J. Chosun Natural Sci. Vol. 9, No. 2 (2016) pp. 121 – 127 http://dx.doi.org/10.13160/ricns.2016.9.2.121

Comparative Molecular Field Analysis of CXCR-2 Inhibitors

Sathya. B[†]

Abstract

CXC chemokine receptor 2 (CXCR2) is a prominent chemokine receptor on neutrophils. The neutrophilic inflammation in the lung diseases is found to be largely regulated through CXCR2 receptor. Antagonist of CXCR2 may reduce the neutrophil chemotaxis and alter the inflammatory response. Hence, in the present study, ligand based Comparative molecular field analysis (CoMFA) was performed on a series of CXCR2 antagonist named pyrimidine-5-carbonitrile-6alkyl derivatives. The optimum CoMFA model was obtained with statistically significant cross-validated coefficients (q^2) of 0.568 and conventional coefficients (r^2) of 0.975. The contour maps suggest the important structural modifications and this study can be used to guide the development of potent CXCR2 antagonist.

Keywords: Chemokines, CXCR-2, 3D-QSAR, CoMFA.

1. Introduction

Chemokines are small 8-10 kDa which have long been implicated in the initiation and amplification of inflammatory responses by their role in leukocyte chemotaxis^[1,2]. Chemokines act through G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) to regulate a variety of effects, including cell migration and inflammatory events. They are currently seven known CXCR receptor found in mammals named CXCR1-CXCR7. CXCR2 (also called CD182, IL8) plays a critical role in the regulation of neutrophil homeostasis^[3] and is found on many cells including leukocytes, endothelial and epithelial cells^[4,5]. CXCR2 receptor can be released from a number of inflammatory and structural cell types and may have a broad functional role in number of acute and chronic diseases. It plays an important role in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, fibrotic pulmonary disorders^[6-8]. It was found that neutrophilic inflammation in the lung diseases is found to be largely regulated through CXCR2^[2,9]. Therefore blockade of CXCR2 substantially reduces leukocyte recruitment, tissue damage and mortality. An antagonist of CXCR2 reduces neutrophilic chemotaxis and may alter the airway inflammation. To date, there are no CXCR2 receptor antagonists approved for use in humans. However, several pharmaceutical companies have disclosed CXCR2 antagonists and amongst these, navarixin and AZD-5069 are noteworthy.

Quantitative Structural Activity relationship (QSAR) models attempts to relate the chemical structure to biological activity computationally or mathematically and to discover new compounds with improved biological activity. When 3D structure of the macromolecular target is not available 3D-QSAR is the prominent computational means to support chemistry within drug design projects^[10] and is demonstrated in many studies^[11-14]. Hence, in the present 3D QSAR method, CoMFA model was generated utilizing pyrimidine-5-carbonitrile-6-alkyl derivatives as CXCR2 antagonist. The models obtained were all statistically significant with cross-validated coefficients $(q^2) > 0.5$ and conventional coefficients (r²) >0.5 and predictive r² (r²_{pred}) \ge 0.5 indicating that reliability of the model. The CoMFA model was graphically interpreted by field a contribution map which provides guidelines for the design of new compounds with enhanced activity and specificity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Set

Department of Bioinformatics, School of Bioengineering, SRM University, SRM Nagar, Kattankulathur, Chennai 603203, India.

[†]Corresponding author : sathyainfo26@gmail.com

⁽Received: May 30, 2016, Revised: June 14, 2016,

Accepted: June 25, 2016)

The structure of the pyrimidine-5-carbonitrile-6-alkyl derivatives and their biological activities of 26 com-

Sathya. B



Table 1. Structures and biological activities (pIC $_{\rm 50})$ of CXCR2 inhibitors

Cmpd no	Structure	pIC ₅₀ values	Cmpd no	Structure	pIC50 values
8		5.327	21	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	5.193
9	N OH	5.366	22	OH N N N N N N N	4.522
10		6.045	23		5.000
11		5.309	24	OH N F F	6.495
12		5.769	25	F S N S	5.854
13		6.853	26	F S N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	5.495

Table 1. Continued

pounds were taken from the literature^[15]. Biological activities i.e. IC_{50} values of each inhibitor was converted into pIC_{50} (-logIC₅₀) in order to use the data as dependent variable in CoMFA analysis. We segregated the dataset (26 compounds) into test (5 compounds) and

training set (21 compounds). The training and test sets were classified to ensure that both sets could completely cover the whole range of biological activity and structural diversity. The structures and their activity values are displayed in Table 1.

2.2. Structural Alignment

The structure of pyrimidine-5-carbonitrile-6-alkyl derivatives was drawn using sketch molecule function in SYBYL-X2.1^[16] version whose the partial atomic charges were assigned by utilizing Gasteiger-Huckel method. The highly active molecule (compound 17) among the dataset was selected as template and its bio-active conformation was searched through systematic search analysis. The bioactive conformation of highly active molecule was utilized and its lowest energy conformer was used to align all the compounds and it was subsequently used for CoMFA and CoMSIA analysis. The alignment of all molecules is represented in Fig. 1.

2.3. CoMFA Analysis

CoMFA studies helps in deriving a relation between the biological activities and three dimensional structures of the set of molecules. During CoMFA Analysis, ligand was placed in a 3D grid and the molecular field values of each conformation were calculated with 2 Å lattice spacing. A sp³ carbon atom with a charge of +1.0 and a Van der Waals radius of 1.52 Å was used as a probe; this atom was placed at every lattice point to calculate various steric and electrostatic fields. The steric (Lennard-Jones) and electrostatic (Coulombic) potential energies of the Tripos force field implemented in SYBYL were evaluated by CoMFA. An energy cut off value of 30 kcal/mol was imposed on all CoMFA cal-



Fig. 1. Superimposed structure of all molecules based on atom by atom matching alignment.

culations to avoid excessively high and unrealistic energy values within the molecule.

2.4. Partial Least Square (PLS) Analysis

Partial least squares (PLS) algorithm^[17] quantifies the relationship between the structural parameters and the biological activities^[18]. CoMFA descriptors used as independent variables and pIC₅₀ values used as dependent variables in PLS analysis for the generation of CoMFA model. Leave-one-out $(LOO)^{[19]}$ cross-validation procedures were used to obtain the cross-validated correlation coefficient (q²), non-cross-validated correlation coefficient (r²), standard error estimate (SEE) and Fisher's values (F). A non-cross-validated analysis was carried out without column filtering was then followed. The cross-validated correlation coefficient (q²) was calculated using the following equation:

$$q^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{\gamma} (\gamma_{pred} - \gamma_{actual})^{2}}{\sum_{\gamma} (\gamma_{actual} - \gamma_{mean})^{2}}$$

where γ_{pred} , γ_{actual} , and γ_{mean} are the predicted, actual, and mean values of the target property (pIC₅₀), respectively.

2.5. Predictive Correlation Coefficient (r²_{pred})

The predictive power of CoMFA model was determined from five test molecules which were excluded during model development. The predictive correlation coefficient (r_{pred}^2) based on the test set molecules, is defined as:

$$r_{pred}^2 = \frac{(SD - PRESS)}{SD}$$

where PRESS is the sum of the squared deviation between the predicted and actual activity of the test set molecules, and SD is defined as the sum of the square deviation between the biological activity of the test set compounds and the mean activity of the training set molecules^[20].

3. Results and Discussion

In the present work, we have generated CoMFA model for pyrimidine-5-carbonitrile-6-alkyl derivatives. Statistical values like q^2 , r^2 , SEE, F value and r^2_{pred} were calculated. Each model was validated with statistical cut

J. Chosun Natural Sci., Vol. 9, No. 2, 2016

off values such as $q^2 > 0.5$, $r^2 > 0.5$ and $r^2_{pred} > 0.5$. The best predictions were obtained for CoMFA model ($q^2 = 0.568$, $r^2 = 0.975$).

3.1. CoMFA Statistical Analysis

CoMFA analysis was carried out to evaluate the steric and electrostatic properties. The cross-validated correlation coefficient, q^2 defines the goodness of prediction whereas the conventional correlation coefficient, r² indicates goodness of fit of a QSAR model. Many different CoMFA models were generated with different combinations of training and test set and the best model was selected based on statistical results. The statistical result of CoMFA model was given in Table 2. For the selected model leave one out analysis gave the cross-validated q² of 0.568 with three components and non-cross-validated PLS analysis resulted in a correlation coefficient r^2 of 0.975, F = 196.628, and an estimated standard error of 0.159. The steric contribution (0.420) of the model was lower than electrostatic field contribution (0.580). Predicted, experimental activities and their residual values of all inhibitors are shown in Table 3. The residual value of all compounds taken is less than 1 which shows the goodness of the model.

3.2. Mapping of CoMFA Contour Map

The CoMFA contour map was generated based on the ligand based (atom-by atom matching) alignment. The CoMFA result is usually represented as 3D contour map. It shows regions where variations of steric and electrostatic nature in the structural features of the dif-

Table 2. Statistical	results	of	CoMFA	model
----------------------	---------	----	-------	-------

PLS statistics	CoMFA model		
q^2	0.568		
Ν	3		
r^2	0.975		
SEE	0.159		
F-value	196.628		
$\mathbf{r}^2_{\mathrm{pred}}$	0.672		
Field contribution			
Steric	0.420		
Electrostatic	0.580		

 q^2 = cross-validated correlation coefficient; N= number of statistical components; r^2 = non-cross validated correlation coefficient; SEE=standard estimated error; F=Fisher value; $r^2_{predictive}$ = predictive correlation coefficient

ferent molecules contained in the training set leads to increase or decrease in the activity. In case of CoMFA the green contours denote favorable steric interactions and the yellow contours show the region where the steric group was not favored. The most active compound 17 was superimposed with steric contour plots and shown in Fig. 2(A). The green steric contour depicts the presence of bulky group in the cyclopropyl ring attached to pyrimidine ring makes the compound potent with higher activity. Hence the compounds 17, 18, 16 have higher activity compared to other molecules. The presence of green contour in 2nd position of benzyl attached to sulfur also indicates the bulky group at this position is favorable. The yellow contour indicates the presence of bulky group in 3rd, 4th and 5th posi-

Table 3. Predicted activities of CoMFA model compared with the experimental pIC_{50} values

S No	Actual	Predicted	Pasidual	
5.INO.	pIC ₅₀	pIC ₅₀	Residual	
1.	5.432	5.620	-0.188	
2.	5.130	5.336	-0.206	
3.	5.854	5.714	0.139	
4.	6.148	5.986	0.162	
5.	5.795	5.986	-0.191	
6.	5.337	5.521	-0.183	
7.	6.107	5.163	0.141	
8.	5.327	5.163	0.164	
9.	5.366	5.953	-0.058	
10.	6.045	6.020	0.025	
11.	5.309	5.258	0.051	
12.	5.769	5.704	0.065	
13.	6.853	6.299	0.554	
14.	5.432	5.355	0.076	
15.	5.824	5.586	0.237	
16.	8.000	8.324	-0.324	
17.	8.222	8.020	0.201	
18.	8.155	8.111	0.043	
19.	6.292	5.992	0.3	
20.	5.318	5.676	-0.358	
21.	5.193	5.382	-0.189	
22.	4.522	4.720	-0.198	
23.	5.000	4.953	0.049	
24.	6.495	6.593	-0.098	
25.	5.854	5.586	0.268	
26.	5.495	5.453	0.042	



Fig. 2. CoMFA contour maps for compound 17. (a) In steric contour map, the green contour indicates regions where bulky groups increases the activity and yellow contours indicates bulky groups which decreases the activity. (b) In electrostatic contour map the blue contour indicates the regions where an electropositive group increases the activity and a red contour indicates regions where an electronegative group increases the activity.

tion of benzyl ring is not favorable. We have observed that the compounds which does not have bulky group in cyclopropyl ring have lower activity. The electrostatic interactions is represented by red and blue contours, among which blue colored regions show areas where more positively charged groups are favored, and red region highlight areas where groups with more negative charges are favored. The electrostatic contour plot of highly active compound 17 is depicted in Fig. 2(B). The blue colored region in electrostatic contour plot indicates the presence of electropositive groups in the cyclopropyl ring is most favorable and hence the electropositive group in these regions is very important for enhancing the biological activity. The red colored region indicates the electronegative atom in 2nd position of benzyl attached to sulfur is favorable in increasing the activity.

4. Conclusion

The CoMFA contour map gives valuable information to understand 3D-QSAR relationships between structures and their biological activity. The contour maps suggest the presence of bulky and electropositive group in the cyclopropyl ring attached to pyrimidine ring will help in improving the activity of the compound. The present results of the 3D-QSAR model can be useful for predicting the activities of new CXCR2 antagonists.

References

- M. Uguccioni, M. D'Apuzzo, M. Loetscher, B. Dewald, and M. Baggiolini, "Actions of the chemotactic cytokines MCP-1, MCP-2, MCP-3, RANTES, MIP-1 alpha and MIP-1 beta on human monocytes", Eur. J. Immunol., Vol. 25, pp. 64-68, 1995.
- [2] R. W. Chapman, J. E. Phillips, R. W. Hipkin, A. K. Curran, D. Lundell, and J. S. Fine, "CXCR2 antagonists for the treatment of pulmonary disease", Pharmacol. Therapeut., Vol. 12, pp. 55-68, 2009.
- [3] K. J. Eash, A. M. Greenbaum, P. K. Gopalan, and D. C. Link, "CXCR2 and CXCR4 antagonistically regulate neutrophil trafficking from murine bone marrow", J. Clin. Invest., Vol. 120, pp. 2423-2431, 2010.
- [4] J. Reutershan, A. Basit, E. V. Galkina, and K. Ley, "Sequential recruitment of neutrophils into lung and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid in LPS-induced lung injury", Am. J. Physiol-Lung. C., Vol. 289, pp. L807–L815, 2005.
- [5] S. L. Traves, S. J. Smith, P. J. Barnes, and L. E. Donnelly, "Specific CXC but not CC chemokines cause elevated monocyte migration in COPD: a role for CXCR2", J. Leukocyte Biol., Vol. 76, pp. 441-450, 2004.
- [6] R. A. Pauwels and K. F. Rabe, "Burden and clinical features of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)", Lancet, Vol. 364, pp. 613-620, 2004.
- [7] E. H. Bel, A. Sousa, L. Fleming, A. Bush, K. F.

Chung, J. Versnel, A. H. Wagener, S. S. Wagers, P. J. Sterk, and C. H. Compton, "Diagnosis and definition of severe refractory asthma: an international consensus statement from the innovative medicine initiative (IMI)", Thorax, Vol. 66, pp. 910-917, 2010.

- [8] P. Anderson, "Emerging therapies in cystic fibrosis", Ther. Adv. Respir. Dis., Vol. 4, pp. 177-185, 2010.
- [9] P. M. Murphy, "Neutrophil receptors for interleukin-8 and related CXC chemokines", Semin. Hematol., Vol. 34, pp. 311-318, 1997.
- [10] J. Lesczynski "Challenges and advances in computational chemistry and physics", 2007.
- [11] B. Sathya and M. Thirumurthy, "Pharmacophore based comparative molecular field analysis of CRTh2 antagonists", J. Chosun Natural Sci., Vol. 8, pp. 89-98, 2015.
- [12] B. Sathya and M. Thirumurthy, "Comparative molecular field analysis of caspase-3 inhibitors", J. Chosun Natural Sci., Vol. 7, pp. 166-172, 2014.
- [13] M. Thirumurthy, "Modeling aided lead design of FAK inhibitors", J. Chosun Natural Sci., Vol. 4, pp. 266-272, 2011.
- [14] M. Thirumurthy, "3D-QSAR studies of 3,5-disubstituted quinolines inhibitors of c-jun N-terminal kinase-3", J. Chosun Natural Sci., Vol. 4, pp. 216-221, 2011.

- [15] D. W. Porter , M. Bradley, Z. Brown, S. J. Charlton, B. Cox, P. Hunt, D. Janus, S. Lewis, P. Oakley, D. O'Connor, J. Reilly, N. Smith, and N. J. Press, "The discovery of potent, orally bioavailable pyrimidine-5- carbonitrile-6-alkyl CXCR2 receptor antagonists", Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., Vol. 24, pp. 3285-3290, 2014.
- [16] SYBYL Software, Version X 2.0., 2006, Tripos Associates Inc, St. Louis, USA.
- [17] R. D. Cramer, D. E. Patterson, and J. Bunce, "Comparative molecular field analysis (CoMFA), 1. Effect of shape on binding of steroids to carrier proteins", J. Am. Chem. Soc., Vol. 110, pp. 5959-5967, 1988.
- [18] S. Wold, A. Ruhe, H. Wold, and W. J. Dunn, "The collinearity problem in linear-regression- the partial least squares (PLS) approach to generalized inverses", SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing, Vol. 5, pp. 735-743, 1984.
- [19] S. Wold, "Cross-validatory estimation of the number of components in factor and principal component model", Technometrics, Vol. 20, pp. 397-405, 1978.
- [20] A. M. Doweyko, "3D QSAR illusions", J. Comput. Sci. Tech. Ch., Vol. 18, pp. 587-596, 2004.