# DISTRIBUTIONAL SOLUTIONS OF WILSON'S FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS WITH INVOLUTION AND THEIR ERDÖS' PROBLEM 

Jaeyoung Chung

Abstract. We find the distributional solutions of the Wilson's functional equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \circ T+u \circ T^{\sigma}-2 u \otimes v=0 \\
& u \circ T+u \circ T^{\sigma}-2 v \otimes u=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u, v \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the space of Schwartz distributions, $T(x, y)=x+$ $y, T^{\sigma}(x, y)=x+\sigma y, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \sigma$ an involution, and $\circ, \otimes$ are pullback and tensor product of distributions, respectively. As a consequence, we solve the Erdös' problem for the Wilson's functional equations in the class of locally integrable functions. We also consider the Ulam-Hyers stability of the classical Wilson's functional equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)=2 f(x) g(y) \\
& f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)=2 g(x) f(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

in the class of Lebesgue measurable functions.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we denote by $G$ a commutative group, $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ the $n$ dimensional Euclidean space, $\mathbb{C}$ the set of complex numbers, and $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ or $f, g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. A function $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$ is said to be an involution if $\sigma(x+y)=\sigma(x)+\sigma(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $\sigma(\sigma(x))=x$ for all $x \in G$. For simplicity we write $\sigma x$ instead of $\sigma(x)$.

The functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x) f(y), \quad \forall x, y \in G \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Received July 21, 2015.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 39B52, 46F15.
Key words and phrases. d'Alembert's functional equation, distributions, exponential function, Gelfand generalized function, involution, Wilson's functional equation.

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(no. 2015R1D1A3A01019573).
is known as the d'Alembert's functional equation $[16,17]$. As the name suggests this functional equation was introduced by d'Alembert in connection with the composition of forces and plays a central role in determining the sum of two vectors in Euclidean and non-Euclidean geometries [25]. Wilson's functional equations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)=2 f(x) g(y), & \forall x, y \in G \\
f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)=2 g(x) f(y), & \forall x, y \in G \tag{1.3}
\end{array}
$$

are generalizations of d'Alembert's functional equation. Among others Wilson's functional equation was studied by Wilson [31, 32], Kaczmarz [24], van der Lyn [29], Fenyö [19], Angheluta [3], Aczél Chung, and Ng [2], Chung, Ebanks, Ng and Sahoo [10], Aczél [1] and Stetkær in [28]. Recently, Chung and Sahoo [8] solve the equation (1.2) and (1.3) for arbitrary commutative semigroup.

In 1950, Laurent Schwartz introduced the theory of distributions in his monograph Théorie des distributions [26]. In this book Schwartz systematizes the theory of generalized functions, basing it on the theory of linear topological spaces, relates all the earlier approaches, and obtains many important results. After his elegant theory appeared, many important concepts and results on the classical spaces of functions have been generalized to the space of distributions. In this paper, as distributional version of the equations (1.2) and (1.3) we first consider the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \circ T+u \circ T^{\sigma}-2 u \otimes v=0,  \tag{1.4}\\
& u \circ T+u \circ T^{\sigma}-2 v \otimes u=0, \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the space of Schwartz distribution, $T(x, y)=x+y$, $T^{\sigma}(x, y)=x+\sigma y, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $\circ, \otimes$ are pullback and tensor product of distributions, respectively. As consequences of the results in distributions, we obtain the Erdös' problem (see [5, 18, 23]) for Wilson's functional equation, namely, we solve the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)-2 f(x) g(y)=0  \tag{1.6}\\
& f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)-2 g(x) f(y)=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $(x, y) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \backslash \Omega$, where $\Omega$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ with $2 n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure zero and $f, g$ are locally integrable functions.

Secondly, we consider the Ulam-Hyers stability of the Wilson's functional equations (1.6) and (1.7) in the class of Lebesgue measurable functions with exponential perturbation, i.e., we consider the functional inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)-2 f(x) g(y)| \leq e^{\gamma \cdot y}  \tag{1.8}\\
& |f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)-2 g(x) f(y)| \leq e^{\gamma \cdot y} \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f, g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are Lebesgue measurable functions and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For more known results for d'Alembert's functional equation and Wilson's functional equations we refer the reader to $[4,6,7,11,12,13,14,15,25]$.

## 2. Solutions of Eq. (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7)

A function $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called an exponential function provided that $m(x+y)=m(x) m(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $a: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called an additive function provided that $a(x+y)=a(x)+a(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$. Now, we briefly introduce the space $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of distributions. We denote by $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$, where $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ is the set of non-negative integers, and $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$, $\partial^{\alpha}=\partial_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}, \partial_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Definition 2.1. Let $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with compact supports. A distribution $u$ is a linear form on $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ there exist constants $C>0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ for which

$$
|\langle u, \varphi\rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup \left|\partial^{\alpha} \varphi\right|
$$

holds for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with supports contained in $K$. The set of all distributions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Definition 2.2. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{2}}\right)$ and $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^{n_{1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{2}}$ with $n_{1} \geq n_{2}$ a smooth function such that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}$ the derivative $\lambda^{\prime}(x)$ is surjective, that is, the Jacobian matrix $\nabla \lambda$ of $\lambda$ has rank $n_{2}$. Then there exists a unique continuous linear map $\lambda^{*}: \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{2}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}\right)$ such that $\lambda^{*} u=u \circ \lambda$ when $u$ is a continuous function. We call $\lambda^{*} u$ the pullback of $u$ by $\lambda$ and usually denoted by $u \circ \lambda$.

We refer to ([22], chapter VI) for pullbacks of distributions. As a matter of fact, the pullbacks $u \circ T$ and $u \circ T^{\sigma}$ in the following (2.1) and (2.2) can be written in a transparent way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle u \circ T, \varphi(x, y)\rangle & =\left\langle u_{x}, \int \varphi(x-y, y) d y\right\rangle \\
\left\langle u \circ T^{\sigma}, \varphi(x, y)\right\rangle & =\left\langle u_{x}, \int \varphi(x-\sigma y, y) d y\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$.
Definition 2.3. Let $u_{j} \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{j}}\right)$ for $j=1,2$. Then the tensor product $u_{1} \otimes u_{2}$ of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, defined by

$$
\left\langle u_{1} \otimes u_{2}, \varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle u_{1},\left\langle u_{2}, \varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle
$$

for $\varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{2}}\right)$, belongs to $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{2}}\right)$.
Let $u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then the convolution $u * \phi$ of $u$ and $\phi$ is defined by

$$
(u * \phi)(x)=\left\langle u_{y}, \phi(x-y)\right\rangle .
$$

It is well known that $(u * \phi)(x)$ is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
As main results in this section we first consider the functional equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \circ T+u \circ T^{\sigma}-2 u \otimes v=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \circ T+u \circ T^{\sigma}-2 v \otimes u=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \sigma$ is an involution on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $T, T^{\sigma}: \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are given by

$$
T(x, y)=x+y, \quad T^{\sigma}(x, y)=x+\sigma y, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

As we see in Definition 2.2, pullback $u \circ T^{\sigma}$ makes sense only when $\sigma$ is a smooth function. Since every smooth involution $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is given by a linear transformation, we denotes $\sigma$ an $n \times n$ matrix such that $\sigma^{2}=I$, where $I$ is the identity matrix.

For the proof of our main result we need the following two lemmas.
Lemma 2.4 ([8]). Let $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the functional equation

$$
f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)=2 f(x) g(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then either $(g, f)$ has the form

$$
g(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(\sigma x)}{2}, \quad f(x)=\alpha_{1} m(x)+\alpha_{2} m(\sigma x)
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an exponential function satisfying $m \neq m \circ \sigma$ and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$, or $(g, f)$ has the form

$$
g(x)=m(x), \quad f(x)=m(x)(\beta+a(x))
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an exponential function satisfying $m=m \circ \sigma$ and $a: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function satisfying $a=-a \circ \sigma$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.
Lemma 2.5 ([8]). Let $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$
f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)=2 g(x) f(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then $(g, f)$ has the form

$$
f(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(\sigma x)}{2 \lambda}, \quad g(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(\sigma x)}{2}
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an exponential function satisfying $m=m \circ \sigma$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$.

As the first step of solving (2.1) we construct a $\sigma$-symmetric $\delta$-sequence $\delta_{t}, t>0$. Define $\rho$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
\rho(x)= \begin{cases}q e^{-\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{-1}}, & \text { if }|x|<1 \\ 0, & \text { if }|x| \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

where $q=\left(\int_{|x|<1} e^{-\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{-1}} d x\right)^{-1}$. It is easy to see that $\rho$ is an infinitely differentiable function with support $\{x:|x| \leq 1\}$. Now, we employ

$$
\delta_{t}(x)=\frac{\rho_{t}(x)+\rho_{t}(\sigma x)}{2}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\rho_{t}(x):=t^{-n} \rho(x / t), t>0$. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then for each $t>0$,

$$
\left(u * \delta_{t}\right)(x)=\left\langle u_{y}, \delta_{t}(x-y)\right\rangle \rightarrow u \text { as } t \rightarrow 0^{+}
$$

in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int\left(u * \delta_{t}\right)(x) \varphi(x) d x=\langle u, \varphi\rangle, \forall \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

Furthermore, $\delta_{t}$ is $\sigma$-symmetric, i.e., $\delta_{t}=\delta_{t} \circ \sigma$ for all $t>0$.
In the following, we exclude the case when $u=0$ or $v=0$. We denote by $c \cdot x$ the inner product of $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ which defined as $c \cdot x=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfy (2.1). Then either $(v, u)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \sigma \cdot x}}{2}, u=\alpha_{1} e^{c \cdot x}+\alpha_{2} e^{c \sigma \cdot x} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $c \neq c \sigma, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c \sigma$ denotes matrix multiplication, or else

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=e^{(c+c \sigma) \cdot x}, \quad u=e^{(c+c \sigma) \cdot x}(\beta+(d-d \sigma) \cdot x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}, c, d \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Proof. Convolving $\left(\delta_{t} \otimes \delta_{s}\right)(x, y):=\delta_{t}(x) \delta_{s}(y)$ in $u \circ T^{\sigma}$ and using $\delta_{t} \circ \sigma=$ $\delta_{t},\left(\delta_{t} * \delta_{s}\right) \circ \sigma=\delta_{t} * \delta_{s}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\left(u \circ T^{\sigma}\right) *\left(\delta_{t} \otimes \delta_{s}\right)\right](x, y) } & =\left\langle\left(u \circ T^{\sigma}\right)_{\xi, \eta}, \delta_{t}(x-\xi) \delta_{s}(y-\eta)\right\rangle  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\left\langle u_{z}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \delta_{t}(x-z+\sigma \eta) \delta_{s}(y-\eta) d \eta\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle u_{z}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \delta_{t}(\sigma x-\sigma z+\eta) \delta_{s}(y-\eta) d \eta\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle u_{z}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \delta_{t}(\eta) \delta_{s}(y+\sigma x-\sigma z-\eta) d \eta\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle u_{z},\left(\delta_{t} * \delta_{s}\right)(y+\sigma x-\sigma z)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(u_{z},\left(\delta_{t} * \delta_{s}\right)(x+\sigma y-z)\right\rangle\right. \\
& =\left(u * \delta_{t} * \delta_{s}\right)(x+\sigma y)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Letting $\sigma=I$ in (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(u \circ T) *\left(\delta_{t} \otimes \delta_{s}\right)\right](x, y)=\left(u * \delta_{t} * \delta_{s}\right)(x+y) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(u \otimes v) *\left(\delta_{t} \otimes \delta_{s}\right)\right](x, y)=\left(u * \delta_{t}(x)\left(v * \delta_{s}\right)(y)\right. \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Convolving $\left(\delta_{t} \otimes \delta_{s}\right)(x, y)$ in (2.1), from (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) we have the functional equation
(2.8) $\left(u * \delta_{t} * \delta_{s}\right)(x+y)+\left(u * \delta_{t} * \delta_{s}\right)(x+\sigma y)-2\left(u * \delta_{t}\right)(x)\left(v * \delta_{s}\right)(y)=0$
for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Since $u * \delta_{s}$ is a smooth function, it is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u * \delta_{t} * \delta_{s} \rightarrow u * \delta_{s} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on all compact subsets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$. It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x):=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(u * \delta_{t}\right)(x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and the convergence is uniform on all compact subsets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle u, \varphi\rangle & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(u * \delta_{t}\right)(x) \varphi(x) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \varphi(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, i.e., $u=f$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Similarly, it follows from (2.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y):=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(u * \delta_{s}\right)(y) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and the convergence is uniform on all compact subsets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, which implies $v=g$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Letting $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$and then $s \rightarrow 0^{+}$in (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)-2 f(x) g(y)=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(\sigma x)}{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $m$ is an exponential function. In view of the proof in [27], $m$ is given by $m(x)=g(x)-\alpha\left(g\left(x+y_{0}\right)-g\left(x+\sigma y_{0}\right)\right)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, which implies that $m$ is a measurable function since $g$ is a measurable function. It is well known that every measurable exponential function $m: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $m(x)=e^{c \cdot x}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and every measurable additive function $a: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $a(x)=d \cdot x$ for some $d \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Thus, from (2.13) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \cdot \sigma x}}{2}=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \sigma \cdot x}}{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $c \sigma$ denotes matrix multiplication. By Lemma 2.4, if $c \neq c \sigma$, then $f$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\alpha_{1} e^{c \cdot x}+\alpha_{2} e^{c \sigma \cdot x} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$, and if $c=c \sigma$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=e^{c \cdot x}(\beta+d \cdot x) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $d \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $d=-d \sigma$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. From the equalities $c=c \sigma$ and $d=-d \sigma$, replacing $c$ by $2 c$ and $d$ by $2 d$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=e^{(c+c \sigma) \cdot x}, \quad f(x)=e^{(c+c \sigma) \cdot x}(\beta+(d-d \sigma) \cdot x) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. This completes the proof.
We denote by $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the set of all $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\int_{K}|f(x)| d x<\infty$ for every bounded measurable set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Every $f \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is viewed as a distribution via the correspondence

$$
\langle f, \varphi\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \varphi(x) d x
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Thus, as a direct consequence of Theorem 2.6 we solve an Erdos' type problem [18] for Wilson's functional equation.
Corollary 2.7. Let $\Omega$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ with $2 n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure zero. Suppose that $f, g \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)-2 f(x) g(y)=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, y) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \backslash \Omega$. Then either there exist a set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of $n$ dimensional Lebesgue measure zero, $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $c \neq c \sigma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \sigma \cdot x}}{2}, f(x)=\alpha_{1} e^{c \cdot x}+\alpha_{2} e^{c \sigma \cdot x} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash U$, or else there exist a set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure zero and $c, d \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=e^{(c+c \sigma) \cdot x}, \quad f(x)=e^{(c+c \sigma) \cdot x}(\beta+(d-d \sigma) \cdot x) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash V$.
Proof. By Theorem 2.6, equalities (2.19) and (2.20) hold in the sense of distributions, which implies the equalities hold for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $U_{1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: g(x) \neq \frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \sigma \cdot x}}{2}\right\}, U_{2}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f(x) \neq \alpha_{1} e^{c \cdot x}+\alpha_{2} e^{c \sigma \cdot x}\right\}$, $V_{1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: g(x) \neq e^{(c+c \sigma) \cdot x}\right\}, V_{2}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f(x) \neq e^{(c+c \sigma) \cdot x}(\beta+(d-d \sigma)\right.$. $x)\}$. Then we get (2.19) with $U=U_{1} \cup U_{2}$ and get (2.20) with $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$. This completes the proof.

Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 we obtain the following.

Theorem 2.8. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfy (2.2). Then $(v, u)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \sigma \cdot x}}{2}, u=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \sigma \cdot x}}{2 \lambda} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$.
Finally, we consider the functional equations (2.1) and (2.2) in the space $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of Gelfand generalized functions. Generalizing the Schwartz tempered distribution [22], Gelfand and Shilov [20, 21] introduced the following space of generalized functions.

Definition 2.9 ([20, 21]). We denote by $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the Gelfand-Shilov space of all infinitely differentiable functions $\varphi$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\|\varphi\|_{A, B}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \frac{\left|x^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \varphi(x)\right|}{A^{|\alpha|} B^{|\beta|} \alpha!^{1 / 2} \beta!^{1 / 2}}<\infty
$$

for some $A>0, B>0$. We say that $\varphi_{j} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ if $\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|_{A, B} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for some $A, B>0$, and denote by $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the dual space of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and call its elements Gelfand-Shilov generalized functions.

It is known that the space $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ consists of all infinitely differentiable functions $\varphi(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ which can be extended to an entire function on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\varphi(x+i y)| \leq C \exp \left(-a|x|^{2}+b|y|^{2}\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $a, b, C>0$ (see [20]).
Remark. The space $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ contains the space of Schwartz tempered distributions [22] and is a partial extension of $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. As a brief example, any infinite sum $u=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} \delta^{(k)}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, but belongs to $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ under some growth conditions on the sequence $a_{k}, k=1,2,3, \ldots$.

In view of (2.22) it is easy to see that the $n$-dimensional heat kernel (see [30])

$$
E_{t}(x)=(4 \pi t)^{-n / 2} \exp \left(-|x|^{2} / 4 t\right), \quad t>0
$$

belongs to the Gelfand-Shilov space $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for each $t>0$. Thus, the convolution $\left(u * E_{t}\right)(x):=\left\langle u_{y}, E_{t}(x-y)\right\rangle$ is well defined for all $u \in \mathcal{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Instead of $\delta_{t}$ employed in the proof of Theorem 3.4, using

$$
\gamma_{t}=\frac{E_{t}+E_{t} \circ \sigma}{2}
$$

and following the same approach as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 we obtain the following.

Theorem 2.10. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfy (2.1). Then either $(v, u)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \sigma \cdot x}}{2}, u=\alpha_{1} e^{c \cdot x}+\alpha_{2} e^{c \sigma \cdot x} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $c \neq c \sigma, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c \sigma$ denotes matrix multiplication, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=e^{(c+c \sigma) \cdot x}, \quad u=e^{(c+c \sigma) \cdot x}(\beta+(d-d \sigma) \cdot x) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}, c, d \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Theorem 2.11. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfy (2.2). Then $(v, u)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \sigma \cdot x}}{2}, u=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \sigma \cdot x}}{2 \lambda} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$.

## 3. Ulam-Hyers stabilities of Eq. (1.6) and (1.7)

In this section, based on the results in [9] we consider the stability of functional equations (1.6) and (1.7) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, i.e., we deal with the functional inequalities (1.8) and (1.9).

Theorem 3.1. Let $f, g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be unbounded Lebesgue measurable functions satisfying the functional inequality (1.8) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then, $g$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \cdot \sigma x}}{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Assume that there exists $z_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\Re c \cdot z_{0}, \Re c \cdot \sigma z_{0}\right\}>\max \left\{0, \gamma \cdot z_{0}\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if $c \cdot x \neq c \cdot \sigma x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $f$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\alpha_{1} e^{c \cdot x}+\alpha_{2} e^{c \cdot \sigma x} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$, and if $c \cdot x=c \cdot \sigma x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, f$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=(\beta+b \cdot(x-\sigma x)) e^{c \cdot x} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Proof. By the result in [9, Theorem 2.2] we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(\sigma x)}{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In view of the proof in [27], $m$ is given by $m(x)=g(x)-$ $\alpha\left(g\left(x+z_{0}\right)-g\left(x+\sigma z_{0}\right)\right)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, z_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, which implies that $m$ is Lebesgue measurable. It is well known that every Lebesgue measurable solution of the exponential functional equation is given by $m(x)=e^{c \cdot x}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Thus, from (3.5) we get (3.1). Now, we prove that if (3.2) is satisfied, then there exists a sequence $z_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, k=1,2,3, \ldots$, such that $\left|g\left(z_{k}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left|g\left(z_{k}\right)\right| e^{-\gamma \cdot z_{k}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=|g(x)| e^{-\gamma \cdot x}=\frac{1}{2} e^{-\gamma \cdot x}\left|e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \cdot \sigma x}\right| \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we assume that $\Re c \cdot z_{0} \neq \Re c \cdot \sigma z_{0}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\Re c \cdot z_{0}>\Re c \cdot \sigma z_{0}$. Putting $x=k z_{0}, k=1,2,3, \ldots$ in (3.6) and using the triangle inequality we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(k z_{0}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} e^{-k \gamma \cdot z_{0}}\left|e^{k c \cdot z_{0}}+e^{k c \cdot \sigma z_{0}}\right|  \tag{3.7}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-k \gamma \cdot z_{0}}\left|e^{k \Re c \cdot z_{0}}-e^{k \Re c \cdot \sigma z_{0}}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{2} e^{k(\Re c-\gamma) \cdot z_{0}}\left|1-e^{k \Re c \cdot\left(\sigma z_{0}-z_{0}\right)}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2} R^{k}\left|1-r^{k}\right|
$$

where $R=e^{(\Re c-\gamma) \cdot z_{0}}$ and $r=e^{\Re c \cdot\left(\sigma z_{0}-z_{0}\right)}$. By the condition (3.2) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R>1, \quad e^{\gamma \cdot z_{0}} R=e^{\Re c \cdot z_{0}}>1 \quad \text { and } \quad 0<r<1 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.7) we have

$$
q\left(k z_{0}\right) \geq R^{k}\left|1-r^{k}\right| \rightarrow \infty
$$

and hence

$$
\left|g\left(k z_{0}\right)\right|=e^{k \gamma \cdot z_{0}} q\left(k z_{0}\right) \geq\left(e^{\gamma \cdot z_{0}} R\right)^{k}\left|1-r^{k}\right| \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we assume that $\Re c \cdot z_{0}=\Re c \cdot \sigma z_{0}$. Putting $x=k z_{0}, k=$ $1,2,3, \ldots$ in (3.6) and letting $R=e^{(\Re c-a) \cdot z_{0}}, \theta=\Im c \cdot\left(\sigma z_{0}-z_{0}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(k z_{0}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} e^{-k \gamma \cdot z_{0}}\left|e^{k c \cdot z_{0}}+e^{k c \cdot \sigma z_{0}}\right|  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} e^{k(\Re c-\gamma) \cdot z_{0}}\left|e^{k i \Im c \cdot z_{0}}+e^{k i \Im c \cdot \sigma z_{0}}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{2} e^{k(\Re c-\gamma) \cdot z_{0}}\left|1+e^{k i \Im c \cdot\left(\sigma z_{0}-z_{0}\right)}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{2} R^{k}\left|1+e^{i \theta k}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the set $\left\{e^{i \theta k} \mid k=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}$ forms either vertices of a regular polygon (including $\{1\}$ and $\{1,-1\}$ ) when $\theta / \pi$ is rational, or a dense subset of the unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C}||z|=1\}$ when $\theta / \pi$ is irrational. Using this fact and the condition (3.8), we can see that there exists a sequence

$$
k_{1}<k_{2}<k_{3}<\cdots<k_{j}<\cdots
$$

of positive integers such that $R^{k_{j}}\left|1+e^{i \theta k_{j}}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left(e^{\gamma \cdot z_{0}} R\right)^{k_{j}}\left|1+e^{i \theta k_{j}}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(k_{j} z_{0}\right) \rightarrow \infty, \quad\left|g\left(k_{j} z_{0}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we repeat the proof in [9, Theorem 2.2] for the reader. Replacing $y$ by $k_{j} z_{0}$ in (1.8) and dividing the result by $2\left|g\left(k_{j} z_{0}\right)\right|$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x)-\frac{f\left(x+k_{j} z_{0}\right)+f\left(x+\sigma k_{j} z_{0}\right)}{2 g\left(k_{j} z_{0}\right)}\right| \leq \frac{e^{\gamma \cdot k_{j} z_{0}}}{2\left|g\left(k_{j} z_{0}\right)\right|} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(x+k_{j} z_{0}\right)+f\left(x+\sigma k_{j} z_{0}\right)}{2 g\left(k_{j} z_{0}\right)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Multiplying both sides of (3.12) by $2 g(y)$ and using (1.8) and (3.10) we have
$2 f(x) g(y)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 f\left(x+k_{j} z_{0}\right) g(y)+2 f\left(x+\sigma k_{j} z_{0}\right) g(y)}{2 g\left(k_{j} z_{0}\right)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(x+k_{j} z_{0}+y\right)+f\left(x+k_{j} z_{0}+\sigma y\right)+f\left(x+\sigma k_{j} z_{0}+y\right)+f\left(x+\sigma k_{j} z_{0}+\sigma y\right)}{2 g\left(k_{j} z_{0}\right)} \\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{f\left(x+y+k_{j} z_{0}\right)+f\left(x+y+\sigma k_{j} z_{0}\right)}{2 g\left(k_{j} z_{0}\right)}+\frac{f\left(x+\sigma y+k_{j} z_{0}\right)+f\left(x+\sigma y+\sigma k_{j} z_{0}\right)}{2 g\left(k_{j} z_{0}\right)}\right) \\
& =f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Thus, using Lemma 2.4 with (3.13) we get the result. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.2. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be two nonzero vectors that are not parallel, i.e., $b \neq r a$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}, r \neq 1$. Then, the hyperplane $b \cdot x=0$ is not parallel to $(b-a) \cdot x=0$ and hence there exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $b \cdot x_{0}>0$ and $(b-a) \cdot x_{0}>0$. If $b=r a$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}, r \neq 1$, then there exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $b \cdot x_{0}>0$ and $(b-a) \cdot x_{0}>0$ if and only if $r>1$. Thus, if the involution $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ in Theorem 3.1 is given by a linear map, i.e., $\sigma=A$, an $n \times n$ matrix, then using the above fact with $a=\gamma$ and $b=\Re c, \Re c \cdot A$, it is easy to see that condition (3.4) is equivalent to

$$
\Re c \neq r \gamma \text { or } \Re c \cdot A \neq r \gamma
$$

for all $r \leq 1$. Now, the following example gives a transparent description of the solutions of a functional inequality of the type (1.8).

Example 3.3. In Theorem 3.1, let $n=2, \gamma=(2,1)$ and $\sigma(u, v)=(2 u+$ $3 v,-u-2 v$ ) for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the functional inequality (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t+u, s+v)+f(t+2 u+3 v, s-u-2 v)-2 f(t, s) g(u, v)| \leq e^{2 u+v} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, s, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, using Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2 we can exhibit regular solutions (continuous, Lebesgue measurable solutions, etc.) of the functional inequality (3.14) when $f$ is unbounded. By Theorem 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t, s)=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{c_{1} t+c_{2} s}+e^{\left(2 c_{1}-c_{2}\right) t+\left(3 c_{1}-2 c_{2}\right) s}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and for some $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$. Since either $\Re\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ or $\Re\left(2 c_{1}-c_{2}, 3 c_{1}-\right.$ $\left.2 c_{2}\right)$ is not parallel to $\gamma=(2,1)$, using Remark 3.2 we can see that condition (3.2) is satisfied. Thus, if $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \neq\left(2 c_{1}-c_{2}, 3 c_{1}-2 c_{2}\right)$, i.e., $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$, then $f$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, s)=\alpha_{1} e^{c_{1} t+c_{2} s}+\alpha_{2} e^{\left(2 c_{1}-c_{2}\right) t+\left(3 c_{1}-2 c_{2}\right) s} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and for some $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$, and if $c_{1}=c_{2}$, then $f$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, s)=\left(\beta+d_{2}(t+3 s)\right) e^{d_{1}(t+s)} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and for some $\beta, d_{1}, d_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$.
Following the same methods as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and using the result in [9, Theorem 2.4] we obtain the following.

Theorem 3.4. Let $f, g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be unbounded Lebesgue measurable functions satisfying the functional inequality (1.9) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then, $f$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{e^{c \cdot x}+e^{c \cdot \sigma x}}{2 \lambda} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, the condition (3.4) is satisfied. Then if $c \cdot x \neq c \cdot \sigma x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $f$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\alpha_{1} e^{c \cdot x}+\alpha_{2} e^{c \cdot \sigma x} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$, and if $c \cdot x=c \cdot \sigma x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, f$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=(\beta+b \cdot(x-\sigma x)) e^{c \cdot x} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.
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