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#### Abstract

In this paper we begin the study of $L_{k}$-2-type hypersurfaces of a hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ for $k \geq 1$. Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be an orientable $H_{k}$-hypersurface, which is not an open portion of a hypersphere Then $M^{3}$ is of $L_{k}$-2-type if and only if $M^{3}$ is a Clifford tori $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(r_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right)$, $r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}=1$, for appropriate radii, or a tube $T^{r}\left(V^{2}\right)$ of appropriate constant radius $r$ around the Veronese embedding of the real projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}(\sqrt{3})$.


## 1. Introduction

The theory of submanifolds of finite type were introduced by B. Y. Chen during the late 1970s, and the first results on this subject were collected in his books [12] and [13]. Although the first definition was given for a compact submanifold in the Euclidean space, Chen extended the concept to non-compact submanifolds in Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ or pseudo-Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{R}_{s}^{m}$, [14]. An isometric immersion $\psi: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ of a submanifold $M^{n}$ (not necessarily compact) into $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is said to be of finite type if it admits a finite spectral decomposition

$$
\psi=a+\psi_{1}+\cdots+\psi_{q}, \quad \Delta \psi_{t}=\lambda_{t} \psi_{t}
$$

for some natural number $q$, where $\lambda_{t}$ are constants, $a$ is a constant vector and $\psi_{t}$ are non-constant vector functions. Otherwise, the immersion is said to be of infinite type.

A detailed survey of the results, up to 1996, on this subject was given by Chen in [17]. Since then, the study of finite type submanifolds, in particular, of biharmonic submanifolds, have received a growing attention with many progresses during last years. In a recent article [18], Chen provides a detailed account of recent development on problems and conjectures about finite type submanifolds.
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A special class of finite type submanifolds was introduced by O. J. Garay in [24]; he considered submanifolds of a Euclidean space whose position vector field satisfies $\Delta \psi=A \psi$, for some diagonal matrix $A$; in other words, each coordinate function of $\psi$ is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian. Garay called such submanifolds coordinate finite type submanifolds. Later on, F. Dillen, J. Pas and L. Verstraelen observed in [22] that this condition is not coordinate invariant and proposed the study of submanifolds satisfying the condition $\Delta \psi=$ $A \psi+b$, for some constant matrix $A$ and some constant vector $b$. That condition has been deeply studied for submanifolds in Euclidean or pseudo-Euclidean spaces as well as in pseudo-Riemannian space forms (see for example [1], [2], [3], [20], [27], [38]).

It is well known that the Laplacian operator $\Delta$ can be seen as the first one of a sequence of $n$ operators $L_{0}=\Delta, L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n-1}$, where $L_{k}$ stands for the linearized operator of the first variation of the $(k+1)$-th mean curvature arising from normal variations of the hypersurface (see, for instance, [39]). These operators are given by $L_{k}(f)=\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{k} \circ \nabla^{2} f\right)$ for a smooth function $f$ on $M$, where $P_{k}$ denotes the $k$-th Newton transformation associated to the second fundamental form of the hypersurface and $\nabla^{2} f$ denotes the self-adjoint linear operator metrically equivalent to the Hessian of $f$.

From this point of view, Kashani [28] introduced the notion of $L_{k}$-finite-type hypersurface in the Euclidean space. In general, a submanifold $M^{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is said to be of $L_{k}$-finite-type if the position vector $\psi: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ of $M^{n}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ admits the following finite spectral decomposition

$$
\psi=a+\psi_{1}+\cdots+\psi_{q}, \quad L_{k} \psi_{t}=\lambda_{t} \psi_{t}
$$

where $a$ is a constant vector, $\lambda_{t}$ are constants and $\psi_{t}$ are non-constant $\mathbb{R}^{m_{-}}$ valued maps on $M^{n}$. If all $\lambda_{t}$ 's are mutually different, $M^{n}$ is said to be of $L_{k^{-}}$ $q$-type, and if one of $\lambda_{t}$ is zero $M^{n}$ is said to be of $L_{k}$-null- $q$-type. Obviously, that definition is also valid for a pseudo-Riemannian submanifold $M_{t}^{n}$ into the pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}_{s}^{m}$.

Inspired by [22], Alías and Gürbuz initiated in [4] the study of hypersurfaces in Euclidean space satisfying the condition $L_{k} \psi=A \psi+b$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times(n+1)}$ is a constant matrix and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a constant vector. This initial work has been extended to hypersurfaces in the hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}([5])$, to hypersurfaces in Lorentzian space space forms ([30], [31]), and to hypersurfaces in pseudo-Riemannian space forms ([32], [33]). In particular, the results in these works can be used to characterize the coordinate $L_{k}$-finite-type hypersurfaces.

In [35] the authors, by using results of [4], show that $k$-minimal Euclidean hypersurfaces and open portions of hyperspheres are the only $L_{k}$-1-type hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Next step is the study of $L_{k}$-2-type hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and we find in [35] several results in this direction. In particular, the authors show that if $M^{n}$ is a hypersurface with at most two distinct principal curvatures, then: (i) $M^{n}$ is not of $L_{n-1}$-null-2-type (Theorem 3.5); (ii) $M^{n}$ is of
$L_{k}$-null-2-type $(k \neq n-1)$ if and only if $M$ is locally isometric to a generalized cylinder (Theorems 3.11 and 3.12).

This paper begins the study of $L_{k}$-2-type hypersurfaces of hyperspheres $\mathbb{S}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. The case $k=0$ corresponds to the classical one, which has been well studied (see e.g. [6], [15], [19], [25], [26]), so we will concentrate in cases $k=1$ and $k=2$. After a section devoted to preliminaries and basic results we proceed, in the third section, to compute some formulae which is needed to present the examples. In Section 4 we present the main results, that we can collect in the following classification theorem (see Sections 2 and 3.1 for definitions and examples):

Main Theorem. Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be an orientable $H_{k}$-hypersurface, which is not an open portion of a hypersphere. Then $M^{3}$ is of $L_{k}$-2-type if and only if $M^{3}$ is a Clifford tori $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(r_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right), r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}=1$, for appropriate radii, or a tube $T^{r}\left(V^{2}\right)$ of appropriate constant radius $r$ around the Veronese embedding of the real projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}(\sqrt{3})$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall basic formulae and notions about hypersurfaces in the unit hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ centered at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ :

$$
\mathbb{S}^{4}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{5} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{5} x_{i}^{2}=1\right\}
$$

Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4} \subset \mathbb{R}^{5}$ be an isometric immersion of a connected orientable hypersurface $M^{3}$ with Gauss map $N$. We denote by $\nabla^{0}, \bar{\nabla}$ and $\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connections on $\mathbb{R}^{5}, \mathbb{S}^{4}$ and $M^{3}$, respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X}^{0} Y & =\nabla_{X} Y+\langle S X, Y\rangle N-\langle X, Y\rangle \psi  \tag{1}\\
S X & =-\bar{\nabla}_{X} N=-\nabla_{X}^{0} N \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all tangent vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right)$, where $S: \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right)$ stands for the shape operator (or Weingarten endomorphism) of $M^{3}$, with respect to the chosen orientation $N$.

As is well-known, for every point $p \in M^{3}, S$ defines a linear self-adjoint endomorphism on the tangent space $T_{p} M$, and its eigenvalues $\kappa_{1}(p), \kappa_{2}(p)$ and $\kappa_{3}(p)$ are the principal curvatures of the hypersurface. The characteristic polynomial $Q_{S}(t)$ of $S$ is defined by

$$
Q_{S}(t)=\operatorname{det}(t I-S)=\left(t-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(t-\kappa_{2}\right)\left(t-\kappa_{3}\right)=t^{3}+a_{1} t^{2}+a_{2} t+a_{3},
$$

where the coefficients of $Q_{S}(t)$ are given by

$$
a_{1}=-\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right), \quad a_{2}=\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}+\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}, \quad a_{3}=-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3} .
$$

These coefficients can be easily obtained, by making use of the LeverrierFaddeev method (see [23, 29]), in terms of the traces of $S^{j}$, as follows:

$$
a_{k}=-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k-j} \operatorname{tr}\left(S^{j}\right), \quad k=1,2,3, \quad \text { with } a_{0}=1 .
$$

In particular, we obtain the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=-\operatorname{tr}(S)  \tag{3}\\
& a_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2}\right)-\operatorname{tr}(S)^{2}\right),  \tag{4}\\
& a_{3}=-\frac{1}{3}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{3}\right)-\frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr}(S)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(S)^{3}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The $k$-th mean curvature $H_{k}$ or mean curvature of order $k$ of $M^{3}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{3}{k} H_{k}=(-1)^{k} a_{k}, \quad \text { with } H_{0}=1 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have:

$$
H_{1}=-\frac{1}{3} a_{1}=\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr}(S), \quad H_{2}=\frac{1}{3} a_{2}, \quad H_{3}=-a_{3} .
$$

Observe that $H_{1}$ is nothing but the usual mean curvature $H$ of $M^{3}$, which is one of the most important extrinsic curvatures of the hypersurface.

As usual, we say that $M^{3}$ is an $H_{k}$-hypersurface if its $k$-th mean curvature $H_{k}$ is constant. If $H_{k+1}=0$, then we say that $M^{3}$ is a $k$-minimal hypersurface; a 0 -minimal hypersurface is nothing but a minimal hypersurface in the sphere.

### 2.1. The Newton transformations

The $k$-th Newton transformation of $M$ is the operator $P_{k}: \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right)$ defined by

$$
P_{k}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{k-j} S^{j} .
$$

In particular,

$$
\text { (7) } \quad P_{0}=I, \quad P_{1}=3 H I-S, \quad P_{2}=3 H_{2} I-S \circ P_{1}, \quad P_{3}=H_{3} I-S \circ P_{2} \text {. }
$$

Note that by Cayley-Hamilton theorem we have $P_{3}=0$. Let us recall that each $P_{k}(p)$ is also a self-adjoint linear operator on the tangent hyperplane $T_{p} M$ which commutes with $S(p)$. Indeed, $S(p)$ and $P_{k}(p)$ can be simultaneously diagonalized: if $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ are the eigenvectors of $S(p)$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\kappa_{1}(p), \kappa_{2}(p), \kappa_{3}(p)$, respectively, then they are also the eigenvectors of $P_{k}(p)$ with corresponding eigenvalues given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}^{i}(p)=\sum_{\substack{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \\ j_{j} \notin i}}^{3} \kappa_{i_{1}} \cdots \kappa_{i_{k}} \quad \text { for every } i=1,2,3 \text { and } k=1,2 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mu_{1}^{1}=\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}, & \mu_{1}^{2}=\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}, & \mu_{1}^{3}=\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2} \\
\mu_{2}^{1}=\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}, & \mu_{2}^{2}=\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}, & \mu_{2}^{3}=\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

We have the following properties of $P_{k}$ (the proof is algebraic and straightforward).

Lemma 1. The Newton transformations $P_{k}, k=1,2$, satisfy:
(a) $\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{k}\right)=c_{k} H_{k}$,
(b) $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \circ P_{k}\right)=c_{k} H_{k+1}$,
(c) $\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{1}\right)=3\left(3 H H_{2}-H_{3}\right)$,
(d) $\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{2}\right)=3 H H_{3}$,
where $c_{1}=6$ and $c_{2}=3$.
Now, we recall the notion of divergence of a vector field or an operator. According to [37, p. 86], for a tensor $T$ the contraction of the new covariant slot in its covariant differential $\nabla T$ with one of its original slots is called a divergence of $T$. Hence the divergence of a vector field $X$ is the differentiable function defined as the contraction of the operator $\nabla X$, where $\nabla X(Y):=\nabla_{Y} X$, that is,

$$
\operatorname{div}(X)=C(\nabla X)=\operatorname{tr}(\nabla X)=\sum_{i, j} g^{i j}\left\langle\nabla_{E_{i}} X, E_{j}\right\rangle
$$

$\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ being any local frame of tangent vectors fields, where $\left(g^{i j}\right)$ represents the inverse of the metric $\left(g_{i j}\right)=\left(\left\langle E_{i}, E_{j}\right\rangle\right)$. For an operator $T: \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right) \longrightarrow$ $\mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right)$ we have two divergences: one associated to the $(1,1)$-contraction $C_{1}^{1}$, and another associated to the metric contraction $C_{12}$; the first contraction produces a 1 -form and the second contraction produces a vector field. We consider here the second one, so that the divergence of an operator $T$ will be the vector field $\operatorname{div}(T) \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right)$ defined as

$$
\operatorname{div}(T)=C_{12}(\nabla T)=\sum_{i, j} g^{i j}\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} T\right) E_{j}
$$

where $\nabla T(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{X} T\right) Y=\nabla_{X}(T Y)-T\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)$.
In the following lemma we present two interesting properties of the Newton transformations (see Lemma 4 of [32] for details).

Lemma 2. The Newton transformation $P_{k}$, for $k=1,2$, satisfies:
a) $\operatorname{tr}\left(\nabla_{X} S \circ P_{k}\right)=\binom{3}{k+1}\left\langle\nabla H_{k+1}, X\right\rangle$.
b) $\operatorname{div}\left(P_{k}\right)=0$.

Bearing in mind this lemma we obtain

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(P_{k}(\nabla f)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{k} \circ \nabla^{2} f\right)
$$

where $\nabla^{2} f: \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right)$ denotes the self-adjoint linear operator metrically equivalent to the Hessian of $f$, given by

$$
\left\langle\nabla^{2} f(X), Y\right\rangle=\left\langle\nabla_{X}(\nabla f), Y\right\rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right) .
$$

Associated to each Newton transformation $P_{k}$, we can define the second-order linear differential operator $L_{k}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(M^{3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(M^{3}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k}(f)=\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{k} \circ \nabla^{2} f\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

An interesting property of $L_{k}$ is the following. For every couple of differentiable functions $f, g \in C^{\infty}\left(M^{3}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{k}(f g) & =\operatorname{div}\left(P_{k} \circ \nabla(f g)\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(P_{k} \circ(g \nabla f+f \nabla g)\right) \\
& =g L_{k}(f)+f L_{k}(g)+2\left\langle P_{k}(\nabla f), \nabla g\right\rangle . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. First formulas

We are going to compute $L_{k}$ acting on the coordinate components of the immersion $\psi$, that is, a function given by $\langle\psi, e\rangle$, where $e \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ is an arbitrary fixed vector.

A direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla\langle\psi, e\rangle=e^{\top}=e-\langle N, e\rangle N-\langle\psi, e\rangle \psi, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{\top} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right)$ denotes the tangential component of $e$. Taking covariant derivative in (13), and using that $\nabla_{X}^{0} e=0$, jointly with the Gauss and Weingarten formulae, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla\langle\psi, e\rangle=\nabla_{X} e^{\top}=\langle N, e\rangle S X-\langle\psi, e\rangle X \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right)$. Finally, by using (11) and Lemma 1, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{k}\langle\psi, e\rangle & =\langle N, e\rangle \operatorname{tr}\left(S \circ P_{k}\right)-\langle\psi, e\rangle \operatorname{tr}\left(I \circ P_{k}\right) \\
& =c_{k} H_{k+1}\langle N, e\rangle-c_{k} H_{k}\langle\psi, e\rangle . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression allows us to extend operator $L_{k}$ to vector functions $F=$ $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{5}\right), f_{i} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(M^{3}\right)$, as follows $L_{k} F:=\left(L_{k} f_{1}, \ldots, L_{k} f_{5}\right)$, and then $L_{k} \psi$ can be computed as

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{k} \psi & =\left(L_{k}\left\langle\psi, e_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots, L_{k}\left\langle\psi, e_{5}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =c_{k} H_{k+1}\left(\left\langle N, e_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle N, e_{5}\right\rangle\right)-c_{k} H_{k}\left(\left\langle\psi, e_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\psi, e_{5}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =c_{k} H_{k+1} N-c_{k} H_{k} \psi \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{5}\right\}$ stands for the standard orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$.
Now, we need to compute $L_{k} N$, and to do that we are going to compute the operator $L_{k}$ acting on the coordinate functions of the Gauss map $N$, that is, the functions $\langle N, e\rangle$ where $e \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ is an arbitrary fixed vector. A straightforward computation yields

$$
\nabla\langle N, e\rangle=-S e^{\top} .
$$

From Weingarten formula and (14), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{X} \nabla\langle N, e\rangle & =-\nabla_{X}\left(S e^{\top}\right)=-\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) e^{\top}-S\left(\nabla_{X} e^{\top}\right) \\
& =-\left(\nabla_{e^{\top}} S\right) X-\langle N, e\rangle S^{2} X+\langle\psi, e\rangle S X
\end{aligned}
$$

for every tangent vector field $X$. This equation, jointly with (11), Lemmas 1 and 2 , yields

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{k}\langle N, e\rangle & =-\operatorname{tr}\left(\nabla_{e^{\top}} S \circ P_{k}\right)-\langle N, e\rangle \operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)+\langle\psi, e\rangle \operatorname{tr}\left(S \circ P_{k}\right) \\
& =-\binom{3}{k+1}\left\langle\nabla H_{k+1}, e\right\rangle-\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)\langle N, e\rangle+c_{k} H_{k+1}\langle\psi, e\rangle . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k} N=-\binom{3}{k+1} \nabla H_{k+1}-\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right) N+c_{k} H_{k+1} \psi \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, equations (12) and (15) lead to

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{k}^{2}\langle\psi, e\rangle= & c_{k} H_{k+1} L_{k}\langle N, e\rangle+L_{k}\left(c_{k} H_{k+1}\right)\langle N, e\rangle+2 c_{k}\left\langle P_{k}\left(\nabla H_{k+1}\right), \nabla\langle N, e\rangle\right\rangle \\
& -c_{k} H_{k} L_{k}\langle\psi, e\rangle-L_{k}\left(c_{k} H_{k}\right)\langle\psi, e\rangle-2 c_{k}\left\langle P_{k}\left(\nabla H_{k}\right), \nabla\langle\psi, e\rangle\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

and by using again (15) and (17) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{k}^{2}\langle\psi, e\rangle= & -c_{k}\binom{3}{k+1} H_{k+1}\left\langle\nabla H_{k+1}, e\right\rangle-2 c_{k}\left\langle\left(S \circ P_{k}\right)\left(\nabla H_{k+1}\right), e\right\rangle \\
& -2 c_{k}\left\langle P_{k}\left(\nabla H_{k}\right), e\right\rangle \\
& +\left[c_{k} L_{k}\left(H_{k+1}\right)-\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{k} \circ S^{2}\right)+c_{k} H_{k}\right) c_{k} H_{k+1}\right]\langle N, e\rangle \\
& +\left[c_{k}^{2} H_{k+1}^{2}+c_{k}^{2} H_{k}^{2}-c_{k} L_{k}\left(H_{k}\right)\right]\langle\psi, e\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{k}^{2} \psi= & -\frac{c_{k}}{2}\binom{3}{k+1} \nabla H_{k+1}^{2}-2 c_{k}\left(S \circ P_{k}\right)\left(\nabla H_{k+1}\right)-2 c_{k} P_{k}\left(\nabla H_{k}\right) \\
& +\left[c_{k} L_{k}\left(H_{k+1}\right)-\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{k} \circ S^{2}\right)+c_{k} H_{k}\right) c_{k} H_{k+1}\right] N \\
& +\left[c_{k}^{2} H_{k+1}^{2}+c_{k}^{2} H_{k}^{2}-c_{k} L_{k}\left(H_{k}\right)\right] \psi . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we suppose that $M^{3}$ is of $L_{k}$-2-type in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$, that is, its position vector $\psi$ can be written as follows

$$
\psi=a+\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}, \quad L_{k} \psi_{1}=\lambda_{1} \psi_{1}, \quad L_{k} \psi_{2}=\lambda_{2} \psi_{2}
$$

where $a$ is a constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ and $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ are $\mathbb{R}^{5}$-valued non-constant differentiable functions on $M^{3}$.

It is easy to see that $L_{k} \psi=\lambda_{1} \psi_{1}+\lambda_{2} \psi_{2}$ and $L_{k}^{2} \psi=\lambda_{1}^{2} \psi_{1}+\lambda_{2}^{2} \psi_{2}$, and thus

$$
L_{k}^{2} \psi=\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) L_{k} \psi-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}(\psi-a)
$$

By using (16) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{k}^{2} \psi= & \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} a^{\top}+\left[\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) c_{k} H_{k+1}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle\right] N \\
& -\left[\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) c_{k} H_{k}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle\right] \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

that, jointly with (19), yields the following equations of $L_{k}$-2-type,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} a^{\top}=-\frac{c_{k}}{2}\binom{3}{k+1} \nabla H_{k+1}^{2}-2 c_{k}\left(S \circ P_{k}\right)\left(\nabla H_{k+1}\right)-2 c_{k} P_{k}\left(\nabla H_{k}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle=c_{k} L_{k}\left(H_{k+1}\right)-\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)+c_{k} H_{k}+\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) c_{k} H_{k+1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle=c_{k}^{2} H_{k+1}^{2}+\left(c_{k} H_{k}+\lambda_{1}\right)\left(c_{k} H_{k}+\lambda_{2}\right)-c_{k} L_{k}\left(H_{k}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1. Examples of $L_{\boldsymbol{k}}$-finite type hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$

Example 1. Every $k$-minimal $H_{k}$-hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ is of $L_{k}$-1-type or $L_{k^{-}}$ null-1-type. In fact, from (16) we get that $L_{k} \psi=\lambda \psi$, with $\lambda=-c_{k} H_{k}$. If $H_{k} \neq 0$, then $M^{3}$ is of $L_{k}$-1-type, otherwise it is of $L_{k}$-null-1-type.

Example 2. Every totally umbilical (and not totally geodesic) hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ is of $L_{k}$-1-type. In fact, if $M^{3}$ is totally umbilical, then its shape operator $S$ is given by $S=H I$, where $H$ is a non-zero constant. Therefore, $H_{k}$ and $H_{k+1}$ are also nonzero constants. Since

$$
\nabla_{X}^{0}(N+H \psi)=-S X+H X=0 \quad \text { for all } X \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M^{3}\right)
$$

we get that $N=C-H \psi$, where $C$ is a constant vector. Bearing in mind (16) we find $L_{k} \psi=\lambda \psi+b$, where $\lambda=-c_{k} H^{k}\left(H^{2}+1\right) \neq 0$ and $b=c_{k} H^{k+1} C$. Then we can write

$$
\psi=\psi_{0}+\psi_{1}, \quad \psi_{0}=-\frac{b}{\lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{1}=\psi+\frac{b}{\lambda}
$$

where $\psi_{0}$ is constant and $L_{k} \psi_{1}=\lambda \psi_{1}$. Therefore, $M^{3}$ is $L_{k}$-1-type in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$.
The following result shows that those hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ are the only spherical $L_{k}$-1-type hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$.

Proposition 3. $k$-minimal $H_{k}$-hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ and open portions of hyperspheres in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ are the only $L_{k}$-1-type hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$.

Proof. Let $M^{3}$ be a $L_{k}$-1-type hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$, then its position vector $\psi$ can be put as $\psi=a+\psi_{1}$, where $a$ is a constant vector and $L_{k} \psi_{1}=\lambda \psi_{1}$. Hence we deduce $L_{k} \psi=A \psi+b$, with $A=\lambda I$ and $b=-\lambda a$. The result follows from Theorems 1.2 and 1.7 in [5].

Example 3. Clifford hypersurfaces or standard Riemannian products $M_{r_{1}, r_{2}}^{3}=$ $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(r_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right), r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}=1$, are hypersurfaces of $L_{k}$-2-type in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$, for appropriate radii $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$.

Given $0<r<1$, let $M^{3}(r)=\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}(r) \subset \mathbb{S}^{4}$. Observe that $M^{3}(r)$ is defined by the equation $M^{3}(r)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{S}^{4}: x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}+x_{5}^{2}=r^{2}\right\}$. In this case, the Gauss map on $M^{3}(r)$ is given by

$$
N(x)=\left(\frac{-r}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}} x_{1}, \frac{-r}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}} x_{2}, \frac{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}{r} x_{3}, \frac{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}{r} x_{4}, \frac{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}{r} x_{5}\right),
$$

and its principal curvatures in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ are

$$
\kappa_{1}=\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}} \quad \text { and } \quad \kappa_{2}=\kappa_{3}=-\frac{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}{r}
$$

Hence we get

$$
H_{1}=\frac{3 r^{2}-2}{3 r \sqrt{1-r^{2}}}, \quad H_{2}=\frac{1-3 r^{2}}{3 r^{2}}, \quad H_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}{r}
$$

If we put $\psi_{1}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0,0,0\right)$ and $\psi_{2}=\left(0,0, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$, then $\psi=\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}$ and by using (16) we obtain:
a) $L_{0} \psi_{1}=\lambda_{1} \psi_{1}$ and $L_{0} \psi_{2}=\lambda_{2} \psi_{2}$, where $\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{r^{2}-1}$ and $\lambda_{2}=-\frac{2}{r^{2}}$. Therefore, $M^{3}(r)$ is of $L_{0}$-2-type in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ for $r^{2} \neq \frac{2}{3}$.
b) $L_{1} \psi_{1}=\lambda_{1} \psi_{1}$ and $L_{1} \psi_{2}=\lambda_{2} \psi_{2}$, where $\lambda_{1}=\frac{2}{r \sqrt{1-r^{2}}}$ and $\lambda_{2}=\frac{2\left(1-2 r^{2}\right)}{r^{3} \sqrt{1-r^{2}}}$. Therefore, $M^{3}(r)$ is of $L_{1}-2$-type in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ for $r^{2} \neq \frac{1}{3}$.
c) $L_{2} \psi_{1}=\lambda_{1} \psi_{1}$ and $L_{2} \psi_{2}=\lambda_{2} \psi_{2}$, where $\lambda_{1}=-\frac{1}{r^{2}}$ and $\lambda_{2}=\frac{2}{r^{2}}$. Therefore, $M^{3}(r)$ is of $L_{2}$-2-type in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ for any $r$.

Recall that a hypersurface $M^{n}$ is called isoparametric if all the $\kappa_{i}$ are constant functions; this is equivalent to say that all the $H_{i}$ are constant functions. The classification problem of isoparametric hypersurfaces $M^{n}$ in a sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ is still open. However, it is known that the number $g$ of distinct principal curvatures of isoparametric hypersurfaces is either $g=1,2,3,4$ or 6 (see [36]). Cartan classified these hypersurfaces when $g \leq 3$ (see e.g. [7, 8, 9]); Clifford hypersurfaces $\mathbb{S}^{k}\left(r_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k}\left(r_{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}, r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}=1$, constitute the case when $g=2$. For $g=3$, he showed that such hypersurfaces are tubes of constant radii around the Veronese embedding of the projective plane $\mathbb{F} P^{2}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{3 m+1}$, where $m=1,2,4$ or 8 is the dimension of the standard normed algebra $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ or the Cayley algebra $\mathbb{O}$, respectively.
Proposition 4. Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be an orientable hypersurface, which is not an open portion of a hypersphere. If $M^{3}$ is an isoparametric hypersurface with nonzero $H_{k+1}$, then $M^{3}$ is a hypersurface of $L_{k}$-2-type.
Proof. Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be the solutions of the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} & =-\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)-c_{k} H_{k} \\
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} & =c_{k} H_{k} \operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)-c_{k}^{2} H_{k+1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are the roots of the quadratic equation $t^{2}+b t+c=0$, where $b=\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)+c_{k} H_{k}$ and $c=c_{k} H_{k} \operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)-c_{k}^{2} H_{k+1}^{2}$ are two constants. Since the discriminant of this equation is $b^{2}-4 c=\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)-\right.$ $\left.c_{k} H_{k}\right)^{2}+4 c_{k}^{2} H_{k+1}^{2}>0$, we get $\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}$.

Choose $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ as follows:

$$
\psi_{1}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\left(-c_{k} H_{k+1} N+\left(c_{k} H_{k}+\lambda_{2}\right) \psi\right)
$$

$$
\psi_{2}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\left(c_{k} H_{k+1} N-\left(c_{k} H_{k}+\lambda_{1}\right) \psi\right)
$$

where $\psi$ is the position vector of $M^{3}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$. It is evident that $\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}=\psi$. On the other hand $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are non-constant $\mathbb{R}^{5}$-valued maps. In fact, if $\psi_{1}$ (or $\psi_{2}$ ) is a constant map we conclude that $M^{3}$ is totally umbilical in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ and thus it is an open portion of a hypersphere, which is not possible. Moreover, by a straightforward calculation involving equations (16) and (18), we obtain $L_{k} \psi_{1}=\lambda_{1} \psi_{1}$ and $L_{k} \psi_{2}=\lambda_{2} \psi_{2}$, i.e., $M^{3}$ is of $L_{k}$-2-type.

Example 4. Tubes of constant radius $r$ around the Veronese embedding of the real projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ are hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ of $L_{k}$-2-type for appropriate $r$.

Let $(x, y, z)$ be the standard coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{5}\right)$ that of $\mathbb{R}^{5}$. The mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{5}$ defined by

$$
u_{1}=\frac{y z}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad u_{2}=\frac{x z}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad u_{3}=\frac{x y}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad u_{4}=\frac{x^{2}-y^{2}}{2 \sqrt{3}}, \quad u_{5}=\frac{1}{6}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-2 z^{2}\right)
$$

gives rise to an isometric immersion of the 2 -sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}(\sqrt{3})$ of curvature $\frac{1}{3}$ into the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4}$. This mapping defines an embedding $\tilde{\phi}$ of the real projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}(\sqrt{3})$ into $\mathbb{S}^{4}$, known as the Veronese surface, which is the second standard immersion of the 2 -sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}(\sqrt{3})$.

Let us consider the tube $M^{3}(r)=T^{r}\left(V^{2}\right)$ with radius $r$ over the Veronese surface $V^{2}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{4}, 0<r<\pi / 3$, and consider $\psi: M^{3}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ the standard isometric immersion. It follows from a direct computation that the principal curvatures of the tube in $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ are given by

$$
\kappa_{1}=\frac{\cot r-\sqrt{3}}{1+\sqrt{3} \cot r}, \quad \kappa_{2}=\frac{\cot r+\sqrt{3}}{1-\sqrt{3} \cot r}, \quad \kappa_{3}=\cot r
$$

Hence we get

$$
H_{1}=\frac{\cot r\left(3-\cot ^{2} r\right)}{1-3 \cot ^{2} r}, \quad H_{2}=\frac{3 \cot ^{2} r-1}{1-3 \cot ^{2} r}, \quad H_{3}=\frac{\cot r\left(\cot ^{2} r-3\right)}{1-3 \cot ^{2} r}
$$

It is direct to verify from here and Theorem 4 that the tube $M^{3}(r)$ is of $L_{k}-2-$ type in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ (for appropriate radius $r$ such that $H_{k+1} \neq 0$ ):
a) In the case $k=0, M^{3}(r)$ is of $L_{0}$-2-type in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ for $r \neq \frac{\pi}{6}$.
b) In the case $k=1, M^{3}(r)$ is of $L_{1}$-2-type in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ for any $r$.
c) In the case $k=2, M^{3}(r)$ is of $L_{2}$-2-type in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ for $r \neq \frac{\pi}{6}$.

## 4. Main results

Hasanis and Vlachos [26] showed that if a hypersurface $M^{n} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ is of 2 -type (i.e., of $L_{0}-2$-type), then it has nonzero constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature. If the number of distinct principal curvatures is less than 4 and $M^{n}$ is closed, Chang [11] (see also [10, 21]) proved that these conditions imply that the hypersurface is isoparametric. In particular, we have
that a 2-type closed hypersurface $M^{3}$ in the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ has to be isoparametric. But we know that $M^{3} \subset \mathbb{S}^{4}$ is an isoparametric hypersurface if and only if (i) $M^{3}$ is a round hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}(r), 0<r \leq 1$; (ii) $M^{3}$ is a Clifford tori $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(r_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right), r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}=1$; or (iii) $M^{3}$ is a tube $T^{r}\left(V^{2}\right)$ of constant radius $r$ around the Veronese embedding of the real projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$.

Hasanis and Vlachos [26] also obtain a converse: if a hypersurface $M^{n} \subset$ $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, which is not an open portion of a hypersphere, has nonzero constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature, then it is of 2-type. Bearing in mind [26] and [11], and the classification of isoparametric hypersurfaces $M^{3} \subset \mathbb{S}^{4}$, one has the following (see [16]).

Theorem 5. Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be a closed orientable hypersurface, which is not an open portion of a hypersphere. Then $M^{3}$ is of 2-type if and only if $M^{3}$ is a Clifford tori $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(r_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right), r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}=1$ and $r_{2}^{2} \neq \frac{2}{3}$, or a tube $T^{r}\left(V^{2}\right)$ of constant radius $r \neq \frac{\pi}{6}$ around the Veronese embedding of the real projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}(\sqrt{3})$.

Our goal is to prove similar results for operators $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$.
Theorem 6. Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be an orientable $H_{2}$-hypersurface. If $M^{3}$ is of $L_{2}$-2-type, then the Gauss-Kronecker curvature $H_{3}$ is a nonzero constant.
Proof. Let $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of principal directions of $S$ such that $S E_{i}=\kappa_{i} E_{i}$ for every $i=1,2,3$, and consider the open set

$$
\mathcal{U}_{3}=\left\{p \in M^{3} \mid \nabla H_{3}^{2}(p) \neq 0\right\}
$$

Our goal is to show that $\mathcal{U}_{3}$ is empty. Otherwise, since we are assuming that $M^{3}$ is $L_{2}$-2-type and $H_{2}$ is constant, then by taking covariant derivative in (22) we have

$$
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} a^{\top}=9 \nabla H_{3}^{2}
$$

and using this in (20) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S \circ P_{2}\right)\left(\nabla H_{3}^{2}\right)=-\frac{7}{2} H_{3} \nabla H_{3}^{2} \quad \text { on } \mathcal{U}_{3} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P_{3}=0$ then $S \circ P_{2}=H_{3} I$ and so

$$
\left(S \circ P_{2}\right)\left(\nabla H_{3}^{2}\right)=H_{3} \nabla H_{3}^{2},
$$

that jointly with (23) implies $H_{3} \nabla H_{3}^{2}=0$ on $\mathcal{U}_{3}$, which is not possible.
We want to extend last theorem for the operator $L_{1}$; next theorem is an intermediate step.
Theorem 7. Let $M^{3}$ be an orientable $H_{k}$-hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^{4}$, which is not an open portion of a hypersphere, and consider the following conditions:
a) $H_{k+1}$ is a nonzero constant.
b) $\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)$ is constant.
c) $M^{3}$ is of $L_{k}-2$-type.

## Then any two conditions imply the third one.

Proof. First, we show that conditions a) and b) imply condition c). From Lemma 1 we obtain that $M^{3}$ is an isoparametric hypersurface, and then the claim follows from Proposition 4.

Secondly, we show that conditions a) and c) imply condition b). By taking covariant differentiation in equation (21), and bearing in mind (22), we find

$$
c_{k} H_{k+1} X\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)\right)=-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} X(\langle N, a\rangle)=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left\langle a^{\top}, S X\right\rangle=0,
$$

that is, $\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{k}\right)$ is constant on $M^{3}$.
Finally, we show that conditions b) and c) imply condition a). In the case $k=2$, the proof follows directly from Theorem 6 . To prove the claim in the case $k=1$, let us consider the open set

$$
\mathcal{U}_{2}=\left\{p \in M^{3} \mid \nabla H_{2}^{2}(p) \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Our goal is to show that $\mathcal{U}_{2}$ is empty. Since $H$ is constant, by taking covariant derivative in (22) we obtain that $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} a^{\top}=36 \nabla H_{2}^{2}$. Using this in (20) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S \circ P_{1}\right)\left(\nabla H_{2}^{2}\right)=-\frac{15}{2} H_{2} \nabla H_{2}^{2} \quad \text { on } \mathcal{U}_{2}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

that jointly with equation (7) leads to $P_{2}\left(\nabla H_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{21}{2} H_{2} \nabla H_{2}^{2}$. Now, by applying the operator $S$ on both sides, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S \circ P_{2}\right)\left(\nabla H_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{21}{2} H_{2} S\left(\nabla H_{2}^{2}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P_{3}=0$ we get $S \circ P_{2}=H_{3} I$, and then

$$
\left(S \circ P_{2}\right)\left(\nabla H_{2}^{2}\right)=H_{3} \nabla H_{2}^{2},
$$

that jointly with (25) implies

$$
S\left(\nabla H_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{2 H_{3}}{21 H_{2}} \nabla H_{2}^{2}
$$

Without loss of generality, let us assume that $E_{1}$ is parallel to $\nabla H_{2}^{2}$, i.e. the principal curvature $\kappa_{1}=\frac{2 H_{3}}{21 H_{2}}$. Then we have

$$
\left(S \circ P_{1}\right)\left(\nabla H_{2}^{2}\right)=\kappa_{1} \mu_{1}^{1} \nabla H_{2}^{2}=\frac{2 H_{3}}{21 H_{2}}\left(3 H-\frac{2 H_{3}}{21 H_{2}}\right) \nabla H_{2}^{2},
$$

that jointly with (24) yields the following equation,

$$
6615 H_{2}^{3}+252 \mathrm{HH}_{2} H_{3}-8 H_{3}^{2}=0
$$

From Lemma 1 we have that $3 H_{3}=9 H H_{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(S \circ P_{1}\right)$, and then last equation can be rewritten as follows

$$
6615 H_{2}^{3}+684 H^{2} H_{2}^{2}-68 H \operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{1}\right) H_{2}-\frac{8}{9} \operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

In other words, $H_{2}$ is a root of a polynomial with constant coefficients, and so it is constant.

An interesting consequence is the following.

Theorem 8. Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be an orientable $H_{2}$-hypersurface. If $M$ is of $L_{2}$-2-type, then $M^{3}$ is an isoparametric hypersurface.

Proof. From Theorem 6 we get that $H_{3}$ is a non-zero constant, and then Theorem 7 yields that $\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{2}\right)$ is constant. Now we use Lemma 1(d) to deduce that the mean curvature $H$ is constant, and this concludes the proof.

Another consequence is the following. Let $M^{3}$ be an isoparametric hypersurface, which is not an open portion of a hypersphere, satisfying $H_{k+1} \neq 0$. From Theorem 7 we get $M^{3}$ is of $L_{k}$-2-type. Then the following result, that extends Theorem 5, is clear.

Theorem 9. Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be an orientable $H_{2}$-hypersurface, which is not an open portion of a hypersphere. Then $M^{3}$ is of $L_{2}$-2-type if and only if $M^{3}$ is a Clifford tori $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(r_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right), r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}=1$, or a tube $T^{r}\left(V^{2}\right)$ of constant radius $r \neq \frac{\pi}{6}$ around the Veronese embedding of the real projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}(\sqrt{3})$.

We now state our main result.
Theorem 10. Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be an orientable $H_{k}$-hypersurface. If $M$ is of $L_{k}$-2-type, then $H_{k+1}$ is a nonzero constant.

Proof. Case $k=0$ is shown in [26, Theorem 2.1] and case $k=2$ has been proved in Theorem 6, so we can assume $k=1$. Let us consider $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ a local orthonormal frame of principal directions of $S$ such that $S E_{i}=\kappa_{i} E_{i}$ for every $i=1,2,3$. Let us define the open set

$$
\mathcal{U}_{2}=\left\{p \in M^{3} \mid \nabla H_{2}^{2}(p) \neq 0\right\}
$$

our goal is to show that $\mathcal{U}_{2}$ is empty. Since we are assuming that $M^{3}$ is $L_{1}-2$ type and $H$ is constant, then equation (22) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} a^{\top}=36 \nabla H_{2}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this equation in (20) we have that $\left(S \circ P_{1}\right)\left(\nabla H_{2}^{2}\right)=-\frac{15}{2} H_{2} \nabla H_{2}^{2}$ on $\mathcal{U}_{2}$, and substituting this into (7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}\left(\nabla H_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{21}{2} H_{2} \nabla H_{2}^{2} \quad \text { on } \quad \mathcal{U}_{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector field $\nabla H_{2}^{2}$ can be written as $\nabla H_{2}^{2}=E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) E_{1}+E_{2}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) E_{2}+$ $E_{3}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) E_{3}$, and then

$$
P_{2}\left(\nabla H_{2}^{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} E_{i}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) \mu_{2}^{i} E_{i} .
$$

Therefore equation (27) is equivalent to

$$
E_{i}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{2}^{i}-\frac{21}{2} H_{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \mathcal{U}_{2}
$$

for every $i=1,2,3$. An immediate and important consequence of this equation is that $E_{i}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)=0$ for some $i$. Otherwise, we deduce that

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{2}^{i}=\frac{63}{2} H_{2},
$$

that jointly with Lemma 1 leads to $H_{2}=0$ on $\mathcal{U}_{2}$, which is a contradiction.
From that consequence, and without loss of generality, we have to analyze the following two possible cases.

$$
\text { Case 1: } E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) \neq 0, E_{2}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) \neq 0 \text { and } E_{3}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

As $\mu_{2}^{1}=\mu_{2}^{2}=\frac{21}{2} H_{2}$ then $\left(\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}\right) \kappa_{3}=0$, and therefore $\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{2}$. Observe that $\kappa_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i$, otherwise $H_{2}=0$. It is easy to see that

$$
\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}=\mu_{2}^{1}=\frac{21}{2} H_{2}=\frac{7}{2}\left(\kappa_{2}^{2}+2 \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}\right),
$$

and so $7 \kappa_{2}+12 \kappa_{3}=0$. On the other hand, we know that $3 H=2 \kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}$ and then we get $\kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are constants. So $H_{2}$ is also constant, which can not be possible.

Case 2: $E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) \neq 0, E_{2}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)=0$ and $E_{3}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)=0$.
We know that $3 H_{2}=\kappa_{1} \mu_{1}^{1}+\mu_{2}^{1}$ and $\mu_{2}^{1}=\frac{21}{2} H_{2}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}=\frac{2}{15}\left(\kappa_{1}^{2}-3 H \kappa_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad H_{2}^{2}=p\left(\kappa_{1}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(x)=\left(\frac{2}{15}\right)^{2}\left(x^{4}-6 H x^{3}+9 H^{2} x^{2}\right)$. Observe that $H \neq 0$; otherwise, $\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}=-\kappa_{1}$ and from (28) we get $\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}=\frac{7}{5} \kappa_{1}^{2}$. Then $\kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are the roots of the equation $t^{2}+\kappa_{1} t+\frac{7}{5} \kappa_{1}^{2}=0$, but this is not possible since the discriminant of this equation is negative.

We claim that

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) & =p^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{1}\right) E_{1}\left(\kappa_{1}\right),  \tag{29}\\
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle & =36 p\left(\kappa_{1}\right)+A_{0},  \tag{30}\\
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle & =q\left(\kappa_{1}\right)+B_{0}, \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q(x)=-\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{4}{5} x^{5}-\frac{9 H}{2} x^{4}+6 H^{2} x^{3}\right)$, and $A_{0}, B_{0}$ are two constants. First, (29) and (30) follow directly from (28) and (22), respectively. On the other hand, bearing in mind (26) we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle\right) & =-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left\langle S a^{\top}, X\right\rangle=-36 \kappa_{1}\left\langle\nabla H_{2}^{2}, X\right\rangle \\
& =-36 \kappa_{1} X\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)=X\left(q\left(\kappa_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any tangent vector field $X$, and this implies equation (31).
Now, by taking covariant differentiation in (26) in the direction of an arbitrary tangent vector field $X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \nabla_{X} a^{\top} & =36 \nabla_{X} \nabla H_{2}^{2}=36 \nabla_{X}\left(E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) E_{1}\right) \\
& =36 X\left(E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)\right) E_{1}+36 E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) \nabla_{X} E_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

that jointly with (14) yields
(32) $36 E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) \nabla_{X} E_{1}=-36 X\left(E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)\right) E_{1}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}(\langle N, a\rangle S X-\langle\psi, a\rangle X)$, or equivalently
(33)
$36 E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)\left\langle\nabla_{X} E_{1}, E_{i}\right\rangle=-36 X\left(E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \delta_{1 i}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(\langle N, a\rangle \kappa_{i}-\langle\psi, a\rangle\right)\left\langle X, E_{i}\right\rangle$
for $i=1,2,3$. If we take $X=E_{1}$, then (33) reduces to the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
36 E_{1}\left(E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)\right) & =\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(\langle N, a\rangle \kappa_{1}+\langle\psi, a\rangle\right), \\
E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)\left\langle\nabla_{E_{1}} E_{1}, E_{i}\right\rangle & =0, \quad i=2,3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the last equation we conclude that $\nabla_{E_{1}} E_{1}=0$, that is, the integral curves of $E_{1}$ on $\mathcal{U}_{2}$ are geodesics of $M^{3}$.

Let $X$ be a tangent vector field orthogonal to $E_{1}$. Then equation (33) for $i=1$ leads to $X\left(E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)\right)=0$ and thus (32) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
36 E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) \nabla_{X} E_{1}=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}(\langle N, a\rangle S X-\langle\psi, a\rangle X), \quad \forall X \perp E_{1} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Codazzi equation $\left(\nabla_{E_{j}} S\right) E_{1}=\left(\nabla_{E_{1}} S\right) E_{j}$, we get

$$
E_{1}\left(\kappa_{j}\right)=\left(\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{j}\right)\left\langle\nabla_{E_{j}} E_{1}, E_{j}\right\rangle, \quad j=2,3
$$

that jointly with (34) for $X=E_{j}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
36 E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) E_{1}\left(\kappa_{j}\right)= & \left(\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{j}\right)\left[\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle \kappa_{j}-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle\right] \\
= & -\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle \kappa_{j}^{2}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle \kappa_{1} \kappa_{j}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle \kappa_{j} \\
& -\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle \kappa_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Last equation implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
36 E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) \sum_{j=2}^{3} E_{1}\left(\kappa_{j}\right)= & -\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle \sum_{j=2}^{3} \kappa_{j}^{2}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle \kappa_{1} \sum_{j=2}^{3} \kappa_{j} \\
& +\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle \sum_{j=2}^{3} \kappa_{j}-2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle \kappa_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
36 E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right) E_{1}\left(3 H-\kappa_{1}\right)= & -\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2}\right)-\kappa_{1}^{2}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle \kappa_{1}\left(3 H-\kappa_{1}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle\left(3 H-\kappa_{1}\right)-2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle \kappa_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using (28) and (29), last equation can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
36 p^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{1}\right)\left[E_{1}\left(\kappa_{1}\right)\right]^{2}= & -\frac{1}{5} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle\left(4 \kappa_{1}^{2}+3 H \kappa_{1}-45 H^{2}\right)  \tag{35}\\
& +3 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle\left(\kappa_{1}-H\right)
\end{align*}
$$

A direct computation shows
(36) $36^{2}\left[p^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{1}\right) E_{1}\left(\kappa_{1}\right)\right]^{2}=36^{2}\left[E_{1}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}=36^{2}\left\langle\nabla H_{2}^{2}, \nabla H_{2}^{2}\right\rangle=\lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}\left|a^{\top}\right|^{2}$ $=\lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}|a|^{2}-\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle N, a\rangle\right)^{2}-\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\langle\psi, a\rangle\right)^{2}$.

From equations (35) and (37), and taking into account (30) and (31), we find a polynomial $T(x)$ with constant coefficients given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T(x)= & {\left[q(x)+B_{0}\right]^{2}+\left[36 p(x)+A_{0}\right]^{2} } \\
& -\frac{36}{5}\left[q(x)+B_{0}\right](4 x+15 H)(x-3 H) p^{\prime}(x) \\
& +108\left[36 p(x)+A_{0}\right](x-H) p^{\prime}(x)-\lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}|a|^{2} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

and satisfying $T\left(\kappa_{1}\right)=0$. Therefore, $\kappa_{1}$ is locally constant on $\mathcal{U}_{2}$, and so is $H_{2}$, which is a contradiction with the definition de $\mathcal{U}_{2}$. This finishes the proof.

An interesting consequence is the following result, similar to Theorem 8.
Theorem 11. Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be an orientable $H$-hypersurface. If $M^{3}$ is of $L_{1}$-2-type, then $M^{3}$ is an isoparametric hypersurface.
Proof. From Theorem 10 we get that $H_{2}$ is a non-zero constant, and then Theorem 7 yields that $\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2} \circ P_{1}\right)$ is constant. Now we use Lemma 1(c) to deduce that the Gauss-Kronecker curvature $H_{3}$ is constant, and this concludes the proof.

Bearing in mind Theorems 7 and 11, and the classification of isoparametric hypersurfaces $M^{3}$ in the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4}$, the following result, that extends Theorems 5 and 9 , is clear.

Theorem 12. Let $\psi: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be an orientable $H$-hypersurface, which is not an open portion of a hypersphere. Then $M^{3}$ is of $L_{1}$-2-type if and only if $M^{3}$ is a Clifford tori $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(r_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right), r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}=1$ and $r_{2}^{2} \neq \frac{1}{3}$, or a tube $T^{r}\left(V^{2}\right)$ of constant radius $r$ around the Veronese embedding of the real projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}(\sqrt{3})$.
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