PLANE CURVES MEETING AT A POINT WITH HIGH INTERSECTION MULTIPLICITY

SEON JEONG KIM^a AND EUNJU KANG^{b,*}

ABSTRACT. As a generalization of an inflection point, we consider a point P on a smooth plane curve C of degree m at which another curve C' of degree n meets C with high intersection multiplicity. Especially, we deal with the existence of two curves of degree m and n meeting at the unique point.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let C_m and C_n be smooth complex projective plane curve of degree m and n, respectively, with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let P be an intersection point of C_m and C_n . We denote $I(C_m \cap C_n; P)$ the intersection multiplicity at P of two curves C_m and C_n .

For a point P of $C = C_d$ $(d \ge 3)$ and for a general line L passing through P, the intersection multiplicity $I(C \cap L; P)$ is one. If $L = T_P(C)$ is the tangent line of C_d at P then we have $I(C \cap T_P(C); P) \ge 2$ and equality holds for general point P. If $I(C \cap T_P(C); P) = e > 2$, we call P an inflection point of C_d with intersection multiplicity e. In particular, if $I(C \cap T_P(C); P) = d$, we call P a total inflection point of C_d . In this case the tangent line and the curve meet at only one point Pby Bezout's theorem.

Existence of an inflection point of high intersection multiplicity helps us to find Weierstrass points on C([1]). The canonical series of a smooth curve C_d is cut out by the system of degree d-3 curves, hence e(d-3)P is a special divisor. Thus, if $d \ge 4$ and $e \ge \left[\frac{d+1}{2}\right]$, then an inflection point with multiplicity e is a Weierstrass point. More generally, if there exists a curve of C_{d-3} with $I(C_d \cap C_{d-3}; P) \ge g$ where $g = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$, then the point P is a Weierstrass point of the curve C_d .

© 2016 Korean Soc. Math. Educ.

Received by the editors August 01, 2016. Accepted August 07, 2016.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14H55.

Key words and phrases. intersection multiplicy, intersection number, plane curve.

With this motivation we generalize the notion of an inflection point. We want to find two curves C_m and C_n with an intersection point P with high intersection multiplicity $I(C_m \cap C_n; P)$.

To construct smooth plane curves satisfying our condition, we use the following theorems frequently.

Theorem 1.1 ([5, Bertini's Theorem]). The genereic element of a linear system is smooth away from the base locus of the system.

Theorem 1.2 ([4, Namba's Lemma]). Let C, C_1 and C_2 be plane curves. If P is a nonsingular point of C, then we have

$$I(C_1 \cap C_2; P) \ge \min\{I(C \cap C_1; P), I(C \cap C_2; P)\}.$$

Theorem 1.3 ([2, Bezout's Theorem]). Let C_m and C_n be smooth plane curves of degree m and n. Then we have

$$\sum_{P \in C_m \cap C_n} I(C_m \cap C_n; P) = mn.$$

2. Plane Curves Meeting with Maximal Intersection Multiplicity

At first we give easy examples of smooth plane curves C_m and C_n with $C_m \cdot C_n = mnP$. Throughout this paper, the point P is the origin (0,0) in the affine plane, i.e., the point (0,0,1) in homogeneous coordinate of the projective plane.

Example 2.1. (1) The case m = 1:

Let C_1 and C_n be the curves defined by non-homogeneous equations as follows;

$$\begin{cases} C_1: \quad y=0, \\ C_n: \quad y-x^n+ay^n=0 \end{cases}$$

Then for general a, the curve C_n is smooth by 1.1 and we have

$$I(C_1 \cap C_n; P) = n.$$

(2) The case m = 2:

Let C_2 and $C_n (n \ge 2)$ be the curves defined by non-homogeneous equations as follows;

$$\begin{cases} C_2 : y - x^2 = 0, \\ C_n : (y - x^2)(1 + x^{n-2} + y^{n-2}) + ay^n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then for general a, the curve C_n is smooth by Bertini's theorem and we have

$$I(C_2 \cap C_n; P) = 2n.$$

(3) A generalization of (1) and (2):

Let C_m and C_n with $m \leq n$ be the curves defined by non-homogeneous equations as follows;

$$\begin{cases} C_m : y - x^m + y \cdot k(x, y) = 0, & \deg k = m - 1 \\ C_n : (y - x^m + y \cdot k(x, y))h(x, y) + y^n = 0, & \deg h = n - m. \end{cases}$$

Then for general k(x, y) and h(x, y), the curves C_m and C_n are smooth and we have

$$I(C_m \cap C_n; P) = mn.$$

Remark 2.2. In (3) of above example, the point P is a total inflection point of C_m .

Now we are interested in the point P which is a total inflection point of neither C_m nor C_n . To consider such a problem, we prove some theorems concerning to the existence of such curves.

Theorem 2.3. Let m, n be positive integers. Suppose that there exist smooth curves C_m and C_n such that $I(C_m \cap C_n; P) = mn$. If k is a positive integer such that $kn \ge m$, then there exists a smooth curve C_{kn} such that $I(C_m \cap C_{kn}; P) = kmn$.

Proof. Consider a linear system $\lambda C_m(1 + x^{kn-m} + y^{kn-m}) + \mu C_n^k$. If $Q(\neq P)$ is contained in the base locus of the linear system $\langle C_m(1 + x^{kn-m} + y^{kn-m}), C_n^k \rangle$ then Q lies on the curve $1 + x^{kn-m} + y^{kn-m}$ and does not lie on C_m , since C_m and C_n meet only at P. Since Q is a smooth point of the curve $1 + x^{kn-m} + y^{kn-m}$, it is a smooth point of a general member in the system. On the other hand P is a smooth point of C_m and not on the curve $1 + x^{kn-m} + y^{kn-m}$, P is a smooth point of a general member of the system. Let C_{kn} be a general member in the linear system. Then, by Bertini's theorem, C_{kn} is a smooth curve.

Remark 2.4. In fact, we may obtain Example 2.1 (3) from Example 2.1 (1) and Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. Let *m* be any positive integer and *n* be a positive even integer with $n \ge m$. Then there exist smooth curves C_m and C_n such that $I(C_m \cap C_n; P) = mn$.

Proof. If m = 1, then it follows from Example 2.1 (1). So we assume that $m \ge 2$. Let C_m and C_2 be curves in (2) of Example 2 which satisfy $I(C_m \cap C_2; P) = 2m$. Then for any even $n \ge m$, there exists a smooth C_n such that $I(C_m \cap C_n; P) = mn$, by above theorem.

Theorem 2.6. Let $m > n \ge k$ be natural integers. If C_m , C_n and C_k are smooth curves such that $I(C_m \cap C_n; P) = mn$ and $I(C_m \cap C_k; P) = mk$, then n = k and C_n and C_k are the same curves.

Proof. By Namba's lemma, $I(C_n \cap C_k; P) \ge mk$ which is bigger than nk, the product of the degrees of C_n and C_k . By Bezout's theorem, C_k and C_n has a common component. However it is impossible since C_k and C_n are smooth and so irreducible unless $C_n = C_k$.

Corollary 2.7. Let C_m be a smooth curve. Then there exists at most one smooth curve C_k with $1 \le k \le m-1$ such that $I(C_m \cap C_k; P) = mk$.

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 2.8. Let C_3 and C'_3 be distinct smooth cubics such that $I(C_3 \cap C'_3; P) = 9$. Then $I(C_3 \cap C_2; P) \leq 5$ for any irreducible conic C_2 .

Proof. Suppose $I(C_3 \cap C_2; P) = 6$ for some irreducible conic C_2 . Then, by Namba's theorem, the point P can not be an inflection point of C_3 , and hence $C_3 \cdot T_P C_3 = 2P + Q$ with $P \neq Q$, where $T_P C_3$ is the tangent line to C_3 at P. Then

$$9P = C_3 \cdot C'_3 \sim C_3 \cdot (C_2 \cdot T_P C_3) = 8P + Q.$$

Thus we have $P \sim Q$, which is a contradiction, since the genus of C_3 is one.

Theorem 2.9. Let $C_m (m \ge 3)$ and C_2 satisfies $I(C_m \cap C_2; P) = 2m$. Then there exists no smooth curve C_n of odd degree n such that $I(C_m \cap C_n; P) = mn$.

Proof. Note that $T_PC_m = T_PC_2$ and $I(C_2 \cap T_PC_2) = 2$.

If n = 1 then for any C_1 , $I(C_m \cap C_1; P) \leq I(C_m \cap T_P C_m; P) = I(C_2 \cap T_P C_2; P) = 2$, by Namba's lemma.

Suppose that for $n = 2k + 1 (k \le 1)$ there exists a smooth curve C_{2k+1} such that

$$C_m \cdot C_{2k+1} = m(2k+1)P$$

On the other hand, since $T_PC_m = T_PC_2$ and $I(C_2 \cap T_PC_2; P) = 2$, we have

$$C_m \cdot (C_2^k \cdot T_P C_m) = (2km + 2)P + D,$$

where degD = m - 2. Then this implies the existence of the linear series g_{m-2}^1 on C_m , which is a contradiction.

312

To state a generalization of the above theorem we need notations. Let $i_s := i_s(C_m) = \max\{I(C_m \cap F; P) \mid \deg F = s\}$, and let $I_s(C_m)$ be a curve of degree s such that $i_s(C_m) = I(C_m \cap I_s(C_m); P)$. Note that $I_1(C_m) = T_PC_m$ and $i_1 = I(C_m \cap T_PC_m; P)$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $m \ge 3$ and let C_m be smooth. Suppose that there exists a smooth curve $C_r(r \ge 2)$ such that $I(C_m \cap C_r; P) = mr$. If P is not a total inflection point of C_m , then, for $k \ge 1$, there is no smooth curve $C_{kr\pm 1}$ such that $I(C_m \cap C_{kr\pm 1}; P) = m(kr \pm 1)$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a smooth curve C_{kr+1} [resp. C_{kr-1}]. Then

$$C_m \cdot C_{kr+1} = m(kr+1)P.$$

[resp. $C_m \cdot (C_{kr-1} \cdot T_P C_m) = (m(kr-1) + i_1)P + D.$]

On the other hand,

$$C_m \cdot (C_r^k \cdot T_P C_m) = (m(kr) + i_1)P + D,$$

[resp. $C_m \cdot C_r^k = m(kr)P,$]

where D is the divisor such that $C_m \cdot T_P C_m = i_1 P + D$, hence its degree is $m - i_1$ which satisfies $1 \le m - i_1 \le m - 2$. Comparing two divisors, we conclude that there exists a linear series $g_{m-i_1}^1$ on C_m , which is impossible on a smooth plane curve of degree m.

Theorem 2.11. Let $m \ge 7$ and let C_m be smooth. Suppose that there exists a smooth curve C_r with $2 < r < \frac{m}{2}$ such that $I(C_m \cap C_r; P) = mr$. Then, for $k \ge 1$, there is no smooth curve $C_{kr\pm 2}$ such that $I(C_m \cap C_{kr\pm 2}; P) = m(kr \pm 2)$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists such a curve C_{kr+2} [resp. C_{kr-2}]. Then

$$C_m \cdot C_{kr+2} = m(kr+2)P.$$

[resp. $C_m \cdot (C_{kr-2} \cdot I_2(C_m)) = (m(kr-2) + i_2)P + D.$]

On the other hand,

$$C_m \cdot (C_r^k \cdot I_2(C_m)) = (m(kr) + i_2)P + D,$$

[resp. $C_m \cdot C_r^k = m(kr)P,$]

where D is the divisor such that $C_m \cdot I_2(C_m) = i_2 P + D$, hence its degree is $2m - i_2$. Comparing two divisors, we conclude that there exists a linear series $g_{2m-i_2}^1$. By Namba's lemma and since the dimension of conics is 5, we have $5 \le i_2 \le 2r \le m$. By Coppens' results([3]), we can not have such a linear series on a smooth plane curve of degree m.

Theorem 2.12. Let $m \ge s^2 + 2$ and let C_m be smooth. Suppose that there exists a smooth curve C_r with $s < r < \frac{m}{s}$ such that $I(C_m \cap C_r; P) = mr$ and $i_s(C_m) \ge s^2 + 1$. Then, for $k \ge 1$, there is no smooth curve $C_{kr\pm s}$ such that $I(C_m \cap C_{kr\pm s}; P) = m(kr \pm s)$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists such a curve C_{kr+s} [resp. C_{kr-s}]. Then

$$C_m \cdot C_{kr+s} = m(kr+s)P.$$

[resp. $C_m \cdot (C_{kr-s} \cdot I_s(C_m)) = (m(kr-s)+i_s)P + D.$]

On the other hand,

$$C_m \cdot (C_r^k \cdot I_s(C_m)) = (m(kr) + i_s)P + D,$$

[resp. $C_m \cdot C_r^k = m(kr)P,$]

where D is the divisor such that $C_m \cdot I_s(C_m) = i_s P + D$, hence its degree is $sm - i_s$. Comparing two divisors, we conclude that there exists a linear series $g_{sm-i_s}^1$. By Namba's lemma and our assumption, we have $s^2 + 1 \le i_s \le sr < m$. By Coppens' results([3]), we can not have such a linear series on a smooth plane curve of degree m.

3. Some Examples

Now we give examples of C_m and C_n meeting at the unique point P which is not an inflection point of any curve and $I(C_m \cap C_n; P) = mn$.

If a smooth curve C passing through the origin P(0,0) is given by the equation

$$C: y - x^{2} + y \cdot k(x, y) + h(x) = 0, \ deg(k) \ge 1, \ deg(h) \ge 3$$

then $T_P(C)$ is given by the equation y = 0 and $I(C \cap T_P(C); P) = 2$ so P is not an inflection point of C.

We found examples using the mathematics package, Maple.

Example 3.1. The case m = 3 and n = 3, 4 or 6. (1) m = n = 3: Let C_3 and C'_3 be given by the equations $\begin{cases}
C_3: & A(x, y) = y - x^2 + xy^2 = 0 \\
C'_3: & B(x, y) = y - x^2 + xy - x^3 + xy^2 + y^3 = 0.
\end{cases}$

Then the equation for C_3 and C'_3 satisfies

$$\begin{split} B(x,y) = &y - x^2 + xy - x^3 + xy^2 + y^3 \\ = &(y - x^2 + xy^2) + x(y - x^2 + xy^2) + y^2(y - x^2 + xy^2) - xy^4 \\ = &(y - x^2 + xy^2)(1 + x + y^2) - xy^4 \\ = &A(x,y)(1 + x + y^2) - xy^4 \end{split}$$

so

$$I(C_3 \cap C'_3; P) = I(C_3 \cap xy^4; P) = I(C_3 \cap x; P) + 4I(C_3 \cap y; P) = 9$$

Also we can represent C_3 and C'_3 using a parameter t as follows $C_3: (t, t^2 - t^5 + 2t^8 - 5t^{11} + 14t^{14} - 42t^{17} + ...)$ $C'_3: (t, t^2 - t^5 + 2t^8 + t^9 - t^{10} - 4t^{11} - 7t^{12} + ...)$ and we get $I(C_3 \cap C'_3; P) = 9$ again. In fact the resultant of C_3 and C'_3 is x^9 so $I(C_3 \cap C'_3; P) = 9$.

(2) m = 3 and n = 4: Let C_3 and C_4 be given by the equations

$$\begin{cases} C_3: & A(x,y) = y - x^2 + y^3 = 0\\ C_4: & B(x,y) = (y - x^2 + y^3) + (y - x^2)^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$B(x,y) = (y - x^{2} + y^{3}) + (y - x^{2})^{2}$$

= $(y - x^{2} + y^{3}) + (y - x^{2} + y^{3})(y - x^{2}) - (y - x^{2} + y^{3})y^{3} + y^{6}$
= $A(x,y)(1 + y - x^{2} - y^{3}) + y^{6}$

 \mathbf{so}

$$I(C_3 \cap C_4; P) = I(C_3 \cap y^6; P) = 6I(C_3 \cap y; P) = 12.$$

We can represent C_3 and C_4 with a parameter t as follows

 $C_3: (t, t^2 - t^6 + 3t^{10} - 12t^{14} + 55t^{18} + \dots)$ $C_4: (t, t^2 - t^6 + 3t^{10} - t^{12} - 12t^{14} + 9t^{16} + 53t^{18} + \dots)$ so $I(C_3 \cap C_4; P) = 12$ again.

In fact the resultant of C_3 and C_4 is x^{12} so $I(C_3 \cap C_4; P) = 12$. (3) m = 3 and n = 6: Using C_3 and C'_3 in (1), we construct curves for m = 3 and n = 6.

$$\begin{cases} C_3: \quad y - x^2 + xy^2 = 0\\ C_6: \quad (y - x^2 + xy^2)(1 + x^3 + y^3) + (y - x^2 + xy - x^3 + xy^2 + y^3)^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

With the similar method as above we have

SEON JEONG KIM & EUNJU KANG

$$I(C_3 \cap C_6; P) = I(C_3 \cap {C'_3}^2; P) = 2I(C_3 \cap C'_3; P) = 18.$$

Also the resultant of C_3 and C_6 is x^{18} .

Example 3.2. The case m = 3 and n = 5.

Let C_3 and C_5 be given by the equations

$$\begin{cases} C_3: \quad y - x^2 + xy^2 = 0\\ C_5: \quad y - x^2 - 2y^3 + x^4 + 4x^2y^2 + xy^3 - 2y^4 + x^5 - 3x^4y - 4x^3y^2 - y^5 = 0. \end{cases}$$

We can see that C_3 and C_5 are smooth plane curves and the resultant of C_3 and C_5 is x^{15} by Maple so $I(C_3 \cap C_5; P) = 15$.

Example 3.3. The case m = 3 and n = 7.

Let C_3 and C_7 be given by the equations

$$\begin{cases} C_3: y - x^2 + (-2 + 2\sqrt{5})y^2 + 2x^3 = 0\\ C_7: 80\sqrt{5}xy^2 + 2320\sqrt{5}x^5y^2 - 3240\sqrt{5}xy^4\sqrt{5} + 2760\sqrt{5}x^3y^3 - 6944\sqrt{5}x^2y^4 \\ -1088\sqrt{5}xy^5 + 384\sqrt{5}xy^6 - 223\sqrt{5}x^2y^2 - 40\sqrt{5}x^2y - 512\sqrt{5}x^2y^5 \\ -612\sqrt{5}x^2y^3 + 1472\sqrt{5}y^6 - 14y - 384xy^6 + 512y^7 - 28x^3 - 2624y^6 + 14x^2 \\ +14944x^2y^4 - 10256\sqrt{5}y^5 + 23152y^5 + 88x^2y - 5880x^3y^3 + 495x^2y^2 - 6\sqrt{5}x^2 \\ +1332x^2y^3 + 6\sqrt{5}x^7 - 176xy^2 - 15\sqrt{5}y^3 + 6\sqrt{5}y + 12\sqrt{5}x^3 + 2176xy^5 \\ +142\sqrt{5}x^4y - 14x^7 + 37y^3 + 7016xy^4 + 640x^2y^5 - 308x^4y - 5360x^5y^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

We can see that C_3 and C_7 are smooth and that the resultant of C_3 and C_7 is $33554432x^{21}$ using Maple. So we get C_3 and C_7 with $I(C_3 \cap C_7; P) = 21$ which is the maximal possible intersection multiplicity that two smooth plane curves of degree 3 and 7 can have.

References

- E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths & J. Harris: Geometry of Algebraic Curves, I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1985.
- B. Brieskorn & H. Knörrer: *Plane Algebraic Curves*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Springer Basel, 2012.
- M. Coppens: The existence of base point free linear systems on smooth plane curves. Journal of Algebraic Geometry 4 (1995), 1-15.
- M. Namba: Families of meromorphic functions on compact Riemann surfaces. Lecture Notes in Math. 767, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- J.G. Semple & L. Roth: Introduction to Algebraic Geometry. Oxford University Press, 1985.

^aDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND RINS, GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, JINJU 52828, REPUBLIC OF KOREA *Email address:* skim@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr

^bDepartment of Information and Communication Engineering, Honam University, Gwangju 62399, Republic of Korea *Email address*: ejkang@honam.ac.kr