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#### Abstract

Depending upon the nature of the problem, different divergence measures are suitable. So it is always desirable to develop a new divergence measure. In the present work, new information divergence measure, which is exponential in nature, is introduced and characterized. Bounds of this new measure are obtained in terms of various symmetric and non- symmetric measures together with numerical verification by using two discrete distributions: Binomial and Poisson. Fuzzy information measure and Useful information measure corresponding to new exponential divergence measure are also introduced.
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## 1. Introduction

Divergence measures are basically measures of distance between two probability distributions or compare two probability distributions. Divergence measure must increase as probability distributions move apart.
Divergence measures have been demonstrated very useful in a variety of disciplines such as Bayesian model validation [50], quantum information theory [33, 35], model validation [4], robust detection [39], economics and political science [48, 49], biology [38], analysis of contingency tables [18], approximation of probability distributions [11, 29], signal processing [27, 28], pattern recognition [2, 7, 10, 26], color image segmentation [34], 3D image segmentation and word alignment [47], cost- sensitive classification for medical diagnosis [42], magnetic resonance image analysis [51] etc.

[^0]Also we can use divergence measures in fuzzy mathematics as fuzzy directed divergences and fuzzy entropies $[1,20,25]$, which are very useful to find the amount of average ambiguity or difficulty in making a decision whether an element belongs to a set or not. Fuzzy information measures have recently found applications to fuzzy aircraft control, fuzzy traffic control, engineering, medicines, computer science, management and decision making etc. Divergence measures are also very useful to find the utility of an event [6, 44], i.e., an event is how much useful compare to other event.
Without essential loss of insight, we have restricted ourselves to discrete probability distributions, so let $\Gamma_{n}=\left\{P=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \ldots, p_{n}\right): p_{i}>0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1\right\}$, $n \geq 2$ be the set of all complete finite discrete probability distributions. The restriction here to discrete distributions is only for convenience, similar results hold for continuous distributions as well. If we take $p_{i} \geq 0$ for some $i=1,2,3 \ldots, n$, then we have to suppose that $0 f(0)=0 f\left(\frac{0}{0}\right)=0$.
Some generalized $f$ - information divergence measures had been introduced, characterized and applied in variety of fields, such as: Csiszar's $f$ - divergence [12, 13], Bregman's $f$ - divergence [8], Burbea- Rao's $f$ - divergence [9], Renyi's like $f$ - divergence [40], $M$ - divergence [41], Jain- Saraswat $f$-divergence [22] etc.
Besides these, The $f$-divergence measure [3] with respect to two functions $(f, g)$ is also introduced, which is

$$
d(P, Q)=g\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}\right)\right]
$$

where $g$ is an increasing function on $R$ and $f$ is real, continuous, and convex function on $R^{+}$. We obtain many standard divergence measures by suitably defining the function $f$ and $g$, such as: for $f(t)=-t^{1-r}, g(t)=-\log (-t), 0 \leq$ $r \leq 1$, we get $d(P, Q)=-\log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{r} q_{i}^{1-r}\right)$ called Chernoff Coefficient and at $r=\frac{1}{2}$, we obtain $-\log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}}\right)$ well known the Bhattacharyya distance [5]. Similarly for $f(t)=\left|1-t^{\frac{1}{r}}\right|^{r}, r \geq 1, g(t)=t^{\frac{1}{r}}$, we obtain $d(P, Q)=$ $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|p_{i}^{\frac{1}{r}}-q_{i}^{\frac{1}{r}}\right|^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ so called Generalized Matusita distance and at $r=1$, we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|p_{i}-q_{i}\right|$ the well known Variational distance or $l_{1}$ distance [30]. Csiszar's $f$-divergence is widely used due to its compact nature, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{f}(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ (set of real no.) is real, continuous, and convex function and $P=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right), Q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$, where $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ are probabilities.
$C_{f}(P, Q)$ is a natural distance measure from a true probability distribution $P$ to an arbitrary probability distribution $Q$. Typically $P$ represents observations or a precise calculated probability distribution, whereas $Q$ represents a model, a
description or an approximation of $P$. Fundamental properties of $C_{f}(P, Q)$ can be seen in literature [36], in detail.

Remark 1.1. For comparing multiple number of discrete probability distributions, following will be the Csiszar's generalized $f$ - divergence [15]

$$
C_{f}^{n}\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}, Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ldots \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i 1} \ldots q_{i n} f\left(\frac{\frac{p_{i 1}}{q_{i 1}}+\ldots+\frac{p_{i n}}{q_{i n}}}{n}\right)
$$

and following relation can be seen as well in the same literature

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{f}^{1}\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right) & \geq C_{f}^{2}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right) \geq \ldots \geq C_{f}^{n}\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}, Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}\right) \\
& \geq C_{f}^{n+1}\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n+1}, Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n+1}\right) \geq f(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Divergences between more than two probability distributions are useful for discrimination and taxonomy.
Definition 1.1. Convex function: A function $f(t)$ is said to be convex over an interval $(a, b)$ if for every $t_{1}, t_{2} \in(a, b)$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, we have

$$
f\left[\lambda t_{1}+(1-\lambda) t_{2}\right] \leq \lambda f\left(t_{1}\right)+(1-\lambda) f\left(t_{2}\right)
$$

and said to be strictly convex if equality does not hold only if $\lambda \neq 0$ or $\lambda \neq 1$. Geometrically, it means that if $A, B, C$ are three distinct points on the graph of convex function $f$ with $B$ between $A$ and $C$, then $B$ is on or below chord $A C$.

Definition 1.2. Jensen inequality: Let $f: I \subset R \rightarrow R$ be differentiable convex on $I^{0}\left(I^{0}\right.$ is the interior of the interval $\left.I\right), t_{i} \in I^{0}, \lambda_{i}>0 \forall i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}=1$, then we have the following inequality.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} t_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} f\left(t_{i}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If function is concave, then Jensen's inequality will be reversed.
Corollary 1.3. After replacing $\lambda_{i}$ with $q_{i}$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}=1$ and $t_{i}$ with $\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$ by assuming that the function is normalized, i.e., $f(1)=0$, we get

$$
f(1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right), \text { i.e., } C_{f}(P, Q) \geq 0
$$

The following theorem is well known in literature [13].
Theorem 1.4. If the function $f$ is convex and normalized, i.e., $f^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0 \forall t>$ 0 and $f(1)=0$ respectively, then $C_{f}(P, Q)$ and its adjoint $C_{f}(Q, P)$ are both non-negative and convex in the pair of probability distribution $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_{n} \times \Gamma_{n}$.

The following theorem is given by Taneja (2005), which relates two generalized $f$ - divergence measures.

Theorem 1.5. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}: I \subset R^{+} \rightarrow R$ be two convex differentiable and normalized functions, i.e., $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t), f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0 \forall t>0$ and $f_{1}(1)=f_{2}(1)=0$ respectively and suppose the following assumptions.
(i) $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are twice differentiable on $(\alpha, \beta), 0<\alpha \leq 1<\beta<\infty$.
(ii) There exists the real constants $m, M$ such that $m<M$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)} \leq M, f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t) \neq 0 \forall t \in(\alpha, \beta) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$, then we have the following inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
m C_{f_{2}}(P, Q) \leq C_{f_{1}}(P, Q) \leq M C_{f_{2}}(P, Q) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{f}(P, Q)$ is given by (1).

## 2. New exponential divergence measure and properties

In this section, we introduce a new exponential divergence measure of Csiszar's class and define the properties.
Let $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ be a real differentiable mapping, which is defined as

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(t)=f_{1}(t)=e^{t}(t-1), \forall t \in(0, \infty),  \tag{5}\\
f_{1}^{\prime}(t)=t e^{t}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)=e^{t}(t+1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can check that the function $f_{1}(t)$ is exponential in nature and convex normalized because $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)>0 \forall t \in(0, \infty)$ and $f_{1}(1)=0$ respectively. Further $f_{1}(t)$ is monotonically increasing in $(0, \infty)$ as $f_{1}^{\prime}(t)>0$ in $(0, \infty)$.
After putting this exponential function in (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{f_{1}}(P, Q)=G_{\exp }(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of corollary (1.3) and theorem (1.4), we see that $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$ is positive and convex for the pair of probability distribution $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_{n} \times \Gamma_{n}$ and equal to zero (Non- degeneracy) or attains its minimum value when $p_{i}=q_{i}$. We can also see that $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$ is non- symmetric divergence w.r.t. $P$ and $Q$ because $G_{\exp }(P, Q) \neq G_{\exp }(Q, P)$.
Remark 2.1. If function $f(t)$ is convex in interval $(0, \infty)$, then $f_{*}(t)=t f\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)=$ $e^{\frac{1}{t}}(1-t)$ will be a convex function as well because $f_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{e^{\frac{1}{t}}\left(4 t^{2}-3 t+1\right)}{t^{2}}>$ $0 \forall t>0$, called conjugate of $f(t)$. By putting this conjugate convex function in (1), we get $C_{f_{*}}(P, Q)=G_{\exp }^{*}(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}}\left(q_{i}-p_{i}\right)$, and we can see

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\exp }(P, Q)+G_{\exp }^{*}(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)\left(e^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}-e^{\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a symmetric exponential divergence.

Consequently, we obtain the following intra relations among new exponential divergences by applying remark (1.1), for comparing multiple number of discrete probability distributions taking normalized function

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\exp }^{1}\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right) \geq & G_{\exp }^{2}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right) \geq \ldots \geq G_{\exp }^{n}\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}, Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}\right) \\
& \geq G_{\exp }^{n+1}\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n+1}, Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n+1}\right) \geq 0 . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.2. Bajaj and Hooda (2010) have defined 'useful' fuzzy directed divergence of fuzzy set $A$ from fuzzy set $B$. We can also define a new exponential measure of 'useful' fuzzy directed divergence on the same lines, for this let $A$ and $B$ be two standard fuzzy sets with same supporting points $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and with fuzzy vectors $\mu_{A}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \mu_{A}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $\mu_{B}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \mu_{B}\left(x_{n}\right)$, then fuzzy information measure corresponding to new exponential measure (7), will be

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{\exp }^{\wedge}(A, B)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\mu_{A}\left(x_{i}\right)-\mu_{B}\left(x_{i}\right)\right] e^{\frac{\mu_{A}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\mu_{B}\left(x_{i}\right)}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\mu_{B}\left(x_{i}\right)-\mu_{A}\left(x_{i}\right)\right] e^{\frac{1-\mu_{A}\left(x_{i}\right)}{1-\mu_{B}\left(x_{i}\right)}}, \\
\quad \text { i.e., } G_{\exp }^{\wedge}(A, B)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\mu_{A}\left(x_{i}\right)-\mu_{B}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]\left[e^{\frac{\mu_{A}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\mu_{B}\left(x_{i}\right)}}-e^{\frac{1-\mu_{A}\left(x_{i}\right)}{1-\mu_{B}\left(x_{i}\right)}}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently, let $u_{i}>0$ be the utilities of the events $E_{i}$ with probabilities $p_{i}$ and revised probabilities $q_{i}$ respectively, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then Useful information measure corresponding to new exponential divergence measure (7), will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\exp }(P, Q ; u)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} e^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} p_{i}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If utilities are ignored, i.e., $u_{i}=1$ for each $i$, then we obtain the as usual $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$. Fuzzy information measures are very useful to find the amount of average ambiguity or difficulty in making a decision whether an element belongs to a set or not, whereas Useful information measure are very useful to find utility of an event, i.e., an event is how much useful compare to other event.


Figure 1. Convex function $f_{1}(t)$

## 3. Bounds of new divergence measure

To estimate the new exponential divergence $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$, it would be very interesting to establish some upper and lower bounds. So in this section, we obtain bounds of the exponential divergence measure (7) in terms of other symmetric and non- symmetric divergence measures.

Proposition 3.1. Let $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$ and $0<\alpha \leq 1<\beta<\infty$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{\alpha}(1+\alpha)^{4}}{8} \Delta(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq \frac{e^{\beta}(1+\beta)^{4}}{8} \Delta(P, Q) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$ and $\Delta(P, Q)$ are given by (7) and (15) respectively.
Proof. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(t)=\frac{(t-1)^{2}}{t+1}, t \in(0, \infty) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{(t-1)(t+3)}{(t+1)^{2}} \\
f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{8}{(t+1)^{3}} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)>0 \forall t>0$ and $f_{2}(1)=0$, so $f_{2}(t)$ is strictly convex and normalized function respectively. By putting $f_{2}(t)$ in (1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{f_{2}}(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)^{2}}{p_{i}+q_{i}}=\Delta(P, Q) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta(P, Q)$ is called the Triangular discrimination [14].
Now, let

$$
g(t)=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)}=\frac{e^{t}(1+t)^{4}}{8}
$$

where $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ are given by (6) and (14) respectively and

$$
g^{\prime}(t)=\frac{e^{t}(1+t)^{3}(5+t)}{8}
$$

It is clear that $g^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t>0$, therefore $g(t)$ is strictly increasing function in interval $(0, \infty)$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\inf _{t \in(\alpha, \beta)} g(t)=g(\alpha)=\frac{e^{\alpha}(1+\alpha)^{4}}{8} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sup _{t \in(\alpha, \beta)} g(t)=g(\beta)=\frac{e^{\beta}(1+\beta)^{4}}{8} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result (12) is obtained by using (7), (15), (16), and (17) in inequality (4).

Proposition 3.2. Let $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$ and $0<\alpha \leq 1<\beta<\infty$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 e^{\alpha}(1+\alpha) \alpha^{\frac{3}{2}} h(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq 4 e^{\beta}(1+\beta) \beta^{\frac{3}{2}} h(P, Q) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$ and $h(P, Q)$ are given by (7) and (21) respectively.
Proof. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(t)=\frac{(1-\sqrt{t})^{2}}{2}, t \in(0, \infty) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{2}^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{(1-\sqrt{t})}{2 \sqrt{t}} \\
f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{1}{4 t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)>0 \forall t>0$ and $f_{2}(1)=0$, so $f_{2}(t)$ is strictly convex and normalized function respectively. By putting $f_{2}(t)$ in (1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{f_{2}}(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\sqrt{p_{i}}-\sqrt{q_{i}}\right)^{2}}{2}=h(P, Q) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(P, Q)$ is called the Hellinger discrimination or Kolmogorov's divergence [19].
Now, let

$$
g(t)=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)}=4 e^{t}(1+t) t^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

where $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ are given by (6) and (20) respectively and

$$
g^{\prime}(t)=2 e^{t} \sqrt{t}(3+t)(2 t+1)
$$

It is clear that $g^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t>0$, therefore $g(t)$ is strictly increasing function in interval $(0, \infty)$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\inf _{t \in(\alpha, \beta)} g(t)=g(\alpha)=4 e^{\alpha}(1+\alpha) \alpha^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sup _{t \in(\alpha, \beta)} g(t)=g(\beta)=4 e^{\beta}(1+\beta) \beta^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result (18) is obtained by using (7), (21), (22), and (23) in inequality (4).
In a similar procedure, we obtain the bounds of $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$ in terms of the other well known divergence measures. The results are as follows.
(a) If $f_{2}(t)=\frac{t}{2} \log t+\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right) \log \frac{2}{t+1}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 e^{\alpha} \alpha(1+\alpha)^{2} I(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq 2 e^{\beta} \beta(1+\beta)^{2} I(P, Q), \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(P, Q)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \log \frac{2 p_{i}}{p_{i}+q_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \log \frac{2 q_{i}}{p_{i}+q_{i}}\right] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Jensen- Shannon divergence or Information radius [9, 43].
(b) If $f_{2}(t)=(t-1) \log t$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\alpha} \alpha^{2} J(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq e^{\beta} \beta^{2} J(P, Q) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \log \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the J- divergence or Jeffrey- Kullback divergence [24, 31].
(c) If $f_{2}(t)=\frac{(t-1)^{2}}{\sqrt{t}}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 e^{\alpha}(1+\alpha) \alpha^{\frac{5}{2}}}{3 \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+3} E(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq \frac{4 e^{\beta}(1+\beta) \beta^{\frac{5}{2}}}{3 \beta^{2}+2 \beta+3} E(P, Q) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Jain- Srivastava divergence [23].
(d) If $f_{2}(t)=\frac{(t-1)^{2}(t+1)}{t}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{\alpha} \alpha^{3}}{2\left(\alpha^{2}-\alpha+1\right)} \psi(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq \frac{e^{\beta} \beta^{3}}{2\left(\beta^{2}-\beta+1\right)} \psi(P, Q), \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)^{2}\left(p_{i}+q_{i}\right)}{p_{i} q_{i}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Symmetric chi- square divergence [17].
(e) If $f_{2}(t)=\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right) \log \frac{t+1}{2 \sqrt{t}}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 e^{\alpha} \alpha^{2}(1+\alpha)^{2}}{1+\alpha^{2}} T(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq \frac{4 e^{\beta} \beta^{2}(1+\beta)^{2}}{1+\beta^{2}} T(P, Q) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{p_{i}+q_{i}}{2}\right) \log \frac{p_{i}+q_{i}}{2 \sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Arithmetic- Geometric mean divergence [45].
(f) If $f_{2}(t)=\frac{\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{2}}{2 t^{\frac{3}{2}}}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{8 e^{\alpha} \alpha^{\frac{7}{2}}(1+\alpha)}{15 \alpha^{4}+2 \alpha^{2}+15} \psi_{M}(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq \frac{8 e^{\beta} \beta^{\frac{7}{2}}(1+\beta)}{15 \beta^{4}+2 \beta^{2}+15} \psi_{M}(P, Q) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{M}(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i}^{2}-q_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}{2\left(p_{i} q_{i}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Kumar- Johnson divergence [32].
(g) If $f_{2}(t)=(t-1) \log \frac{t+1}{2}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{\alpha}(1+\alpha)^{3}}{\alpha+3} J_{R}(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq \frac{e^{\beta}(1+\beta)^{3}}{\beta+3} J_{R}(P, Q) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{R}(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \log \left(\frac{p_{i}+q_{i}}{2 q_{i}}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Relative J- divergence [16].
(h) If $f_{2}(t)=t \log t$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(1+\alpha) e^{\alpha} K(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq \beta(1+\beta) e^{\beta} K(P, Q) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \log \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Kullback- Leibler divergence or Relative entropy or Directed divergence or Information gain [31].
(i) If $f_{2}(t)=\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right) \log \frac{t+1}{2 t}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha^{2}(1+\alpha)^{2} e^{\alpha} G(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq 2 \beta^{2}(1+\beta)^{2} e^{\beta} G(P, Q) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{p_{i}+q_{i}}{2}\right) \log \frac{p_{i}+q_{i}}{2 p_{i}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Relative Arithmetic- Geometric divergence [45].
(j) If $f_{2}(t)=(t-1)^{2}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{\alpha}(1+\alpha)}{2} \chi^{2}(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq \frac{e^{\beta}(1+\beta)}{2} \chi^{2}(P, Q) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{2}(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)^{2}}{q_{i}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Chi- square divergence or Pearson divergence [37].
(k) If $f_{2}(t)=t \log \frac{2 t}{t+1}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha e^{\alpha}(1+\alpha)^{3} F(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq \alpha e^{\beta}(1+\beta)^{3} F(P, Q) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \log \frac{2 p_{i}}{p_{i}+q_{i}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Relative Jensen- Shannon divergence [43].
(l) If $f_{2}(t)=\frac{\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{2}}{t}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{\alpha}(\alpha+1) \alpha^{3}}{2\left(3 \alpha^{4}+1\right)} \gamma(P, Q) \leq G_{\exp }(P, Q) \leq \frac{e^{\beta}(\beta+1) \beta^{3}}{2\left(3 \beta^{4}+1\right)} \gamma(P, Q) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i}^{2}-q_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}{p_{i} q_{i}^{2}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Jain and Chhabra divergence [21].
Remark 3.1. Divergences (15), (21), (25), (27), (29), (31), (33), (35) are symmetric and divergences $(37),(39),(41),(43),(45),(47)$ are non- symmetric with respect to probability distributions $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$.

## 4. Numerical verification of bounds

In this section, we take an example for calculating the divergences $\Delta(P, Q)$, $h(P, Q), G(P, Q)$ and $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$ and verify numerically the inequalities (12), (18), and (40) or verify the bounds of $G_{\text {exp }}(P, Q)$.

Example 4.1. Let $P$ be the binomial probability distribution with parameters ( $n=10, p=0.7$ ) and $Q$ its approximated Poisson probability distribution with parameter $(\lambda=n p=7)$ for the random variable $X$. Then we have

Table 1. Evaluation of discrete probability distributions for $(n=10, p=0.7, q=0.3)$

| $x_{i}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p_{i} \approx$ | .0000059 | .000137 | .00144 | .009 | .036 | .102 | .200 | .266 | .233 | .121 | .0282 |
| $q_{i} \approx$ | .000911 | .00638 | .022 | .052 | .091 | .177 | .199 | .149 | .130 | .101 | .0709 |
| $\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \approx$ | .00647 | .0214 | .0654 | .173 | .395 | .871 | 1.005 | 1.785 | 1.792 | 1.198 | .397 |

By using Table 1, we get the followings.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha(=.00647) \leq \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \leq \beta(=1.792) .  \tag{48}\\
\Delta(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{11} \frac{\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)^{2}}{p_{i}+q_{i}} \approx .1812 .  \tag{49}\\
h(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{11} \frac{\left(\sqrt{p_{i}}-\sqrt{q_{i}}\right)^{2}}{2} \approx .0502  \tag{50}\\
G(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{11} \frac{p_{i}+q_{i}}{2} \log \left(\frac{p_{i}+q_{i}}{2 p_{i}}\right) \approx .0746 .  \tag{51}\\
G_{\exp }(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{11} e^{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \approx .97971 \tag{52}
\end{gather*}
$$

Put the approximated values from (48) to (52) in inequalities (12), (18), and (40) respectively and get the following results

$$
\begin{align*}
.0233 \leq .97971 \leq 8.260,1.508 \times 10^{-4} \leq .97971 & \leq 8.071,6.367 \times 10^{-6} \\
& \leq .97971 \leq 22.414 \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Hence verified the bounds of $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$ in terms of $\Delta(P, Q), h(P, Q)$ and $G(P, Q)$ for $p=0.7$.

Similarly, we can verify the bounds of $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$ in terms of other divergences or can verify the other inequalities for different values of $p$ and $q$ and for other discrete probability distributions as well, like; Negative binomial, Geometric, uniform etc.
In Figure 2, we have considered $p_{i}=(a, 1-a), q_{i}=(1-a, a)$, where $a \in(0,1)$.


Figure 2. Comparison of divergence measures with new exponential divergence measure

It is clear from Figure 2 that the new exponential divergence $G_{\exp }(P, Q)$ has a steeper slope than $\psi(P, Q), \chi^{2}(P, Q), E(P, Q), \Delta(P, Q), h(P, Q), I(P, Q), J(P, Q)$, $T(P, Q)$, and $J_{R}(P, Q)$.

## 5. Conclusion and discussion

To design a communication system with a specific message handling capability, we need a measure of information content to be transmitted. Divergence measures are for quantifying the dissimilarity among probability distributions. In this work we introduced a new exponential divergence measure and obtained the bounds by using Csiszar's information inequality and verified the bounds numerically as well in the interval $(\alpha, \beta), 0<\alpha \leq 1<\beta<\infty$. Fuzzy exponential information measure and Useful exponential information measure also introduced. Work on further generalizations of this new divergence measure is in progress and will be reported elsewhere, like: Application to the mutual information, other relations by using standard algebraic and exponential inequalities, square root of this new measure is a metric space etc.
We hope that this work will motivate the reader to consider the extensions of divergence measures in information theory, other problems of functional analysis and fuzzy mathematics.
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