codes by Calderbank et al. in [2]. Recently, another widely known class of error correcting codes, cyclic codes over the field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, are being used in the purpose of obtaining quantum error correcting codes, where $q$ is a power of prime. In [6], a technique is given to construct for quantum error correcting codes over the finite ring $\mathbb{F}_{2}+u \mathbb{F}_{2}, u^{2}=0$ by Qian. Kai and Zhu in [7] gave a technique to construct quantum error correcting codes from cyclic codes with length $n$, where $n$ is an odd integer, over finite chain ring $\mathbb{F}_{4}+u \mathbb{F}_{4}$ with $u^{2}=0$. Moreover, Qian [5] gave an original method which uses cyclic codes over the finite ring $\mathbb{F}_{2}+v \mathbb{F}_{2}$ with $v^{2}=v$, to construct quantum error correcting codes. Motivated by this study, M.Ashraf [8] describes a similar construction method for quantum codes which is obtained from cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{3}+v \mathbb{F}_{3}$, where $v^{2}=1$. Making use of the cyclic codes over finite ring $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$,where $v^{2}=v$, we obtain quantum codes over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$. The structure of cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$ was given by A. Bayram in [9]. It was shown that this ring is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{4} \times \mathbb{F}_{4}$.

[^0]In this paper, a method is described for obtaining self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ as Gray map images of linear and cyclic codes over the ring $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$, $v^{2}=v$. A sufficient and necessary condition for cyclic codes over $R$ which contains its dual is given. At the end, parameters of associated quantum codes will be presented, some of them are optimal based on the table in [10].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}=\left\{0,1, w, w^{2}, v, 1+v, w+v, w^{2}+v, w v, 1+w v, w+w v, w^{2}+\right.$ $\left.w v, w^{2} v, 1+w^{2} v, w+w^{2} v, w^{2}+w^{2} v\right\}$ where $v^{2}=v, \mathbb{F}_{4}=\left\{0,1, w, w^{2}\right\}$ where $w^{2}=w+1 . R$ is a finite non-chain ring with 16 elements. The ring $R$ has two maximal ideals $(v)=\{0, v, v w, v(w+1)\}$ and $(1+v)=\{0, v+1,(v+1) w,(v+$ 1) $(w+1)\}$. If we apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem, it is obtained that $R \cong \mathbb{F}_{4}[v] /(v-1) \oplus \mathbb{F}_{4}[v] /(v) \cong \mathbb{F}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{4} \cong(v) \oplus(1+v)$, which means that, every element of $R$ can be expressed uniquely as $x+v y=v(x+y)+(v+1) x$ , for some $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{4}$. If $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$, then the Hamming weight of $\gamma$ is the number of nonzero coordinates in $\gamma$ and is represented by $h w(\gamma)$. $d(\gamma, \delta)=h w(\gamma-\delta)$ gives the Hamming distance between $\gamma$ and $\delta$ in $R$.

A linear code $C$ over $R$ of length $n$ is an $R$ submodule of $R^{n}$. If $\gamma \in C$, then we say that $\gamma$ is a codeword. $C$ is a cyclic code, if $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}\right) \in C$, then $\left(\gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-2}\right) \in C$. Let $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)$ and $\delta=\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$ be two elements of $R^{n}$. Then the Euclidean inner product of $\gamma$ and $\delta$ in $R^{n}$ is defined as

$$
\gamma \cdot \delta=\gamma_{1} \delta_{1}+\gamma_{2} \delta_{2}+\ldots+\gamma_{n} \delta_{n}
$$

If $C$ is a linear code of length $n$ over $R$, then its dual code is defined as

$$
C^{\perp}=\left\{\delta \in R^{n} \mid\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle=0 \text { for all } \gamma \in C\right\}
$$

We say that a code $C$ is a self-orthogonal code, if it satisfies the condition $C \subseteq C^{\perp}$ and a self dual code if $C=C^{\perp}$.

If $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are two linear codes then their direct sum and cartesian product is denoted by $A_{1} \otimes A_{2}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \mid a_{1} \in A_{1}, a_{2} \in A_{2}\right\}$ and $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}=\left\{a_{1}+a_{2} \mid a_{1} \in\right.$ $\left.A_{1}, a_{2} \in A_{2}\right\}$ respectively.

It can be seen directly that by suitable permutation of coordinates, the generator matrix of a nonzero linear code $C$ over $R$ can be considered in the form:

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{k_{1}} & (1+v) B_{1} & v A_{1} & (1+v) A_{2}+v B_{2} & (1+v) A_{3}+v B_{3} \\
0 & v I_{k_{2}} & 0 & v A_{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & (1+v) I_{k_{3}} & 0 & (1+v) B_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{k}$ and $B_{\ell}$ are matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ for $k=1,2,3,4$ and $\ell=1,2,3,4$. The next result is defined in [9]. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\left\{a+b \in \mathbb{F}_{4}^{n} \mid(a+b) v+a(v+1) \in C, \text { for some } a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{4}^{n}\right\} \\
& C_{2}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{4}^{n} \mid(a+b) v+a(v+1) \in C, \text { for some } b \in \mathbb{F}_{4}^{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$. Thus, $C=v C_{1} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}$ and $|C|=16^{k_{1}} 4^{k_{2}} 4^{k_{3}}$.

Theorem 2.1 (CSS Construction). Let $C$ has the parameter $[n, k, d]$ and $\bar{C}$ has the parameter $[n, \bar{k}, \bar{d}]$. If $\bar{C}^{\perp} \subseteq C$, then an $[n, k+\bar{k}-n, \min \{d, \bar{d}\}]$ quantum code can be obtained. In particular, if we take $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$, then a quantum code that has the parameters $[n, 2 k-n, d]$ can be obtained.

## 3. Gray Images of Linear Codes over $\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$

We know that every element of $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$ can be expressed as $a+v b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{4}$. The Gray map $\psi$ from $R$ to $\mathbb{F}_{4}^{2}$ is defined as $\psi(a+v b)=(a+b, a)$. It is easy to show that $\psi$ is a linear map. An extension of the Gray map $\psi$ can be made in obvious way from $R^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{4}^{2 n}$.

Proposition 3.1. The Gray map $\psi$ is a map that preserves the distance from ( $R^{n}$, Lee distance) to ( $\mathbb{F}_{4}^{2 n}$, Hamming distance).

Proposition 3.2. Let $C=v C_{1} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}$ be a linear code of length $n$ over $R$ and $C_{i}$ be $\left[n, k_{i}, d\left(C_{i}\right)\right]$ linear codes for $i=1,2$. Then $\psi(C)$ is a $\left[2 n, k_{1}+\right.$ $\left.k_{2}, \min \left\{d\left(C_{1}\right), d\left(C_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$.
Proposition 3.3. Let $C$ be a code of length $n$ over $R$. Assume $C$ is a selforthogonal code. Then $\psi(C)$ is self-orthogonal.

Proof. Let $c_{1}=\gamma_{1}+v \delta_{1}$ and $c_{2}=\gamma_{2}+v \delta_{2} \in C$, where $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{4}^{n}$. Then Euclidean inner product of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, is

$$
c_{1} c_{2}=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+\left(\gamma_{1} \delta_{2}+\gamma_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{1} \delta_{2}\right) v
$$

We know that $C$ is self-orthogonal,then we have $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{1} \delta_{2}+\gamma_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{1} \delta_{2}=0$. On the other hand, $\psi\left(c_{1}\right) \psi\left(c_{2}\right)=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+\gamma_{1} \delta 2+\gamma_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{1} \delta_{2}+\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}=0$. Hence $\psi(C)$ is self-orthogonal.

## 4. Quantum Codes from Cyclic Codes over R

In this section, we use cyclic codes over $R$ of arbitrary length $n$ to obtain self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$. By using these self-orthogonal codes, the corresponding quantum code parameters will be determined.

The following lemmas are given in [4] and [9].
Lemma 4.1 ([9]). Assume that $C=v C_{1} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}$ be a linear code over $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$. So $C$ is a cyclic code over $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$ if and only if $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are both cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$.
Lemma 4.2 ([9]). If $C=v C_{1} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}$ is a cyclic code of length $n$ over $R=$ $\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$. Then $C=\left\langle v g_{1}(x),(1+v) g_{2}(x)\right\rangle$ and $|C|=4^{2 n-\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{1}(x)\right)-\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{2}(x)\right)}$, where $g_{1}(x), g_{2}(x)$ are the generator polynomials of $C_{1}, C_{2}$, respectively.
Lemma 4.3 ([4]). Let $C$ be a cyclic code of length $n$ over $R$. Then there exists unique polynomial $g(x)$ such that $C=\langle g(x)\rangle$, and $g(x) \mid x^{n}-1$, where $g(x)=$ $v g_{1}(x)+(1+v) g_{2}(x)$.

Lemma 4.4 ([4]). Let $C=v C_{1} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}$ is a cyclic code of length $n$ over R. Then $C^{\perp}=\left\langle\left(v h_{1}^{*}(x)+(1+v) h_{2}^{*}(x)\right\rangle\right.$, and $| C^{\perp} \mid=4^{\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{1}(x)\right)+\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{2}(x)\right)}$, where $h_{i}^{*}(x)$ are the reciprocal polynomials of $h_{i}(x)$, that is, $h_{i}(x)=x^{n}-$ $1 / g_{i}(x), h_{i}^{*}(x)=x^{\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i}(x)\right)} h_{i}(x)^{-1}$ for $i=1,2$.

Now, we give a lemma that describes the necessary and sufficient condition for a cyclic code to be self-orthogonal.

Lemma 4.5. Let $C$ be a cyclic code with generator polynomial $g(x)$, then $C$ contains its dual code if and only if

$$
x^{n}-1 \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \left(g(x) g^{*}(x)\right)
$$

where $g^{*}(x)$ is the reciprocal polynomial of $g(x)$.
Now, a sufficient and necessary condition for cyclic code over $R$ that contains its dual is given.

Theorem 4.6. Let $C=\langle g(x)\rangle$ is a cyclic code of length $n$ over $R$, where $g(x)=$ $v g_{1}(x)+(1+v) g_{2}(x)$. Then $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$ if and only if

$$
x^{n}-1 \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \left(g_{i}(x) g_{i}^{*}(x)\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Proof. Let $C=\langle g(x)\rangle=v C_{1} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R$, then $C=\left\langle v g_{1}(x),(1+v) g_{2}(x)\right\rangle, C_{1}=\left\langle g_{1}(x)\right\rangle$ and $C_{2}=\left\langle g_{2}(x)\right\rangle$. If

$$
\left.x^{n}-1 \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \left(g_{i}(x) g_{i}^{*}(x)\right)\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Then

$$
C_{1}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{1}, C_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{2} .
$$

This implies that

$$
v C_{1}^{\perp} \subseteq v C_{1},(1+v) C_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq(1+v) C_{2}
$$

Therefore

$$
v C_{1}^{\perp} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq v C_{1} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\langle v h_{1}^{*}(x),(1+v) h_{2}^{*}(x)\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle v g_{1}(x),(1+v) g_{2}(x)\right\rangle,
$$

that is, $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$.
On the other hand if $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$, then $v C_{1}^{\perp} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq v C_{1} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}$. Since $C_{1}$ (resp. $C_{2}$ ) be the code over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ such that $v C_{1}\left(\operatorname{resp}(1+v) C_{2}\right)$ is equal to $C \operatorname{modv}($ resp. $C \bmod (1+v)), C_{1}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{1}, C_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{2}$. Therefore,

$$
x^{n}-1 \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \left(g_{i}(x) g_{i}^{*}(x)\right), \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2
$$

Corollary 4.7. Let $C=v C_{1} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}$ be a cyclic code of length $n$ over $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$. Then we have $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$ if and only if

$$
C_{1}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{1}, C_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{2}
$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 4.7, we can obtain quantum codes.

Theorem 4.8. Let $C=v C_{1} \oplus(1+v) C_{2}$ is a cyclic code of arbitrary length $n$ over $R$ and let the parameters of $\psi(C)$ be $\left[2 n, k, d_{L}\right]$ where $d_{L}$ is defined as the minimum Lee weight of $C$. If

$$
C_{1}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{1}, C_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{2}
$$

Then we have $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$ and thus we get a quantum error-correcting code which has the parameters $\left[\left[2 n, 2 k-2 n, d_{L}\right]\right]$ where $d_{L}$.

## 5. Examples

Example 5.1. Let $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$ and $n=10$. Then
$x^{10}-1=(x+1)^{2}\left(x^{2}+w x+1\right)^{2}\left(x^{2}+w^{2} x+1\right)^{2}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{4}$. Let $g(x)=v g_{1}(x)+(1+$ $v) g_{2}(x)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(x)=g_{2}(x)=x+1 \\
& g_{1}^{*}(x)=g_{2}^{*}(x)=x+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and $C=<g(x)>$ be a cyclic code over $R$. Clearly $x^{10}-1$ is divisible by $g_{i} g_{i}^{*}$ for $i=1,2$. Hence by Corollary 4.7 we have $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$. Then a quantum code with parameters $[[20,16,2]]$ is obtained, which is optimal based on [10]. Other optimal codes are presented in Table 1.

Example 5.2. Let $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$ and $n=36$. Then $x^{36}-1=(x+1)^{4}(x+w)^{4}\left(x+w^{2}\right)^{4}\left(x^{3}+w\right)^{4}\left(x^{3}+w^{2}\right)^{4}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{4}$. Let $g(x)=$ $v g_{1}(x)+(1+v) g_{2}(x)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(x)=x^{9}+w^{2} x^{8}+w x^{7}+w^{2} x^{5}+w x^{4}+w^{2} x^{2}+w x+1 \\
& g_{2}(x)=x^{10}+x^{7}+w^{2} x^{6}+x^{4}+w^{2} x^{3}+w^{2} \\
& g_{1}^{*}(x)=x^{9}+w x^{8}+w^{2} x^{7}+w x^{5}+w^{2} x^{4}+w x^{2}+w^{2} x+1 \\
& g_{2}^{*}(x)=w^{2} x^{10}+w^{2} x^{7}+x^{6}+w^{2} x^{4}+x^{3}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and $C=<g(x)>$ be a cyclic code over $R$. Clearly $x^{36}-1$ is divisible by $g_{i} g_{i}^{*}$ for $i=1,2$. Hence by Corollary 4.7 we have $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$. Then we obtain a quantum code with parameters $[[72,34,4]]$.

Example 5.3. Let $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$ and $n=43$. Then
$x^{43}-1=(x+1)\left(x^{7}+w x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+w^{2} x^{2}+1\right)\left(x^{7}+w^{2} x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+w x^{2}+\right.$ 1) $\left(x^{7}+x^{6}+w x^{5}+w^{2} x^{2}+x+1\right)\left(x^{7}+x^{6}+w^{2} x^{5}+w x^{2}+x+1\right)\left(x^{7}+w x^{6}+w x^{5}+\right.$ $\left.w x^{4}+w^{2} x^{3}+w^{2} x^{2}+w^{2} x+1\right)\left(x^{7}+w^{2} x^{6}+w^{2} x^{5}+w^{2} x^{4}+w x^{3}+w x^{2}+w x+1\right)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{4}$. Let $g(x)=v g_{1}(x)+(1+v) g_{2}(x)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1}(x) & =g_{2}(x) \\
& =x^{14}+w x^{13}+w^{2} x^{12}+w x^{11}+w^{2} x^{10}+x^{8}+x^{7}+x^{6}+w x^{4}+w^{2} x^{3}+w x^{2}+w^{2} x+1 \\
g_{1}^{*}(x) & =g_{2}^{*}(x) \\
& =x^{14}+w^{2} x^{13}+w x^{12}+w^{2} x^{11}+w x^{10}+x^{8}+x^{7}+x^{6}+w^{2} x^{4}+w x^{3}+w^{2} x^{2}+w x+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and $C=<g(x)>$ be a cyclic code over $R$. Clearly $x^{43}-1$ is divisible by $g_{i} g_{i}^{*}$ for $i=1,2$. Hence by Corollary 4.7 we have $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$. Then a quantum code with parameters $[[86,30,8]]$ is obtained.
Example 5.4. Let $R=\mathbb{F}_{4}+v \mathbb{F}_{4}$ and $n=57$. Then $x^{57}-1=(x+1)(x+w)\left(x+w^{2}\right)\left(x^{9}+x^{8}+w x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+w^{2} x^{3}+x+1\right)\left(x^{9}+\right.$ $\left.x^{8}+w^{2} x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1\right)\left(x^{9}+x^{8}+w x^{6}+w x^{5}+w^{2} x^{4}+w^{2} x^{3}+w^{2} x+\right.$ 1) $\left(x^{9}+w x^{8}+w^{2} x^{6}+w x^{5}+w^{2} x^{4}+w x^{3}+w^{2} x+1\right)\left(x^{9}+w^{2} x^{8}+w x^{6}+w^{2} x^{5}+\right.$ $\left.w x^{4}+w^{2} x^{3}+w x+1\right)\left(x^{9}+w^{2} x^{8}+w^{2} x^{6}+w^{2} x^{5}+w x^{4}+w x^{3}+w x+1\right)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{4}$. Let $g(x)=v g_{1}(x)+(1+v) g_{2}(x)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1}(x) & =g_{2}(x) \\
& =x^{19}+w^{2} x^{18}+w x^{17}+w x^{14}+x^{10}+w^{2} x^{9}+w x^{5}+w x^{2}+x+w^{2} \\
g_{1}^{*}(x) & =g_{2}^{*}(x) \\
& =w^{2} x^{19}+x^{18}+w x^{17}+w x^{14}+w^{2} x^{10}+x^{9}+w x^{5}+w x^{2}+w^{2} x+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and $C=<g(x)>$ be a cyclic code over $R$. Clearly $x^{57}-1$ is divisible by $g_{i} g_{i}^{*}$ for $i=1,2$. Hence by Corollary 4.7 we have $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$. Then a quantum code with parameters $[[114,38,6]]$ is obtained.
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Table 1. The Parameters of Optimal Quantum Codes

| $n$ | Generator Polynomials | Parameters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[8,4,2]]$ |
| 6 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+w^{2}$ | $[[12,8,2]]$ |
| 8 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[16,12,2]]$ |
| 9 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+w^{2}$ | $[[18,14,2]]$ |
| 12 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+w^{2}$ | $[[24,20,2]]$ |
| 14 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[28,24,2]]$ |
| 15 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+w^{2}$ | $[[30,26,2]]$ |
| 16 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[32,28,2]]$ |
| 18 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+w^{2}$ | $[[36,32,2]]$ |
| 20 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[40,36,2]]$ |
| 21 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+w^{2}$ | $[[42,38,2]]$ |
| 22 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[44,40,2]]$ |
| 24 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+w^{2}$ | $[[48,44,2]]$ |
| 26 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[52,48,2]]$ |
| 27 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+w^{2}$ | $[[54,50,2]]$ |
| 28 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[56,52,2]]$ |
| 33 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=w^{2} x+1$ | $[[66,62,2]]$ |
| 34 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[68,64,2]]$ |
| 36 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=w^{2} x+1$ | $[[72,68,2]]$ |
| 39 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=w^{2} x+1$ | $[[78,74,2]]$ |
| 40 | $g_{1}=x^{2}+w^{2} x+1$ |  |
| 44 | $g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[80,74,2]]$ |
| 48 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[88,84,2]]$ |
| 50 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+w^{2}$ | $[[96,92,2]]$ |
| 52 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[100,96,2]]$ |
| 54 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[104,100,2]]$ |
| 56 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+w^{2}$ | $[[108,104,2]]$ |
| 58 | $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[112,108,2]]$ |
| $g_{1}=g_{2}=x+1$ | $[[116,112,2]]$ |  |
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Table 2. The Parameters of Quantum Codes

| $n$ | Generator Polynomials $g_{1}=g_{2}$ | Parameters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | $x^{5}+w^{2} x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+w x+1$ | [[22, 2, 5]] |
| 12 | $x^{4}+x^{3}+w^{2} x^{2}+w x+w$ | [ $24,8,3]]$ |
| 15 | $x^{3}+x+w$ | [ $30,18,3]]$ |
| 18 | $x^{5}+w x^{3}+w^{2} x^{2}+1$ | $[[36,16,3]]$ |
| 19 | $x^{9}+w^{2} x^{8}+w^{2} x^{6}+w^{2} x^{5}+w x^{4}+w x^{3}+w x+1$ | [[38, 2, 7]] |
| 21 | $x^{7}+w x^{6}+x^{4}+w^{2} x^{3}+w^{2} x+w^{2}$ | [[42, 14, 5]] |
| 23 | $x^{11}+x^{10}+x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{2}+1$ | $[[46,2,7]]$ |
| 28 | $x^{10}+x^{7}+x^{5}+x^{2}+x+1$ | [[56, 16, 4]] |
| 31 | $x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+1$ | [[62, 42, 3]] |
| 33 | $\begin{gathered} x^{16}+w x^{15}+w x^{13}+w x^{12}+w x^{11}+w x^{9} \\ +w x^{7}+w x^{5}+w x^{4}+w x^{3}+w x+w^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | [[66, 2, 10]] |
| 33 | $\begin{gathered} x^{11}+w^{2} x^{10}+x^{8}+w^{2} x^{7}+w x^{6}+x^{5} \\ +w^{2} x^{4}+w x^{3}+w^{2} x+w \end{gathered}$ | [[66, 22, 6]] |
| 35 | $\begin{gathered} x^{12}+w^{2} x^{11}+w x^{10}+w^{2} x^{9}+w x^{8}+ \\ w^{2} x^{7}+w x^{5}+w^{2} x^{4}+w x^{3}+w^{2} x^{2}+w x+1 \end{gathered}$ | [[70, 22, 5]] |
| 35 | $x^{6}+w^{2} x^{5}+x^{4}+w x^{3}+w x^{2}+1$ | [[70, 46, 3]] |
| 39 | $x^{7}+w x^{5}+w^{2} x^{4}+w x^{3}+x^{2}+w x+w^{2}$ | [[78, 50, 3]] |
| 42 | $x^{5}+w x^{4}+w x^{3}+w x^{2}+w$ | [ $84,64,3]]$ |
| 42 | $x^{8}+w^{2} x^{7}+x^{5}+w$ | [ $84,52,4]]$ |
| 42 | $x^{11}+x^{8}+w^{2} x^{6}+w x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+w$ | [84, 40, 5]] |
| 42 | $\begin{gathered} x^{14}+x^{13}+w x^{12}+w x^{11}+w^{2} x^{10}+x^{9} \\ +w x^{8}+x^{7}+x^{6}+w x^{5}+x^{4}+w x^{3}+w^{2} x^{2}+x+w \end{gathered}$ | [[84, 28, 6]] |
| 43 | $x^{7}+w^{2} x^{6}+w^{2} x^{5}+w^{2} x^{4}+w x^{3}+w x^{2}+w x+1$ | [[86, 58, 5]] |
| 47 | $\begin{gathered} x^{23}+x^{22}+x^{21}+x^{20}+x^{18}+x^{17} \\ +x^{16}+x^{14}+x^{13}+x^{11}+x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{5}+x^{4}+1 \end{gathered}$ | [[94, 2, 11]] |
| 48 | $x^{11}+x^{8}+w x^{3}+w$ | [ $96,52,4]]$ |
| 56 | $x^{16}+x^{14}+x^{13}+x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{8}+x^{4}+x^{2}+x+1$ | [ $112,48,4]]$ |
| 57 | $\begin{gathered} x^{27}+x^{26}+w^{2} x^{25}+x^{24}+w x^{23}+x^{22}+w x^{21} \\ +w^{2} x^{20}+w^{2} x^{19}+x^{17}+w x^{16}+w^{2} x^{14}+w x^{13}+w^{2} x^{11}+ \\ x^{10}+w x^{8}+w x^{7}+w^{2} x^{6}+x^{5}+w^{2} x^{4}+x^{3}+w x^{2}+x+1 \end{gathered}$ | [[114, 6, 12]] |
| 59 | $\begin{gathered} x^{29}+w^{2} x^{28}+x^{27}+x^{26}+w^{2} x^{25}+w x^{24}+ \\ x^{23}+w^{2} x^{21}+x^{20}+w^{2} x^{19}+w x^{18}+x^{17}+x^{16}+w^{2} x^{15} \\ +w x^{14}+x^{13}+x^{12}+w^{2} x^{11}+w x^{10}+x^{9}+w x^{8}+x^{6}+ \\ w^{2} x^{5}+w x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+w x+1 \end{gathered}$ | [[118, 2, 14]] |
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