

DOUBLE $(r, s)(u, v)$ -PREOPEN SETS

EUN PYO LEE* AND SEUNG ON LEE**

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concepts of double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen sets, double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed sets and double pairwise $(r, s)(u, v)$ -precontinuous mappings in double bitopological spaces and investigate some of their characteristic properties.

1. Introduction

Chang [2] defined fuzzy topological spaces. These spaces and its generalizations are later studied by several authors, one of which, developed by Šostak [12], used the idea of degree of openness. This type of generalization of fuzzy topological spaces was later rephrased by Chatopadhyay, Hazra, and Samanta [3], and by Ramadan [11].

As a generalization of fuzzy sets, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov [1]. Çoker and his colleagues [4, 6, 7] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Using the idea of degree of openness and degree of nonopenness, Çoker and M. Demirci [5] defined intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense as a generalization of smooth fuzzy topological spaces and intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces.

Kandil [8] introduced and studied the notion of fuzzy bitopological spaces as a natural generalization of fuzzy topological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen sets, double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed sets and double pairwise $(r, s)(u, v)$ -precontinuous mappings in double bitopological spaces and investigate some of their characteristic properties.

Received August 10, 2015; Accepted January 15, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54A40, 03E72.

Key words and phrases: double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen sets, double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed sets, double pairwise $(r, s)(u, v)$ -precontinuous.

Correspondence should be addressed to Seung On Lee, solee@chungbuk.ac.kr.

This work was supported by the research grant of Chungbuk National University in 2014.

2. Preliminaries

Let I be the unit interval $[0, 1]$ of the real line. A member μ of I^X is called a fuzzy set of X . For any $\mu \in I^X$, μ^c denotes the complement $1 - \mu$. By $\tilde{0}$ and $\tilde{1}$ we denote constant maps on X with value 0 and 1, respectively. All other notations are standard notations of fuzzy set theory.

Let X be a nonempty set. An *intuitionistic fuzzy set* A is an ordered pair

$$A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$$

where the functions $\mu_A : X \rightarrow I$ and $\gamma_A : X \rightarrow I$ denote the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership, respectively, and $\mu_A + \gamma_A \leq \tilde{1}$.

Obviously every fuzzy set μ on X is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of the form $(\mu, \tilde{1} - \mu)$.

DEFINITION 2.1. [1] Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be intuitionistic fuzzy sets on X . Then

- (1) $A \subseteq B$ iff $\mu_A \leq \mu_B$ and $\gamma_A \geq \gamma_B$.
- (2) $A = B$ iff $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.
- (3) $A^c = (\gamma_A, \mu_A)$.
- (4) $A \cap B = (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B, \gamma_A \vee \gamma_B)$.
- (5) $A \cup B = (\mu_A \vee \mu_B, \gamma_A \wedge \gamma_B)$.
- (6) $0_\sim = (\tilde{0}, \tilde{1})$ and $1_\sim = (\tilde{1}, \tilde{0})$.

Let f be a mapping from a set X to a set Y . Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of X and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ an intuitionistic fuzzy set of Y . Then:

- (1) The image of A under f , denoted by $f(A)$, is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y defined by

$$f(A) = (f(\mu_A), \tilde{1} - f(\tilde{1} - \gamma_A)).$$

- (2) The inverse image of B under f , denoted by $f^{-1}(B)$, is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X defined by

$$f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(\mu_B), f^{-1}(\gamma_B)).$$

An *intuitionistic fuzzy topology* on X is a family T of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $0_\sim, 1_\sim \in T$.
- (2) If $A_1, A_2 \in T$, then $A_1 \cap A_2 \in T$.
- (3) If $A_i \in T$ for all i , then $\bigcup A_i \in T$.

The pair (X, T) is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy topological space*.

Let $I(X)$ be a family of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets of X and let $I \otimes I$ be the set of the pair (r, s) such that $r, s \in I$ and $r + s \leq 1$.

DEFINITION 2.2. [12] Let X be a nonempty set. An *intuitionistic fuzzy topology in Šostak's sense* $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} = (\mathcal{T}^\mu, \mathcal{T}^\gamma)$ on X is a mapping $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ ($\mathcal{T}^\mu, \mathcal{T}^\gamma : I(X) \rightarrow I$) which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\mathcal{T}^\mu(0_\sim) = \mathcal{T}^\mu(1_\sim) = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}^\gamma(0_\sim) = \mathcal{T}^\gamma(1_\sim) = 0$.
- (2) $\mathcal{T}^\mu(A \cap B) \geq \mathcal{T}^\mu(A) \wedge \mathcal{T}^\mu(B)$ and $\mathcal{T}^\gamma(A \cap B) \leq \mathcal{T}^\gamma(A) \vee \mathcal{T}^\gamma(B)$.
- (3) $\mathcal{T}^\mu(\bigcup A_i) \geq \bigwedge \mathcal{T}^\mu(A_i)$ and $\mathcal{T}^\gamma(\bigcup A_i) \leq \bigvee \mathcal{T}^\gamma(A_i)$.

The $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}) = (X, \mathcal{T}^\mu, \mathcal{T}^\gamma)$ is said to be an *intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Šostak's sense*. Also, we call $\mathcal{T}^\mu(A)$ a *gradation of openness* of A and $\mathcal{T}^\gamma(A)$ a *gradation of nonopenness* of A .

Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Šostak's sense $(X, \mathcal{T}^\mu, \mathcal{T}^\gamma)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be

- (1) a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -open set if $\mathcal{T}^\mu(A) \geq r$ and $\mathcal{T}^\gamma(A) \leq s$,
- (2) a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -closed set if $\mathcal{T}^\mu(A^c) \geq r$ and $\mathcal{T}^\gamma(A^c) \leq s$.

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}^\mu, \mathcal{T}^\gamma)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Šostak's sense. For each $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$ and for each $A \in I(X)$, the $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -closure is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s) = \bigcap \{B \in I(X) \mid A \subseteq B, B \text{ is } \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-fuzzy } (r, s)\text{-closed}\}$$

and the $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -interior is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(A, r, s) = \bigcup \{B \in I(X) \mid A \supseteq B, B \text{ is } \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-fuzzy } (r, s)\text{-open}\}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. [9] For an intuitionistic fuzzy set A in an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Šostak's sense $(X, \mathcal{T}^\mu, \mathcal{T}^\gamma)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$, we have:

- (1) $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s)^c = \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(A^c, r, s)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(A, r, s)^c = \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A^c, r, s)$.

A system $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ consisting of a set X with two intuitionistic fuzzy topologies in Šostak's sense $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ on X is called a *double bitopological space*.

DEFINITION 2.4. [9] Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of a double bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be

- (1) $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -semiopen if there is a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -open set B in X such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(B, u, v)$,
- (2) $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -semiopen if there is an $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -open set B in X such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(B, r, s)$,
- (3) $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -semiclosed if there is a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -closed set B in X such that $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(B, u, v) \subseteq A \subseteq B$,
- (4) $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -semiclosed if there is an $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -closed set B in X such that $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(B, r, s) \subseteq A \subseteq B$.

3. Double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen sets

DEFINITION 3.1. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of a double bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be

- (1) a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen set if $A \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, u, v), r, s)$,
- (2) an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen set if $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s), u, v)$,
- (3) a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed set if $A \supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(A, u, v), r, s)$,
- (4) an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preclosed set if $A \supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(A, r, s), u, v)$.

THEOREM 3.2. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of a double bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) A is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen set.
- (2) A^c is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed set.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.3. □

COROLLARY 3.3. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of a double bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) A is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen set.
- (2) A^c is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preclosed set.

THEOREM 3.4. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of a double bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$.

- (1) If A is $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -open of $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$, then A is $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen of $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$.

- (2) If A is $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -closed of $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$, then A is $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed of $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$.

Proof. (1) Let A be a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -open set of $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$. Then $A = \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(A, r, s)$. Clearly, we have $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, u, v)$ and hence

$$A = \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(A, r, s) \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, u, v), r, s).$$

Thus A is $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen of $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$.

(2) Let A be a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -closed set of $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$. Then $A = \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s)$. Clearly, we have $A \supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(A, u, v)$ and hence

$$A = \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s) \supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(A, u, v), r, s).$$

Thus A is $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed of $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$. \square

COROLLARY 3.5. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of a double bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$.

- (1) If A is $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -open of $(X, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$, then A is $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen of $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$.
(2) If A is $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -closed of $(X, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$, then A is $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preclosed of $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$.

But the converses in the above theorem and corollary need not be true which is shown by the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $X = \{x, y\}$ and let A_1, A_2, A_3 and A_4 be intuitionistic fuzzy sets of X defined as

$$A_1(x) = (0.2, 0.4), \quad A_1(y) = (0.6, 0.3);$$

$$A_2(x) = (0.4, 0.3), \quad A_2(y) = (0.7, 0.1);$$

$$A_3(x) = (0.5, 0.2), \quad A_3(y) = (0.1, 0.8);$$

and

$$A_4(x) = (0.5, 0.3), \quad A_4(y) = (0.2, 0.4).$$

Define $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ by

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}(A) = (\mathcal{T}^\mu(A), \mathcal{T}^\gamma(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = 0_\sim, 1_\sim, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}) & \text{if } A = A_1, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}(A) = (\mathcal{U}^\mu(A), \mathcal{U}^\gamma(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = 0_\sim, 1_\sim, \\ (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}) & \text{if } A = A_2, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ is a double bitopological space on X . The intuitionistic fuzzy set A_3 is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -preopen set which is not a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -open set and A_3^c is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -preclosed set which is not a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -closed set. Also A_4 is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -preopen set which is not an $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -open set and A_4^c is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -preclosed set which is not an $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -closed set.

LEMMA 3.7. That $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -semiopen $((\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -semiopen) and $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen $((\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen) are independent notions is shown by the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.8. Let $X = \{x, y\}$ and let A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 and A_6 be intuitionistic fuzzy sets of X defined as

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x) &= (0.2, 0.7), & A_1(y) &= (0.5, 0.3); \\ A_2(x) &= (0.5, 0.4), & A_2(y) &= (0.2, 0.6); \\ A_3(x) &= (0.5, 0.3), & A_3(y) &= (0.4, 0.2); \\ A_4(x) &= (0.3, 0.6), & A_4(y) &= (0.5, 0.2); \\ A_5(x) &= (0.8, 0.1), & A_5(y) &= (0.1, 0.7); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_6(x) = (0.6, 0.2), \quad A_6(y) = (0.2, 0.5).$$

Define $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ by

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}(A) = (\mathcal{T}^\mu(A), \mathcal{T}^\gamma(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = 0_\sim, 1_\sim, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}) & \text{if } A = A_1, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}(A) = (\mathcal{U}^\mu(A), \mathcal{U}^\gamma(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = 0_\sim, 1_\sim, \\ (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}) & \text{if } A = A_2, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ is a double bitopological space on X . The intuitionistic fuzzy set A_3 is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -preopen set which is not a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -semiopen set and A_4 is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -semiopen set which is not a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -preopen set. Also A_5 is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -preopen set which is not an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -semiopen set and A_6 is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -semiopen set which is not an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -fuzzy $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -preopen set.

THEOREM 3.9. Let $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ be a double bitopological space and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$.

- (1) If $\{A_k\}$ is a family of $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen sets of X , then $\bigcup A_k$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen set.
- (2) If $\{A_k\}$ is a family of $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen sets of X , then $\bigcup A_k$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen set.
- (3) If $\{A_k\}$ is a family of $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed sets of X , then $\bigcap A_k$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed set.
- (4) If $\{A_k\}$ is a family of $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preclosed sets of X , then $\bigcap A_k$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preclosed set.

Proof. (1) Let $\{A_k\}$ be a collection of $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen sets. Then for each k , $A_k \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A_k, u, v), r, s)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup A_k &\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A_k, u, v), r, s) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\bigcup A_k, u, v), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bigcup A_k$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen set.

(2) Let $\{A_k\}$ be a collection of $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen sets. Then for each k , $A_k \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A_k, r, s), u, v)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup A_k &\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A_k, r, s), u, v) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\bigcup A_k, r, s), u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bigcup A_k$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen set.

(3) It follows from (1) using Theorem 3.2.

(4) It follows from (2) using Corollary 3.3 □

DEFINITION 3.10. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma})$ be a mapping from a double bitopological space X to a double bitopological space Y and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then f is called *double pairwise $(r, s)(u, v)$ -precontinuous* if $f^{-1}(A)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen set of X for each $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -open set A of Y and $f^{-1}(B)$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen set of X for each $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -open set B of Y .

THEOREM 3.11. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma})$ be a mapping and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is a double pairwise $(r, s)(u, v)$ -precontinuous mapping.
- (2) $f^{-1}(A)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed set of X for each $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -closed set A of Y and $f^{-1}(B)$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preclosed set of X for each $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -closed set B of Y .

(3) For each intuitionistic fuzzy set A of Y ,

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s)) \supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(A), u, v), r, s)$$

and

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, u, v)) \supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(A), r, s), u, v).$$

(4) For each intuitionistic fuzzy set C of X ,

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(f(C), r, s) \supseteq f(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(C, u, v), r, s))$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(f(C), u, v) \supseteq f(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(C, r, s), u, v)).$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be any $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -closed set and B any $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -closed set of Y . Then A^c is a $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -open set and B^c is a $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -open set of Y . Since f is double pairwise $(r, s)(u, v)$ -precontinuous, $f^{-1}(A^c)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen set and $f^{-1}(B^c)$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen set of X . By Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3, $f^{-1}(A)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed set and $f^{-1}(B)$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preclosed set of X .

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let A be any $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -open set and B any $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -open set of Y . Then A^c is a $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -closed set and B^c is a $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -closed set of Y . By (2), $f^{-1}(A^c)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed set and $f^{-1}(B^c)$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preclosed set of X . By Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3, $f^{-1}(A)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preopen set and $f^{-1}(B)$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preopen set of X . Thus f is a double pairwise $(r, s)(u, v)$ -precontinuous mapping.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let A be any intuitionistic fuzzy set of Y . Then $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s)$ is a $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -closed set and $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, u, v)$ is a $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -closed set of Y . By (2), $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s))$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed set and $f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, u, v))$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preclosed set of X . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s)) &\supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s)), u, v), r, s) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(A), u, v), r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, u, v)) &\supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, u, v)), r, s), u, v) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(A), r, s), u, v). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let C be any intuitionistic fuzzy set of X . Then $f(C)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of Y . By (3),

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(f(C), r, s)) &\supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}f(C), u, v), r, s) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(C, u, v), r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(f(C), u, v)) &\supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}f(C), r, s), u, v) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(C, r, s), u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(f(C), r, s) &\supseteq ff^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(f(C), r, s)) \\ &\supseteq f(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(C, u, v), r, s)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(f(C), u, v) &\supseteq ff^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(f(C), u, v)) \\ &\supseteq f(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(C, r, s), u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

(4) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be any $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s) -closed set and B any $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v) -closed set of Y . Then $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are intuitionistic fuzzy sets of X . By (4),

$$\begin{aligned} A = \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(A, r, s) &\supseteq \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(ff^{-1}(A), r, s) \\ &\supseteq f(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(A), u, v), r, s)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B = \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(B, u, v) &\supseteq \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(ff^{-1}(B), u, v) \\ &\supseteq f(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s), u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(A) &\supseteq f^{-1}f(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(A), u, v), r, s)) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(A), u, v), r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(B) &\supseteq f^{-1}f(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s), u, v)) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s), u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f^{-1}(A)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed set and $f^{-1}(B)$ is an $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(u, v)(r, s)$ -preclosed set of X . \square

References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **20** (1986), 87-96.
- [2] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24** (1968), 182-190.
- [3] K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra, and S. K. Samanta, *Gradation of openness : Fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **49** (1992), 237-242.
- [4] D. Çoker, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **88** (1997), 81-89.
- [5] D. Çoker and M. Demirci, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense*, BUSEFAL **67** (1996), 67-76.
- [6] D. Çoker and A. Haydar Eş, *On fuzzy compactness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math. **3** (1995), 899-909.
- [7] H. Gürçay, D. Çoker, and A. Haydar Eş, *On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math. **5** (1997), 365-378.
- [8] A. Kandil, *Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Simon Stevin **63** (1989), 45-66.
- [9] E. P. Lee and S. O. Lee, *Double semiopen sets on double bitopological spaces*, Journal of The Chungcheong Mathematical Society **26** (2013), 691-702.
- [10] E. P. Lee and S. O. Lee, *Double pairwise $(r, s)(u, v)$ -semicontinuous mappings*, Journal of The Chungcheong Mathematical Society **27** (2014), 603-614.
- [11] A. A. Ramadan, *Smooth topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **48** (1992), 371-375.
- [12] A. P. Šostak, *On a fuzzy topological structure*, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Matem. Janos Palermo, Sr. II **11** (1985), 89-103.

*

Department of Clinical Laboratory Science
 Seonam University
 Namwon 590-711, Republic of Korea
E-mail: eplee@seonam.ac.kr

**

Department of Mathematics
 Chungbuk National University
 Cheongju 361-763, Republic of Korea
E-mail: solee@chungbuk.ac.kr